### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: DAVID M. BARASCH, CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt; Richard G. Jewell; Keith

R. McCall; Anthony C. Moscato; William H.

Ryan, Jr.; David W. Woods; Members

Jennifer Langan, representing Timothy

Reese, State Treasurer

Robert P. Coyne, representing Eileen

McNulty, Secretary of Revenue

Fred Strathmeyer, representing Russell

Redding, Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, April 27, 2016, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

Strawberry Square Complex

2nd Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

Reporter: Bernadette M. Black

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
1
                A P P E A R A N C E S (cont'd)
2
3
        MICHAEL ROLAND, ESQUIRE
           Assistant Enforcement Counsel
 4
5
        BENJAMIN FERRELL, ESQUIRE
            Assistant Enforcement Counsel
6
7
   PA Gaming Control Board
   P.O. Box 69060
   Harrisburg, PA 17106
10
      Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
11
12
   JOHN J. DONNELLY, JR., ESQUIRE
13
   Donnelly & Associates, PC
   One West First Avenue
14
   Suite 450
15
   Conshohocken, PA 19428
16
      Counsel for Rivers Casino
17
18
19
   ALEX M. HVIZDA, ESQUIRE
20
   Peters & Wasilefski
21
   2931 North Front Street
22
   Harrisburg, PA 17110
23
      Counsel for Hollywood Casino
24
25
```

		4
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman	5 – 6
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Mr. Kile	6 - 16
7	By Ms. Yantis	16 - 17
8	By Mr. Rhen	18 - 19
9	By Attorney Miller-Tshudy	19 - 21
10	By Attorney Sherman	21 - 26
11	By Attorney Cook	26 - 31
12	By Mr. Hannon	31 - 41
13	By Attorney Crohe	42 - 49
14	By Attorney Armstrong	49 - 67
15	By Attorney Stuart	67 - 74
16	By Attorney Tepper	74 - 77
17	By Attorney Crohe	77 – 80
18	By Attorney Adams	80 - 82
19	By Attorney Ferrell	82 - 84
20	By Attorney Miller	84 - 87
21	By Attorney Armstrong	87 - 95
22	By Attorney Roland	95 - 99
23	CLOSING REMARKS	
24	By Chairman	99 - 100
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

# CHAIRMAN:

Good morning. I'm David Barasch,

Chairman of the Gaming Control Board. Before we
begin, I'd like to ask and remind everybody to turn
off their electronic devices. With us today is Fred

Strathmeyer representing Russell Redding, Secretary of
--- Department of Agriculture. Jen Langan

representing Tim Reese, State Treasurer. And Bob

Coyne representing Eileen McNulty, Secretary of

Revenue. Thank you all for coming. A quorum of the

Board being present, as such I will call today's

meeting to order. First order of business, the Pledge
of Allegiance.

16 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

## CHAIRMAN:

By way of announcements, the Board held an Executive Session yesterday, April 26th, for the purpose of discussing personnel matters and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters now before the Board. Next we have consideration of a motion to approve the minutes and transcripts of the March 2nd, 2016 meeting. May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the minutes and transcript of the March 2nd, 2016 meeting.

### MR. JEWELL:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor.

ALL SAY AYE

## CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion's adopted. Next we'll hear from our Executive Director, Kevin O'Toole.

## MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Barasch, members of the Board. Today's Executive Director's report will be given by our Director of Racetrack Gaming Operations, Kevin Kile. Kevin is the author of our Racetrack Casino Benchmark Report, and we have recently issued our 9th annual report. So, Kevin will give a brief summary off this year's report. Kevin.

# MR. KILE:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. The Office of Racetrack Gaming recently completed and released a 9th annual benchmark report which provides an overview of the benefits slot machine gaming provides for the horseracing industry

in Pennsylvania. This report also details key indicators within horseracing which outline the health of the industry over the last five years. As you all know, one of the objectives of the Gaming Act is to assist horseracing in Pennsylvania. Approximately 11 percent of revenue generated from slot machine gaming, or \$246 million, was earmarked for the Race Horse Development Fund in 2015. This revenue is utilized by statute to significantly enhance purses, provide health and pension benefits for horsemen, and assist breeding operations.

The working conditions for horsemen has also been drastically improved as the racetrack casinos have invested an additional \$65 million to improve the infrastructure on the back side of the tracks. The racing industry in Pennsylvania continues to struggle in its efforts to attract a new fan base as evidenced by a reduction in wagering on the racing product. \$722 million was wagered on live races held in Pennsylvania in 2015, representing a decrease of six percent. Decreases in handle can also be attributed to a dispute regarding simulcast rates which negatively impacted four of the six tracks here.

This impasse took place during the first quarter of 2015 and prevented patrons at four of the

racetracks from wagering on races held at tracks such as Santa Anita, Gulfstream, Laurel Park and others.

This led to a 25 percent decline in taxable handle during the first three months of last year.

The racetrack casinos are adjusting in order to improve performance. Several racetracks recently took steps to reduce the number of live racing days in an effort to boost purse dollars for large scale events. For example, Parx Racing scheduled the fall festival in 2015, which ran for eight weeks and purses averaged \$500,000 a day. The festival was funded by money saved by racing fewer dates. Parx ran 185 days in 2015, but under a new agreement with the horsemen, will race 156 days in 2016 and they are currently in the process of scheduling a variation of the festival for this year.

This new initiative is in contrast to the past where more racing days were scheduled with lower purses and fewer horses entered per race.

Despite the challenges facing the horseracing industry, revenue generated from slot machine gaming continues to provide a positive impact on live racing in Pennsylvania and continues to benefit agriculture within the Commonwealth. It is important to note that while this is the second year since gaming commenced

that live racing handled decline year over year. Total live racing handle in 2015 was 24 percent higher when compared to the same time period before gaming commenced in 2015. This new report is available to the public and can be found on the Board's website, directly on our home page. Thank you and I'd be glad to answer any questions.

### MR. WOODS:

2.4

Just one question for you. I noticed a commercial on TV last night from the horsemen, it was talking about a \$4 billion industry, and how much it's affected --- are you familiar with the campaign, what is that about --- the extent of it?

#### MR. KILE:

That's run by the horsemen's organizations themselves and of course they're trying to promote as much as they can as well. So, not only are the racetracks trying to improve their product, but the horsemen are attempting and are being proactive and trying to attract people to the sport.

#### MR. WOODS:

I didn't know if it was just a central Pennsylvania action or if they bought TV time in other markets in the state.

### MR. KILE:

I'm not sure, but I can definitely find out for you.

# MR. WOODS:

Thank you.

### MR. MCCALL:

Kevin, could you give us the reasons why on the simulcast the --- that the Santa Anita they weren't accepting the wagers, what was the --- what were the circumstances and what have we done to --- as a follow-up, what have we done to make sure it doesn't happen again?

## MR. KILE:

There's cooperatives throughout the nation and there's --- this involved two cooperatives that affected four of our tracks. It's the Mid-Atlantic Cooperative which represents 23 racetracks, mainly through the Mid-Atlantic region. And then the other party was the Monarch Content Management Group which is led by the Stronach Group. And in essence, all tracks throughout the country negotiate single fee rates. And it's my understanding that the Monarch Group wanted to increase some rates for certain tracks and --- and it led to basically a boycott and they couldn't come to an agreement on what those single fee rates should be. So, hopefully it doesn't happen in

the future. So far this year everything is on course as normal, so I don't anticipate it being a problem in any --- in the future moving forward.

### CHAIRMAN:

I have a question. You said what happened in the first quarter of 2015 resulted in 25 percent less --- was that just at those tracks or total? What was that?

# MR. KILE:

That was taxable handle. So, at the six tracks in Pennsylvania, taxable handle last year was about \$100 million. So, there was approximately 24, 25 ---.

#### CHAIRMAN:

If that hadn't happened, would we have seen --- I know it's hard to predict, but without that, the steady state, would we have not seen a year to year decline?

### MR. KILE:

I think it would have been relatively flat. Nationwide there was a handle both in thoroughbred and standard bred was flat last year. There was a slight increase nationwide, less than one percent. Overall for the entire year, taxable handle was down 11 percent. So, to put that in perspective,

if the first quarter wasn't down 25 percent, I think we would have been in much better shape.

### CHAIRMAN:

We would have been more with the national average of what's happening, basically.

# MR. KILE:

What's that?

### CHAIRMAN:

We would have been more consistent with the national average?

# MR. KILE:

I believe so, yes.

# MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Just, Dave, in the past --- I didn't see the recent commercials, but I know when the horsemen had legislation pending in the past, I've seen them run those kind of pro horsemen commercials. I haven't see them for a couple years but I do know that I've seen them before. But Fred, I have a question for you and I don't mean to put you on the spot. Is there anything that AG is doing or contemplating or do you guys have concerns about, you know, the decrease in just horseracing play around the state? And before you answer I do remember a couple of years ago, you guys were running

commercials, I don't know if they were on TV or on the internet about track pack or something along those lines. What's going on in AG vis-à-vis promoting horseracing?

### MR. STRATHMEYER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Okay. Is this on --- yeah. Okay. Wе --- in Act 7, we were able to get a one percent marketing and promotions through, which --- if when you do the math, it's basically \$2.4 million that we will have available. That is a carry-over, in other words if we don't spend it all the first year, we continue to build that pot. The intent from AG is to reach out to stakeholders and then be --- have them part of the process. At this point there's no firm plans because as all of you know, there's no Commission in place right now, and so until the Commission gets --- is seated, those types of definitive things will have to wait until that's in place. But overall it's --- for the first time has given us a steady stream of income for promotion and marketing and the certainly department of AG is going to make best use of those dollars.

## MR. FAJT:

Thank you.

MR. JEWELL:

Mr. Chairman, I have a question.

CHAIRMAN:

Yes.

### MR. JEWELL:

Kevin, remind me of the percentage of folks last year that were actually sitting in the stands themselves and putting their money down on horseracing?

# MR. KILE:

Attendance was actually down this past year and it's the first year --- it's the first decline in the past five years, so there was about 760,000 patrons that went to the racetracks in Pennsylvania; that's down seven percent.

# MR. JEWELL:

And what was the percent of the handle that they bet as opposed to the simulcasting?

## MR. KILE:

2015, I don't have an actual percentage for on-track handle, but I can get that for you, but there was \$30 million wagered at the actual tracks on Pennsylvania's races; that's down six percent.

#### MR. JEWELL:

Do you have a sense though of proportionality? Is it a much smaller percent that

are --- that's actually bet at the stands?

MR. KILE:

It is.

MR. JEWELL:

Much, much smaller?

MR. KILE:

It is.

MR. JEWELL:

Or much, much larger. Man, it's a sense that I had. I see that number somewhere, being somewhat new, I said, wow, I didn't realize that, I mean I --- you know, and I had nothing to refer it to but ---.

## MR. KILE:

It is much, much smaller. Back in early days of horseracing, it was the only game in town, but since simulcast wagering came onboard, the majority of wagers are placed through simulcast wagering, via off-track wagering facilities, the internet, mobile devices, et cetera.

# MR. JEWELL:

But yet we see on racing days and evenings, a higher number of folks that --- at the racinos going to the casinos.

## MR. KILE:

That's correct.

### MR. JEWELL:

Thank you.

### CHAIRMAN:

I think that's the only questions; anything else, Kevin?

## MR. O'TOOLE:

Nothing else, Chairman.

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. Next up, Director of Human Resources, Claire.

## MS. YANTIS:

members. The Office of Human Resources has one motion for your consideration today relative to the hiring of three individuals. Mr. Edglar Metaj has been selected as a Technical Field Representative assigned to Parx Casino. Mr. Metaj has completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening, and is recommended for hire by Director of Casino Compliance, Jerry Stoll. Additionally, Mr. Adam Bashline and Ms. Melanie Little have been selected as Forensic Accountants in the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement's (BIE) Financial Investigation's Unit. Mr. Bashline and Ms. Little have also completed

17

```
the PGCB interview process, background investigation
1
2
   and drug screening and are recommended for hire by
3
   Director of BIE, Paul Mauro. Unless you have any
 4
   questions, I ask that the Board consider a motion to
5
   hire Mr. Metaj, Mr. Bashline and Ms. Little as
6
   indicated.
                  CHAIRMAN:
8
                  Are there any questions from the Board?
9
   May I have a motion?
10
                  MR. JEWELL:
11
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
12
   approve the Applicants as proposed by the Director of
   Human Resources.
13
14
                  MR. MCCALL:
15
                  Second.
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  All in favor?
   ALL SAY AYE
18
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  All opposed? Motion's adopted.
21
                  MS. YANTIS:
22
                  Thank you.
23
                  CHAIRMAN:
24
                  Thanks, Claire. Director of Financial
25
   Management, Dave Rhen.
```

# MR. RHEN:

Good morning.

# CHAIRMAN:

Good morning.

# MR. RHEN:

My report today will provide an overview of expenditures incurred by the Board through the end of the fiscal year's third quarter on March 31st.

Spending as of that date totaled \$27.1 million, spending for the third quarter alone was slightly over \$10 million. The majority of expenditures to date are for payroll. Payroll expenses in the first three quarters totaled \$23.9 million or 88 percent of total expenses for the total fiscal year, with salaries totaling \$14.3 million and benefits totaling \$9.6 million.

The expenditures for benefits equate to 67 percent of the total spent on salaries. Payroll for the third quarter alone was \$8.98 million, payroll expenses for the fiscal year to date are up 2.7 percent while the average employee count is down by 12 employees. Operating of fixed asset expenses recorded in the third quarter totaled slightly over \$1 million, bringing the year to date total to \$3.2 million.

Operating of fixed asset expenses comprised under 12

percent of overall agency expenditures. Seventy-eight (78) percent of operating expenditures or \$2.4 million were for office and parking leases, services and other operating expenses which are primarily subscription costs for databases using background investigations. Overall expenditures for the fiscal year are within budget and below expectations due to the declining complement levels over the first three quarters of the fiscal year.

### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions for Dave? Thanks.

# MR. RHEN:

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

# MR. FAJT:

Thanks, Dave.

## CHAIRMAN:

Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman.

## ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning Chairman, members of the Board. Our first agenda item relates to a Local Law Enforcement Grant Application, which Assistant Chief Counsel, Denise Miller-Tshudy is here to present.

# ATTORNEY MILLER-TSHUDY:

Good morning. The Local Law Enforcement

Grant that I have to present to you today is from the

Lawrence County District Attorney's office. The office is requesting a two-year grant. If awarded, this will be the first grant awarded to the Lawrence County District Attorney's Office. The office intends to use the grant funds to tackle a new initiative focusing on small games of chance licenses and violations as well as illegal gaming in general. More specifically, the office initiative will focus on education, monitoring of current small games of chance licensees and the investigation and prosecution under the Small Games of Chance Law and Illegal Gaming Laws.

2.4

In year one, they're requesting a \$75,000 grant, which will pay for the salary and benefits of one Detective, supplement two of the Assistant District Attorneys and pay for training for all three employees. Year two, they're requesting \$50,000, which will pay for half the salary and benefits of the Detective, supplement the attorney --- Assistant District Attorneys as well as have some more training for those three individuals. I have reviewed the application, they meet the guidelines of the Board and I would request that there be a motion made to approve the grant.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the

Board? May I have a motion?

### MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Local Law Enforcement Grant for the Lawrence County District Attorney's Office as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).

### MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion's adopted.

### ATTORNEY MILLER-TSHUDY:

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Today we have three petitions before you for your consideration. The matters each will be decided upon the record and the Board's been provided with a complete pleadings and evidentiary record in each case. The first Petition is that of the United --- related to the United States Playing Card Company, and it's a Petition for Approval of Change of Control of the United States Playing Card Company. The

Petition was filed by the parent company, Jarden Corporation. In addition, a motion to protect confidential information has also been submitted.

By way of background, the U.S. Playing
Card Company is a Table Game Manufacturer Licensee
that manufactures playing cards and other table game
related devices. Jarden is a publicly traded
affiliate licensee and the ultimate parent company of
U.S. Playing Cards. Jarden also owns many other lines
of consumer products, including Coleman, Sunbeam, and
Rawlings Sporting Goods.

Jarden is in the process of merging with Newell Rubbermaid Company, also a publicly traded company which owns a wide variety of brands, also including Sharp, Graco and Lenox. As a result of the merger, Newell Brands, will become the ultimate parent company of U.S. Playing Cards.

The Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) has no objection to the Petition for the Change of Control subject to U.S. Playing Cards following the necessary licensing requirements for any new entity or any individual required to be licensed a result of the merger. In addition, U.S. Playing Cards will provide the OEC with all final closing documents related to the merger.

Finally, the OEC has no objection to the 1 2 confidentiality motion for certain proprietary 3 information. I would note for the record that Newell Rubbermaid and four individuals associated with that 4 5 company have already filed applications, so the process is well underway here from the licensing perspective and with that I'd request a motion from the Board to consider the change of control. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Any questions, comments from the Board? 11 May I have a motion? 12 MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 13 14 issue an Order to approve both Jarden Corporation's 15 Petition for Approval of Change of Control and its motion for confidentiality as described by the OCC. 16 17 MR. RYAN: 18 Second. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 All in favor? 21 ALL SAY AYE 22 CHAIRMAN: 2.3 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 2.4 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next Petition before the Board

25

pertains to Chae Shim's request to be removed from the Involuntary Exclusion List. In July of 2014, the OEC filed a complaint to place Chae Shim on the Board's Exclusion List, alleging he assisted his 20-year-old son, Dae Shim, to gain access to the gaming floor.

Mr. Shim and his son were on the floor for about ten minutes, Mr. Shim, Chae Shim gamed, but his son did not. The parties signed a Consent Agreement in October 2014, and the Board approved the agreement on December 10th, 2014, placing Mr. Chae Shim on the Exclusion List for a period of at least one year from the date of the Order.

In February 2016, the Board received a request from Chae Shim, and his son, Dae Shim, also seeking removal from the Exclusion List as one year had passed from their placement on the list. Having no evidence that either Mr. Shim or his son had in any way violated the Board's Order, the OEC has not objected to the removal from the Exclusion List for either individual. First up would be a motion concerning Chae Shim, the father.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

## MR. RYAN:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 issue an Order to approve the Petition of Chae L. Shim 3 seeking removal from the Involuntary Exclusion List as 4 described by the OCC. 5 MR. WOODS: 6 Second. CHAIRMAN: 8 All in favor? 9 ALL SAY AYE 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 12 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: And the second motion which I would ask 13 14 the Board to make relates to the son, Dae Shim. 15 Again, the facts are the same, I --- and it would be appropriate for the Board's consideration at this 16 time? 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Questions or comments from the Board? 20 May I have a motion? 21 MR. WOODS: 22 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 23 issue an Order to approve the Petition of Dae Y. Shim 24 seeking removal from the Involuntary Exclusion List as 25 described by the OCC.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion's adopted.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Next presenting Withdrawals and Reports and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel, Steve Cook.

## ATTORNEY COOK:

Good morning. The Board has received four unopposed Petitions to withdraw the applications or surrender the Credentials of individuals or businesses. The persons and one entity subject to these Petitions are as follows; Gary Canale Designs, LLC, David Patent, Ryoichi Kimura and Gregg Hart. The OEC has no objection to any of these withdrawals or surrenders. As a result, if the Board were inclined to grant the same, they'd be doing so without prejudice and these matters are now ripe for the Board's consideration.

# CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue Orders to approve the withdrawals and surrenders as described by the OCC.

MR. JEWELL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion's adopted.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration are two Reports and Recommendations received from the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA). These Report and Recommendations along with the complete evidentiary record in each matter have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. Additionally in each case, the gentlemen that are the subject of these two Reports and Recommendations have been put on notice that the Board will be taking their matter up today and that they could come forward and briefly address the Board. If either of these individuals are present, I would ask them to come forward when their

matter is called.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board pertains to Nicolas Tirenin. On November 19th, 2015, the OEC filed a complaint to place Mr. Tirenin on the Exclusion List alleging that on April 23rd, 2015, this gentleman offered to help an elderly patron at Parx who was having difficulty inserting a voucher in a slot machine. When he was unable to insert the voucher in the machine himself, he told her that he would redeem it for cash at the cage, left the woman, went to the cage, in fact redeemed it for cash but then left the casino without returning the \$84 in cash to the woman. Upon being identified and contacted by the Pennsylvania State Police, Mr. Tirenin returned to the casino and turned the money over to the State Police.

As a result of his actions, Mr. Tirenin was charged and convicted of theft and was permanently evicted from Parx. At Mr. Tirenin's request, the hearing in this matter was held on January 20th, 2016; despite receiving proper notice however, he failed to attend at that hearing and it was held in his absence.

As a result of the evidence presented by Enforcement Counsel, the Report and Recommendation subsequently issued recommends that he be placed on

the Exclusion List and that is the recommendation before the Board at present.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

# MR. JEWELL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding the placement of Nicholas Tirenin on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OCC.

## MR. MCCALL:

13 Second.

## CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

16 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# 17 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

18 All opposed? Motion's adopted.

### ATTORNEY COOK:

The second and final Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Michael Witczak, III. On July 27th, 2015, the OEC filed a complaint to place Mr. Witczak on the Exclusion List alleging that between 2012 and 2015 he had been involved in a number of incidents at that

Parx Casino. That included physical aggression toward other patrons as well as on one occasion, theft of a mislaid voucher. Ultimately one of the assaults that occurred at the Parx Casino resulted in his being charged and convicted of disorderly conduct.

Mr. Witczak never responded to the OEC's complaint, and as a result in October of 2015, OEC filed a request for default judgment. Prior to the Board acting on that request however, Mr. Witczak requested a hearing stating that he had been incarcerated and never received notice. As a result, the matter was remanded back to the Board's OHA. A hearing was scheduled and notice was again sent to him at his address of record. He again did not appear at that hearing, it was held in his absence.

Ultimately OEC presented evidence of the bad behavior at Parx and the Report and Recommendation subsequently issued that is presently before the Board recommends that he be placed on the Exclusion List.

# CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

#### MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA regarding

the placement of Michael Witczak, III on the PGCB 1 2 Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OCC. 3 MR. MOSCATO: Second. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 6 7 ALL SAY AYE 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 10 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 11 And that concludes all matters of the 12 OCC. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Thank you. Next we have Sean Hannon, 15 Manager of the Bureau of Licensing. 16 MR. HANNON: 17 Good morning, Chairman, members of the 18 Board. Before the Board today will be motions regarding one Table Game Manufacturer Renewal License, 19 20 871 Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In addition, there will be consideration of 19 Gaming 21 22 Service Provider Applicants. 23 The first matter for your consideration 24 is the renewal of the South Jersey Precision Tool and 25 Mold, Incorporated Table Game Manufacturer License.

The BIE has completed its investigation of the company and the Bureau of Licensing has provided you with a background investigation and suitability report regarding the renewal. South Jersey Precision has asked that the Board grant it a reduced licensing fee for its Table Game Manufacturer License. The renewal fee for a manufacturer is \$90,000 for a three-year license.

Under the Gaming Act, the Board may modify the fee for a table game manufacturer if it determines the fee will unreasonably limit table game devices or associated equipments. Consistent with this provision of the Act, the Bureau of Licensing has adopted a reduced licensing fee policy which has previously been presented to the Board. Pursuant to that policy, a reduction of the fee, the licensing fee to zero is warranted if there is only one manufacturer of a product and anticipated sales are less than \$100,000 annually. South Jersey Precision is the only manufacturer in Pennsylvania that produces Pai Gow tiles and its projected annual sales are under \$100,000.

The Bureau of Licensing therefore recommends that the Board grants a reduced licensing fee of zero to South Jersey Precision. I hereby --- I

```
33
   have provided you with a draft Order for this entity
1
   and ask that the Board consider the Order to renew the
2
3
   Table Game Manufacturer License for South Jersey
 4
   Precision Tool and Mold, Incorporated.
5
                  CHAIRMAN:
 6
                  Questions, comments from Enforcement
   Counsel?
8
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
9
                  Enforcement Counsel has no objection.
10
                  CHAIRMAN:
11
                  Any comments from the Board? May I have
12
   a motion?
13
                  MR. MOSCATO:
14
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that Board approve
15
   the Table Game Manufacturer License renewal of South
   Jersey Precision Tool and Mold, Inc. with a reduced
16
17
   fee consistent with the Bureau of Licensing's policy.
18
                  MR. RYAN:
19
                  Second.
20
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  All in favor?
21
22
   ALL SAY AYE
23
                  CHAIRMAN:
24
                  All opposed? Motion's adopted.
25
                  MR. HANNON:
```

Also, for your consideration is the 1 2 approval of Principal and Key Employee Licenses. 3 Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided 4 you with a proposed Order for 11 Principal and 15 Key 5 Employee Licenses. I ask that the Board consider the 6 Order approving these licenses. CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 8 9 ATTORNEY PITRE: 10 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Questions or comments from the Board? 13 May I have a motion? 14 MR. RYAN: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 16 approve the issuance of Principal and Key Employee 17 Licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 18 MR. WOODS: 19 Second. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 All in favor? 22 ALL SAY AYE 2.3 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 25 MR. HANNON:

Next are Temporary Principal and Key 1 2 Employee Licenses. The Bureau of Licensing provided 3 you with an Order regarding the issuance of Temporary Licenses for three Principal and three Key Employee 5 Licenses. I ask that the Board consider the Order 6 approving these licenses. CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 8 9 ATTORNEY PITRE: 10 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Questions or comments from the Board? 13 May I have a motion? 14 MR. WOODS: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 16 approve the issuance of Temporary Principal and Key 17 Employee Credentials as described by the Bureau of 18 Licensing. MR. FAJT: 19 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 All in favor? 23 ALL SAY AYE 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 All opposed? Motion's adopted.

## MR. HANNON:

There are also Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 570 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full Occupation Permits. And 237 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted Registrations under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board consider a motion approving the Order.

# CHAIRMAN:

Comments from Enforcement Counsel?

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

## CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

## MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing

#### MR. JEWELL:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

37 ALL SAY AYE 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All opposed? The Motion's adopted. 4 MR. HANNON: 5 Next there are recommendations of denial 6 for two Gaming and two Non-Gaming Employee Applicants. In each case, the Applicant failed to request a hearing within the specified time frame. The Bureau of Licensing has provided you with Orders addressing 9 10 the Applicants who the OEC has recommended for denial. 11 I ask that the Board consider a motion approving the 12 denials. 13 CHAIRMAN: Enforcement Counsel? 14 15 ATTORNEY PITRE: 16 Enforcement Counsel continues to request 17 denial in each instance. 18 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 19 20 May I have a motion? 21 MR. JEWELL: 22 Chairman, I move that the Board deny the 23 Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applications as

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. MCCALL:

24

25

38 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 All opposed? Motion's adopted. MR. HANNON: 8 Also for your consideration are 9 withdrawal request for Key Gaming and Non-Gaming 10 Employees. In each case the Permit or Registration is 11 no longer required. For today's meeting, I provided 12 the Board with a list of one Key, 13 Gaming and 14 Non-Gaming Employee Withdrawals for approval. 13 14 that the Board consider the Orders approving the list 15 of withdrawals. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Enforcement Counsel? 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Questions or comments from the Board? 22 May I have a motion? 23 MR. MCCALL: 24 Mr. Chairman I move the Board approve 25 the withdrawals as described by the Bureau of

39 1 Licensing. 2 MR. MOSCATO: 3 Second. CHAIRMAN: 4 5 All in favor? 6 ALL SAY AYE CHAIRMAN: 8 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 9 MR. HANNON: 10 Next we have an Order to certify the 11 following Gaming Service Providers; Brysco 12 Foodservice, LLC, doing business as TriMark SS Kemp, EMMS Electric, Incorporated, Prevail Marketing, LLC 13 14 doing business as Prevail Promotions, U.S. Foods, 15 Incorporated. I ask that the Board consider the Order 16 approving these Gaming Service Providers for certification? 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Enforcement Counsel? 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 2.1 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Questions or comments from the Board? 24 May I have a motion? 25 MR. MOSCATO:

40

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 issue an Order to approve the Applications for Gaming 3 Service Provider Certification as described by the 4 Bureau of Licensing. 5 MR. RYAN: 6 Second. CHAIRMAN: 8 All in favor? 9 ALL SAY AYE 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 12 MR. HANNON: 13 Finally, for your consideration are 14 Gaming Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 15 Licensing provided you with an Order and attached list of 15 registered Gaming Service Providers. I ask that 16 the Board consider the Order registering these Gaming 17 Service Providers. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Enforcement Counsel? 2.1 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Questions or comments from the Board? 25 May I have a motion?

# 41 1 MR. RYAN: 2 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 3 issue an Order to approve the applications for Gaming 4 Service Provider Registration as described by the 5 Bureau of Licensing. 6 MR. WOODS: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 ALL SAY AYE 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 13 MR. HANNON: 14 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's 15 matters. 16 CHAIRMAN: Thanks, Sean. 17 OEC. 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 Good morning, Chairman, members of the 20 Board. The OEC will present 26 matters for the 21 Board's consideration today consisting of five Consent 22 Agreements, three Revocations and 18 Involuntary 23 Exclusions. The first Consent Agreement that will be 24 heard has been negotiated between the OEC and

Holding's Acquisitions Co. doing business at Rivers

25

Casino. The matter will be presented by Assistant Enforcement Counsel John Crohe.

### ATTORNEY CROHE:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board, John Crohe, C-R-O-H-E, for the OEC. The next matter on the agenda for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement reached between Rivers Casino and the OEC regarding the employment of an unlicensed individual. On May 14th, 2014, a former Rivers Casino Table Games Dealer submitted the renewal application for his Gaming Permit. And on August 13th, 2014, OEC issued the former dealer a recommendation of denial.

On October 8th, 2014, the Board issued an Order denying the individual's renewal application. On October 14th, 2014, the Board's application denial Order was sent by email to Rivers Vice President of Human Resources. The unlicensed individual continued to be employed by Rivers until November 7th, 2014.

After notice was given to Rivers Casino, the unlicensed individual worked on 16 separate days for a total of 122 and a half hours without a valid Gaming Permit. The OEC and Rivers respectfully request that the Board approve the Consent Agreement and Stipulations of Settlement which require that Rivers implement policy and procedures to prevent

```
similar incidents in the future, and that Rivers pay a
1
2
   civil penalty of $7,500 as well as $2,500 for cost
3
   incurred by the Board staff in connection with
   investigations in this matter. I believe attorney ---
 4
5
   Mr. Donnelly for Rivers is here to answer questions on
6
   Rivers behalf.
                  ATTORNEY DONNELLY:
                  Thank you, John. John Donnelly,
8
9
   D-O-N-N-E-L-L-Y, on behalf of Rivers. Also with me is
10
   Dannielle Cisneros who is Senior Counsel for Rivers
11
   and Andre Barnabei who is now Vice President of Slots
12
   at Rivers.
13
                  CHAIRMAN:
14
                  Perhaps Mr. --- sorry, didn't catch the
15
   last ---.
16
                  ATTORNEY DONNELLY:
17
                  Barnabei.
18
                  CHAIRMAN:
19
                  Barnabei should stand up and be sworn.
20
                  ATTORNEY DONNELLY:
                  Barnabei. Barnabei.
21
22
                  MR. BARNABEI:
23
                  B-A-R-N-A-B-E-I.
24
                  CHAIRMAN:
25
                  If you could stand up and be sworn,
```

1 please.

2 -----

3 ANDRE BARNABEI, CALLED AND SWORN.

\_\_\_\_\_

# ATTORNEY DONNELLY:

We've had extensive negotiations with regard to this Consent and I agree with John that we've instituted procedures to stop what occurred here, was there was an email that went to Mr. Barnabei who was on vacation, it bounced back with an amount today on this particular matter. When he returned from vacation he forwarded to --- maybe while he was on vacation, to two other people. The other people who forwarded it failed to take action and that's how this event occurred. It was human error.

We've instituted a number of things to try and fix this. Number one, we've asked and the staff has agreed that in the future that emails go to a number of people, so if someone's on vacation there's more than one person who gets that, and also we've asked that the representatives on the property obtain copies as well. And I think that will cure these problems in the future.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board

45 on this matter? May I have a motion? 1 2 MR. WOODS: 3 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 4 issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 5 between the OEC and Holding's Acquisition Company, LP 6 as described by the OEC. MR. FAJT: 8 Second. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? 11 ALL SAY AYE 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 All opposed. The Motion is adopted. 14 Thank you very much. 15 MR. FAJT: 16 Thank you. 17 ATTORNEY PITRE: 18 The next matter on the agenda is a 19 Consent Agreement that has been negotiated between the 20 OEC and Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association doing business as Hollywood Casino at Penn National 21 22 Racecourse. Once again the matter will be presented 23 by Assistant Enforcement Counsel John Crohe. 2.4 ATTORNEY CROHE: 25 The next matter on the Board's agenda

between the OEC and Hollywood Casino relates to a violation of underage gaming. On January 1st, 2016, an underage individual entered Hollywood Casino after a Security Guard inspected the underage individual's military identification which showed a date of birth of May 30th, 1997, making him 18 years of age at the time.

Despite the patron's age, the Security Officer gave the underage individual a green wristband to signify that he was over 21 years of age. The underage individual then gamed at a slot machine for approximately one minute and a second slot machine for approximately 23 minutes. The underage individual was then stopped by another Security Officer who asked him to produce identification. After the true age of the individual was discovered a Security Supervisor escorted the underage individual to the security podium and evicted him.

The underage individual was on the gaming floor for a total 55 minutes and gamed for a total of 24 minutes. Hollywood Casino issued a final written warning to the Security Officer who allowed the underage individual to access the gaming floor.

The OEC and Hollywood Casino respectfully request that the Board approve this

Consent Agreement and Stipulations of Settlement which require that Hollywood implement policies and procedures to prevent similar incidents in the future. and that Hollywood pay a civil penalty of \$7,500 as well as \$2,500 for cost incurred by the Board staff in connection with the investigations in this matter. Attorney Alex Hvizda from Hollywood Casino is here to answer questions on Hollywood's behalf.

### CHAIRMAN:

Good morning. Could you spell your name for the court reporter?

# ATTORNEY HVIZDA:

Yes, it's Hvizda, H-V-I-Z-D-A. This was essentially a human error, we have military IDs, our scanning system at the door does not currently allow for scanning of military IDs, the vendor says they're working on a solution but they don't have one yet. As a backup for that, Employees are supposed to call over the date of birth over the radio as a double check. In this case the Officer just completely failed to do his job. He admitted that he just got complacent, that it would never happen again, he apologized to his coworkers. At our casino we have a program called a hundred for a hundred. If our Security Department goes 100 days without any underage incidents, everyone

in the Department gets \$100. We have not had another underage incident since this incident on New Years

Day, I think it was a week and a half ago we paid out the hundred for a hundred which is the seventh time we've done that for our Security Department.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments for the Board?

# MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, just as a general rule and I said this before, and I know you guys do this. But anytime somebody comes in with a military ID or something other than, you know, what you can scan and verify, I mean, I always ask the casinos to please put your employees on high alert. I mean, we see this time and time again, where they'll come in with a passport or a military ID are the two examples that we see the most, but, you know, your antenna needs to go up as a Security Guard when somebody presents something other than a driver's license.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any other comments? May I have a

22 motion?

2.3

# MR. FAJT:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement

between the OEC and Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association as described by the OEC.

MR. JEWELL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion's adopted.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next two matters on the agenda are Consent Agreements that have been negotiated between the OEC and HSP Gaming, LP doing business as SugarHouse Casino. Both matters will be presented by Assistant Enforcement Counsel, James Armstrong.

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Good morning, Chairman and

Commissioners. The next matter on the agenda for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement reached between the OEC and SugarHouse Casino in regard to two subjects on the Board's Involuntary State Exclusion List being permitted to gamble at SugarHouse Casino.

In the first incidence, surveillance recordings revealed that a State-excluded individual, Christopher Cavalli entered SugarHouse's poker room at

7:31 p.m. on February 4th, 2015. He went to the player's services desk and requested a player's card. Surveillance coverage of the transaction showed that the State-excluded patron was given a player's card. The patron went through a poker room Cashier and handed her the cards --- the player's card and money to buy chips. The Cashier noticed a note on the excluded patron's account and had notified a Cage Manager.

The State-excluded patron tried to sign up for a poker tournament but the main desk employees had been advised on his status and reportedly tried to stall him. The State-excluded patron left the poker room before he could be taken into custody by security.

The investigation further revealed that on January 28th, the same State-excluded patron entered the SugarHouse Casino poker room. On that date surveillance coverage showed that the State-excluded patron went to the desk and signed up for a poker tournament. After being issued a new player's card, the State-excluded patron went to the cage in the poker room where he bought in to play in the tournament. Handing the Cashier his new player's card and identification. Surveillance coverage showed that

the Cashier swiped the player's card and returned it and his identification without incident. Surveillance coverage showed that the State-excluded patron played in a poker tournament for more than six hours on January 28th.

Commissioners, then in the second incident --- excuse me, the surveillance recordings revealed that a State-excluded individual, an Erikson Eachero (phonetic) entered SugarHouse's poker room on April 6th, 2015, and went to the cage and bought in. Surveillance coverage showed that Mr. Eachero played poker off and on for more than an hour. The State-excluded patron went to the poker room and attempted to enter --- went from the poker room and attempted to enter the casino and was asked for identification by security and turned down.

He returned to the poker room at 11:22 p.m. and played poker until 12:44 a.m. At 12:34 a.m. on April 7th, a Dealer was conducting the chip run and observed the excluded patron playing poker. The Dealer recognized the patron as someone who was on the Board's State Exclusion List. The Dealer went to the poker room host desk at 12:40 a.m. and advised his supervisor that he suspected a patron on the Board's State Exclusion List. After the Supervisor walked

past the patron, surveillance coverage showed that the excluded patron left the poker game and followed the Supervisor to the host desk where they engaged in a conversation for five minutes. During the conversation the excluded patron was observed on surveillance coverage going around the side of the host desk and looking at the exclusion advisory on the computer screen.

1.3

2.4

Shortly afterwards the State-excluded patron collected his chips and cashed out. He was not stopped by poker room personnel or Security Officers. The Poker Room Supervisor claimed he did not want to make notification in front of the excluded person so he waited until the State-excluded patron left the host desk.

Chairman and Commissioners, the OEC and SugarHouse Casino respectfully request the Board approve the proposed Consent Agreement and Stipulations of Settlement which requires SugarHouse to maintain policies and training to prevent similar violations of the Board's State Exclusion List, for SugarHouse to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,500 as well as pay \$2,500 for costs incurred by the OEC and other Board staff in connection with this investigation.

# CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Thank you, we'll be glad to answer --- Mr. Donnelly is here on behalf of SugarHouse Casino.

# ATTORNEY DONNELLY:

We've had lengthy discussions on the facts of --- matter on --- as with the next consent that you'll hear, so these aren't lightly done, I think Mr. Armstrong and I spent hours and hours going We investigated the facts, went back over our surveillance and so on, and the bottom line is the facts are accurate as Mr. Armstrong reported. Cavalli got by the system by coming in with a second --- with putting an initial in his name. John M. Donnelly as opposed to John Donnelly, a new card was issued. now have a system that at least puts an alert through to --- electronic system that puts an alert through when there's very similar names and hopefully that will stop it and he actively tried to evade the system, and was successful for awhile. We did catch both of these guys ultimately.

And the second one, Toko, he was put out of the building by one Security Guard and he sneaked back in and the problem here was that he was allowed

to cash out and we've made it a point to retrain everybody and when these incidents occur, you don't let people cash out, don't let them get the chips.

Some people are very aggressive and often times State Police are not around and so on, but the particular human being who allowed him to cash out in this case got a fine --- a warning in his jacket letter and we've been very aggressive on this cashing out policy and making sure people don't let these folks cash out or prevent them from getting their hands on the chips period, do not let them have the chips.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Are there any questions or comments from the Board?

### MR. FAJT:

Quick question. Mr. Armstrong, we've run into this before where somebody, you know, somebody's name is entered into the Exclusion List, you know, Samuel Adams, and then comes in and gets another card Sam Adams. Do we know if other casinos and can you or us require these casinos to adopt the same kind of system that Mr. Donnelly just explained where at least an alert will come up if it's a similar name, if not the exact name?

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

The problem with --- in this instance, SugarHouse has been the only casino that has allowed --- we fine for having State exclusion, those that we put on the public Exclusion List. So, that's a lot easier --- those are a lot easier to catch. little bit more lenient with self-excluded people because we understand the different names and the variances and everything that can go along with that. A lot of the casinos have strictly issued a first and last name. So, where if --- even if you punched in the initial, that name will come up --- and the last name. So, if it was C. Pitre, then every C --- every name --- every name that starts with a C and ends with Pitre will populate so that you can try to address that issue. It's not going to be 100 percent foolproof and we don't --- we're not looking for perfection, but in these instances where you have an individual get twice a player's card and sits at the poker table for hours and plays, and the individual is allowed to cash out chips. That kind of sends us --sends the message that okay something else needs to be done in order to cut this short. So, there's always going to be a way

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, there's always going to be a way around the system, but when you have things that kind of go a little bit far --- farther than they should,

56

that's where we think, you know, we need to take a 1 2 little bit more strenuous action. But the casinos 3 have put in failsafe mechanisms to try to catch instances like that on the first time around. 4 5 MR. FAJT: 6 Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments from the 8 9 Board? Can I have a motion? 10 MR. JEWELL: 11 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 12 issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 13 between the OEC and HSP Gaming, LP as described by the 14 OEC. 15 MR. MCCALL: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 All in favor. 19 ALL SAY AYE 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 All opposed? Motion's adopted. 22 ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG: 23 Thank you. Chairman and Commissioners, 24 the next matter on the agenda for the Board's 25 consideration is the Consent Agreement reached between the OEC and SugarHouse Casino in regard to three subjects on the Board's Self Exclusion List being permitted to gamble at SugarHouse Casino.

1.3

In the first incident, surveillance recordings revealed a self-excluded individual entered SugarHouse's poker room at 9:24 p.m. on February 19th, 2015, and played poker for several hours. He subsequently went into the casino and gambled at Blackjack and Three Card Poker for several hours. The self-excluded patron briefly left the casino, he reentered the casino and took a seat at a Blackjack game at 1:51 a.m. where he played Blackjack for the next 20 minutes.

At 2:12 a.m. on February 20th, the self-excluded patron initiated a buy in at a Blackjack game and handed the Dealer his identification to be rated. The Table Games Supervisor scanned the driver's license and the SugarHouse Casino Management System indicated that the patron was barred and on the Board's Self Exclusion List. The Table Games Supervisor contacted the Table Games Shift Manager in regards to the patron being on the Self Exclusion List. While the Supervisor waited for the Shift Manager, the self-excluded patron was allowed to continue playing Blackjack.

The patron played three more hands and on the third hand won a \$5,000 jackpot. The Table Games Supervisor then instructed the Dealer to stop the game while the jackpot was verified by the Surveillance Department. The self-excluded patron was subsequently paid the jackpot. The self-excluded patron left the Blackjack game with \$5,600 in chips in his possession. He was confronted by Security and escorted off the gaming floor to the Security Department's Office. Security personnel did not confiscate the winnings.

The Bureau of Casino Compliance and Pennsylvania State Police responded to the Security Department's Office and the police took custody of the self-excluded patron. The police searched the self-excluded patron incident to the arrest and found \$2,000 in chips in his socks. They did not find the remaining \$3,600. Pennsylvania State Police issued the patron a citation for trespassing and escorted him off of SugarHouse property. He was also issued a formal eviction by the security personnel.

Commissioners, in the second incident a self-excluded individual entered the SugarHouse poker room at 12:45 p.m. on August 23rd, 2015. Surveillance coverage showed the self-excluded patron went to the

cage where he bought in for \$200. The patron sat down at the table and began playing Poker. The self-excluded patron played Poker from 12:46 p.m. through 1:09 p.m. at which time he was identified as someone on the Board's Self Exclusion List and approached by a Security Department Manager.

The self-excluded patron stepped away from the Poker game for several minutes and spoke with the Security Manager. At 1:11 p.m. the self-excluded patron sat back down at the Poker game and resumed playing while the Security Manager confirmed his identity. At 1:12 p.m. the self-excluded patron was dealt another hand which he won and was paid. The self-excluded patron cashed out for \$244 at 1:15 p.m.

Surveillance coverage showed the

Security Manager and the Security Officer with the

self-excluded patron, walked him out of the Poker room

and into the parking lot. The Security Manager

followed the self-excluded patron to his vehicle which

left the property at 1:16 p.m. No funds were seized

from the self-excluded patron nor was he cited by the

Pennsylvania State police.

Commissioners, in the third incident a self-excluded patron entered SugarHouse Casino at 8:15 p.m. on September 16th, 2015. The self-excluded

patron sat down at a Blackjack game where he bought in for \$100 and began playing Blackjack. At 8:30 p.m. he went to pit five where he sat down at a Spanish 21 game. The self-excluded patron played Spanish 21 until 9:13 p.m. The self-excluded patron went to the temporary rush rewards desk. Surveillance coverage of the self-excluded patron was incomplete because the camera at the approved site at the rush rewards desk was not covering the desk at the time the self-excluded patron accessed services.

However, the investigation did determine that at 9:21 p.m. while at the rush rewards desk, the self-excluded patron was issued a new account and new players card. The self-excluded patron's status in SugarHouse Casino's management system at the time was that he was self-barred and he should not have been issued an account and a player's card. The self-excluded patron went to a slot machine and began playing. By 9:45 p.m. the Player Services Manager notified SugarHouse Security Personnel that there was a patron on the Board's Self Exclusion List at the casino.

Player Services Manager and Security located the self-excluded patron in the casino and escorted him to the security room. Pennsylvania State

Police issued the self-excluded patron a citation for trespassing and he was evicted from the casino.

Chairman and Commissioners, the OEC and SugarHouse Casino respectfully request that the Board approve the proposed Consent Agreement and the Stipulation of Settlement which requires SugarHouse to maintain policies and training to prevent similar violations of the Board's Self Exclusion List. That SugarHouse pay a civil penalty of \$33,000. They also pay a fee of \$2,500 for costs incurred by the OEC and other Board staff in connection with the investigations and assessment and settlement of these incidents. I'll be glad to answer any questions you may have. Mr. Donnelly is here as well.

### ATTORNEY DONNELLY:

Thank you. I neglected to introduce who's with me before. Lynette Lee who's the Director of Compliance, Dan McCall who's the Director of Table Games, and Tony, and I'll spell this name, Dilacqua, D-I-L-A-C-Q-U-A, who is the Director of Security, all three at the dais with me.

First two are as I spoke of before, problems with people cashing out after they've been at least tentatively identified as being on the Exclusion List which we've addressed. Number one, the patron

was not confrontational but the second one, according to what the stipulation states, he was confrontational and of course we've advised people not to get in fist fights or arguments with patrons who are confrontational.

Board?

The third one was --- the patron should never have been issued a rush rewards card and the person who issued that got a written warning in their file and presumable that will not happen again with that particular human being. The last one was human error in issuing the card which shouldn't have happened. The first two were kind of these judgment things where someone is either confrontational or they're trying to figure out whether he's actually on that list. But future --- hopefully they won't --- people have been trained --- future people won't let them get their hands on the chips if possible and certainly won't let them cash out at the cage.

### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the

#### MR. MCCALL:

I don't want to open up Pandora's box on this stuff, but Cyrus, how many people are on the Exclusion List?

know, when I listen to this, if a player is not rated, doesn't have a player's card, doesn't have a rewards card, how do we ever catch these guys. I don't think they have any excuse, when somebody is rated, has a player's card then goes back in with a player's card and they don't pick it up, I have no sympathy. But when there's 9,000 to 10,000 people on an Exclusion List --- and we come down hard on these casinos. How can we expect them to know the 9,000 or 10,000 people on that list, especially if they aren't rated, they don't have a card, they don't --- there's no players card, there's no rewards card, how can we expect them to enforce that?

I just think that we have to be somewhat more sympathetic in some of these cases. You know, again when there's a player's card issued and the guy's on the Exclusion List and they don't pick it up, I don't have any sympathy for them at all. But if somebody walks into the casino, lives in Summit Hill, Pennsylvania, and goes down to Rivers in Pittsburgh or Mount Airy, they don't know me from Adam and I'm not rated. How can we expect the casinos to go after those people?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Okay. I'm going to answer your question seriously. Okay. Because we don't expect them to be a hundred percent because we see people on the Self Exclusion List that violate that list just about every day. So, if we fine the casino every time that it happens, we wouldn't have any more casinos in Pennsylvania. You'd be surprised at how often they do catch them, and when they catch them, we don't usually do anything to the casino if the individual did not give up --- give a form of identification, if there was no way to recognize them. You'd be surprised how often surveillance will track somebody or somebody that works in the casino will recognize somebody or a patron will recognize somebody and say oh hey, I know his wife and he's supposed to be on the list, and we'll notify security. We --- all sorts of things.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In those instances, where they have no way of knowing or where they just happen to recognize the person, we usually don't do anything to the casinos. In situations where identification is shown and the person is rated or the person is recognized and the chips are not confiscated and the person's allowed to leave and nobody is notified. Those are the instances where we take action against --- usually against the casinos.

1 Only in instances where we say hey, you 2 didn't do what you were supposed to do once you 3 identified the self-excluded person or you should have identified the self-excluded person when that --- when 4 5 you --- rather than issue them a player's club card or cashing out their chips or even handing chips over to them because you had their identification or you identified them and you let them walk away with it. So, in those situations, but even for those on the 9 10 State Exclusion List, if they don't identify them or there's no reason for them to identify them and they 11 12 just skate by, we don't usually do anything to the 1.3 casinos. 14

#### MR. MCCALL:

I appreciate that. Thank you.

# CHAIRMAN:

17 Any other questions from the Board? May

18 I have a motion?

15

16

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

### MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and HSP Gaming, LP as described by the OEC.

## MR. MOSCATO:

25 Second.

### CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion's adopted.

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Thank you.

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you for coming.

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter on the agenda is a

Consent Agreement that has been negotiated between the

OEC and Washington Trotting Association doing business
as the Meadows. The matter will be presented by

Senior Enforcement Counselor Glenn Stuart.

# ATTORNEY STUART:

Thank you. Glenn Stuart for the OEC,
S-T-U-A-R-T. The next matter for the Board's
consideration is a Consent Agreement between the OEC
and Category 1 Licensee, Washington Trotting
Association, Incorporated, doing business as the
Meadows Racetrack and Casino. This Consent Agreement
addresses self exclusion violations.

The first count of the Consent Agreement addresses an instance where a self-excluded person was

afforded access to the Meadows gaming floor and was allowed to wager at a Blackjack table. The self-excluded person waited for approximately two hours along with a companion. The self-excluded person was allowed to cash out \$700 in chips and then left the facility. His companion then attempted to cash out \$6,500 in chips; however, in accordance with the Meadows internal controls regarding suspicious wagering activity the Cage Cashier noted that the companion was attempting to cash out more than the Meadows threshold for a transaction during a gaming day.

A reconciliation of the companion's wagering activity showed that he won only \$510 that day. As such it was believed that the companion was attempting to cash out another person's chips and his cash out request was refused. The companion was then allowed to leave the facility with the \$6,500 in chips. The Surveillance Department was already notified of the possible agent transaction, but the cage did not immediately notify Board staff, the satellite cage, or security of a possible agent transaction.

After reviewing the date of persons --- of patrons entering the casino and backtracking their

movements, Meadows surveillance confirmed that the self-excluded person was indeed on the Self Exclusion List. After this review, Board staff and other departments were notified. Approximately four hours later, the self-excluded person returned to the Meadows. Again, he was afforded access to the gaming floor. He immediately went to the satellite cage where he was allowed to cash out \$1,000 in chips.

As the self-excluded person was exiting the casino, a Meadows Security Officer approached him and advised that he was not permitted in the casino because he was on the Self Exclusion List. The self-excluded person was then allowed to leave the property with the \$1,000 in cash.

The second count of the Consent

Agreement addresses a third party vendor sending

promotional emails on behalf of the Meadows to

individuals on the Self Exclusion List. The issue was

initially brought to light when a self-excluded person

won a jackpot while wagering at a slot machine. The

self-excluded person left the property without

collecting the jackpot but returned to the Meadows

later that day to turn himself in.

When talking with Board staff, the self-excluded person advised that he had received

promotional emails from the Meadows website portal named webPass. A subsequent BIE investigation into webPass revealed that access to webPass is achieved through the Meadows website. WebPass is not affiliated with the Meadows player's club, rather webPass is maintained and monitored by a third party vendor named Engaged Nation. Engaged Nation is not a licensed entity with the Board. Patrons can only sign up for a webPass Account through the Meadows website.

1.3

A patron creates an account by providing a username and password as well as checking boxes acknowledging that the patron is 21 years of age or older and is not on a Self Exclusion List or the Exclusion List. BIE's investigation confirmed that the Meadows did not provide Engaged Nation with a list of self-excluded persons. Neither Meadows nor Engaged Nation cross checked the Pennsylvania Self Exclusion List after a patron created an account through webPass.

The Meadows conducted a subsequent scrub of the Engaged Nation member list against the Pennsylvania Self Exclusion List. This scrub revealed that 91 individuals on the Board's Self Exclusion List received promotional emails from Meadows through webPass.

The terms of the Consent Agreement would require the Meadows to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$40,000 for allowing two self-excluded individuals to gain access to its gaming floor, for allowing two self-excluded individuals to place wagers, for allowing one self-excluded individual to cash out winnings as a result of his wagering, or failing to confiscate winnings from one self-excluded individual and for allowing a third party vendor to send promotional emails to 91 individuals on the Self Exclusion List on behalf of the Meadows. This Consent Agreement is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

## ATTORNEY JONES:

2.0

2.1

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Marie Jones on behalf of Washington Trotting Association. With me today is Michel Keelon, Director of Compliance for WTA and Kevin Brogan, Director of Marketing for WTA.

### CHAIRMAN:

Do you have any comments?

### ATTORNEY JONES:

The comments I have is with respect to the webPass, we have since put in a policy where each time a new account is started and each time emails go out to all the patrons, they then scrub the list so

72

it's now consistent. It isn't like it was done
previously as a one time shot. Originally when we
started webPass, they thought of it more as a
promotional nongaming area since it wasn't directly
tied to the casino gaming and that's why it was not
initially done, the scrubbing wasn't initially done
with respect to the Exclusion List.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board on this? May I have a motion?

### MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as described by the OEC.

### MR. RYAN:

17 Second.

### CHAIRMAN:

19 All in favor?

20 ALL SAY AYE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

2.4

# CHAIRMAN:

22 All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

23 Thank you.

# ATTORNEY JONES:

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next three matters on the agenda consist of enforcement actions in which the OEC had filed complaints seeking the revocation of two Non-Gaming Registrations and one Gaming Permit issued to individuals by the Board. Each complaint has been filed with the Board's OHA and properly served upon the individual named in each complaint. individual named in each complaint failed to respond within 30 days as required by Board regulation. result the OEC filed a request for default judgment and properly served the same upon each named individual. All filed documents have been provided to the Board and the matters are presently ripe for the Board's consideration. In each instance we'll provide a brief summary of the fact and request the appropriate Board action.

## ATTORNEY STUART:

Thank you again. Glenn Stuart for the OEC. Next for the Board's consideration is the revocation of Monique Presley's Gaming Permit. Ms. Presley was most recently employed as a Slot Attendant at the Meadows Racetrack and Casino. Ms. Presley has recently been arrested on two separate occasions and charged with crimes ranging from felony aggravated

assault to driving under the influence. All criminal 1 2 charges remain pending against Ms. Presley at this 3 time and she has a June 27th, 2016 nonjury trial for both of those separate charges. As such the 5 revocation of Monique Presley's Gaming Permit is now 6 ripe for the Board's consideration.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

# MR. RYAN:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Monique Presley's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit as described by the OEC.

#### MR. WOODS:

Second.

## CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

19 ALL SAY AYE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

#### ATTORNEY TEPPER:

Good morning, Chairman Barasch, members of the Board. David Tepper, T-E-P-P-E-R, with the I have for your consideration today a revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Tymeek
Gant. Mr. Gant was arrested on two separate occasions
in a one week span, one for breaking into a house and
the other for driving under the influence. Mr. Gant
has subsequently entered pleas in both cases and at
this point the OEC is requesting that the Board revoke
Tymeek Gant's Non-Gaming Employee Registration.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

## MR. WOODS:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Tymeek Gant's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as described by the OEC.

## MR. FAJT:

17 Second.

#### CHAIRMAN:

19 All in favor?

20 ALL SAY AYE

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY TEPPER:

The next matter before the Board is a request to consider the revocation of Erick

76

Rodriquez's Non-Gaming Employee Registration which was 1 2 issued in connection with his former position as a 3 Cook at Mohegan Sun Casino. In May of 2015, Mr. 4 Rodriquez applied for a Gaming Permit in connection 5 with a promotion to Table Games Dealer and in November 6 of 2015, the Board denied Mr. Rodriquez's application based on his failure to become compliant with the Department of Revenue. As Mr. Rodriquez has still 9 failed to comply with the Department of Revenue, the 10 OEC now requests that the Board revoke the Non-Gaming Registration held by Erick Rodriguez. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 13 14 May I have a motion? 15 MR. FAJT: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 issue an Order to approve the revocation of Erick 18 Rodriquez's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as 19 described by the OEC.

MR. JEWELL:

21 Second.

22 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

23 All in favor?

24 ALL SAY AYE

20

25 CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The remaining 18 matters on the agenda consist of enforcement actions in which the OEC has filed Petitions seeking the involuntary exclusion of individuals whose presence within the licensed facilities are inimical to the interest to the Commonwealth or licensed gaming therein. In each instance, the Petition for Exclusion has been filed with the Board's OHA and properly served upon the individual named in the Petition. The individual named in the Petition failed to respond within 30 days as a result all --- excuse me. As a result, the OEC filed a request for default judgment in each instance and properly served the same upon each individual. Therefore, all facts submitted are deemed admitted as facts in each Petition and the matters are presently ripe for the Board's consideration. Once again, we'll read a brief summary of the facts and request the appropriate action from the Board.

#### ATTORNEY CROHE:

The next three matters on the agenda share the same fact pattern and with the Board's permission I ask to read the fact pattern and then individually request that each party be placed on the

Exclusion List. Two brothers, Thomas and Christopher Gordon, ages 19 and 17, gained access to and attempted to access the Rivers gaming floor while underage, both utilizing illegally manufactured identification. The brothers arrived at Rivers Casino with their aunt, Sandra Gordon and a family friend, Leslie Stephen who both aided and assisted Thomas and Christopher Gordon in accessing and attempting to access the gaming floor with illegally manufactured identification while underage. The OEC now requests that the Board add Sandra Gordon to the Board's Excluded Persons List.

## CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

#### MR. JEWELL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Sandra Gordon to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List for a period of at least one year, at which time she may petition for removal from the list.

#### MR. MCCALL:

Second.

#### CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25 ALL SAY AYE

2.1

	79
1	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
2	All opposed? The Motion is adopted.
3	ATTORNEY CROHE:
4	The OEC now requests that the Board add
5	Thomas Gordon to the Board's Excluded Persons List.
6	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
7	Questions or comments from the Board?
8	May I have a motion?
9	MR. MCCALL:
10	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
11	issue an Order to approve the addition of Thomas
12	Gordon to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
13	Involuntary Exclusion List until at least his 22nd
14	birthday, at which time he may petition for removal
15	from the list.
16	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
17	All in favor?
18	MR. MOSCATO:
19	Second.
20	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
21	All in favor?
22	ALL SAY AYE
23	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
24	All opposed? The Motion is adopted.
25	ATTORNEY CROHE:

And the OEC now requests that the Board 1 2 add Leslie Stephens to the Board's Excluded Persons 3 List. 4 CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

## MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Leslie Stephen to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List for a period of at least one year, at which time she may petition for removal from the list.

## MR. RYAN:

Second.

#### CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 ALL SAY AYE

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

# ATTORNEY ADAMS:

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, good morning, Kim Adams for the OEC. The next matter for the Board's consideration is the placement of Richard Ferragonio on the Involuntary Exclusion List for using counterfeit money to game while at Rivers Casino.

this time the OEC is requesting that he be placed on the Involuntary Exclusion List.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

5 May I have a motion?

1

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# MR. WOODS:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Richard Ferragonio to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

## MR. FAJT:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

14 All in favor?

15 ALL SAY AYE

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY ADAMS:

The next matter for the Board's consideration is the placement of Adam Douglas Butauski on the Involuntary Exclusion List for breaking into and stealing numerous items including a handgun from various vehicles from in the Presque Isle Downs Casino parking lot. At this time the OEC is requesting that he be placed on the Involuntary

82 1 Exclusion List. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Questions or comments from the Board? 4 May I have a motion? 5 MR. RYAN: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 6 issue an Order to approve the addition of Adam Butauski to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as 9 described by the OEC. 10 MR. WOODS: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 13 14 ALL SAY AYE 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 All opposed? The Motion is adopted. 17 ATTORNEY FERRELL: 18 Good morning, Chairman, members of the 19 Benjamin Ferrell, F-E-R-R-E-L-L. The next two Board. 20 matters for your consideration share the same fact 21 pattern, and with your permission I'll read them 22 together and then ask for their placement 23 individually. On December 20th of 2015, while under 24 21 years of age, Sierra Chunyo used her husband's

wristband to enter the gaming floor and game at

Presque Isle Downs Casino. Her husband, Jared
Rumbold, allowed Ms. Chunyo to use his wristband and
accompanied her onto the gaming floor. At this time
OEC requests that the Board place Sierra Chunyo on the
Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

## CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

## MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Sierra Chunyo to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary Exclusion List until at least her 22nd birthday at which time she may petition for removal from the list.

# MR. JEWELL:

17 Second.

#### CHAIRMAN:

19 All in favor?

20 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

#### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY FERRELL:

Additionally, OEC requests that the

25 Board place Jared Rumbold on the Board's Involuntary

84 Exclusion List. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Questions or comments from the Board? 4 May I have a motion? 5 MR. JEWELL: 6 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Jared Rumbold to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List for a 9 period of at least one year, at which time he may 10 petition for removal from the list. 11 MR. MCCALL: 12 Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? 15 ALL SAY AYE 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All opposed? The Motion is adopted. 18 ATTORNEY MILLER: 19 Good morning, Chairman Barasch, members 20 of the Board. Dustin Miller on behalf of the OEC. 21 The next matter today is a request for placement on 22 the Board's Excluded Persons List involving Kasil T. 23 Butler. The OEC filed a Petition to place Mr. Butler

stealing \$1,000 worth of chips from a fellow patron at

on the Exclusion List on January 4th, 2016 for

24

Parx Casino. Mr. Butler was charged with theft by 2 unlawful taking and receiving stolen property due to 3 the incident. Based upon the foregoing, the OEC ask that the Board place Kasil T. Butler on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

## CHAIRMAN:

Ouestions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

## MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Kasil T. Butler to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

#### MR. MOSCATO:

15 Second.

## CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 18

1

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next matter today is the request for placement on the Board's Excluded Persons List involving Kammaasho Kammaashok. The OEC filed a Petition to place Mr. Kammaashok on the Exclusion List

on December 8th, 2015, for past posting four wagers while playing Roulette at Parx Casino on October 24th, 2015, resulting in Mr. Kammaashok being paid \$1,226 to which he was not entitled. Based upon the foregoing, the OEC asks that the Board place Kammaasho Kammaashok on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

May I have a motion?

## MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Kammaasho Kammaashok to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

#### MR. RYAN:

Second.

## CHAIRMAN:

18 All in favor?

19 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

#### ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next matter today is the request for placement on the Board's Excluded Persons List involving Nijaz Hasanagic. The OEC filed a Petition

to place Mr. Hasanagic on the Exclusion List on 1 December 15th, 2015, for past posting wagers while 2 3 playing Roulette at Parx Casino on December 8th, 2015 4 and October 24th, 2015. Mr. Hasanagic was also 5 permanently banned from Valley Forge Casino in August 6 of 2014, for impermissibly taking nonvalue Roulette chips from the table. Based upon the foregoing, the OEC asked that the Board place Nijaz Hasanagic on the 9 Board's Excluded Persons List.

## CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

12 May I have a motion?

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.3

2.4

25

# MR. RYAN:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Nijaz Hasanagic to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

## MR. WOODS:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

22 ALL SAY AYE

#### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Good morning again, Chairman, 1 2 Commissioners. James Armstrong from the OEC, I have 3 the next four matters for your consideration. next one is the motion to consider a Board Order to 5 add Brennan Thiel to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. On January 7th at 2016, the OEC filed a Petition seeking the placement of Thiel on the Board's Excluded Persons List as a result of his arrest for being at SugarHouse Casino underage and for damaging a 9 10 voucher redemption machine. He was charged with underage gambling, criminal mischief and false 11 12 information to law enforcement, as well as four 13 summary offenses. Mr. Thiel ultimately pled quilty to 14 disorderly conduct and assessed fines and costs 15 totaling \$319. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Any questions or comments from the 18 Board? May I have a motion? 19 MR. WOODS: 20 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 21 issue an Order to approve the addition of Brennan

MR. FAJT:

Thiel to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as

Second.

described by the OEC.

22

23

2.4

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2.1

2.4

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Thank you. The next matter is a motion to consider a Board Order to add Albert Cropper to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Commissioners, on January 7th, 2016, the OEC filed a Petition seeing to place Mr. Cropper on the Board's Excluded Persons List as a result of his arrest for cheating during a Three Card Poker game at SugarHouse Casino. He was charged with slight of hand or scheme and theft by deception and disorderly conduct. He was arrested for switching cards between hands while playing two hands at the time. Mr. Cropper was found guilty of theft by --- of the theft by deception charge. He was assessed \$215.50 in fines and costs and ordered to perform 24 hours of community service.

# CHAIRMAN:

Any question --- any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

# MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

issue an Order to approve the addition of Albert
Elliott Cropper to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control
Board Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the
OEC.

#### MR. JEWELL:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

9 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

## CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Thank you. The next matter is a motion to consider a Board Order to add Shekeia Davidson to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

Commissioners, on December 17th of 2015, the OEC filed a Petition seeking to place Ms. Davidson on the

Board's Excluded Persons List as a result of her arrest for being in SugarHouse Casino underage and trying to cash a \$25 check. She was charged with underage gambling, Ms. Davidson was found guilty and assessed fines and costs totaling \$209.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion?

## MR. JEWELL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Shekeia Davidson to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List until at least her 22nd birthday at which time she may petition for removal from the list.

#### MR. MCCALL:

Second.

## CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 ALL SAY AYE

#### CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Thank you. The next motion to consider the Board Order to add Andrae B. Hayes to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Commissioners, on September 21st, 2015, the OEC filed a Petition seeking to place Mr. Hayes on the Board's Excluded Persons List as a result of his arrest for allegedly robbing a patron of SugarHouse Casino at gunpoint in the parking lot of the casino. Mr. Rodriquez (sic) was not charged with the robbery because the patron did not wish to prosecute; however, he was charged with defiant trespass because he was --- he had been

permanently evicted from SugarHouse Casino for other 1 2 disruptive behavior, including trying to steal other 3 patrons gaming chips as well as stealing a tip off a restaurant table. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 I have a question. ATTORNEY PITRE: I think he meant Mr. Hayes. 8 9 ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG: 10 Yeah. Right. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 I have yet another question. Was there any evidence that he had been in that casino that day 13 14 or he's just lurking in the parking ---? 15 ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG: 16 Yeah. He was in a number of days, 17 actually when ---. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 I mean the day when the robbery 20 occurred. 21 ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG: 22 I believe so, yeah, I'd have to check on 23 that. 24 CHAIRMAN:

And you ---?

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

He was identified through surveillance as being the subject that robbed the patron. And he appeared again two weeks later and that's when he was taken into custody.

## CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

I guess what I was wondering is --- you may not know, but from the review of the tape, is it apparent that he had that gun on him while he was in the casino?

# ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Not very clear, the patron said it was a gun. He actually robbed \$35 from the patron, went back into the casino, and bought in, I believe, at a Blackjack game for \$35.

#### CHAIRMAN:

But prior to the robbery, had he been in the casino that day and do we have any evidence whether or not he had a gun while he was in the casino prior to the robbery?

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

I'm not sure, I can check that for you.

#### CHAIRMAN:

I'd be curious.

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

No, I doubt we have evidence that he had 1 2 a gun in the casino, because if we had that evidence 3 they would have immediately taken action at that time. 4 So, I doubt we have evidence of him being in the 5 casino ---. 6 CHAIRMAN: I was kind of figuring, when you look 8 back you could see a bulge or something that might 9 have tipped you off. 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 Well, a bulge could be anything. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Yes, it can. Any questions or other 14 comments from the Board? May I have a motion? 15 MR. MCCALL: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 issue an Order to approve the addition of Andrae B. 18 Hayes to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board 19 Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 20 MR. MOSCATO: 21 Second. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 All in favor? 2.4 ALL SAY AYE 25 CHAIRMAN:

95

All opposed? The Motion is adopted. 1 2 ATTORNEY ROLAND: 3 Good morning. Michael Roland, 4 R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC. Next is a request to place 5 Thanh Phan on the Involuntary Exclusion List. Phan attempted to pickpocket a patron on the gaming floor while at Sand's Casino. Mr. Phan was not charged by the Pennsylvania State Police because the 9 victim did not want to pursue the matter. However, he 10 was permanently evicted. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Thanh Phan on the 11 Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Questions or comments from the Board? 15 May I have a motion? 16 MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 18 issue an Order to approve the addition of Thanh Phan to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by 19 20 the OEC. 2.1 MR. RYAN: 22 Second. 23 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 24 25 ALL SAY AYE

1 CHAIRMAN: 2 All opposed? The Motion is adopted. 3 ATTORNEY ROLAND: Next is a request to place Xavier 4 5 Valentin on the Involuntary Exclusion List. Valentin was observed capping his bet while playing Texas Hold'em at Sand's Casino. He was permanently evicted by Sand's security but not criminally charged because he made full restitution. He actually capped 10 for \$10 and had a benefit of \$150. The matter is now 11 before the Board to consider the placement of Xavier 12 Valentin on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Questions or comments from the Board? 15 May I have a motion? 16 MR. RYAN: 17 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 18 issue an Order to approve the addition of Xavier 19 Valentin to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as 20 described by the OEC. 21 MR. WOODS: 22 Second. 23 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25 ALL SAY AYE

# CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? The Motion is adopted.

## ATTORNEY ROLAND:

And the last two matters arise from the same fact pattern and involve the request to place Cody Emrey and Tyler Emrey on the Involuntary Exclusion List. Cody Emrey, age 22, assisted his underage brother, Tyler Emrey, age 19, with gaining access to the gaming floor at Sand's Casino. Cody Emrey had a valid duplicate identification which he gave to Tyler Emrey to help him gain that access.

approximately 41 minutes, he did not consume alcohol or engage in gaming. Cody Emery was not charged by the Pennsylvania State Police; however, Tyler Emery was charged by the Pennsylvania State Police with an individual under 21 on the gaming floor, that's under our Act 1518(a)(13). Tyler Emrey did enter a guilty plea to that charge. First the matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Cody Emrey on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

24 May I have a motion?

## MR. WOODS:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 issue an Order to approve the addition of Cody Emrey 3 to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List for a period of at least one year at which time he may petition for 4 5 removal from the list. 6 MR. FAJT: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 ALL SAY AYE 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All opposed? The Motion is adopted. 13 ATTORNEY ROLAND: And last we ask the Board to consider 14 15 the placement of Tyler Emrey on the Board's 16 Involuntary Exclusion List. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Any questions or comments from the 19 Board? May I have a motion? 20 MR. FAJT: 21 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 22 issue an Order to approve the addition of Tyler Emrey 23 to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary 24 Exclusion List until at least his 22nd birthday, at 25 which time he may petition for removal from the list.

	9	9
1	MR. JEWELL:	
2	Second.	
3	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
4	All in favor?	
5	ALL SAY AYE	
6	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
7	All opposed? The Motion is adopted.	
8	ATTORNEY PITRE:	
9	That concludes our business. Thank you	
10	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
11	Thank you. That concludes the business	
12	for today's meeting. Our next scheduled public	
13	meeting will be held on Wednesday, May 25th. The	
14	meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. in this room. Are	
15	there any other fine comments from members of the	
16	Board or Ex Officio? May I have a motion adjourning	
17	the meeting?	
18	MR. JEWELL:	
19	Mr. Chairman, I move we adjourn.	
20	MR. MCCALL:	
21	Second.	
22	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
23	All in favor?	
24	ALL SAY AYE	
25	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing

proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Barasch was

reported by me on 4/27/16 and that I, Bernadette M.

Black, read this transcript, and that I attest that

this transcript is a true and accurate record of the

ρ

proceeding.

Bernaclette M. Black

Bernadette M. Black,

Court Reporter