COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt; Annmarie Kaiser; Keith R.

McCall; John J. McNally, III; Anthony C.

Moscato; David W. Woods, Members

Mathew Meals, Representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture; Christopher

Craig, Representing Robert McCord, State

Treasurer; Robert Coyne, Representing

Daniel Meuser, Secretary for Department of

Revenue, Ex-officio Members

HEARING: Wednesday, September 18, 2013, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Strawberry Square Complex

2nd Floor

Market Street

Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060

WITNESSES: Robert J. DeSalvio

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
1
                APPEARANCES (cont.)
2
3
   PA Gaming Control Board
   P.O. Box 69060
   Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060
6
      Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
   FRED KRAUS, ESQUIRE
   Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC
10
   77 Sands Boulevard
11
   Bethlehem, PA 18015
12
      Counsel for Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC
13
14
   MARIE JONES, ESQUIRE
15
   Fox Rothschild, LLP
16
   Midtown Building, Suite 400
   1301 Atlantic Avenue
17
18
   Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212
19
      Counsel for Washington Trotting Association
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		4
1	INDEX	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman Ryan	5 – 6
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Mr. O'Toole	6 – 7
7	By Ms. Daniels	7 – 9
8	By Ms. Yantis	10 - 15
9	By Attorney Sherman	15 - 22
10	By Attorney Cook	22 - 30
11	By Ms. Hensel	30 - 45
12	By Attorney Pitre	45
13	By Ms. Powers	45 - 47
14	By Ms. Higgins	49 - 51
15	By Attorney Roland	53 - 56
16	TESTIMONY	
17	By Mr. DeSalvio	57 - 60
18	PRESENTATION	
19	By Attorney Miller	65 - 67
20	By Attorney Stuart	68 - 69
21	By Attorney Roland	70 - 71
22	By Attorney Miller	72 - 85
23	By Attorney Roland	86 - 89
24	By Attorney Miller	89 - 94
25	By Attorney Stuart	94 - 98

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, everyone. My name is Bill Ryan, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, I would like to ask everyone to please turn off cell phones, PDAs and other electronic devices. Thank you very much.

With us today is Matthew Meals representing Secretary of Agriculture George Greig, Christopher Craig representing State Treasurer Robert McCord, and Robert Coyne representing the Secretary for the Department of Revenue, Daniel Meuser. Thank you, gentlemen, for being here. Members being present, everyone is here, I will call today's meeting to Order. First thing, I'd like everyone to stand for the pledge of allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. There are no public hearings today, so we will go directly to the public meeting. By way of announcements, the Board held an Executive Session yesterday, the purpose of which was to discuss personnel matters and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters being

considered by the Board today.

I'd also like to announce that the Board will be holding a public hearing to gather evidence from the City of Philadelphia administration officials regarding the six Category 2 applicants for the remaining casino licenses in Philadelphia. That hearing will take place on September 24th, 2013 at 11:00 a.m. at the Pennsylvania Convention Center, Room 108 in the City of Philadelphia.

Okay. First, we will hear from our Executive Director, Kevin O'Toole. Kevin?

MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. The Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act contains a very clear directive to the Gaming Control Board to promote and ensure diversity in all aspects of the gaming activities that are authorized by the General Assembly pursuant to the Gaming Act. On a yearly basis, the Gaming Control Board issues a gaming diversity report which outlines the results of the implementation of diversity plans by each casino facility operating in Pennsylvania. We are issuing today our sixth annual diversity report, and I am pleased to request Mozelle Daniels, our Director of Diversity, to give a brief summary of that

1 report. 2 MS. DANIELS: 3 Good morning. MR. O'TOOLE: 4 5 Good morning, Mozelle. 6 MS. DANIELS: I'd like to present to the Board the sixth annual diversity gaming report. Pursuant to 9 Section 1212 of the Pennsylvania Resource Development 10 and Gaming Act, the Gaming Board is charged with promoting and insuring diversity in all aspects of 11 gaming. The report simply provides an update 12 13 regarding what's going on at the various casinos and I'll be brief. 14 15 As of June 30th, 2013, the casinos 16 employed a total of 16,644 individuals. 17 approximately 89 percent which are PA residents. 18 Again, we have approximately 43 percent of the 19 employees at the casinos, 31 percent of the employees 20 at the casino are racial minorities and of the executive/management professional staff, we have a 21 22 grand total of 2,733 individuals. Of those 23 individuals, 63 percent are male, 37 percent are 24 female and 21 percent are racial minorities. 25 Additionally, we have 79 percent of the table games employee who are Pennsylvania residents. As you may or may not know, the goal was to have 85 percent of the employees who are table games employees be PA residents within three years of the start of table games within the Commonwealth. We're working diligently to reach that goal. It is not a quota or a statistical requirement; it is a goal. I'm busy with the casinos working to achieve that goal. We have had some challenges in the southeastern section of the State, specifically in the Philadelphia region, where we have bridges and we have regional rails, we have Amtrak, we have Atlantic City and we have Delaware. But I'm dealing directly with all of the casino staff to ensure that we get that extra six percent. Ideally, we'd get it up to 90 to 95 percent like we have at the other casinos.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the area of incurment, I'd like to just acknowledge the fact that in the last fiscal year, approximately \$423 million were expended for goods and services to minorities, women and local business enterprises. If you look through the report, you will also see that we have statistical data regarding rates, employment by gender, we have table games employment information, the total numbers for the individual facilities, as well as the total number

of PA residents. We have detailed breakdowns regarding expenditures for construction and non construction matters. In addition to that, we have charitable giving by all of the casinos.

The report is on the website and you're free to look at it. I think everybody looked at it yesterday, actually, but anybody else, they're free to look at it. If you have any questions, I'll be more than happy to answer your questions at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Questions, comments from the Board?

MS. DANIELS:

Please.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Mozelle, and I thank you for your patience with this effort.

MR. O'TOOLE:

I have one ---.

CHAIRMAN:

You do good work.

MR. O'TOOLE:

I have one additional point, please.

Our Office of Diversity, through Mozelle, also

24 challenges us, as a Commonwealth Agency, to ensure

25 that our workforce is diverse from a gender and a race

perspective. With 313 full-time employees, we currently have 42.2 percent female employees and 14.7 percent minority employees. Both of these percentages do exceed those for overall Commonwealth salaried employees, which is 40.4 percent female and 13.4 percent minority. We will continue our efforts at the Board, during this fiscal year, to ensure a diverse workforce within the Gaming Control Board. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

2.

Thank you. Thank you, both. Next, our Human Resource Director, Claire Yantis. Claire?

MS. YANTIS:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. The Office of Human Resources has three motions for your consideration today. The first motion requests consideration to hire two casino compliance representatives. Mr. Andrew Dennis has been selected for the position of casino compliance representative at the Rivers Casino and Mr. Doug Kunst has been selected for the position of casino compliance representative at The Meadows Casino. Both Mr. Dennis and Mr. Kunst have completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening and are recommended for hire by Director of Casino Compliance, Jerry Stult. Unless you have any

questions, I ask that the Board consider a motion to hire Mr. Dennis and Mr. Kunst as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board approve the applicants as proposed, on the condition that the necessary background investigations and drug testing are completed.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. YANTIS:

The second motion before you today is a Motion to Approve Changes to the Board's pay structure, specifically to PGCB executive and non union pay wages. As you are aware, the PGCB underwent an extensive evaluation of its compensation structure beginning in 2009. This evaluation resulted in the Board's adoption of a new compensation policy and

structure in January of 2010, based on comparison of data across public sector markets. In order to ensure that an organization's compensation structure remains competitive, best practice suggests a review of market salary data at least every three years, which includes an analysis of benchmark data and inflation considerations.

2.

Since implementation of the PGCB compensation structure in 2010, the Board has not made any changes to the compensation structure. At the same time, public sector data markets have seen an increase to their compensation ranges.

Based on my analysis of the benchmark data and a review of other compensation factors, it is recommended that the PGCB age the compensation structure for the executive and non union pay wages by two percent. This increase will apply to all but the maximum salary amounts for the top two ranges at the agency, executive pay range A and executive pay range B. For those two pay ranges, the maximum salaries within the ranges will remain where they were set by the Board in 2010.

I would be happy to answer any questions regarding the proposed changes to the compensation structure.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Any questions or comments?

Mr. FAJT:

I have a quick question, Mr. Chairman.

Claire, you may have said this, but we are raising it

by two percent. When is the last time that we raised

the compensation structure?

MS. YANTIS:

Since the evaluation that was done in 2009, we haven't raised it. So, what the Board adopted in '09 and '10 is the last time we changed the ranges.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. And when has the Commonwealth, as a whole, raised their pay range statuses?

MS. YANTIS:

They raised it most recently in July by a half a percent and these range changes, by aging it by two percent, it keeps our ranges on pace with the management scale for offices under the Governor's jurisdiction.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions from the Board?

Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the pay structure as described by the Director of Human Resources.

MR. WOODS:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. YANTIS:

The final motion before you today seeks to amend the employment agreement for the Executive Director. Executive Director Kevin O'Toole has been with the organization for just over four years and continues to lead the PGCB through the effective and efficient regulation of the Gaming Industry in Pennsylvania. Under Executive O'Toole's leadership, the PGCB has successfully regulated an industry, resulting in the creation of thousands of jobs and provided additional revenue for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. In recognition of Executive Director O'Toole's contribution to the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania and in recognition of his leadership in 1 2. the regulation of Gaming, it is proposed that 3 Executive Director O'Toole's employment agreement be 4 amended to increase his annualized base salary by 5 three percent, making his new annualized base salary 6 \$189,145. Unless you have any questions, I ask that you consider a motion to amend Executive Director O'Toole's employment agreement as indicated. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Questions or comments from the Board? 11 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. WOODS:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Amendment to the Executive Director's contract as described by the Director of Human Resources.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion carries.

MS. YANTIS:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Claire. Next will be Doug Sherman, our Chief Counsel. Doug?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. Today, the Board has just one petition before it for its consideration. That petition is Eastern Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling and James Schneller's Petition to Intervene in the Category 2 License Application proceedings for the City of Philadelphia. This matter is being considered today on the documents filed of record, which have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting.

Briefly, on August 12th, 2013, Eastern
Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling and Mr.
Schneller filed six separate Petitions to Intervene
against each of the Category 2 License Applications.
These six Petitions to Intervene were consolidated
into one single docket by the Office of Hearings and
Appeals by Order dated August 13th, 2013. Mr.
Schneller's request for intervention is based on his
moral and religious opposition to gaming, as well as
concerns that the establishment of a casino is, in his
words, damaging to the surrounding communities.

The Office of Enforcement Counsel and

all six Category 2 Applicants have filed Answers to the Petitions to Intervene, opposing the requests and averring that Mr. Schneller fails to establish the requisite substantial, direct and immediate interest needed to obtain standing in these proceedings.

Essentially, the parties argue that Mr. Schneller and his organization do not have an interest discernible from that of the public at large and therefore, standing does not exist.

2.

It's noted for the record that Mr.

Schneller has, for years, attempted on numerous occasions to intervene in matters before the Board, citing the same broad arguments he raises in the current Petitions to Intervene. In each case, he has been denied intervention by the Board. The Pennsylvania appellate courts have routinely upheld the Board's decisions and affirm that Mr. Schneller and his organization do not have standing to intervene in Gaming Control Board matters based upon the reasons he has asserted. The Courts have also denied his attempts to file matters directly to those courts opposing gaming matters in the Commonwealth.

Based upon the record presented, as well as the Court's precedential opinions in these matters, it would be appropriate to consider a motion denying

intervention in the Intervention Petitions currently before the Board. In addition, in prior matters before the Board, Counsel for various applicants requested that sanctions be issued against Mr. Schneller for his continued unmeritorious course of conduct.

2.

The Board has refrained from imposing sanctions in prior cases; however, more recently, the Commonwealth Court in Eastern Pennsylvania Citizens and James Schneller versus the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board at Docket 2389-CD-2011 considered a request by Valley Forge Casino for sanctions against Mr. Schneller. At that time, the Court noted the impropriety of Mr. Schneller's filings and stated, quote, we take this opportunity to again caution Schneller to be more prudent, lawful and procedurally competent in his litigious efforts, otherwise his carelessness will subject him to an award of counsel fees in the future, that's unquote.

Based upon the fact that despite the Court's admonition, Mr. Schneller and his organization have continued to advance the same arguments for intervention, which have been repeatedly denied, the Office of Chief Counsel also recommends that while the Board deny the pending Petition to Intervene, that the

Board also issue a Rule to Show Cause upon Mr. 1 2. Schneller directing him to appear before the Office of 3 Hearings and Appeals at a date to be determined and 4 demonstrate why he should be allowed to continue to 5 practice before the Board, citing the same broad opposition to gaming. Upon completion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer would report --- forward a report, along with the transcript of the hearing and any 9 evidence admitted at the hearing, to the Board for its 10 consideration at a future meeting. With that 11 background, the matter is now appropriate for the 12 Board's consideration. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Questions or comments from the Board? 15 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 16 MR. SCHNELLER: 17 Mr. Chairman? 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Yes? 20 MR. SCHNELLER: James Schneller here. I had received a 21 22 Board notice --- or notice of review. I'd like to 23 have a short comment, if I could, in response. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 How short? How short, Mr. Schneller?

MR. SCHNELLER:

Five minutes.

CHAIRMAN:

No, that's too long.

MR. SCHNELLER:

Three minutes.

CHAIRMAN:

Three minutes is too long. Thirty (30) seconds is what I'll give you. If you can give it to us in 30 seconds. If you can't, sit down, because you'll have the opportunity in front of a Hearing Officer to say whatever you want to to claim that you have standing. The courts of this state have said that you do not and we are not going to take any more of our time with these Petitions, Mr. Schneller. That's the way it is. So, I'll give you 30 seconds starting now.

MR. SCHNELLER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We are concerned that OEC has a need to continue to make this some kind of court scenario. We've simply been stuck in court because we've tried gracefully to obtain intervenor status. The way it's proceeded, the Applicants have also been quite ballistic, if I could be blunt. And so much of our time, rather than

contributing, which we have the full posture to be able to do, hasn't occurred. We don't come in here in a --- in battle mode and we also have the ability to contribute quite a bit to the proceedings.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Schneller, you just took your 30 seconds. I appreciate what you say and disagree completely. The matter is finished for today. I call for a vote. I would ask you to respect that.

MR. SCHNELLER:

Mr. Chairman, one more thing.

CHAIRMAN:

No.

MR. SCHNELLER:

I received a hearing notice. If I could have another 30 seconds.

CHAIRMAN:

No. Be seated. Thank you. Motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny the Eastern PA Citizens Against Gambling's Petition to Intervene in the Category 2 License Applications as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. I further move that the Board issue a Rule to Show Cause upon James Schneller, answerable to the Office of Hearings

22 and Appeals, so that Mr. Schneller may show cause why 1 2. he should be able to continue to practice before the 3 Board. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Second? 6 MR. MCNALLY: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 AYES RESPOND 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? The motion carries. Thank 13 you. 14 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 15 Next presenting Withdrawals and Reports 16 and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve 17 Cook. 18 ATTORNEY COOK: 19 Morning. The Board has received three 20 unopposed Petitions to Withdraw the Applications or 21 Surrender the Credentials of the following 22 individuals: Scott Betts, Nicholas Allan Lewin and 2.3 Nick Tamburro. 24 The Office of Enforcement Counsel has no

objection to these Petitions to Withdraw or Surrender.

25

As a result, if the Board chooses to grant these Petitions, they'd be doing so without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue Orders to Approve the Withdrawals and Surrenders as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

13 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

16 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration are two Reports and Recommendations received from the Office of Hearings and Appeals.

These Reports and Recommendations, along with the evidentiary record in each matter, have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting, and

additionally, each person --- or each of these two persons have been notified that the matter was going to be called before the Board today and that they had the right to come forward and briefly address the Board. If either of these persons are present, I'd ask them to come forward when their name is announced.

2.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Jeffrey Yu. Mr. Yu was issued a Gaming Employee Permit on April 7th, 2011 and was employed as a dealer at Harrah's Philadelphia Casino and Racetrack.

On June 15th, 2011, the Pennsylvania State Police notified the Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement that Mr. Yu was arrested on several drug related charges, including one felony offense and three misdemeanor offenses. These charges stemmed from Mr. Yu's possession of a large quantity of marijuana.

As a result of these charges, an Emergency Order of Suspension was issued by the Executive Director on August 8th, 2011 and remains in effect today.

Procedurally, on April 4th, 2013, Mr. Yu filed a petition to lift this suspension and that the criminal charges against him have been withdrawn

following his completion of an intensive drug treatment court program in the City of Philadelphia. The Office of Enforcement Counsel thereafter filed an Answer, stating it had no objection to Mr. Yu's Gaming Permit suspension being lifted.

2.

The matter was called before the Board on May 15th, 2013, and at that time the Board issued a Rule to Show Cause upon Mr. Yu, again answerable to the Office of Hearings and Appeals, so that he could show cause why the Board should continue to find him suitable, notwithstanding the evidence in record of the drug activity.

A hearing in this matter was held on June 26th, 2013 before a Board Hearing Officer. At that time, Mr. Yu testified that he had successfully completed the drug court program in March of 2013.

And upon completion of the program, his drug charges were dismissed, which, in fact, the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Chief Counsel have confirmed. Additionally, at that hearing, a Catholic priest, Fr. Tom Betz, testified on behalf of Mr. Yu, and a letter of good character was also submitted by the Director of a Chinese Christian church organization. The character evidence put into record by both Fr. Betz and the letter of reference spoke to Mr. Yu's

involvement in the Philadelphia Suns group, an organization involved in assisting the Chinese-American community in Philadelphia.

After hearing all of the evidence, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Emergency Suspension be lifted and that Mr. Yu's Gaming Permit be reinstated. That is the recommendation before the Board today. I see that Mr. Yu is present. If he'd like to briefly address the Board, this is his opportunity.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Yu?

MR. YU:

Good morning.

CHAIRMAN:

Are you here to address the Board?

MR. YU:

Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

All right. If you understand, the recommendation of the Hearing Officer is that your suspension be lifted and that you keep your license. Do you understand that?

MR. YU:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

27 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Do you still want to say something? 3 MR. YU: 4 No. 5 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 6 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 8 MR. MOSCATO: 9 Yes, sir. I move that the Board adopt 10 the Report and Recommendation issued by the Office of 11 Hearings and Appeals regarding the Gaming Employee 12 Permit of Jeffrey Yu as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 13 14 MR. WOODS: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 17 AYES RESPOND 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? The motion carries. 21 MR. YU: 22 Thank you. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 You're welcome, Mr. Yu. 25 ATTORNEY COOK:

The next and final Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Amnon Aronskind.

2.

On October 6th, 2011, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a Complaint to Place Mr. Aronskind on the Board's Exclusion List for engaging in past posting or bet capping activities while playing Texas Hold'em Poker at the Sands Bethworks Casino.

On February 29th, 2012, the Board issued an Order placing Mr. Aronskind on the Exclusion List after he failed to appear and defend against the action. Effectively a default judgment was placed against him, putting him on the list.

Mr. Aronskind is now requesting that the Board allow him to be removed from the Exclusion List. The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed an Answer objecting to Mr. Aronskind's request, alleging that he failed to request both a hearing and reconsideration in a timely matter and in fact admitted to the cheating activity.

A hearing in this matter was held on July 10th, 2013. Both the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Mr. Aronskind appeared and offered testimony at the hearing. Mr. Aronskind testified

that he did, in fact, cheat at the Sands Bethworks

Casino and he wasn't seeking removal from the list so

that he can gamble, but rather, he found out that if

one Googles his name, it comes up on the Internet that

he is placed on our list and he found that to be

embarrassing for himself and his family. Mr.

Aronskind also put on evidence of his background,

professional background, and so on and so forth, and

basically indicated that this was the one and only

mistake he'd made in his life.

2.

Thereafter, a Report and Recommendation was issued by the Board's Hearing Officer recommending that Mr. Aronskind remain on the list because exceptional circumstances warranting his removal, prior to a five year period of time, which is what our regulations require most people to wait before they can petition to be removed, was not met.

I would note for the record that Mr.

Aronskind did contact me. I indicated to him he had the ability to come and briefly address the Board today. He indicated that that would be difficult for him to do; however, he did submit to me basically an e-mail which reiterated the evidence he put forth before the Hearing Officer, indicating essentially that he made a mistake and just seeks to be removed

from the list to avoid further embarrassment. So, the 1 2. recommendation before the Board today is that he 3 remain on the list and the matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 8 MR. WOODS: 9 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 10 adopt the Report and Recommendation of the Office of Hearings and Appeals regarding the removal of Amnon 11 12 Aronskind from the PGCB Exclusion list as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 13 14 MR. FAJT: 15 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 18

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That concludes all matters of the Office of Chief Counsel.

24

CHAIRMAN:

25 Thank you, gentlemen. Next will be Susan Hensel, our Director of Licensing. Good morning, Susan.

MS. HENSEL:

2.4

Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Before the Board today will be motions regarding one Slot Machine Manufacturer and two Conditional Gaming Junket Enterprise License applicants, as well as 750 Principal, Key Gaming and non-Gaming Employee applicants. In addition, there will be the consideration of 16 Gaming Service Provider applicants.

The first matter for your consideration is the approval of a Slot Machine Manufacturer License for Blue Cube Microworks, LLC. Blue Cube Microworks, LLC is a Las Vegas, Nevada-based company that develops hardware and software for slot machines. The Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement has completed its investigation of this company and the Bureau of Licensing has provided you with the background investigation and suitability report. I have provided you with a draft order and ask that you consider the approval of a Slot Machine Manufacturer License for Blue Cube Microworks, LLC.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

32 1 ATTORNEY PITRE: 2 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 Any questions, comments from the Board? 5 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 6 MR. FAJT: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 8 approve Blue Cube Microworks, LLC's Slot Machine Manufacturer License as described by the Bureau of 10 Licensing. 11 MS. KAISER: 12 Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? 15 AYES RESPOND 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? The motion carries. 18 MS. HENSEL: 19 Next for your consideration are two 20 Gaming Junket Enterprise applicants for Conditional 21 Licensure. The applicants are Benz Gaming, LLC and 22 Cotler VIP Tours, Inc. Benz Gaming, LLC is based in

Pursuant to the Board's policy, the

Ocean City, New Jersey and Cotler VIP Tours is based

in Pottsville, Pennsylvania.

23

24

25

Board may issue a Conditional Gaming Junket Enterprise License, provided the applicant meets certain criteria, including submitting a completed application, being licensed in good standing in a gaming jurisdiction whose licensing standards are recognized by the PGCB and passing a preliminary review. Both companies satisfied these criteria for conditional licensure. The Bureau of Licensing has provided you with conditional background investigation and suitability reports for these applicants. provided you with draft orders and ask that the Board consider the approval of the conditional licenses for the two applicants beginning first with Benz Gaming, LLC.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2.

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We have no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

20 Any questions, comments from the Board?

Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 21

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve Benz Gaming, LLC's Conditional Gaming Junket 25 Enterprise License as described by the Bureau of

		34
1	Licensing.	
2	MR. MCCALL:	
3	Second.	
4	CHAIRMAN:	
5	All in favor?	
6	AYES RESPOND	
7	CHAIRMAN:	
8	Opposed? The motion carries.	
9	MS. HENSEL:	
10	Next is Cotler VIP Tours, Inc.	
11	CHAIRMAN:	
12	Comments from Enforcement Counsel?	
13	ATTORNEY PITRE:	
14	We have no objection.	
15	CHAIRMAN:	
16	Questions or comments from the Boar	rd?
17	Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?	
18	MR. MCCALL:	
19	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board	£
20	approve Cotler VIP Tours, Inc.'s Conditional Gaming	
21	Junket Enterprise License as described by the Bureau	
22	of Licensing.	
23	MR. MCNALLY:	
24	Second.	
25	CHAIRMAN:	

35 All in favor? 1 2. AYES RESPOND 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 4 5 MS. HENSEL: 6 Also for your consideration is the approval of Principal and Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a proposed Order for 8 Principal and 10 11 Key Employee Licenses for Category 1, Category 2, 11 Category 3 and Manufacturer Licensees. I ask that the 12 Board consider the Order approving these licenses. 13 CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 14 15 ATTORNEY PITRE: 16 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Any questions or comments from the 19 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 20 MR. MCNALLY: 21 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 22 approve the issuance of Principal and Key Employee 23 Licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 Second?

36 1 MR. MOSCATO: 2 Second. 3 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 4 AYES RESPOND 5 6 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 8 MS. HENSEL: 9 Next, there are Temporary Principal and 10 Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the 11 Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for 1 12 13 Principal and 17 Key Employees. I ask that the Board 14 consider the Order approving these licenses. 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 17 ATTORNEY PITRE: 18 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Any questions or comments from the 21 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 22 MR. MOSCATO: 23 Yes, sir. I move that the Board approve 24 the issuance of Temporary Principal and Key Employee 25 Credentials as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

37 1 MR. WOODS: 2 Second. 3 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 4 AYES RESPOND 5 6 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 8 MS. HENSEL: 9 There are also Gaming Permits and 10 Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the 11 Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 460 12 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted temporary 13 or full occupation permits, and 215 individuals to 14 whom the Bureau has granted registrations under the 15 authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. 16 that the Board consider a motion approving the order. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Any questions or comments from the 23 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 2.4 MR. WOODS: 25 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

approve the Issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

Next, there are recommendations of denial for four Gaming and three Non-Gaming Employee Applications. The Bureau of Licensing has provided you with Orders addressing these applicants who the Office of Enforcement Counsel has recommended for denial. The applicants failed to request a hearing within the specified time period. I ask that the Board consider the Orders denying the Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applications.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel would request denial

25 in each instance.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the denial of the applications as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

Also for your consideration are withdrawal requests for Key Employee, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case, the permit or registration is no longer required. For today's meeting, I have provided the Board with a list of 1 Key Employee, 27 Gaming and 2 Non-Gaming Employee Application Withdrawals for Approval. I ask that the Board consider the Orders approving this list of Withdrawals.

CHAIRMAN:

40 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 1 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions or comments from the 6 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? MS. KAISER: 8 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 9 approve the Withdrawals as described by the Bureau of 10 Licensing. 11 MR. MCCALL: 12 Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? 15 AYES RESPOND 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? The motion carries. 18 MS. HENSEL: 19 Next, we have Orders regarding the 20 abandonment of two Gaming and one Non-Gaming Employee 21 Applications. The Bureau of Licensing provided you 22 with Orders addressing these applicants who the Office 23 of Enforcement Counsel has recommended for 24 abandonment. The applicants filed applications, but 25 failed to prove their eligibility or suitability to

receive a permit or registration. When the Office of 1 2. Enforcement Counsel sent the applicants 3 recommendations of denial letters, the letters were 4 either returned or unclaimed. I ask that the Board 5 consider the Orders declaring these applications 6 abandoned. CHAIRMAN: 8 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 9 ATTORNEY PITRE: 10 Enforcement Counsel would request 11 abandonment in each instance. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Any questions or comments from the 14 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 15 MR. MCCALL: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 approve the abandonment of Gaming and Non-Gaming 18 Employee Applications as described by the Bureau of 19 Licensing. 20 MR. MCNALLY: 21 Second. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 All in favor? 2.4 AYES RESPOND 25 CHAIRMAN:

42 Opposed? The motion carries. 1 2 MS. HENSEL: 3 In addition, we have an Order to Certify 4 the Gaming Service Provider Applicant Shi West, Inc., 5 doing business as Imagine This. I ask that the Board 6 consider the Order approving this Gaming Service Provider for Certification. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 14 15 MR. MCNALLY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 16 17 issue an Order to Approve the Application for Gaming 18 Service Provider Certification as described by the 19 Bureau of Licensing. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Second? 22 MR. MOSCATO: 23 Second. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 All in favor?

43 1 AYES RESPOND 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Opposed? The motion carries. 4 MS. HENSEL: 5 Also for your consideration are Gaming 6 Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached list of 14 registered Gaming Service Provider 9 applicants. I ask that the Board consider the Order 10 registering these Gaming Service Providers. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 13 ATTORNEY PITRE: 14 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Any questions or comments from the 17 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 18 MR. MOSCATO: 19 Yes, sir. I move that the Board issue 20 an Order to approve the Applications for Gaming 21 Service Provider Registration as described by the 22 Bureau of Licensing. 2.3 MR. WOODS: 2.4 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN:

44 All in favor? 1 2. AYES RESPOND 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 4 5 MS. HENSEL: 6 Finally, we have a recommendation of denial for Rapid Response Ambulance Company's Gaming Service Provider Application. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order 10 addressing this applicant who the Office of Enforcement Counsel has recommended for denial. 11 The 12 applicant failed to request a hearing within the 13 specified time period. I ask that the Board consider 14 the Order denying Rapid Response Ambulance Company's 15 Gaming Service Provider Application. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 18

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel continues to request denial to the application.

CHAIRMAN:

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. WOODS:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

45 approve Rapid Response Ambulance Company's Gaming 1 2 Service Provider Application as described by the 3 Bureau of Licensing --- that's deny. Deny. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Okay. Second. 6 MR. FAJT: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 AYES RESPOND 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? The motion carries. 13 MS. HENSEL: 14 That concludes the matters of the Bureau 15 of Licensing. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Thank you, Susan. Next, our Chief 18 Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre. Cyrus? 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 We have 17 matters today for the Board's 21 consideration consisting of three Consent Agreements, 22 one suspension, six Revocations and seven Involuntary 23 Exclusions. First matter for the Board's 24 consideration is a Consent Agreement between the 25 Office of Enforcement Counsel and Reinhart

Foodservice, LLC. Michael Fabius is here on behalf of Reinhart Food Service. Melissa Powers, Assistant Enforcement Counsel, will present the matter for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

2.

Melissa, before you begin, let me just tell everybody any fact witness in any of these matters, being where the Office of Enforcement Counsel is involved, will have to be sworn in unless that individual is an attorney. And I would remind everybody to please state and spell your name, last name anyway, for the stenographer the first time you speak so the stenographer knows what's going on and who's speaking. Okay? Go ahead.

MS. POWERS:

Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Melissa Powers, P-O-W-E-R-S. The first matter that we have for you today is a consent agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Reinhart Foodservice, LLC. I'm going to summarize what brought us here today. On August 28th, 2008, the Board issued a Vendor Registration to Reinhart.

Reinhart failed to file a Renewal Application and that Registration expired August 27th, 2012. January 29th, 2013, Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs filed a Notification

of Material Gaming Service Provider with the Board in order to conduct business with Reinhart. On March 29th, 2013, Reinhart filed a new Application for Gaming Service Provider Registration with the Board. And on May 23rd, 2013, Reinhart filed a Petition to Withdraw that Application for Registration. That petition is still pending.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After the expiration of its Registration last August, Reinhart continued to conduct business with slot machine licensees here in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The Meadows Racetrack and Casino made approximately 20 payments to Reinhart between August 27th, 2012 and January 29th, 2013, compensating Reinhart approximately \$106,000. In addition, Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs made four payments to Reinhart in that same period of time, compensating Reinhart approximately \$27,000 and an additional \$25,000 on January 29th when it filed that notification with the Board. In total, Reinhart was compensated approximately \$158,840 after the expiration of its Registration last August, which is approximately \$58,000 over the threshold requiring Reinhart to file an application with the Board for a new Registration.

The parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order, Reinhart shall pay civil

penalty in the amount of \$4,500. The parties have also agreed that within five days of the Board's Order, Reinhart shall pay \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC and other staff related to this matter. OEC recommends that the Board approve the Consent Agreement as presented today.

CHAIRMAN:

2.

Counselor?

ATTORNEY FABIUS:

Thank you. Michael Fabius, that's F-A-B-I-U-S, from the Law Firm Ballard Spahr representing Reinhart Foodservices. With me is Tom Grillo, Regional Sales Manager. If you like, he can be sworn in, but we are here to concur in the facts as presented by Office of Enforcement Counsel. We take responsibility for the oversight and are available for questions if you have any questions.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Reinhart Foodservice, LLC as described by the Office of

Enforcement Counsel.

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

6 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank

you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Washington Trotting Association. Kathleen Higgins, Assistant Enforcement Counsel, will present the matter to the Board on behalf of OEC. Marie Jones is here on behalf of Washington Trotting Association.

MS. HIGGINS:

Chairman Ryan, members of the Board, my name is Katie Higgins, H-I-G-G-I-N-S, Assistant Enforcement Counsel. We have today for the Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between the OEC and The Meadows. The Consent Agreement involves two violations regarding the Board's Self-Exclusion List.

The first violation involves an incident

in which The Meadows sent promotional mailing to 16 individuals who were on the Board's Self-Exclusion

List. The mailing was for an upcoming promotion that included a chance to win a free slot play and cash prizes. The Meadows self-reported its violation and indicated that the self-excluded patrons were sent the mail because The Meadows provided an incorrect mailing list that included the names and the addresses of the 16 self-excluded individuals to the company that provided its mailing services. The Meadows reported that the employee involved in this incident received a formal written warning.

2.

The second violation involves an incident in which an individual on the Self-Exclusion List reported to the Board's Bureau of Casino Compliance that she received an e-mail from a Meadows host inviting the self-excluded individual to an upcoming concert being held at the casino. This was the second time since the woman placed herself on the Self-Exclusion List that this Meadows host had contacted the self-excluded person via e-mail. The first time occurred seven days after the individual placed herself on the Self-Exclusion List and that e-mail invited the self-excluded individual to a Super Bowl party. The Meadows was issued a warning letter

regarding the first incident and has reported that after the two e-mails sent to the self-excluded individual, the host received a final written warning.

2.

As part of this Consent Agreement, The Meadows has agreed to institute policies and provide training and guidance and reinforcement of all policies to its employees regarding procedures for preventing a person on a Self-Exclusion List from being mailed any advertisement, promotion or target mailing or e-mail. In addition, the parties have agreed that The Meadows shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$7,500 and costs in the amount of \$2,000 and the Office of Enforcement Counsel asks that the Board approves this Consent Agreement as presented today.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Board members. Marie Jones from Fox Rothschild here on behalf of Washington Trotting Association. We urge you to adopt the Consent Agreement and I'd be happy to address any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

 $\label{eq:Questions} \text{Questions or comments from the Board?}$ $\text{Ex-officio members?} \quad \text{May I have a motion?}$

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Washington Trotting Association as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 AYES RESPOND

1

2.

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the Board's Consideration is a Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC. Michael Roland, Assistant Enforcement Counsel, will present the OEC's matter for the Board's consideration. Mr. Kraus and Mr. DeSalvio are here on behalf of Sands. I don't know if you want to swear Mr. DeSalvio right now. I'm sure he'll have some

53 1 testimony. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 May as well. 4 ROBERT J. DESALVIO, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Could you please state your name and 10 spell your last name? 11 A. Yes, Robert J. DeSalvio, D-E, capital S-A-L-V-I-O, President of Sands Casino. 12 13 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 14 I'd just enter my appearance, Fred 15 Kraus, for the record, K-R-A-U-S, Counsel for Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Thank you, Counselor. 19 ATTORNEY ROLAND: 20 Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. Michael Roland, R-O-L-A-N-D, with the 21 22 Office of Enforcement Counsel and this Consent 23 Agreement involves four separate incidents of underage 24 gaming at Sands Casino. 25 First, on February the 7th, 2013,

security reported that a female patron sitting at a roulette table told another patron she was only 18 years of age. This unknown patron immediately alerted security. The underage female patron was stopped and asked for identification. She became evasive and attempted to exit the gaming floor. Pennsylvania State Police removed her and it was determined that the female was Aleah (phonetic) D. Thomas, age 18 from Brooklyn, New York. A review of surveillance presented that Ms. Thomas and three companions entered the casino floor via the main entrance and past security unchallenged. Ms. Thomas was on the gaming floor for approximately four hours and 28 minutes. Approximately two hours and 17 minutes of that time was used playing a combination of slot machines and table games.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next, on February 21st, 2013, security discovered that an underage patron, Chiming Zhang (phonetic), when he attempted to enter the gaming floor. Security informed surveillance that they may have observed Mr. Zhang on the gaming floor earlier that evening and a review of surveillance footage was conducted. Surveillance confirmed that Mr. Zhang entered the gaming floor via the bus entrance and security examined Mr. Zhang's passport, but then

granted him access to the gaming floor. Mr. Zhang gamed at numerous table games and one slot machine, but did not collect any winnings. He gamed at --- I'm sorry, additionally, he did not consume any alcoholic beverages. Mr. Zhang was on the property for a total of four hours and 45 minutes.

2.

Then on March 17th, 2013, Joseph Krogan (phonetic) was asked for identification and used another individual's legitimate identification to gain entry. While on the floor, Mr. Krogan approached the security podium and plugged in his cell phone to charge. While at the security podium, Mr. Krogan became argumentative and confrontational with Sands security. After trying to extinguish a cigarette on the face of a security officer, Mr. Krogan was subdued and State Police were called. A review of the surveillance footage presented that Joseph Krogan was on the gaming floor a total of five hours and five minutes. He was served and consumed seven unknown beverages. He engaged in both table game play and slot machine play while he was on the gaming floor.

And then finally, on June 3rd, 2013, security became aware of a possible minor located on the gaming floor. A review of surveillance discovered that Jin Zu (phonetic) entered the casino via the main

entrance. Ms. Zu was challenged at the main entrance by security, but security failed to recognize that Ms. Zu's date of birth indicated she was only 17 years of age and permitted her access to the gaming floor. Ms. Zu began gaming at a baccarat table, but was challenged by the table supervisor and security was notified. At no time was Ms. Zu observed consuming alcohol while on the gaming floor and she was escorted off of the floor only after placing a single wager.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At this time, the Office of Enforcement Counsel requests that the Board approve this Consent Agreement between the parties. The terms of the settlement include that within five days of the Board's Order approving this Consent Agreement, Sands shall pay a civil penalty of \$56,000 for the alleged violations described. Also, within five days of the Board's Order approving the Consent Agreement, Sands shall pay the Board \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other related staff in connection with this matter. Further, Sands shall immediately review its policies and controls and provide training and quidance to its employees, which will minimize the opportunity for reoccurrence of similar incidents in the future. If you have any questions, we'd be happy to address them at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

Sir?

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, again Fred Kraus. The Consent Agreement memorializes the extensive remedial measures undertaken by the Licensee. Here with me is Mr. DeSalvio, who would like to add some additional detail to one of the remedial measures that we note in the Consent Agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Mr. DeSalvio?

A. Good morning. Thank you for the time this morning. We did hand out a sheet this morning that I'd like to refer to. I know I submitted this to the Board prior. It involves kind of a look back on our traffic count through the property because I like to put this all in context. While we certainly strive for 100 percent of not having any minors on the casino floor, I also recognize that the business has grown dramatically. Our visitation at the property increases and as you'll see from the numbers in front of you --- and I'll just highlight a couple of them. If you look from 2010 to '11 to '12 and what we've done in '13, the amount of challenges at the door

steadily increases and our goal is to, obviously, make sure that we challenge as many as possible that look as a possible underage gambler. You can see, just going from 2010, we challenged 288,000 people at the door and 2012, we challenged 636,000 at the door and correspondingly, the number of turn-aways continues to increase at the door.

1

2.

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the contextual relationship of this is if you look down below under significant notes, our visitation to the property has significantly increased as we added the hotel, the events center and the shopping mall, and we've gone from almost 5.2 in 2010 up to a record of 8 million in the last 12 months. So, as we --- we continue to strive and we go through the remedial measures. And we recently just bought all new ID equipment for the front doors. We worked with a vendor that has provided us with some fraudulent documentation training. And everything that we're doing in security, in reality, we are up against the numbers game and the more people that come in, the more concerts we have, the more stores that open in the mall, this is going to be a never ending battle for us challenging people as we enter.

Again, we strive for perfection. And as you can see, we are in fact trying to challenge as may as

possible. Unfortunately, some slip through. And today they even highlighted one of the cases where they had legitimate ID. This is getting more of a challenge for us, as well. We just bought all new equipment to the tune of about \$100,000 for the entry, and there are already some fraudulent IDs that can get through electronically. Unfortunately the world of technology moves a little faster than all of us.

2.

So I just wanted to let you know we take this seriously. We are doing everything possible to try to minimize it, but in the context of a growing business, it's harder and harder for us, but we will continue to strive to do the best that we can.

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

And if I could just add two quick things to that. When one reviews these statistics, both the number and the percentage have increased on a year-to-year basis. When I say percentage, percentage of people challenged as a percentage --- excuse me, the number of people challenged as a percentage of total visitation has increased, as have the number of people turned away, as a percentage of the total turned away in prior years. So, both in absolute terms the challenges have gone up and in percentage terms the challenges of people turned away have gone

up, as well as the number of challenges. So, it shows progress, it shows the effect of the remedial measures. We're not perfect, but the fact that Mr. DeSalvio is here explaining all these remedial measures is a testament of the commitment that Sands Bethworks has to addressing this problem.

A. One final comment, Mr. Chairman, again Bob

A. One final comment, Mr. Chairman, again Bob

DeSalvio. We had an excellent conference with the

compliance group and I just want to acknowledge that

that they came and spent time at the property with our

head of security, us going through in detail these

measures. And so we know that the office takes this

very seriously, as we do, as well, and I thought that

that meeting was a very good cooperative working

meeting.

CHAIRMAN:

Anything else? Questions?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, one question --- question and a comment. Mr. DeSalvio, to follow up on your comment about increasing technology and you bought new scanners --- I'm just speaking for myself. I consider a casino that looks at an ID, a bad ID, and it looks like somebody's 21, admits them to the floor, that, to me, in my religious terms is a venial sin, a minor

sin, because you've been duped by somebody who has a good faith ID. But when I hear about a 17 year old who somebody looks at an ID --- and again, I'm assuming it said she was 17 years old --- and can't do the math and admits that person to the floor, that's a mortal sin.

A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. FAJT:

And so I guess my question is what happened to that security guard and was there repercussions to him or her for that action? A. First comment, no excuse whatsoever on that. That's dead wrong. It's really a shame because they presented the document and we misread it. We have a two strike policy at the Sands. The first strike is you get a full written warning, and on that written warning, it says that any further incidents can result in disciplinary action up to and including termination. And in our case, if any Sands security officer has a second offense, they are terminated. As a matter of fact, one of these cases I believe resulted in a termination. So, we have a two strike policy. You get one shot at making a mistake. Second go round, unfortunately, we separate you from the company.

62 1 MR. FAJT: 2 So I assume that was that security 3 guard's ---. I'm not sure. 4 Α. 5 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 6 The February 7th incident resulted in a termination. It did? Α. 9 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 10 Yes. 11 MR. FAJT: 12 And that was the 17-year-old? A. Was that the one that was 17? 13 14 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 15 That is ---. 16 MR. FAJT: Mike, do you have that? 17 18 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 19 The February 7th says it actually was an 20 18 year old. The 17 year old was June the 3rd of 2013. 21 22 A. It was the last and final warning. 23 MR. FAJT: 24 So they were disciplined, not

25

terminated?

A. Right. And for consistency purposes, we give them all the same speech. One shot to make a mistake, everyone can make a mistake. Second time, you're gone.

MR. FAJT:

force, as well.

Thank you.

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

And the actual discipline, sir, set forth in the Consent Agreement by date of incident.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. DeSalvio, how many security personnel do you have at the Sands?

A. Currently 139 officers. That has steadily increased with a total department of 150 because there are supervisory and management staff that go with it. The document that I handed out, on the far right corner or far right side, shows you the trending so that as the number of visitors have been increasing, we've also been increasing the amount on the security

CHAIRMAN:

And if you know, what is the turnover?

And by turnover, I mean people leaving of their own

1 volition, not people being terminated because of 2 problems such as this.

A. I do not know that answer. Of the security?

CHAIRMAN:

Of the security.

A. Of the security force, turnover in the department minus anyone who is termed?

CHAIRMAN:

Right.

- 10 A. I don't know the number, but would be more than
- 11 happy to get it for you.
- 12 CHAIRMAN:
- Okay. I'd appreciate that.
- 14 A. Sure.

3

4

5

8

9

- 15 CHAIRMAN:
- Any other questions, comments from the
- 17 Board?
- MR. MCCALL:
- 19 Mr. Chairman, is this going to be made
- 20 an exhibit?
- 21 CHAIRMAN:
- I'll ask. Counsel?
- 23 ATTORNEY KRAUS:
- Yes, please.
- 25 CHAIRMAN:

65 Yes. It's admitted. 1 2 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 3 Okay. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Anything else? Do I have a motion? 6 MR. MCCALL: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Sands Bethworks 10 Gaming, LLC, as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. 11 12 MR. MCNALLY: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? The motion carries. 19 Thank you. Α. 20 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 21 Thank you. 22 ATTORNEY PITRE: 23 The next item we have for the Board's consideration is the consideration of the Suspension 24

of Raymond Dashielle's Non-Gaming Employee

25

Registration. Dustin Miller, Assistant Enforcement Counsel, will present the matter on behalf of OEC.

Good morning, Chairman Ryan and members

ATTORNEY MILLER:

1

3

4

22

23

24

25

Dustin Miller on behalf of the Office of the Board. of Enforcement Counsel. Mr. Dashielle was employed as an EBS attendant at Parx Casino and registered as a Non-Gaming Employee. The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed an enforcement complaint to suspend Mr. 10 Dashielle's Non-Gaming Registration for failing to maintain his suitability on August 9th, 2013. 11 12 On or about January 23rd, 2013, Mr. Dashielle was charged by Philadelphia Police with 13 14 possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance, possession of a firearm with the 15 manufacturer number altered, criminal use --- yeah, 16 17 criminal use of a communication facility, intentional possession of a controlled substance by a person not 18 registered, use or possession of drug paraphernalia 19 20 and possession of an instrument of crime. 21

According to the Affidavit of Probable Cause, on January 16th and January 21st, 2013, Mr.

Dashielle was in possession of cocaine and marijuana in an amount indicating Mr. Dashielle had an intent to deliver these drugs in Philadelphia. He as also found

to be in possession of a firearm with an obliterated serial number, packets and packaging for the distribution of drugs and a cellular phone for the purpose of facilitating the distribution of the drugs.

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

A Preliminary Hearing was held on February 6th, 2013 and all criminal charges were bound over to the Court of Common Pleas of Philadelphia County. All charges remain pending against Mr. Dashielle. Mr. Dashielle was terminated from Parx Casino on January 28th, 2013.

The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Mr. Dashielle to the address listed on his application by both certified and first class mail. 14 Mr. Dashielle did not respond to the complaint in any way. Due to Mr. Dashielle's failure to respond, the averments in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to 17 be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived. On August 9th, 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the suspension of Mr. Dashielle's Non-Gaming Employee Registration.

CHAIRMAN:

24 Is Raymond Dashielle in the hearing 25 Any questions, comments from the Board? room? May I

68 have a motion? 1 2 MR. MCNALLY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 3 issue an Order to approve the Suspension of Raymond Dashielle's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. CHAIRMAN: Second? 8 9 MR. MOSCATO: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 ATTORNEY MILLER: 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Thank you, Dustin. 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 The next matter that we have for the 22 Board's consideration is the revocation of Tiffany 23 Durskon's Gaming Employee Permit. Assistant 24 Enforcement Counsel Glen Stuart will present the 25 matter for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY STUART:

1

2. Good morning. Glen Stuart for the 3 Office of Enforcement Counsel, S-T-U-A-R-T. before the Board is a Petition to Revoke the Gaming Permit of Tiffany Durskon. While employed as a players' club representative at the Valley Forge Casino Resort, Ms. Durskon credited a patron's players' club account with approximately \$33,745 in free slot play between August 26th, 2012 and February 10 3rd, 2013. Ms. Durskon is not authorized to issue this amount of free slot play to any patron. 11 A review 12 of this patron's wagering activity showed he collected approximately \$26,600 in winnings as a result of the 13 14 free slot play provided to him by Ms. Durskon. Pennsylvania State Place summarily charged Ms. Durskon 15 with one count of debt by unlawful taking, one count 16 17 of unlawful use of a computer and one count of 18 criminal conspiracy. 19 In light of this incident, on May 1st, 20 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a 21 Petition to Revoke Ms. Durskon's Gaming Permit. OEC served its Petition on Ms. Durskon via certified mail 22 and first class US mail. The certified mail was 23 24 returned to OEC as undeliverable, however the first 25 class US mail has not been returned to OEC.

1 Petition directed Ms. Durskon to request a hearing within 30 days of its receipt. Ms. Durskon has not requested a hearing on the matter, therefore Ms. Durskon waived her right to a hearing, and all facts alleged in the Petition are deemed admitted. On 6 August 14th, 2013, OEC filed a request to enter default judgment in the matter. As such, the revocation of Tiffany Durskon's Gaming Permit is now ripe for Board consideration. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Is Tiffany Durskon in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio 12 members? May I have a motion? 13 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 I move that the Board issue an Yes. Order to approve the Revocation of Tiffany Durskon's 16 Gaming Employee Permit as described by the Office of 17 Enforcement Counsel. 18 19 MR. WOODS: 2.0

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

2.3 AYES RESPOND

21

22

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2.

3

The next matter that we have for the Board's consideration is a Revocation of Joseph Harbaugh's Gaming Employee Permit. Assistant Enforcement Counsel Mike Roland will present the matter for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Once again, Mike Roland, Office of Enforcement Counsel, and this is to consider the 10 revocation of Joseph Harbaugh's Gaming Employee 11 Permit. On May the 10th, 2011, the Board issued a 12 Gaming Employee Permit to Joseph Harbaugh as a security officer at Sands Casino. The Office of 13 14 Enforcement Counsel filed a complaint setting forth 15 allegations that Mr. Harbaugh should have his Gaming Employee Permit revoked when, while off duty, Mr. 16 17 Harbaugh came to the casino with an underage female. She was 19 years of age. The two entered the property 18 via the bus entrance. Security requested 19 20 identification from the female, but did not scan it. 21 At the time, Mr. Harbaugh knew the female as underaged 22 and in the possession of a fraudulent identification. 23 The complaint was properly served on Mr. Harbaugh to the address in the file of the Board by 24 25 both certified and first class mail. Mr. Harbaugh

72 1 responded to the filing and specifically indicated he did not want a hearing on the matter. Given Mr. Harbaugh's response, the averments in the complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived. On August 20th, 2013, the Office of 6 Enforcement Counsel filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the Revocation of Joseph Harbaugh's Gaming 10 Employee Permit. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Is Joseph Harbaugh in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio 13 14 members? May I have a motion? 15 MR. WOODS: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 issue an Order to Approve the Revocation of Joseph Harbaugh's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the 18 Office of Enforcement Counsel. 19 20 MR. FAJT: 2.1 Second. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 All in favor? 2.4 AYES RESPOND 25 CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. 1 Opposed? Thank

2 you, Mike.

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next several matters in the Board's agenda will be handled by Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel Dale Miller, first of which is a Revocation of Ms. Jennifer Beatrice's Gaming Employee Permit.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I am Dale Miller, M-I-L-E-R, Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel. I have six matters for your this morning, four Revocations and two placements on the Exclusion List.

First is a Motion to Consider Revocation of the Gaming Permit of Ms. Jennifer Beatrice. October 5th, 2012, OEC filed a Revocation Complaint with the Board against Ms. Beatrice. The basis for this complaint was her felony conviction --- third degree felony conviction for risking a catastrophe. She was convicted in Philadelphia Common Pleas Court September 12th, 2012. The Court found that Ms. Beatrice intentionally set fire to her house. She and 25 her husband were intoxicated. She had recently lost

1 her job, the house was in foreclosure and Ms. Beatrice was charged with arson and related offenses. She was convicted of risking a catastrophe and sentenced to five years' probation.

Ms. Beatrice was properly served by regular and certified mail with the Revocation Complaint and did not request a hearing to the complaint; therefore, the facts are deemed to be admitted and her hearing rights are waived.

Ms. Beatrice was then properly served with OEC's request for judgment upon default and has not responded to it. Based on the foregoing, OEC requests the Board revoke Ms. Beatrice's Gaming 14 Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Jennifer Beatrice in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to Approve the Revocation of Jennifer Beatrice's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

MS. KAISER:

25 Second.

5

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

75

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

probation.

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Thank you. The next matter is a Motion to Consider the Revocation of the Gaming Permit of Mr. Adrian Fleming. On March 21st, 2013, OEC filed a 10 Revocation Complaint with the Board against Adrian 11 | Fleming. The basis for this Complaint was Mr. Fleming being convicted of corruption of minors and indecent assault of a person less than 13 years of age. person in question was, in fact, 12. The police investigation determined that Mr. Fleming indecently assaulted the 12-year-old girl who was in his custody. On October 5th, 2012, he was charged with five criminal offense, including the third degree felonies of corruption of minors and indecent assault of a person less than 13 years of age. Mr. Fleming was then convicted of corruption of minors and indecent assault of a person less than 13 years of age as amended to first degree misdemeanors. sentenced to 6 to 23 months in prison and five years'

Based on these convictions, we believe 1 2. Mr. Fleming has failed to maintain suitability to hold a Gaming Permit and we filed a Revocation Complaint, which was properly served with Mr. Fleming. not request a hearing in regard to the complaint and therefore, the facts are deemed to be admitted and his rights to a hearing are waived.

He was then properly served with OEC's request for judgment upon default and has not 10 responded to it. He was a dealer trainer for Sugarhouse. He's not working presently, and based on the foregoing, OEC requests that the Board revoke Mr. Fleming's Gaming Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

I assume Adrian Fleming is not in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Adrian Fleming's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

77

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2.

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Thank you. The next matter is a motion to consider revocation of Ms. Yin Yin Lee's Gaming Level 2 Employee Permit. On February 6th, 2013, OEC filed a Revocation Complaint with the Board against 10 Yin Yin Lee.

The basis for this complaint was Ms. Lee was being investigated at that time for the theft of computer tablets at Sugarhouse Casino. Ms. Lee was an executive casino host. Sugarhouse was running a promotion in which computer tablets were being given away.

The investigation conducted by Sugarhouse, as well as the Board's Casino Compliance Bureau, determined that Ms. Lee, through her employment as a casino host, stole at least five computer tablets valued at \$83 each during the promotional event. Ms. Lee repeatedly gave the same two patrons multiple computer tablets when the patrons were only entitled to one each. Ms. Lee admitted to giving the patrons at least five computer tablets they were not entitled to receive and she could have been charged with theft. However, at the request of Sugarhouse Casino, the Pennsylvania State Police did not charge her, but nevertheless Sugarhouse terminated her from her employment.

OEC filed the Revocation Complaint against Ms. Lee and she was properly served by regular and certified mail with the Complaint. She did not request a hearing and therefore, the facts are deemed 10 admitted and she waived her rights to a hearing. was then properly served with our request for judgment upon default and has not responded to it. Based on the foregoing, the Office of Enforcement Counsel requests the Board to revoke Ms. Lee's Gaming 2 Level Employee Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Is Yin Yin Lee in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Yin Lee's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

MR. MCNALLY:

79

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

5

6

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next matter is a Motion Thank you. to Consider the Revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee 10 Registration of Mr. Antonio Gresham. On May 3rd, 2013, OEC filed a Revocation Complaint with the Board against Mr. Gresham.

The basis for this complaint was that 14 Mr. Gresham was being investigated for being involved in a number of thefts at Sugarhouse Casino where he was employed in a restaurant as a cashier. investigation determined that from February 28th through March 6th, 2013, Mr. Gresham stole a total of \$480 from Sugarhouse Casino through his employment at Jack's Restaurant by voiding out customer transactions 21 and pocketing the money. While being charged and processed for two counts of theft, the Pennsylvania State Police found Mr. Gresham in possession of 24 marijuana and charged him with the possession of the drugs also. Mr. Gresham was terminated from

Sugarhouse Casino on March 8th, 2013. He was sent to court and after a continuance on May 15th, 2013, the charges were dismissed in Philadelphia Municipal The transcripts indicated that that was --apparently the witnesses failed to appear.

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

Mr. Gresham was properly served by regular and certified mail with the Revocation Complaint on May 5th, 2013. He did not request a hearing in regard to the complaint, therefore the 10 hearing rights are waived and the facts are deemed admitted. Mr. Gresham was then properly served with OEC's request for judgment upon default and he has not responded to that.

I would note that even though the charges were dismissed against him, we had him on surveillance coverage for eight incidents and clearly 17 he pocketed the money he's alleged to have stolen. He's not working at this time, obviously, because he was fired. And OEC, based on the foregoing, requests that the Board revoke Mr. Gresham's Non-Gaming Employee Registration at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Antonio Gresham in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio 24 25 members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Antonio Gresham's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

14 Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Thank you. Switching gears to my final two matters, we now have a motion to consider a Board Order to add Cassandra Arline or Arline (changes pronunciation) to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. On June 27th, 2013, OEC filed a Petition for 21 Placement on the Exclusion List with the Board against Cassandra Arline.

The basis for the petition was that Ms. 24 | Arline had been charged by the Pennsylvania State 25 Police with robbery, theft and four other charges at

Sugarhouse Casino on April 15th, 2013. At 2:49 a.m. on the morning of April 15th, Ms. Arline was captured on surveillance coverage assaulting another patron playing slots at Sugarhouse Casino by punching that patron in the head five times and stealing the other patron's slot voucher that had a value of \$1,001.83. Ms. Arline was captured by security personnel while trying to leave Sugarhouse Casino and she was taken into custody. She was turned over to the Pennsylvania 10 State Police who arrested her and charged her with robbery, theft and four other charges. 11

At a hearing on August 16th, 2013, the charges were withdrawn by the District Attorney's Office as the witnesses failed to appear and the case had been marked must be tried, the charges were withdrawn in order to avoid dismissal. We did have her on surveillance, however. The incident was captured. She punched her five times.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

Ms. Arline was properly served by regular and certified mail with the petition. didn't request a hearing in the matter and therefore 22 her hearing rights are waived and the facts are deemed admitted. She was then properly served with our request for judgment upon default and she has not responded to that. Based on the foregoing, OEC would

83 request that Mrs. Arline be placed on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 Is Cassandra Arline in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to Approve the Addition of Cassandra 10 Arline to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. 11 12 MR. WOODS: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? The motion carries. 19 ATTORNEY MILLER: 20 The last matter I have to present to you this morning is another motion to consider a Board 21 22 Order, this time to add Christine McNary to the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 23 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 Christine or Charlene?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

1

2.

3

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Charlene McNary, I apologize. On March 14th, 2013, OEC filed a Petition for Placement on the Exclusion List with the Board against Charlene McNary. The basis for the Petition was Ms. McNary was being investigated by the Pennsylvania State Police for stealing another patron's wallet at the Sugarhouse Casino.

On November 11th, 2012, Sugarhouse Casino recovered the patron's wallet and secured it at their lost and found in the security dispatch room. Ms. McNary later approached the dispatch room and asked to see the wallet. It was not her wallet. She was allowed to see it and she was then captured on surveillance removing from the wallet, which was later determined to be a birth certificate. She then returned the wallet to the security guard, but later returned to the dispatch room after the shifts had changed and there were new security officers on duty. Utilizing the birth certificate she had previously taken from the wallet, Ms. McNary was able to convince the new security personnel to turn the wallet over to her.

The theft was uncovered when the true owner of the wallet reported it missing on November

12th, 2012. The theft of the wallet was then investigated by the State Police who were going to charge Ms. McNary with theft; however, after Ms. McNary returned the wallet and everything in it was still there, the owner determined that nothing was missing and the victim declined to press charges. Nevertheless, Sugarhouse Casino formally evicted Ms. McNary. I might add that the Sugarhouse employee in the incident was given progressive discipline. 10 was given progressive discipline with a warning level 11 two and the second employee was given progressive 12 discipline with verbal feedback. They were charged by the casino with violating their own SOPs. 13

OEC filed the Complaint for Involuntary Exclusion against Ms. McNary and she was properly served with the petition. She did not request a 17 hearing in regard to the petition and therefore the facts are deemed admitted and her hearing rights are She was then properly served with OEC's waived. request for judgment upon default and has not 21 responded to it. Based on the foregoing, OEC would request that Charlene McNary be placed on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

Is Charlene McNary in the hearing room?

86 1 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 3 MR. WOODS: 4 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to Approve the Addition of Charlene 6 McNary to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. MR. FAJT: Second. 10 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 1.3 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 ATTORNEY MILLER: 17 That concludes my matters. Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Thank you, Dale. 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 The next two matters on the Board's 22 Agenda, the Involuntary Exclusion of Kyle Dunn and Carl Heckman will be handled by Assistant Enforcement 23 Counsel, Michael Roland. 24 25 ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, 1 2. the first of the two matters is Kyle Dunn. The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a Petition to Place Mr. Dunn on the Exclusion List for capping bets while playing Texas Hold'em at Sands Casino. The Petition was properly served upon Mr. Dunn to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him and that was both by certified and first class mail. Dunn did not respond to the filing in any way. 10 Mr. Dunn's failure to respond, the averments in the Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact and his 11 12 right to a hearing has been waived. On August 22nd, 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a 13 14 request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is 15 now before the Board to consider the placement of Kyle Dunn on the Board's Excluded Persons List. 16

When Mr. Dunn was originally charged, it was one count of theft by deception, one count of prohibited acts under our Act. He has since entered a guilty plea to the theft by deception, which was a misdemeanor one. At the time he capped, he made three separate caps that totaled \$325. We were fortunate enough to be able to get that back from him before he left the facility, so there has been no financial loss.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Is Kyle Dunn in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Kyle Dunn to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

MS. KAISER:

11 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

1

2.

3

5

6

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Carl Heckman on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed the Petition to Place Mr. Heckman on the Exclusion List for being in possession of weapons and contraband while on the gaming floor at Sands Casino. The petition was properly served upon Mr. Heckman to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him, and that

again was by both certified and first class mail. Mr.

Heckman did not respond to the filing in any way. And

due to Mr. Heckman's failure to respond, the averments

in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact.

His right to a hearing has been waived.

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

On August 22nd, 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Carl Heckman on the Board's 10 Excluded Persons List. Again, the original charges against Mr. Heckman, one count of firearms not to be carried without a license, one count of possession of a controlled substance. Mr. Heckman has actually entered a quilty plea to both of those since the original filing of the charges. The firearms offense was a felony three, controlled substance offense was actually an ungraded misdemeanor.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Carl Heckman in the hearing room? 20 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio 21 members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to Approve the Addition of Carl Heckman to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary

90 Exclusion List as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. 3 MR. MCCALL: Second. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 All in favor? AYES RESPOND CHAIRMAN: 9 Opposed? The motion carries. 10 ATTORNEY ROLAND: 11 Thank you. That concludes my matters. 12 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mike. 13 14 ATTORNEY PITRE: 15 The next two matters on the Board's Agenda are the Voluntary Exclusion of David J. Blatt 16 17 and Ira M. Jaspan. They will be handled by Assistant Enforcement Counsel Dustin Miller. 18 19 ATTORNEY MILLER: 20 Good morning, again. The Office of 21 Enforcement Counsel filed a petition to place David J. 22 Blatt on the Exclusion List for committing theft inside Parx Casino on February 19th, 2013. 23 24 In the February 19th, 2013 incident, Mr. 25 | Blatt took 11 chips from the dealer's rack at a

- 1 Blackjack table worth approximately \$55,000.
- 2 Pennsylvania State Police were able to identify Mr.
- 3 Blatt through the use of casino surveillance and Mr.
- 4 Blatt was criminally charged with 11 counts of theft
- 5 by unlawful taking and 11 counts of receiving stolen
- 6 property for his actions.
- 7 The petition to put him on the Exclusion
- 8 List was properly served upon Mr. Blatt to the address
- 9 listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by
- 10 both certified and first class mail. Mr. Blatt did
- 11 not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr.
- 12 Blatt's failure to respond, the averments in the
- 13 petition are deemed to be admitted as fact and his
- 14 right to a hearing has been waived. On August 8th,
- 15 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a
- 16 request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is
- 17 now before the Board to consider the placement of
- 18 David J. Blatt on the Board's Exclusion List.

19 CHAIRMAN:

23

- Is David Blatt in the hearing room? Any
- 21 questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio
- 22 members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

- 24 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
- 25 issue an Order to Approve the Addition of David J.

92

Blatt to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the Office 3 of Enforcement Counsel. 4 MR. MCNALLY: 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? AYES RESPOND 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? The motion carries. 11 ATTORNEY MILLER: 12 The next matter today is a request for

The next matter today is a request for placement on the Board's Excluded Persons List involving Ira M. Jaspan. The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a Petition to Place Mr. Jaspan on the Exclusion List for endangering the employees of Parx Casino, disrupting gaming operations and being criminally charged for his actions on February 18th, 2013. Mr. Jaspan is prohibited from entering Pennsylvania Casinos. He had previously been arrested on April 3rd, 2012 at Harrah's Philadelphia Casino and

In the February 18th, 2013 incident at Parx, Mr. Jaspan was identified by a Parx Casino

on January 8th, 2013 at Sugarhouse Casino for

22

23

trespassing.

Security Officer. Mr. Jaspan was attempting to hide 1 his identity by wearing a wig under a knit cap. Parx Casino Security Officers and the Gaming Control Board Casino Compliance Representative approached Mr. Jaspan as he was actively playing a slot machine and requested to see his identification. Mr. Jaspan told the security officers and the CCR that his identification was in his vehicle.

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The security officers escorted Mr. Jaspan to retrieve his identification. As they approached Mr. Jaspan's vehicle, Mr. Jaspan quickly entered his vehicle and attempted to close the driver's side door. One of the security officers put 14 his foot in the doorway, preventing the driver's door from closing. Mr. Jaspan started his vehicle and put the car in reverse and sped away from the property, endangering the security officers present at the As Mr. Jaspan's driver's door remained open as scene. he exited his parking space, the door scratched the vehicle parked beside Mr. Jaspan's vehicle. Security personnel were able to photograph Mr. Jaspan's license plate before his escape, which further aided in the identification of Mr. Jaspan.

Mr. Jaspan was charged with defiant 25 trespass for his actions. The petition was properly served upon Mr. Jaspan to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both certified and first class mail. Mr. Jaspan did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Jaspan's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived. On August 13th, 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Ira M. Jaspan on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Ira Jaspan in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to Approve the Addition of Ira Jaspan to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion list as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

25 Second.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

95 1 CHAIRMAN: 2. All in favor? 3 AYES RESPOND 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Opposed? The motion carries. 6 ATTORNEY MILLER: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Thank you, Dustin. 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 The last matter on the Board's agenda is the placement of Andrew Sharpe on the Board's 12 Involuntary Exclusion List. Assistant Enforcement 13 14 Counsel Glen Stuart will present the matter for the 15 Board's consideration. 16 ATTORNEY STUART: 17 Presently before the Board is a Petition 18 for Exclusion of Andrew Sharpe. Mr. Sharpe was 20 years old when he entered the gaming floor at Valley 19 20 Forge Casino Resort on March 17th, 2013. Mr. Sharpe 21 used a daily access pass from an unidentified 22 | individual to gain access to the gaming floor; 23 however, Valley Forge Security did not request 24 identification from Mr. Sharpe prior to admitting him 25 to the gaming floor.

96

While on the gaming floor, Mr. Sharpe 1 wagered at slot machines and consumed an alcoholic It should be noted that Mr. Sharpe did -there's no evidence that Mr. Sharpe accrued any winnings as a result of his wagering. attempting to purchase alcohol at Valley Forge's Center Bar, the bartender asked Mr. Sharpe for identification. Mr. Sharpe could not produce identification. The bartender became suspicious and 10 therefore notified security. 11

After questioning by the Pennsylvania State Police, it was revealed that Mr. Sharpe was not 21 years of age. The State Police summarily cited Mr. Sharpe with one count of underage gaming under the Gaming Act and one count of underage drinking while on the gaming floor under the Gaming Act.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

In light of this incident, on June 25th, 2013, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a Petition Seeking to Place Mr. Sharpe on the Board's Exclusion List until he reaches the age of 22. 21 served this petition on Mr. Sharpe via certified mail and first class US mail. The certified mail return receipt was returned to OEC, showing that service was achieved on Mr. Sharpe on July 13th, 2013. petition directed Mr. Sharpe to request a hearing

97 within 30 days of its receipt. Mr. Sharpe has not requested a hearing on the matter; therefore, Mr. Sharpe waived his right to a hearing and all facts alleged in the petition are deemed admitted. 5 On August 16th, 2013, OEC filed a request to enter default judgment in this matter. As such, the placement of Andrew Sharpe on the Board's Exclusion List is now ready for the Board's consideration. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Is Andrew Sharpe in the hearing room? 12 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio May I have a motion? 13 members? 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 16 issue an Order to Approve the Addition of Andrew 17 Sharpe to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. 18 Sharpe may petition for removal from the list at any 19 20 time after his 22nd birthday. 21 MR. WOODS: 22 Second. 23 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 All in favor?

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

25

AYES RESPOND

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Ryan was reported by me on 9/18/2013 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908