COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt; James B. Ginty; Annmarie

Kaiser; Keith R. McCall; John J. McNally,

III; Anthony C. Moscato; Members

George Greig, Secretary of Agriculture

Jennifer Langan, representing State

Treasurer, Robert M. McCord

Robert Coyne, representing Secretary of the

Department of Revenue, Daniel Meuser

HEARING: Wednesday, June 5, 2013, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

Strawberry Square Complex

Second Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

Reporter: Jennifer T. Alves

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
1
                A P P E A R A N C E S (cont')
2
3
   PA Gaming Control Board
   P.O. Box 69060
   Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060
6
      Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
   DONALD P. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
   35 East Elizabeth Avenue
10
   Suite 42
11
   Bethlehem, PA 18018
12
      Counsel for Nicholas Repko-Moschini
13
14
   THOMAS J. BONNER, ESQUIRE
15
   280 Park Avenue
   26th Floor West
16
17
   New York, NY 10017
18
      Counsel for Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment,
19
      Inc.
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			4
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	OPENING REMARKS		
4	By Chairman	6 – 7	
5	PRESENTATION		
6	By Mr. O'Toole	8 - 12	
7	By Ms. Yantis	12 - 14	
8	By Attorney Yocum	14 - 16	
9	By Attorney Sherman	16 - 19	
10	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	19 - 21	
11	WITNESS: NICHOLAS REPKO-MOSCHINI		
12	QUESTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS	21 - 36	
13	PRESENTATION		
14	By Attorney Cook	37 - 46	
15	WITNESS: SAMANTHA FLY		
16	TESTIMONY		
17	By Ms. Fly	47	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	47 – 49	
19	QUESTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS	49 - 55	
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	55 - 57	
21	PRESENTATION		
22	By Ms. Hensel	57 - 69	
23	By Attorney Sacavage	69 - 72	
24	By Attorney Roland	73 - 76	
25			

		5
1	I N D E X (cont.)	
2		
3	WITNESS: EDWARD D. WEIDNER, III	
4	TESTIMONY	
5	By Mr. Weidner 76	
6	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 76 - 78	
7	PRESENTATION	
8	By Attorney Miller 78 - 80	
9	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 80 - 84	
10	PRESENTATION	
11	By Attorney Higgins 84 - 86	
12	By Attorney Hoeflich 86 - 88	
13	By Attorney Miller 88 - 99	
14	By Attorney Manifesto 99 - 101	
15	By Attorney Hoeflich 101 - 103	
16	By Attorney Manifesto 104 - 109	
17	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 109 - 110	
18	CLOSING REMARKS	
19	By Chairman 110	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, everyone. I'm Bill Ryan,
Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board.
Before we begin, I would like to ask everyone to
please turn off cell phones, PDAs and other electronic devices. Thank you.

We would like to welcome today the Secretary of Agriculture, George Greig. Mr.

Secretary. Jennifer Langan is here representing State Treasurer Robert McCord. And Bob Coyne here --- is here, excuse me, representing the Secretary for the Department of Revenue, Dan Meuser. Thank you all for coming. Everybody's here, therefore I will call today's meeting to order.

And the first order of business, I would ask everyone to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. First, this announcement. The Board held an Executive Session yesterday, the purpose of which was to discuss personnel matters and to conduct quasi judicial deliberations relating to matters being considered by

the Board today. Also, the Board would like to announce that it will hold a public hearing on Tuesday, June 25th, 2013, to gather evidence including public comment, on the renewal of the casino license of Harrah's Chester Downs & Marina, LLC, operator of Harrah's Philadelphia Casino & Racetrack in Delaware County, Pennsylvania. The hearing will begin at 9:30 a.m., at Chester City Hall, 1 East Fourth Street, in the City of Chester, Pennsylvania.

Anyone who wishes to present oral or written testimony, which will become part of the evidentiary record in this matter, can now register by clicking on a special link on the quick link section of the homepage of the Board's website. The deadline for registration to speak at the hearing is noon on Monday, June 24th. Written comments can also be mailed with a postmark no later than Monday, June 24th, to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, Attention: Board Secretary, P.O. Box 69060, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania, 17106. Or comments can be faxed to (717)346-8350. Anyone wishing to speak at these hearings can also register by calling the Board Secretary, Mickey Kane, at (717)346-8325.

Next we will have the report of our Executive Director, Kevin O'Toole. Good morning,

Kevin.

MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. This morning I'm pleased to report that the Lady Luck Casino at Nemacolin Woodlands Resort in Fayette County has progressed significantly toward the commencement of gaming operations. Two test periods have been identified that will provide this new casino operation with the opportunity to establish that it has met its regulatory obligations and is ready to receive the public for live gaming activity. The first test period is Thursday, June the 27th, from 4:00 p.m. to 10:00 p.m. And the second test period is Saturday, June 29th, from 2:00 p.m. to 8:00 p.m.

I would like to read into the record the following resolution pertaining to this matter.

Whereas, pursuant to Section 1202.A1, the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board has general and sole regulatory authority over the conduct of gaming and related activities, and whereas, pursuant to Commission Regulation, Section 467.A2B, a Slot Machine Licensee may not commence slot operations until the Slot Machine Licensee successfully demonstrates to the Board that no less than nine criteria are met, including but not limited to confirmation that the

slot machines and associated equipment are properly operating, that adequate surveillance and security measures are in place, that the Slot Machine Licensees Employees are all properly licensed, permitted or registered by the Board, as well as properly trained, that all conditions of licensure have been met, that the Licensee's proposed site plan, internal control systems and audit protocols have been approved by the Board and that the Slot Machine Licensee has successfully completed the test periods.

Whereas, pursuant to Section 13A2 of the Gaming Act, a Slot Machine Licensee holding a Table games Operations Certificate may not commence table games operations until the certificate holder is shown to be in full compliance with the Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act, the table games related to internal controls and the audit protocols are found to be sufficient, all table games employees are properly authorized and credentialed, the certificate holder is prepared in all respects to offer table games to the public, and all necessary internal and management controls, security arrangements and surveillance systems are in place and operational.

Whereas, the Board has previously

approved Woodlands Fayette, LLC for slot machine licensure to hold a Table games Operation Certificate as well as the Woodlands Fayette, LLC Casino and related amenities site plan.

And whereas, the Board has previously approved Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania, as the management company for operation of the Lady Luck Casino at Nemacolin Woodlands Resort, pursuant to the Slot Machine License and Table games Operation Certificate approved for Woodlands Fayette, LLC. Whereas, Board staff has now reviewed the proposed internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Woodlands Fayette, LLC and Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania, as they relate to both slot machine operations and table games operations. And Board staff recommends that the Board approve the proposed internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Woodlands Fayette, LLC and Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania.

Whereas, Woodlands Fayette, LLC, and Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania continue to work towards meeting the other prerequisites to commencing slot machine and table games operations.

And whereas, the Board has in the past delegated authority upon certain members of the Board

acting collectively to review whether all remaining pre-opening criteria have been met and to grant authorizations on behalf of the full Board when the required criteria have been met.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And whereas, the Board believes it is, once again, in the Board's best interest to delegate such authority to certain members of the Board. Be it resolved now that the Board hereby approves the proposed internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Woodlands Fayette, LLC and Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania, as they relate to both slot machine operations and table games operations. And be it further resolved now that the Board members Annmarie Kaiser, Anthony C. Moscato and John J. McNally, III, are delegated authority to determine whether Woodlands Fayette, LLC and Isle of Capri, Pennsylvania, have demonstrated all pre-opening criteria and have met --and have been met, and to authorize commencement of slot operations and table games operations for the Lady Luck Casino at Nemacolin Woodlands Resort.

The Board members delegated this authority shall also have the authority to approve minor modifications of any Gaming Floor Plan previously approved by the full Board, between the date of such Board approval and the commencement of

slot operations and table games operations.

And be it finally resolved that any approvals granted subject to this resolution shall be subject to 17 conditions which are attached to the resolution and marked as Exhibit A. At this time, I respectfully request that the Board move to approve this resolution as just described. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Woodlands Fayette, LLC Resolution for the commencement of operations as described by the Executive Director.

MR. GINTY:

17 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

19 All in favor?

20 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed. Motion carries. Thank you,
Kevin. Next we will hear from Claire Yantis, our
Director of Human Resources. Good morning, Claire.

MS. YANTIS:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. The Office of Human Resources has one motion for your consideration today. Mr. Martin Nelson has been selected for the position of Casino Compliance Representative at Harrah's Philadelphia and has completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening. As such, he is recommended for hire by Director of Casino Compliance, Jerry Stoll. Unless you have any questions, I'd ask that the Board consider a motion to hire Mr. Nelson as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Applicant as proposed on the condition that they have completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

2.1

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you, Claire. Next, Chief Counsel Doug Sherman. Good morning, Doug.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. Our first two agenda items relate to a Statement of Policy and a Temporary Regulation, which Assistant Chief Counsel Susan Yocum is here to present.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Good morning, members of the Board. The first item for your consideration today is Statement of Policy 125-171. This will add a new side wager to the Perfect Pairs wager to Baccarat, Mini Baccarat and Blackjack. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding this Statement Policy.

CHAIRMAN:

18 Questions or comments from the Board.

19 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt Policy Statement 125-171, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC), and that Policy Statement 125-171 be posted on the Board's website.

MR. GINTY:

Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Opposed? Motion carries. ATTORNEY YOCUM: The next item for your consideration 8 9 today is Temporary Rulemaking 125-172. This will add 10 two new games to the complement of games that 11 operators are allowed to offer. It is the Slot Poker and Props and Hops. Again, I'll be happy to answer 12 13 questions you may have regarding this regulation. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Questions or comments from the Board? 16 Ex-officio members? May I have such a motion? 17 MR. MCCALL: 18 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 19 adopt Temporary Regulation Number 125-172, as 20 described by the OCC and that Temporary Regulation 21 Number 125-172 be posted to the Board's website. 22 MR. MCNALLY: 2.3 Second. 2.4 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Susan.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

There are two Petitions on the agenda this morning. Each of the matters will be considered based upon the documents filed of record. The Board has in advance of the meeting been provided with Petitions, responsive pleadings, as well as any evidentiary materials also in the record.

The first Petition before the Board today is that of Paul B. DeAngelo, who's requesting to be removed from the Board's Involuntary Exclusion

List. In January of 2011, Mr. DeAngelo, who was 20 years old at that time, entered Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs and engaged in gaming activity. Mr. DeAngelo was charged with underage gaming and pled guilty to that charge in April of 2011.

On February 29th, 2012, the Board issued an Order adopting the Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) and Mr. DeAngelo,

placing him on the Board's Exclusion List for one year from the date of the Order, with the ability to petition the Board for removal from the list after that one-year term had expired.

On April 1st, 2013, Mr. DeAngelo, who is now 23 years old, petitioned for removal from the list. The OEC does not object to the request, and it's appropriate for the Board's consideration at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant Paul B. DeAngelo's Petition, as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The second Petition before the Board is that of Nicholas Repko-Moschini. Again, it's a Petition to lift a suspension of his Gaming Employee Permit. Mr. Repko-Moschini was issued a Gaming Employee Permit on October 5th, 2011, and was employed as a security officer at Sands Bethworks Gaming. On September 28th, 2013, Mr. Repko-Moschini was arrested and charged with 33 felonies and one misdemeanor. The arrests and charges filed against Mr. Repko-Moschini were all related to an alleged relationship with a minor. As a result of the charges, an Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Repko-Moschini's Gaming Employee Permit was signed by the Executive Director on October 3rd, 2012.

After a full evidentiary hearing on the matter, a Report and Recommendation was issued and the Board entered an Order in January of 2013, approving a Report and Recommendation and continuing that Suspension.

Since that time, the District Attorney of Northampton County has withdrawn all criminal charges against Mr. Repko-Moschini, and the case was closed. Mr. Repko-Moschini has now filed a Petition notifying the Board that all charges against him have

```
been withdrawn and requesting that the Suspension be
1
2
            The OEC has not objected to the request to
3
   lift the Suspension. The matter is now appropriate
   for the Board's consideration. If either Mr.
   Repko-Moschini or his counsel are present in the
   Board, they're free to come to the front desk at this
   point to address the Board.
8
                  ATTORNEY RUSSO:
9
                  Mr. Chairman, my name's Donald Russo.
10
   represent ---.
11
                  CHAIRMAN:
12
                  Sir, why don't you come forward.
13
   you're this gentleman's attorney, sir?
14
                  ATTORNEY RUSSO:
15
                  Yes, I am.
16
                  CHAIRMAN:
17
                  Could you state your name for the record
18
   and spell your last name?
19
                  ATTORNEY RUSSO:
                  Attorney Donald P. Russo, R-U-S-S-O.
20
21
                  CHAIRMAN:
22
                  And sir, could you also state your name
23
   for the record?
24
                  MR. REPKO-MOSCHINI:
25
                  Nicholas Repko-Moschini, R-E-P-K-O,
```

hyphen, M-O-S-C-H-I-N-I.

CHAIRMAN:

Sir, I'm going to have your client sworn. Will the court reporter swear the witness?

NICHOLAS REPKO-MOSCHINI, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN,

7 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead, sir. You may both sit down. Counselor, why don't you begin?

ATTORNEY RUSSO:

Chairman Ryan, I'm here today on behalf of Mr. Repko-Moschini. And as was stated by counsel, the charges were withdrawn. The charges are completely expunged from my client's record. I did not represent him as his criminal counsel, but it's my understanding that nothing was done of these charges by the District Attorney. I don't want to say anything further beyond the fact that there's not going to be any record against this young man, and he is clear. And we would respectfully ask the Commission to consider his reinstatement. He's willing to answer any questions that the Board may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. We appreciate that. So, why don't we go first to the Board. Anyone from the Board want to ask any questions? Greg?

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr.

Repko-Moschini, how long did you work at the Sands?

A. I was employed from October 17th, 2011, until my suspension, which was October 1st, 2012.

MR. FAJT:

So a little less than a year.

12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

13

18

MR. FAJT:

14 And what did you do before you worked at

15 | the Sands?

16 A. I was working at a golf course, Bethlehem Golf

17 Club.

MR. FAJT:

19 Your e-mail to our office --- you sent

20 us an e-mail on February 12th, '13 and you used the

21 tagline at the end of the e-mail that --- you listed

22 your name and then you put down Allentown Police

- 23 Academy, 88th class.
- 24 A. That's correct.

MR. FAJT:

1 Any reason why you used that tagline?

A. I usually use that tagline for my emails. It let's them know my professional standing.

MR. FAJT:

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

22

23

24

25

And what year was the 88th class? When did you graduate?

7 A. It was from February 7th, 2011, until June 30th, 8 2011. So, about five months.

MR. FAJT:

And how many hours and days were you in the actual Academy? Was it all day during those five months?

13 A. 756 academic hours, plus PT hours.

MR. FAJT:

I'm sorry. How many hours?

16 A. 756 academic hours and PT hours.

MR. FAJT:

18 And were you offered a job with the

19 Allentown Police Academy when you were finished?

20 A. No. It was not for employment. It was for basic training.

MR. FAJT:

While in the Police Academy, did you do any work with how to ID --- how to identify people?

Did they have any classes on, you know, people popping

on the screen and then asking you, you know, what did they look like, age, height, weight?

A. No.

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

21

22

24

25

MR. FAJT:

Tell me a little bit about what they taught you then.

A. Well, there was a lot. They taught me a lot of which we did not necessarily need to know, which was ballistic forensics, coroner reports, defensive tactics, firearms, Criminal Code, Vehicle Code, anything that the state mandated that we learn. But in 756 hours, a lot of it is, you know, falls --- goes through the sieve.

MR. FAJT:

And on the Criminal Code issues, did
they talk anything about sex with underage ---

17 | A. Yes, sir.

MR. FAJT:

--- people?

20 A. Yes, sir.

MR. FAJT:

And what was your understanding of the

23 law on that?

A. What was my understanding of the law?

MR. FAJT:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A. I'm not sure ---.

MR. FAJT:

What did you learn from the classes on, you know, what the age of consent was?

A. It was always kind of gray. We never really talked about what the age of consent was. We talked about what the penalties are, the consequences of the laws were.

MR. FAJT:

And did you have any classes on sexting?

12 A. No, we did not.

MR. FAJT:

When you worked at the Sands, tell me about your duties at the Sands. What were you employed as?

A. A security officer. So, I would check IDs of patrons. I would escort people around, escort money, patrol the gaming floor, patrol the parking lots, the hotel, respond to medical emergencies, all security emergencies.

MR. FAJT:

And were you given any training at the Sands as to how to ID patrons as related to age specifically?

1 A. Other than just anyone who looks under 30, that's 2 who we're supposed to ID.

MR. FAJT:

4 How did you meet the minor in question?

A. She was on an adult dating website. Zoosk is what it's called.

MR. FAJT:

I'm sorry?

9 A. The name is Zoosk, Z-O-O-S-K. And she had a
10 profile that she said she was 19. And I sent her a
11 message saying, hey, I'm Nick. And then sometime
12 later she responded back, directing me to her

13 Facebook.

3

5

6

8

14

15

18

19

22

23

25

MR. FAJT:

And how old were you when you met the

16 individual?

17 A. Twenty-four (24).

MR. FAJT:

And how old was she when you met her?

20 A. Well, I assumed, based on everything she told me,

21 she was 19.

MR. FAJT:

And how old was she?

24 A. Apparently, she was 13.

MR. FAJT:

And how many times did you get together with the 13-year-old?

A. One time.

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

MR. FAJT:

And how long did the relationship last, either on the internet or in person?

A. I would say about a month.

MR. FAJT:

And it's my understanding that you did have sex with this individual?

11 A. That is incorrect.

MR. FAJT:

That is incorrect?

A. We did not have sex.

MR. FAJT:

16 Tell me about where you met her and the

17 one time.

18 A. She insisted repeatedly that I would go over to

19 her place. And she would always ask me to go over.

20 And I was having family problems, at the time my

21 father was sick, and I was always either going to the

22 hospital or checking on him at home, so it wasn't ---

23 it was never a really good time. Eventually we did

24 find some time. And between communication back and

25 forth online, she --- it was going over for a

consensual relationship, shall we say. And as soon as I get over there, something was just a little off.

But I went inside. We were hanging out a little bit, and eventually she, very abruptly, started grabbing at me. And she was kissing me and eventually had taken my pants off and performed oral sex. But there was never any sexual penetration.

MR. FAJT:

And this happened one time in her family

10 home?

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11 A. Yes.

MR. FAJT:

How did the relationship end?

A. Well, after that, it --- like I said, something just --- it didn't feel right. Something was just wrong. And I really didn't have any interest in seeing her. She kept persisting, trying to have me come over again or have me bring her to my house, et cetera. And I would always tell her like, oh, I have something to do with my dad or I have something else going on at work. I couldn't do it. So, I always kept trying to kick the can down the road. Eventually, communications kind of stopped.

She eventually had sent me a message.

happened all over Facebook. She sent me a message

saying, hey, here's my cell phone number. If you need anything, you can get in touch with me. And I didn't pay much attention to it. And then sometime later I get a message from her account at roughly 2:30 in the morning asking me what my age was. And I thought that was kind of strange being that we've been talking for a month now. And needless to say, 2:30 in the morning, I'm asleep, so I didn't answer. The next message was, because I'm 13, and this is her mother, and you can get in a lot of trouble, et cetera, et cetera.

So, that's essentially what happened. And as soon as I saw that, I went ghost white, I deleted everything, blocked my Facebook, et cetera, because I knew what the implications were if this incident had got out. So, I just kind of wanted to block it away, block it out of memory.

MR. FAJT:

After you met with her and had your sexual relations, did you sext her after that?

A. No.

MR. FAJT:

During your rendezvous meeting with her, nothing in your mind, in your police training, in your training as a security guard at Sands, alerted you to

the fact that she may not be 18 years old and, in fact, she was five years younger than 18 years old?

A. Everyone always says that, that you couldn't tell that she was 13. And honestly, you can't, because I can walk in any bar around here and you could throw a stick in the air and you could find someone that's just like her in that bar. And it was --- it's remarkable. The Academy training, they don't train you for stuff like that, so it was all relying on the training with the security guard job. And even with that, I would still peg her at 18, 19, as the age range. And I could show you a picture of her, a lineup with her and three of her friends. I bet you wouldn't be able to pick her out of a group and tell me what her age was. It's ---.

MR. FAJT:

I would hope I'd be able to tell she was younger than 18.

A. You wouldn't be able to tell if she was as young as she was is what I'm saying.

ATTORNEY RUSSO:

And if I may quickly address the Board?

I saw her at a preliminary hearing, and I was surprised. I'm not obviously here to testify as a fact witness today, but I was quite surprised at how

old she does look. And on her MySpace and her web account ---

A. On her Facebook page.

ATTORNEY RUSSO:

--- she had a graduation ---.

A. She had a cap and gown picture was one of the ones, not just her, but other people around her wearing a cap and gown. I also was able to find a second Facebook she had that said that she went to Mansfield, was a full-time student, born in 1981, so --- plus the adult, you know, dating website account she has. She was portraying herself to be more than what she was easily.

MR. FAJT:

No further questions, Mr. Chairman.

MR. GINTY:

I have one for Counsel. Maybe I'm just a little ---, but it was my understanding that when you have a female who's 13 years old, it doesn't matter what the person's assumption is, it's an offense. It'd be statutory rape. Is that the law?

ATTORNEY RUSSO:

That's correct. I mean, again, I do not do criminal defense work. I did 25 years ago. He had a separate counsel for that. Your understanding of

the law is my understanding, that --- and my client did what he just testified he did, not knowing her age. But not knowing the age is not a cognizable defense under the Pennsylvania Crimes Code. I mean, we all learned that in law school, first year criminal law class. You have any type of sexual relations with a person of a certain age, you could truly prove to a jury that you genuinely did not know, which I believe my client could do, but that's not a defense.

I don't know why the District Attorney

--- I don't want to disparage this young lady or her

family. I think, from what I saw, there was some real

problems with the evidence produced to the

investigating officer. The District Attorney, who I

know very well, had no problem in not wanting to

pursue this case. I don't think it was viewed as a

case that a conviction would be possible. Again, I

can't testify why the DA chose not to pursue this

case, but my client has been punished in the media.

This young man has led a good life.

He's a clean-cut young man. He's now currently employed. He wants to have a career as a Law Enforcement Officer. He did a stupid thing. He did a bad thing, and he's not trying to tell the Board that it wasn't stupid, it wasn't bad, and it wasn't

illegal. But I would just respectfully ask that he be given a second chance after making this mistake.

CHAIRMAN:

John?

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

MR. MCNALLY:

Yeah. You said that something didn't feel right when you saw her. What was that something? A. I don't know. It just --- I don't know, I can't explain it. You know, it's just like something like that nitpicky thing in the back of your head saying maybe this isn't such a good idea to be here kind of thing.

MR. MCNALLY:

Did it have to do with her age?

I just --- I don't know. I mean, you're trying to peg it with the age. I can't tell you. trying to revert back to then. It was just something. I can't pinpoint it. It's a cloud of, hey, maybe this isn't the best thing.

MR. MCNALLY:

21 If she walked into your casino, you 22 would've ID'd her?

23 Absolutely. You have to understand that we're not trained to peg age, like this person's 18, this 25 person's 15, but they're 33. It's 30 and under and people even over 30 who happen to look like they are

Happens all the time. We're trained to peg those

people and get their ID. We don't disparage between

who's 10 and who's 40. It's just like this is the

group of people who shows an ID.

MR. MCNALLY:

When you were at the Academy, did you get any training in standard operating procedures and protocols on traffic stops?

10 A. Yes.

6

8

9

11

12

13

15

19

23

MR. MCNALLY:

And was part of that training asking someone for their identification?

14 A. Yes.

MR. MCNALLY:

16 Did you report any of this activity to

- 17 | your employer?
- 18 A. To the casino?

MR. MCNALLY:

Uh-huh (yes).

21 A. Oh, I didn't have to. It was already reported 22 before I even made it back.

MR. MCNALLY:

And you said you destroyed --- deleted all the e-mails and other evidence; correct?

A. Well, I thought it was, but it was actually archived. So, it wasn't actually deleted or destroyed.

MR. MCNALLY:

No further questions.

CHAIRMAN:

2.4

Anybody else?

MR. MOSCATO:

I have just one. Since your suspension from the casino, what have you done for employment?

A. Well, for the first four or five months I did nothing. I tried applying for places; no one would call me. I couldn't get work anywhere. And I have a very limited skill set. I'm not --- I can't make anything. I know how to do security. I know how to do law enforcement. That's what I'm trained to do. That's what I know how to do, and I do it well.

Someone could just Google my name. It's as easy as that and they could find out right away what kind of trouble I'm in before even doing an actual background check. So, I was being screwed right from the get-go. I was lucky enough to get back with my old employers at the golf course because they know who I am. I've been with them since 2007. And that's who I left to go work in the casino. So, they absolutely

--- they took me back in, and I've been with them since April, so ---.

MR. MOSCATO:

Thank you.

MR. GINTY:

One other. Do you have any idea whether the casino will take you back?

A. When I was having to hand my license over, they said that, you know, assuming everything gets cleared away and this and that, they said --- I'm quoting the one human resource lady, they would love to have me back. And I talked to my Director also, and he said that hopefully everything would clear up because he wants to have me back as well.

CHAIRMAN:

How long after you were at this girl's house were the charges brought against you?

A. I was made aware of the warrant for my arrest, I believe it was September 28th. So, this alleged incident was April 26th. So, sometime in between.

CHAIRMAN:

So, April to September?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions?

MS. KAISER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

I just have one.

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

MS. KAISER:

And I'm sorry, you may have covered this. When did you discover she was --- her true age?

A. That was early on in May. It was a few weeks after our rendezvous. And that was not from her end but apparently from her Mother.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board table the Petition of Nicholas Repko-Moschini, as described by the OCC and that the Board issue a Rule to Show Cause upon Mr. Repko-Moschini, answerable to the Board's Office of Hearing and Appeals (OHA), so that Mr. Repko-Moschini may be given the opportunity to show why he remains suitable to hold a Gaming Employee Permit in light of the evidence of the record before the Board.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

Counselor, what the Board has done here, the Board understands that the District Attorney withdrew the charges, but we're just not comfortable, because of the age of the girl, with things the way they are, and therefore, as you heard, the Board has decided that the matter should be referred back to the Board's OHA. And your client will be given the opportunity to show why he remains suitable before the OHA, and we'll go from there. Okay?

ATTORNEY RUSSO:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

All right. Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Next, presenting Withdrawals and Reports and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve Cook.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Good morning. The Board has received six unopposed Petitions to withdraw the applications of individuals or businesses. The persons and

entities subject to these Petitions are as follows:

Ruth Anne Andrews, David E. Roberson, the Robert

Nicoletti Family Trust, Marc D. Schorr, Single Source

Plus, LLC, and Ronald S. Marks. The OEC has reviewed

these Petitions, has no objection to same. And as a

result, if the Board were to grant the Petitions, it

would be doing so without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue Orders to approve the withdrawals as described by the OCC.

MR. MCNALLY:

16 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

18 All in favor?

19 AYES RESPOND

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration are three Reports and Recommendations received from the OHA. These Reports and Recommendations, along

with the complete evidentiary record, have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. In addition, each individual that is the subject of these Reports and Recommendations was given a notice that the matter will be taken up by the Board today and that they can come forward when their matter was announced. If any of these individuals are present, I'd ask them to come forward when that case --- or their case is announced.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board pertains to the Emergency Suspension of John Herr. Mr. Herr was issued a Gaming Employee Permit on April 4th, 2012, and was employed as a Table games Dealer at the Hollywood Casino.

On August 30th, 2012, the Pennsylvania State Police notified the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement that Mr. Herr was arrested and charged with seven felonies and two misdemeanors relating to an organized operation that was alleged to have been selling synthetic cannabinoids and bath salts, as well as drug paraphernalia.

As a result of these charges, the OEC filed a Request for Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Herr's Gaming Employee Permit. The Executive Director signed the Order on September 4th, 2012,

thereby imposing the Emergency Suspension.

On September 13th, 2012, the Board referred this matter to the OHA to conduct a complete evidentiary record on the Emergency Suspension.

Mr. Herr thereafter requested several continuances, which delayed the hearing in this matter until April 9th, 2013, approximately seven months after the Emergency Suspension was originally put in place. At that April hearing, both Mr. Herr and the OEC appeared and offered evidence. At the hearing it was determined that on March 27th, 2013 Mr. Herr pleaded guilty to possession of drug paraphernalia and possession with intent to distribute drug paraphernalia, both of which are misdemeanors. And all the felony charges were dismissed.

A Report and Recommendation was thereafter issued by the Hearing Officer, recommending that Mr. Herr's Suspension continue until the later of September 4th, 2013, which would be one year after the imposition of the Emergency Suspension or until termination of any period of incarceration. At the time the Report and Recommendation was issued, the sentence had not been --- Criminal Court had not yet imposed a sentence on Mr. Herr.

The OCC has not confirmed, however, that

Mr. Herr was sentenced on May 22nd, 2013 to a period of 12 months of probation. The effect of this really is that the recommendation now from the Hearing Officer would be that Mr. Herr's Suspension remain in place until September 4th, 2013, as there was no period of incarceration imposed. And that's the Recommendation that is before the Board at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

2.1

2.4

Thank you, Steve. Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt, in part, and reject, in part, the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of John Herr. I further move that the suspension of Mr. Herr's permit remain in place until, at least, termination of his criminal probationary sentence, at which time he may petition the Board to have the suspension lifted.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation pertains to Samuel Schiavo. Mr. Schiavo was issued a Temporary Gaming Employee Permit to work at Parx as a Table Games Floor Manager, while his application for a permanent G2 Permit was under investigation by Board Staff.

The evidence in this case shows that on January 30th, 2011, during Mr. Schiavo's shift, a patron found a wallet on the gaming floor and turned it over to Mr. Schiavo, as an employee of the casino. Before turning the wallet --- before Mr. Schiavo turned the wallet over to Parx security, however, he took approximately \$500 out of the wallet and placed it in his pocket.

Shortly thereafter, the patron who lost the wallet went to Parx security, retrieved the wallet and notified Parx security of the missing money. This triggered a review of surveillance footage of the night in question, and that footage in turn showed Mr. Schiavo pocketing --- taking the money from the wallet

and putting it in his pocket. Mr. Schiavo was thereafter terminated by Parx and charged criminally with theft. He then entered an ARD program and completed the ARD program on September 27, 2012, resulting in all his criminal charges being dismissed.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As a result of this, as I indicated, Parx terminated Mr. Schiavo and they also filed a Petition on September 26th, 2012, to withdraw his gaming --- or G2 Permit Application. The OEC had no objection to that Petition; however, they've requested that it be with prejudice, given the circumstances. Mr. Schiavo was given notice of the Withdrawal Petition, as well as OEC's objection to the requirement that it be with prejudice. He interjected himself in the action, requested a hearing before the OHA, attended that hearing, admitted his conduct to the Board --- or to the Hearing Officer, essentially indicating that he did the conduct in question, and essentially threw himself at the mercy of the Hearing Officer.

Notwithstanding his remorse, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation, indicating that the application should be withdrawn with prejudice, given the circumstances. And that's the Recommendation before the Board.

44 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Questions or comments from the Board? 3 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 4 MR. MOSCATO: 5 Yes, sir. I would move the Board adopt 6 the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Samuel Schiavo, as described by the OCC. 9 MR. FAJT: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 ATTORNEY COOK: 17 The final Report and Recommendation 18 before the Board today pertains to Samantha Fly. I do understand Ms. Fly is in the room today, so I would 19 20 ask her to come forward at this time. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Is Samantha Fly in the hearing room? 23 Will you come forward, please? 24 ATTORNEY COOK:

While Ms. Fly comes forward, I'll give

25

the Board a brief summary of the Report and Recommendation. The OEC commenced an action to place Ms. Fly on the Board's Exclusion List, alleging that, on August 18th, 2012, Ms. Fly, being under 21 years of age, entered the Sands Casino utilizing a fake ID. While in the casino, Ms. Fly consumed alcoholic beverages but did not engage in any gambling activity.

After leaving the casino, Ms. Fly's companion was pulled over the Lower Saucon Township Police Department for a traffic stop. At that time, Ms. Fly admitted to the police that she was under 21, had used a fake ID to enter the casino, the Sands Casino, and had consumed alcoholic beverages while in that casino. She was issued several summary citations by the Lower Saucon Township Police and in October 2012 pled guilty to one count of disorderly conduct.

The hearing on this matter was held before our OHA on February 27th, 2013, and both the OEC and Ms. Fly appeared and offered testimony and exhibits, including a Stipulation of Facts.

Thereafter, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that Ms. Fly not be placed on the Board's Exclusion List based on the fact that she was neither charged nor convicted of a gaming offense, nor, in fact, did she gamble while on the

casino floor. The Hearing Officer also gave some credence to Ms. Fly's argument at the hearing that she's an actress, and her placement on the Exclusion List would be available on the internet and could negatively impact her hopes to secure employment.

2.1

The OEC filed Exceptions to the Report and Recommendation, arguing that, although Ms. Fly did not gamble while on the Sands floor, she did, in fact, violate the Liquor Code, the Crimes Code, the Gaming Act, and the Board's regulations, and as a result, the OEC maintains that she should be placed on the Board's Exclusion List. Typically, the Board, for underage persons, place these people on the Exclusion List, barring unique circumstances, for one year or until their 22nd birthday. But as I indicated, the Recommendation before the Board right now is that she not be placed on the List.

CHAIRMAN:

You are Samantha Fly; is that correct?

MS. FLY:

Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

First of all, Miss, could you please stand and state your name for the record, spell your last name.

47 1 MS. FLY: 2 Samantha Fly, F-L-Y. 3 CHAIRMAN: We're going to have the court reporter 4 5 swear you as a witness. Okay? 6 7 SAMANTHA FLY, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 9 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All right, Ms. Fly. You were present 12 when Counsel just explained what is going on. What is your response, if any, to that? 13 14 A. I would just like to be definitely considered to 15 not be put on. I am still only 19, and the next year, until I'm 21, is crucial in what I'm trying to do. 16 17 And I know that it will definitely affect if I get 18 hired for any competitions, anything for TV, any 19 singing opportunities. It could be huge. I'm also 20 --- I have been accepted to college, and I'm looking to do that, and I just don't want this to affect 21 22 anything. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Anything else? Does the OEC have any

Anything else? Does the OEC have any

25 response?

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Roland, R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC. I mean, obviously, our concern is the number of violations, albeit minor, as put in the Report and Recommendation, but there are several. I mean, we're looking at first obtaining a fake identification. Depending upon what state that's in, that's, I'm sure, a violation of that state's law. Possessing that identification in Pennsylvania, just carrying it, in and of itself, under Section 6310, is illegal. And then gaining access to the gaming floor three times, each one of those times is a violation. And then consuming alcohol as a minor is a violation. We just couldn't possibly look past it.

And I understand that there's not gaming involved here, but we did take some time to look at some of the other cases where people were placed on the Exclusion List, and gaming isn't always involved. Sometimes people are placed on that list because they're drunk. Sometimes it's because they fight. Sometimes it's because they fight. Sometimes it's because they've stolen from another patron. I believe there was even an incidence of panhandling. And none of those incidents are directly related to gaming, so ---.

I'm sympathetic to Ms. Fly's position

and I'll be honest and say she, right out of the gate, has been completely forthright and open with this, and I think that's commendable, but I just don't know if it undoes or if it corrects, to use a proper word, everything that had to happen in order for the infraction to occur on our gaming floor.

understand she's concerned about how this could potentially impact her future regarding acting, singing. At the time we were preparing for the case, I had discussed with Ms. Fly --- she was actually auditioning for a TV show, The Voice, I believe it was. It was an immediate concern then. My understanding is that that opportunity has passed. Albeit there may be other opportunities that present themselves for her in the future, I don't know if that specific issue of it impacting her employment is as ripe now as it was going into the hearing. Beyond, that, we just stand by our position.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Mr. Roland.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Sure.

CHAIRMAN:

Any ---?

2.4

50 1 MS. KAISER: 2 How long did you have the fake ID before 3 you used it? Had you used it on other occasions? 4 Maybe two months, and I did not use it. 5 MS. KAISER: 6 Where did you obtain it? 7 Α. A friend gave it to me. 8 MS. KAISER: 9 Was it someone else's valid ID or was it 10 a manufactured ID? 11 Manufactured. Α. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Greq? 14 MR. FAJT: 15 Question for OEC. And if you can answer it, fine. If not, that's fine, too. But are you 16 17 looking at culpability of the casino in this matter? 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 No, we're not because the casino did 20 everything that they were supposed to do. That's my 21 understanding. The identification scanned. 22 ATTORNEY ROLAND: 23 It did scan? 2.4 ATTORNEY PITRE: 25 It was a good fake ID that scanned.

a result, the casino purchased new equipment. But as we're well aware, that there are several fake identification websites that are now producing very good fake identifications that are able to work through the casino's scanners.

This case is no different than any other case with college students that we have or from the local universities, where we put them on the Exclusion List. I think it --- I don't think that it's any different in the opportunities that they have in life that are impacted by it, but this is the Board's only way of --- as we know, with regard to underage and ensuring that they understand that there's an impact for entering the casino under the age of 21.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Mr. Pitre. Jim?

MR. GINTY:

Cyrus, I'm trying to recall, but we have had a number or at least a few cases where the underage person neither consumed alcoholic beverages or gambled, but they simply were on the gaming floor, which is contrary to the law. Have we ever made an exception in any cases that have come before us with respect to how we've treated underage ---?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The exceptions that we have made were in cases where an underage individual somehow got on the floor, but the casino did everything that they were supposed to do. The underage individual got on the floor, did not gamble, did not consume alcohol, did not cause a problem in the casino, and they were identified by casino staff later because it was someone that knew them and said, hey, I know that person, they shouldn't be in here, and then they were removed.

In those instances we didn't do anything against the casino. We sent them a warning letter. The underage individual, the most we would have done was sent them a letter if we had their identification, if they were identified, telling them that they're not allowed in the casino. And we did not place those individuals on the exclusion list. If we were to do that, that number would skyrocket to triple the number —— easily, the number of people that we have. There are always underage individuals that make their way onto the casino floor but don't do anything. They walk around, they look around, and they're identified, or they just leave as quickly as they show up.

CHAIRMAN:

Sorry, Cyrus. Mr. Roland, what was Ms.

Fly charged with criminally here?

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

She was actually charged with initially carrying a false identification card. And based upon the docket sheet that I see, that was changed and amended to allow her to enter a guilty plea to disorderly conduct. And she was also charged initially with purchasing alcohol by a minor, and that appears to have also been a changed charge to a disorderly conduct. Both of those disorderly conducts would have been summary offenses.

CHAIRMAN:

So, she already got a break in the courts here in Pennsylvania, it sounds like?

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Certainly sounds like it to me.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions, comments? John?

MR. MCNALLY:

Just a couple. You said that, since this happened, you've applied to college and have been accepted into college; correct?

A. Correct.

MR. MCNALLY:

When do you begin college?

A. I'm still deciding if I'm going to go this fall or if I'm going to go in the spring term of this coming year because I'm actually moving to Boston in two weeks for opportunities up there. I have another opportunity with The Voice in like two weeks in Boston, so ---.

MR. MCNALLY:

With regard to those college applications, did you have to disclose on those applications that you pled guilty to a summary offense?

12 A. I did.

MR. MCNALLY:

And it didn't affect your ability to get into college, did it?

A. I had to --- like they had to e-mail me a lot and go back and forth about what actually happened and the outcome of it, but I still got accepted.

ATTORNEY MCNALLY:

You've been accepted to participate in The Voice again even though this matter is pending?

A. Well, the last time that I went to the audition,
I didn't get far enough that they actually did the check that they do, the background check. If they did ask me to come back for this time, for this audition,

so this time I could get through and get far enough that I have to do a background check.

MR. MCNALLY:

I have nothing further.

MR. GINTY:

You're going to have to disclose as you go forward your underage drinking and possession of a fake ID. I mean, you were found guilty of those; were you not?

10 A. Well, I was charged with a misdemeanor of the disorderly conduct, but yes.

MR. GINTY:

You're going to have to disclose that.

A. Sometimes it just depends what it's for, because they only ask for a felony sometimes.

MR. GINTY:

Based on personal experience, I would

18 disclose it.

19 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

12

16

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
reject the Report and Recommendation of the OHA
regarding the placement of Samantha Fly on the PGCB

Involuntary Exclusion List. I further move that Ms. Fly be placed on the List until at least her 22nd birthday, at which time she can petition the Board for removal from the List.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Ms. Fly, basically what happened here was the Court --- or the Board, excuse me, rejected the Recommendation from the Hearing Officer. You have been placed on the Exclusion List until at least your 22nd birthday. The Board is not unsympathetic to your position, you should know that, but as counsel said, as you heard, we have an obligation here to the public, we have an obligation to the people of Pennsylvania to make sure that underage people do not go on casino floors. did so and did so, what, three times in one night. And we have to make sure that we do everything we can to deter that type of conduct and make it clear that it can't be tolerated. So, that's what this is about. Okay? We all wish you good luck. All right, ma'am.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That concludes the matters of the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Next, Susan Hensel, Director of

5 | Licensing.

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Before the Board today will be motions regarding two Table Games Manufacturer Renewal Licenses, 742 Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees and Gaming Service Provider Qualifiers. And in addition, there will be consideration of 14 Gaming Service Provider Provider Applications.

The first matter for your consideration is renewal of Table Games Manufacturer Licenses for TCS John Huxley America, Inc., and TCS John Huxley Europe, Inc. TCS John Huxley America, Inc. is headquartered in Las Vegas, Nevada. It manufactures and sells a range of table games and table games products, including table games displays, felts, chips and chip-sorting machines. TCS John Huxley Europe, Limited, is headquartered in the United Kingdom. It manufactures roulette and Big Six wheels.

The Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement (BIE) has completed its investigations of

58

these companies, and the Bureau of Licensing has provided you with a background investigation and suitability reports. I have provided you with draft Orders and ask that the Board consider the approval of each renewal license, beginning with TCS John Huxley America, Inc.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the renewal of TCS John Huxley America, Inc.'s Table Games Manufacturer License, as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MS. KAISER:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

	59	
1	CHAIRMAN:	
2	Opposed? The motion carries.	
3	MS. HENSEL:	
4	Next would be TCS John Huxley Europe.	
5	ATTORNEY PITRE:	
6	Enforcement Counsel has no objection.	
7	CHAIRMAN:	
8	Any questions or comments from the	
9	Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?	
10	MS. KAISER:	
11	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board	
12	approve the renewal of TCS John Huxley Europe Limited	
13	Table Games Manufacturer License, as described by the	
14	Bureau of Licensing.	
15	MR. MCCALL:	
16	Second.	
17	CHAIRMAN:	
18	All in favor?	
19	AYES RESPOND	
20	CHAIRMAN:	
21	Opposed? The motion carries.	
22	MS. HENSEL:	
23	The next matter for your consideration	
24	is the approval of Principal and Key Employee Licenses	
25	and a Gaming Service Provider Qualification. Prior to	

this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you 1 2 with a proposed Order for two Principal and five Key 3 Employee Licenses for Slot Machine Operator and 4 Manufacturer Licensees and one Gaming Service Provider 5 qualifier for the Halo Group. I ask that the Board 6 consider the Order approving these licenses and 7 qualification. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 14 15 MR. MCCALL: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 approve the issuance of Principal and Key Employee 18 Licenses and Gaming Service Provider Qualifications as 19 described by the Bureau of Licensing. 20 MR. MCNALLY: 2.1 Second. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 All in favor? 2.4 AYES RESPOND 25 CHAIRMAN:

61 Opposed? The motion carries. 1 2 MS. HENSEL: 3 Next for your consideration are 4 Temporary Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this 5 meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an 6 Order regarding the issuance of Temporary Licenses to 12 Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the Order approving these licenses. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 11 ATTORNEY PITRE: 12 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Any questions or comments from the 15 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 16 MR. MCNALLY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 18 approve the issuance of Temporary and Key Employee 19 Credentials as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 20 CHAIRMAN: 2.1 Second? 22 MR. MOSCATO: 2.3 Second. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

3 Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

In addition, there are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 537 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full Occupation Permits and 137 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted registrations under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board consider a motion approving the Order.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes, sir. I move that the Board approve the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

63 1 MR. FAJT: 2 Second. 3 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 4 AYES RESPOND 5 6 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 8 MS. HENSEL: 9 There are also Recommendations of Denial 10 for two Non-Gaming Employee Applicants. Prior to this 11 meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with Orders addressing these Applicants, who the OEC has 12 13 recommended for denial. The Applicants failed to request a hearing within the specified time period. 14 15 ask that the Board consider the Orders denying the 16 Non-Gaming Employee Applicants. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 Enforcement Counsel requests denial in each instance. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any questions or comments from the 2.4 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. $(814) \quad 536 - 8908$

MR. FAJT:

25

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 approve the denial of the Non-Gaming Employee 3 Applications as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 4 MR. GINTY: 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? AYES RESPOND 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? The motion carries. 11 MS. HENSEL: 12 Also for your consideration are 13 Withdrawal Requests for Gaming and Non-Gaming 14 Employees. In each case, the Permit or Registration 15 is no longer required. For today's meeting, I have 16 provided the Board with a list of 43 Gaming and three 17 Non-Gaming Employee withdrawals for approval. 18 that the Board consider the Order approving the list 19 of withdrawals. 20 CHAIRMAN: 2.1 Any comment from Enforcement Counsel? 22 ATTORNEY PITRE: 2.3 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 2.4 CHAIRMAN: 25 Any questions or comments from the

65 Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 1 2 MR. GINTY: 3 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 4 approve the Withdrawals as described by the Bureau of 5 Licensing. 6 CHAIRMAN: Second? 8 MS. KAISER: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All in favor? 12 AYES RESPOND 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? The motion carries. 15 MS. HENSEL: In addition, we have an Order to certify 16 17 the following Gaming Service Providers, Brainstorm 18 Logistics, LLC; Duggan & Marcon, Inc.; and iTech 19 Solutions Group, LLC. I ask that the Board consider 20 the Order approving these Gaming Service Providers for 21 Certification. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 2.4 ATTORNEY PITRE: 25 Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 3 4 MS. KAISER: 5 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 6 issue an Order to approve the Applications for Gaming Service Provider Certification as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 9 MR. MCCALL: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? The motion carries. 16 MS. HENSEL: 17 Next we have an Order regarding Gaming 18 Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 19 Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached 20 list of ten Registered Gaming Service Provider Applicants. I ask that the Board consider the Order 21 22 approving these Applicants for Registration. 23 CHAIRMAN: 2.4 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 25 ATTORNEY PITRE:

1 Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Applications for Gaming Service Provider Registration as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. MCNALLY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

Finally, we have a Recommendation of
Denial from Color Print, Inc.'s Gaming Service
Provider Application. Prior to this meeting, the
Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order
addressing this Applicant, who the OEC has recommended
for Denial. The Applicant failed to request a hearing
within the specified time period. I ask that the
Board consider the Order denying Color Print, Inc.'s

		68	
1	Gaming Service Provider Application.		
2	CHAIRMAN:		
3	Any comments from Enforcement Cour	nsel?	
4	ATTORNEY PITRE:		
5	Enforcement Counsel would request	Denial	
6	in this matter.		
7	7 <u>CHAIRMAN:</u>		
8	Any questions or comments from the	9	
9	Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion	n?	
10	MR. MCNALLY:		
11	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Boar	rd	
12	issue an Order to deny the Gaming Service Applic	cation	
13	of Color Print, Inc. as described by the Bureau of		
14	Licensing.		
15	CHAIRMAN:		
16	Second?		
17	MR. MOSCATO:		
18	Second.		
19	CHAIRMAN:		
20	All in favor?		
21	1 AYES RESPOND		
22	CHAIRMAN:		
23	Opposed? The motion carries.		
24	MS. HENSEL:		
25	That concludes the matters of the	Bureau	
		ļ	

1 of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Susan. Next, OEC. Mr.

4 | Pitre?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We have 15 matters for the Board's consideration today. Of those matters, we have three Consent Agreements, five Revocation Complaints, and seven Exclusions. The first matter will be presented by Assistant Enforcement Counsel Alexandra Sacavage.

ATTORNEY SACAVAGE:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. My name is Alexandra Sacavage, S-A-C-A-V-A-G-E. We have today for the Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between the OEC and Vincent Quartararo. This agreement involves a Suspension on Consent of Mr. Quartararo's Gaming Employee Permit.

The Board granted Mr. Quartararo a

Gaming Employee Permit on February 17th, 2012. But

during a background investigation related to a

subsequent G2 Permit Application, BIE discovered that

Mr. Quartararo was arrested on March 5th, 2012, and he

was charged with one count of credit card larceny and

a count of identity theft in the Commonwealth of

Virginia, which are both felonies in that jurisdiction.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On May 16th, 2012, those charges were withdrawn and Mr. Quartararo pled quilty to one count of misdemeanor, petty larceny less than \$100. received a 12-month suspended sentence and a fine of \$526, which has been paid. The sentence is complete. When he was questioned by BIE regarding this arrest, Mr. Quartararo readily admitted his conduct, apologized for not disclosing it, since it was reduced. He informed BIE that the incident was the result of a domestic dispute with a former roommate. He immediately amended his Gaming Employee Application, as well as his G2 Permit Application. The G2 Permit Application has since been withdrawn, although Mr. Quartararo maintains his Gaming Employee Permit, and he's currently employed at Mount Airy as a Marketing Operations Representative.

The parties have agreed that as a result of the above arrest and conviction, Mr. Quartararo's Gaming License shall be suspended for a period of 14 days. If approved, this will be the first enforcement action taken against Mr. Quartararo by the OEC since he was granted the Gaming Employee Permit. We ask that the Board approve the Consent Agreement presented

71 here today, and we'd be happy to answer any questions 1 2 you may have. 3 CHAIRMAN: Anybody here on behalf of the other 4 5 party, Ms. Sacavage? 6 ATTORNEY SACAVAGE: Mr. Quartararo contacted our office 8 yesterday and said he was unable to be here today 9 because he is working at Mount Airy. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Thank you. Any questions or comments 12 from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 13 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 Yes, sir. I move that the Board issue 16 an Order to adopt the Consent Agreement between the 17 OEC and Vincent Quartararo as described by the OEC. 18 MR. FAJT: 19 Second. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 All in favor? 22 AYES RESPOND 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Opposed? Motion carries. 25 ATTORNEY SACAVAGE:

72 1 Thank you. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Thank you, Sasha. 4 ATTORNEY PITRE: 5 The next matter that we have for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement with Mr. Edward Weidner. Mr. Mike Roland will present the matter on behalf of the OEC. I believe Mr. Weidner is present. Here he is. I'd ask that Mr. Weidner be 9 10 sworn, also. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Mr. Weidner, why don't you stand, if you will, sir. State your name and spell your last name. 13 14 MR. WEIDNER: 15 Edward D. Weidner, III, W-E-I-D-N-E-R. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 I would ask the court reporter to swear 18 the witness. 19 20 EDWARD D. WEIDNER, III, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 21 22 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 All right. You may be seated, sir. 25 Α. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Roland?

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. The next proposed Consent Agreement involves Edward Weidner, III, and his alleged misconduct during his employment with Sands Casino as a Dual Rate Dealer. I'll try and clarify this because it involves a father and a son, both who share the name of Edward Weidner. So, when I speak about the father, I'll refer to him as Patron Weidner, because he actually came and gamed at the facility. When I refer to Mr. Weidner, who's in front of you today, I'll refer to him as Employee Weidner, because he's a Licensee of the Board and was actually employed by the facility. I hope that helps. I'm not sure if it does. At least it's a shot.

On October 6th, 2012, a review of Patron Weidner's player's account was made. His player's account showed him playing at several different table games simultaneously. Surveillance footage showed that Patron Weidner was not gaming or present at any of the tables. Employee Weidner was observed at the table view tablet entering player ratings without receiving a Player's Card from anyone at the table. A point of clarification. A table view tablet is

basically a small tablet-size computer that's available at each gaming table, and it keeps track of the games played, who's there, how much time they have spent, wagers that are made. All the information entered into that computer system is entered by the supervisor. It's entered manually. And the reason that all that data is important is because the casino looks at that data when it decides what kind of comps it's going to give the players in the future.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Each time Employee Weidner manually entered ratings into the table view tablet, it corresponded with the end times listed in Patron Weidner's false readings. Surveillance was able to link the Weidners as father and son by using various casino computer systems, showing that they had matching home addresses. Surveillance was also able to determine from the dates of August 16th, 2012, to October 5th, 2012, Patron Weidner accumulated \$5,947.90 in comp dollars through false ratings. The amount that was legitimately earned was \$9.02. Employee Weidner was charged on October 7th, 2012 by the Pennsylvania State Police with theft by deception, computer trespass, unlawful use of a computer, and intent to commit theft by deception.

On April 1st of 2013, Employee Weidner

Northampton County District Attorney's office to enter the ARD program for the charges. The ARD participation agreement states and Employee Weidner has agreed that he shall pay \$2,544.89 in restitution, as determined by the Northampton County Adult Probation Department. Furthermore, he has admitted to the underlying action related to the specific offenses charged as being allowed into the ARD program. When they sign the program, one of the conditions is --- that they agree to is that they have committed all the underlying offenses. That's what allows them to enter into that program.

Both Employee Weidner and OEC are of the joint understanding that at the successful completion of the ARD program, all the charges against Employee Weidner will be expunged. Employee Weidner is not currently working at any casino in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. At this time, the OEC requests that the Board approve this Consent Agreement between the parties. The terms of the settlement include that OEC shall withdraw its complaint to revoke the Gaming 2 Permit of Edward Weidner, III. In return, Mr. Weidner agrees to have his Gaming 2 Permit remain suspended until the satisfactory completion of his ARD program.

Satisfactory completion includes full payment of restitution, as described in Mr. Weidner's ARD Participation Agreement. I'd like to add that he's already made full restitution to the facility, as laid out in that agreement.

Upon the satisfactory completion of the ARD program, Mr. Weidner may petition the Board to have his Permit Suspension lifted. If you have any questions, we'd be happy to entertain them at this point.

CHAIRMAN:

2.3

Any questions from the Board? First of all, let me ask you, sir, do you have any response to this or anything you want to say to the Board?

A. I'm not quite sure even how to present this. It was simply just a mistake. It was something that I shouldn't have done. I've suffered. Not only me, my family has suffered. It's awful. None of my family have been compensated from any of what I've done. It was just a stupid act on my part. I worked for the Township of Boalsburg for 17 years. Never had any problems. Just want to say I'm sorry.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? You understand this was a serious violation?

Α. Absolutely.

2

1

CHAIRMAN:

3

4

All right. Thank you, sir. Any other questions, comments? May I have a motion?

5

ATTORNEY FAJT:

6

Yeah. Mr. Chairman, before I make the motion, I'd just like to make a quick statement.

Agreement in this matter, I want the record to reflect

While I expect to make a motion to approve the Consent

9 10

that I consider the falsification of player ratings to

11

be a very serious matter that undermines the integrity

12

of an important area of casino operations, that being

13

a casino's ability to reward its players with

14

complimentaries based upon that player's legitimate gaming activity. I do not want the outcome of this

15 16

matter to be a precedent for handling this type of

17

case in the future.

18

And having said that, Mr. Chairman, I

19

move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the 20 Consent Agreement between the OEC and Edward Weidner,

21

as described by the OEC.

22

MR. GINTY:

23

Second.

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

25

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

2

1

CHAIRMAN:

3

5

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

Opposed? The motion carries. All

4 right. Thank you, gentlemen.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the

Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between

the OEC and Greenwood Gaming Entertainment, Inc.

9 Assistant Enforcement Counsel Dustin Miller will

10 present the matter for the Board's consideration. And

11 Mr. Bonner is here on behalf of Greenwood.

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Mr. Bonner.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and

Commissioners.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of

19 the Board. Dustin Miller on behalf of the OEC. This

20 | Consent Agreement arises from two incidents of

21 conducting business with companies that were on the

22 | Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List.

23 Emerson Network Power was placed on the Board's

24 | Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List on October

25 21st, 2009, and was removed from the Prohibited Gaming

Service Providers List on or about January 9th, 2013.

2.3

On June 18th, 2012, Parx Casino made a disbursement to Emerson Network Power, \$28,212.96.

They made another disbursement to Emerson Network Power on October 17th, 2012 of \$347.68. Also, Greenwood Racing, Inc., an affiliate of Parx Casino, did business with Emerson Network Power in 2010, 2011 and 2012.

Belle Mead Hot Glass, LLC, was placed on the Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List on August 18th, 2011, and remains on the Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List at this time.

Parx Casino conducted business with

Belle Mead Hot Glass while Belle Mead Hot Glass was on
the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List and made a
disbursement to Belle Mead Hot Glass of \$8,200 on

January 23rd, 2013. This was Parx Casino's second

Consent Agreement for doing business with a company on
the Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List. On

August 1st, 2012, the Board approved the Consent

Agreement, ordering Parx to pay a civil penalty of
\$5,000 for a similar violation to those just
described.

On March 28th, 2013, the parties entered into a Consent Agreement to settle these outstanding

compliance matters. The terms of the agreement include a provision that Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment shall reinforce existing policies to minimize the opportunity for similar incidents to occur in the future. These policies include training of all necessary employees to verify whether a Gaming Service Provider is on the Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List and to prevent Parx from doing business with a Prohibited Gaming Service Provider.

2.3

2.4

Parx has also submitted a revised internal control outlining these procedures in detail. Further, Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. shall pay a total fine of \$15,000, and in accordance with the Board's billing policy, Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. will pay a flat fee of \$2,500 in investigative fees. These fines and fees shall be paid within five days of the Consent Agreement being approved by the Board. Counsel for Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. is in attendance today to answer any questions you may have. Otherwise, the OEC asks the Board to entertain a motion to approve this Consent Agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Bonner?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Mr. Chairman, I think Mr. Miller has fairly and accurately stated the facts concerning the matter, but I would be happy to answer any questions that any of the Board members may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Greq?

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Dustin, what was it that Parx agreed to do in the first Consent Agreement back on August 1st of 2012 that wasn't done that resulted in this second infraction?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

I guess it was the training of the employees because, obviously, it happened again.

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Bonner, do you know what you agreed to do in the first instance that didn't happen that resulted in the second instance?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I can maybe explain that by --- I can maybe answer that by explaining what we agreed to do in the second instance. I don't recall what we did in the first instance. Certainly, we'd agree to retrain and emphasize to our staff the importance of checking the Gaming Board's website to verify that the vendors

with whom they're dealing are not prohibited.

Significantly, what we did in this case was we instituted a second check of the Gaming Board's website before payment is made. The existing procedure has our purchasing department make the check up front so that, before we begin the contractual relationship, we know that this vendor is not on the Prohibited List.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What happened in this case, both of these payments originated with folks in our facilities and design and construction department. And those requisitions don't go through purchasing, typically, through the purchasing department, typically. there was no front-end check done on these particular payments. And at the time we didn't have a procedure that did the back-end checks. So, that's how these payments fell through the crack. Now, everything gets checked through purchasing up front, whether it comes from the facility side or the general goods and services purchasing side. And accounting will not cut a check without doing a second check on the Prohibited Vendor List. So, that's the significant difference between case one and case two that we're looking at today.

MR. FAJT:

I apologize, Dustin, if you covered this, but how was this offense discovered?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The two disbursements from Greenwood

Gaming and Entertainment, Greenwood has to submit a

monthly disbursement report to the Bureau of

Licensing. It was on those reports that, you know, it

showed up that they did business with Emerson Network

Power. And then, you know, our Bureau of Licensing

compared that to the Prohibited Gaming Service

Provider List, saw Emerson Network Power on that, and

referred it to the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Anyone else on the Board? May I have a

17 | motion?

1

2

3

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

25

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc., as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

24 Second?

MS. KAISER:

84

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

4 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

5

6

9

11

12

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thanks,

7 | gentlemen.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman and

10 Commissioners.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the

13 Board's consideration is the Revocation of Danny

14 Ramirez's Gaming Employee Permit. Assistant

15 Enforcement Kathleen Higgins will present the matter

16 for the Board's consideration.

17 CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Kathleen.

19 ATTORNEY HIGGINS:

20 Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of

21 the Board. My name, again for the record, is Kathleen

 $22 \mid \text{Higgins, H-I-G-G-I-N-S.}$ The matter before the Board

23 now is that of Danny Ramirez. On March 14, 2013, the

24 OEC filed an amended Enforcement Action Complaint

25 seeking the Revocation of Danny Ramirez's Gaming

Employee Permit and G2 Employee Permit due to his failure to maintain suitability after it was determined that Mr. Ramirez stole approximately \$700 worth of casino chips while working as a Table games Dealer at Presque Isle Downs.

Mr. Ramirez received the complaint via certified mail on March 16th, 2013, but did not respond to the complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default Judgment on May 10th, 2013. And at this time, OEC would ask that the Board revoke Mr. Ramirez's Gaming Employee Permit and his G2 Employee Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Danny Ramirez in the hearing room?

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio

members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Danny Ramirez's Gaming Employee Permit and G2 Permit, as described by the OEC.

MR. MCNALLY:

25 Second.

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter we have for the Board's consideration is a Revocation of Lozonnah James'

Non-Gaming Employee Registration. That matter will be presented to the Board by Assistant Enforcement

Counsel Jeff Hoeflich.

ATTORNEY HOEFLICH:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. Jeff Hoeflich, H-O-E-F-L-I-C-H, Assistant Enforcement Counsel. The next matter before the Board today is a request for Revocation of Lozonnah James' Non-Gaming Employee Registration. The OEC filed an Enforcement Action against Mr. James following his arrest for theft while working at Hollywood Casino.

On January 1st, 2013, at approximately 12:51 a.m., surveillance observed Mr. James removing money from a cash drawer and concealing the cash in a drawer at his desk. He then removed the money and placed it in his pocket. At approximately 1:35 a.m. the same morning, Mr. James was observed removing cash

from a different cash drawer and concealing it under his keyboard. He would eventually pocket that money as well.

2.4

On January 11, Hollywood Casino terminated Mr. James for his actions after he admitted to them that he had stolen several hundred dollars over New Year's. Theft charges were filed against Mr. James on February 1st, 2013.

OEC's Enforcement Action was filed on March 14th, 2013. The Enforcement Action was properly served upon Mr. James by both certified and first class mail. Mr. James did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. James' failure to respond, the averments in the Enforcement Action are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On April 29th, 2013, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the Revocation of Lozonnah James' Non-Gaming Employee Registration.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Lozonnah James in the hearing room?

Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio

members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Lozonnah 3 James' Non-Gaming Employee Registration, as described by the OEC. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Second? MR. MOSCATO: 8 Second. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? 11 AYES RESPOND 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Opposed? The motion carries. 14 ATTORNEY HOEFLICH: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Jeff. 17 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 The next matter we have for the Board's 20 consideration is the Revocation of Michael Jefferson's Gaming Employee Permit. Dustin Miller is back to 21 22 present that matter on behalf of the OEC. 23 ATTORNEY MILLER: 24 Hello again. Mr. Jefferson was employed 25 as a Table games Dealer at Parx Casino and licensed as a Gaming Employee. The OEC filed an Enforcement Complaint to revoke Mr. Jefferson's Gaming Employee Permit for failing to maintain his suitability on March 27th, 2013.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Jefferson was terminated from Parx Casino on December 12, 2012, after the Parx Casino Surveillance Department observed Mr. Jefferson stealing prescription medication out of a coworker's purse on December 7th, 2012 and December 8th, 2012. Mr. Jefferson was criminally charged by Pennsylvania State Police with possession of a controlled substance, possession of drug paraphernalia, three counts of theft, and two counts of receiving stolen property for his actions. The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Mr. Jefferson to the address listed on his application by both certified and first class mail. Mr. Jefferson did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Jefferson's failure to respond, the averments in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On May 8th, 2013, the OEC filed a

Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is

now before the Board to consider the Revocation of

Michael R. Jefferson, II's Gaming Employee Permit.

90

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is Michael Jefferson in the hearing room? Question or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes, sir. I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Michael

Jefferson's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

11 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

13 All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next matter is a Request for Revocation today involving Natalia Levin. Ms. Levin was employed as a Table games Dealer at Parx Casino and licensed as a Gaming Employee. The OEC filed an Enforcement Complaint to revoke Ms. Levin's Gaming Employee Permit for failing to maintain her suitability on March 1st, 2013.

On December 1st, 2013, a patron of Parx

Casino reported to Parx Casino Table games personnel that Ms. Levin was taking chips out of the chip bank at the poker table she was working at and placing the chips into her tip box. The Parx Casino Surveillance Department reviewed Ms. Levin's actions and determined that she had improperly placed \$145 worth of chips in her tip box on December 1st, 2012.

Further, Parx Casino Surveillance reviewed Ms. Levin's prior work shifts from November 24th, November 27th and November 28th. Ms. Levin committed similar acts throughout her shifts on these dates as well. Ms. Levin improperly placed a total of \$579 worth of chips in her tip box over the course of these work shifts. Ms. Levin was terminated from Parx Casino on December 7th, 2012 for her actions. Ms. Levin was criminally charged by the Pennsylvania State Police with theft and receiving stolen property. The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Ms. Levin to the address listed on her application by both certified and first class mail. Ms. Levin did not respond to the filing in any way.

Due to Ms. Levin's failure to respond, the averments in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and her right to a hearing has been waived. On May 8th, 2013, the OEC filed a

Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the Revocation of Natalia Levin's Gaming Employee Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Natalia Levin in the hearing room?

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio

members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Natalia Levin's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

MR. GINTY:

14 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

16 All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next matter is a Request for

Revocation today involving Holly Rocap. Ms. Rocap was

employed as a cage cashier at Parx Casino and licensed

as a Gaming Employee. The OEC filed an Enforcement

Complaint to revoke Ms. Rocap's Gaming Employee Permit

for failing to maintain her suitability on January 17th, 2013.

From 11:00 p.m. on September 8th, 2012 to 7:00 a.m. on September 9th, 2012, Ms. Rocap was working as a cage cashier inside the satellite bank at Parx East. Following Ms. Rocap's work shift, a \$500 variance was discovered when Parx Casino staff were reconciling the money within the satellite bank from the prior day. A surveillance review was initiated. The surveillance review revealed that Ms. Rocap took money out of the cash drawer at 6:45 a.m., placed it in an envelope, and left the premises with the envelope at 7:35 a.m. Ms. Rocap was terminated by Parx Casino on September 18th, 2012 for this incident. Ms. Rocap was also charged by the Pennsylvania State Police with theft and receiving stolen property.

The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Ms. Rocap to the address listed on her application by both certified and first class mail.

Ms. Rocap did not respond to the filing in any way.

Due to Ms. Rocap's failure to respond, the averments in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and her right to a hearing has been waived.

On May 8th, 2013, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is

now before the Board to consider the Revocation of Holly Rocap's Gaming Employee Permit.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Holly Rocap in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Holly Rocap's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MS. KAISER:

15 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

17 All in favor?

18 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

21

22

23

24

25

19 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The next two matters today are a Request for Placement on the Board's Excluded Person's List involving Nicole Donahue and Ryan Feyh. Both of these matters share a common fact pattern. With the Board's

permission, I'd like to recite the facts of the incident one time and then ask that each individual be placed on the Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

That's fine, Dustin.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Okay. On May 25th, 2012, the Middletown Township Police in Bucks County were called to a Giant Grocery Store in Levittown, Pennsylvania, at 12:45 a.m. for a report of a toddler running around in the parking lot of the store, unattended by any adult. Upon arrival, the police observed an unharmed two-year-old child that was upset, confused and alone. Due to the child's age, the boy was unable to verbally provide any information to the police but pointed in the direction of Foxwood Manor Apartments, which are located adjacent to the Giant Grocery Store, across a footbridge.

A police officer went to the Foxwood

Manor Apartments and observed that the door of

Apartment Number 1516 was open. Police took the

two-year-old child to this apartment, and the child

responded with relief upon arrival at the apartment.

Police then inspected the inside of the apartment and

found pictures confirming that the child lived in that

apartment. While inspecting the apartment, police found no adults present but did find another child, a four-year-old, sleeping in his bed inside the apartment.

Also, while police were inspecting in the apartment, a neighbor approached the police and indicated that she had received two text messages from Ms. Donahue, who lives in Apartment 1516 with Mr. Feyh and their children, indicating that Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh were going to Parx Casino at 11:00 p.m. on May 24th --- on May 24th, 2012, and that Ms. Donahue's children were sleeping and asking the neighbor to listen for the children. Although the neighbor did not respond to the text messages, Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh went to Parx Casino anyway. After speaking with police, the neighbor contacted Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh by telephone and they returned to the apartment.

While speaking with police, Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh admitted to leaving their children at home and going to Parx Casino to gamble. This was also confirmed by Parx Casino surveillance, which showed Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh playing slot machines on May 24th and leaving Parx Casino at 1:10 a.m. on May 25th, 2012. Subsequently, Mr. Feyh and Ms. Donahue were criminally charged with two counts of

endangering the welfare of children and two counts of recklessly endangering another person.

2.2

On October 19th, 2012, Ms. Donahue and Mr. Feyh each pleaded guilty to the aforementioned charges and were each sentenced to two-and-a-half years probation, completion of a hundred hours of community service, ordered to stay away from Parx Casino and any other casino, participation in parenting classes and submission to drug and alcohol evaluation.

The Petition for Ms. Donahue was filed on November 5th, 2012. The Petition was properly served upon Ms. Donahue by personal service. Ms. Donahue did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Ms. Donahue's failure to respond, the averments in the Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact and her right to a hearing has been waived.

On April 30th, 2013, the OEC filed a
Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is
now before the Board to consider the placement of
Nicole 1. Donahue on the Board's Excluded Persons
List.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Nicole Donahue in the hearing room?

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio

members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the admission of Nicole Donahue to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

The Petition for Mr. Feyh was filed on November 5th, 2012. The Petition was properly served upon Mr. Feyh by personal service. Mr. Feyh did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Feyh's failure to respond, the averments in the Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On April 30th, 2013, the OEC filed a
Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is
now before the Board to consider the placement of Ryan
S. Feyh on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

99 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Is Ryan Feyh in the hearing room? questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio 3 4 members? May I have a motion? 5 MR. MCCALL: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 6 issue an Order to approve the addition of Ryan Feyh to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary 9 Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 10 MR. MCNALLY: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 13 14 AYES RESPOND 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Opposed? The motion carries. 17 ATTORNEY MILLER: 18 Thank you, Chairman Ryan. 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 The next matter that we have for the Board's consideration is an exclusion matter involving 21 22 Mr. Thuy Duc Doan. That matter will be presented by 23 Ms. Beth Manifesto on behalf of the OEC. 24 CHAIRMAN:

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. $(814) \quad 536 - 8908$

Good morning.

25

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

Chairman Ryan, good morning. Members of the Board. Beth Manifesto, M-A-N-I-F-E-S-T-O, for the OEC. And as Cyrus said, I have a Request for Judgment regarding Mr. Thuy Duc Doan. And essentially, on July 23rd of 2012, the OEC filed a Petition to Place Mr. Duc Doan on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Service was made upon Mr. Doan via first class mail. The certified mail was returned as unclaimed.

In the Petition OEC alleged surveillance footage from Rivers Casino memorialized Mr. Doan as he distracted a Roulette Table Games Dealer and then moved a losing bet to a winning position. He pointed out his winning bet and accepted a payout of \$150, which he should not have received. When questioned, Mr. Doan admitted to cheating and returned the money to the Rivers Casino. Mr. Doan did not respond to the OEC's Petition within 30 days; therefore, his right to a hearing has been waived and the facts alleged in the Petition are deemed to be admitted.

On May 9th, 2013, the OEC filed a
Request for Default Judgment. And at this time, the
OEC would ask that the Board place Mr. Doan on the
Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary

101

Exclusion List.

2

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

1

CHAIRMAN:

Is Thuy Duc Doan in the hearing room?

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio

members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCNALLY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order approving the addition of Thuy Duc Doan to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

12 Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

20 ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the Board's consideration is the placement of Mr. Jemoni Laron Ghee on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. That matter will be presented to the Board by

25 Assistant Enforcement Counsel Jeff Hoeflich.

ATTORNEY HOEFLICH:

Hello again. The next matter before the Board today is a Request for Placement of Jemoni Laron Ghee on the Board's Excluded Persons List. The OEC filed a Petition to place Mr. Ghee on the Exclusion List for his part in an assault and robbery of a casino patron after leaving the casino.

On November 7th, 2012, the Pennsylvania State Police were called to the emergency room at Chambersburg Hospital for an individual who was being treated for severe trauma. The victim informed police that he had attended Hollywood Casino with Mr. Ghee and his brother, Jemoni Laron Ghee. According to the victim, before the three returned to the Chambersburg area, the two brothers assaulted the victim and robbed him of \$170, then struck him with their vehicle as they drove away.

Pennsylvania State Police interviewed

Mr. Ghee and his brother and both admitted to riding

with the victim to and from the casino. Mr. Ghee and

his brother were arrested on November 8th, 2012, for

aggravated assault, robbery and attempted homicide.

OEC's Petition was filed on December 28th, 2012. The

Petition was properly served upon Mr. Ghee by both

certified and first class mail. Mr. Ghee did not

103

respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Ghee's failure to respond, the averments in the Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On May 6th, 2013, the OEC filed a
Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is
now before the Board to consider the placement of
Jemoni Laron Ghee on the Board's Excluded Persons
List.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Jemoni Laron Ghee in the hearing room? Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes, sir. I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Jemoni Laron Ghee to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank

you, Jeff.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter we have for the Board's consideration --- in fact, the remaining matters will be presented by Assistant Enforcement Counsel Beth Manifesto.

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

I'm back. This is involving David

Gordon. On July 13th of 2012, the OEC filed a

Petition to place Mr. Gordon on the Pennsylvania

Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. In
the Petition, the OEC alleged that Mr. Gordon engaged
in underage gaming at the Rivers Casino. Mr. Gordon
engaged in slot play after utilizing a false
identification to obtain entry twice to the casino.

He was 19 at the time of the incident.

Relative to his activity at the Rivers, on April 1st, 2012, Mr. Gordon was found guilty by a Magisterial District Justice of underage gaming and carrying false identification. He was ordered to pay fines and costs in the amount of \$769. The OEC served the Petition on Mr. Gordon by mailing a copy via first class mail and certified mail to Mr. Gordon's last known address on July 5th of 2012. The certified mail was returned with a message unclaimed. The first

class mail has not been returned to OEC, and Mr. Gordon has failed to respond to the Petition; 3 therefore, his right to a hearing has been waived and the facts alleged are deemed to be admitted.

On May 9th of 2013, OEC filed a Request for Default Judgment and at this time asked that the Board place Mr. David Gordon on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. And I should add that Mr. Gordon's activity was included in an underage Consent Agreement that we had with Rivers Casino on November 12th of 2012.

CHAIRMAN:

Is David A. Gordon in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of David A. Gordon to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC. Mr. Gordon can petition the Board for removal from the List any time after his 22nd birthday.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

1

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

Thank you. The next matter is involving Mr. Anh, A-H-A --- I'm sorry, A-H-N (sic), Phuc, P-H-U-C, L-E. And on July 3rd of 2012, the OEC filed a Petition to place this gentleman on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. The Petition was served on Mr. Le on July 5th of 2012 via first class mail. The certified mail was returned to the OEC marked delivery attempted on July 24th, August 4th, and 9/20/12.

April 7th of 2012 Mr. Le past posted bets three times and attempted the same activity two additional times. This activity resulted in a gain of \$270 to Mr. Le which he should not have received. Mr. Le was charged with three counts of Title IV, Section 1518A7.1, and on August 7th, 2012, the charge was amended to one count of disorderly conduct, and Mr. Le pled guilty. He paid a fine and costs in the amount of \$225. Mr. Le did not respond to the Petition within 30 days; therefore, he waived his right to a hearing, and the

1 facts alleged in the Petition are deemed to be 2 admitted.

On May 9th of 2013, OEC filed the request for default judgment. And at this time we would ask that the Board place Mr. Anh Phuc Le on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Anh Phuc Le in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Anh Phuc Le to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

18 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

20 All in favor?

21 AYES RESPOND

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion carries.

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

Thank you. Lastly, the Petition

involves Brittany, B-R-I-T-T-A-N-Y, Lynn Thornton, T-H-O-R-N-T-O-N. On July 3rd of 2012, the OEC filed a Petition to place Ms. Thornton on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. The Petition was served on her through first class mail on July 5th of 2012. The certified mail was returned to the OEC on August 28th, 2012, marked unclaimed. The first class mail has not been returned.

In the Petition OEC alleged that on May 6th of 2012 Ms. Thornton, who was 20 years of age at the time, utilized false identification to enter the Rivers Casino and participated in slots gaming at 30 machines and also consumed alcohol. As a result of her activity, Ms. Thornton was charged with underage gaming and possession of a false ID.

Ms. Thornton did not respond to the petition within 30 days; therefore, her right to a hearing has been waived and the facts alleged in the Petition are deemed to be admitted. On May 9th of 2013, the OEC has filed a Request for Default Judgment and at this time asks that the Board place Ms.

Thornton on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. I'm sorry. This matter was also part of an underage Consent Agreement

presented to the Board on November 12th of 2012.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Is Brittany Thornton in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Brittany Thornton to the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC. Ms. Thornton can petition the Board for removal from the List after one year.

MR. MCNALLY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Chairman, if I may, I'd like to make one clarification for the record. With regard to a question that Commissioner Ginty asked me earlier with regard to Ms. Fly's case, as to whether or not the casino had been punished or fined, we did fine Sands.

110

```
It was part of a previous Consent Agreement that was
1
2
   brought before the Board in the past. So, I wanted to
3
   correct that for the record. And with that, that
   concludes our business.
 4
5
                  CHAIRMAN:
 6
                  Thank you both.
                  ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:
8
                  Thank you.
9
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Ladies and gentlemen, this concludes
10
11
   today's meeting. Our next scheduled public meeting
   will be on Wednesday, June 26th, here in the hearing
12
13
   room, at 10:00 a.m. Any comments from the Board?
```

MR. MCNALLY:

I move that we adjourn.

CHAIRMAN:

18 Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Ex-officios? May I have a motion to adjourn?

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

22 All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

14

15

17

19

20

21

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

The meeting's adjourned. Thank you all.