COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM RYAN, CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt, James B. Ginty, Annmarie

Kaiser, Keith McCall, Anthony C, Moscato,

John J. McNally, III, Members; Christopher

Craig, Representing Robert M. McCord,

Robert Coyne, Representing Secretary of

Department of Revenue Daniel Meuser and

Mathew Meals representing Secretary of

Agriculture George Greig

MEETING: Wednesday, March 13, 2013

LOCATION: Strawberry Square Complex

2nd Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: None

Reporter: Jennifer T. Alves

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
                 A P P E A R A N C E S (cont.)
 1
 2
 3
          GLEN STUART, ESQUIRE
             Assistant Enforcement Counsel
 4
 5
   PA Gaming Control Board
   P.O. Box 69060
 7
   Harrisburg, PA 17101
 8
       Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

			4
1	I N D E X		
2			
3	OPENING REMARKS		
4	By Chairman	6 -	10
5	PRESENTATION		
6	By Attorney O'Toole	10 -	13
7	By Attorney Yocum		13
8	By Attorney Cassel	13 -	15
9	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	15 -	18
10	PRESENTATION		
11	By Attorney Cook	18 -	23
12	TESTIMONY		
13	By Mr. Hodder	23 -	25
14	PRESENTATION		
15	By Attorney Hensel	25 -	32
16	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	32 -	33
17	PRESENTATION		
18	By Attorney Miller	33 -	37
19	By Attorney Fenstermaker	37 -	50
20	By Attorney Roland	50 -	64
21	By Attorney Stuart	64 -	67
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	67 -	68
23			
24			
25			

```
5
                         I N D E X (cont.)
 1
 2
 3
   PRESENTATION
                                                         68 - 71
 4
      By Attorney Creany
                                                         71 - 73
 5
      By Attorney Miller
                                                         74 - 76
 6
      By Attorney Fenstermaker
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, everyone. I'm Bill Ryan,
Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board.
Before we begin, I'd like to ask everyone to please
turn off cell phones, PDAs and other electronic
devices. Thank you. Also with us today is
Christopher Craig representing State Treasurer Robert
McCord, Bob Coyne representing Secretary of the
Department of Revenue Daniel Meuser and Matt Meals
representing Secretary of Agriculture George Greig.
Thank you, gentlemen, for being here.

We have a quorum and so I will call today's meeting to order, and the first order of business I would ask everyone to please stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

18 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you all. I guess the most important thing to do first, ladies and gentlemen, is to welcome the newest member of our Board, John J.

McNally, III. John, welcome to your first meeting and I know I speak for everybody on the Board when I say we look forward to working with you. We know you're

```
7
   going to be a very big part of this Board going
1
2.
   forward.
3
                  MR. MCNALLY:
                  It's my honor to be on it.
4
5
                  CHAIRMAN:
6
                  And along with John's presence, of
7
   course, we have his swearing in and it's my
   understanding that the honors will be done by the
8
   Honorable Bernard Coates, Court of Common Pleas of
9
10
   Dauphin County. Also with us today is John's wife,
   Ginger with their children, J.J., Megan and Joe. And
11
   John's brother, Steve, I knew you were his brother, is
12
   also in the audience. At this time can I ask all of
13
14
   you to come forward so the Judge can administer the
15
   oath?
16
                  JUDGE COATES:
17
                  Mr. McNally, are you prepared to take
   the oath of office?
18
19
                  MR. MCNALLY:
20
                  I am.
21
                  JUDGE COATES:
22
                  Place your hand on the Bible, raise your
23
   hand. I, state your name.
24
                  MR. MCNALLY:
25
                  I, John McNally.
```

			8
1		JUDGE COATES:	
2		Do solemnly swear.	
3		MR. MCNALLY:	
4		Do solemnly swear.	
5		JUDGE COATES:	
6		That I will support, obey and defend.	
7		MR. MCNALLY:	
8		I will support, obey and defend.	
9		JUDGE COATES:	
10		The Constitution of the United States.	
11		MR. MCNALLY:	
12		The Constitution of the United States.	
13		JUDGE COATES:	
14		And the Constitution of this	
15	Commonwealth.		
16		MR. MCNALLY:	
17		And the Constitution of this	
18	Commonwealth.		
19		JUDGE COATES:	
20		And I will discharge my duties of my	
21	office.		
22		MR. MCNALLY:	
23		And I will discharge my duties of my	
24	office.		
25		JUDGE COATES:	

9 With fidelity. 1 2 MR. MCNALLY: 3 With fidelity. 4 JUDGE COATES: Congratulations. 5 6 MR. MCNALLY: 7 Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Judge. Again, John, 9 10 congratulations. PUBLIC HEARINGS WERE HELD. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: The Board will now commence our regular 13 14 meeting. First announcement, the Board held an executive session yesterday for the purpose of 15 conducting quasi judicial deliberations relating to 16 17 matters being considered by the Board today. Next we 18 will have consideration of a motion to approve the minutes and transcript of the February 20th, 2013 19 meeting. May I have such a motion? 20 21 MR. GINTY: 22 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 23 approve the minutes and transcript of the February 20th, 2013 meeting. 24 25 CHAIRMAN:

10 Second? 1 2 MS. KAISER: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 5 6 ALL SAY AYE 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. Next will 8 9 be our Executive Director Kevin O'Toole to present his 10 report. Kevin? 11 ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of 12 the Board. On behalf of the staff congratulations and 13 14 welcome to Commissioner McNally. We all look forward to working with you. 15 16 MR. MCNALLY: 17 Thank you. ATTORNEY O'TOOLE: 18 One item that I would like to report 19 20 today is the successful relocation of our Western 21 Regional Office to Foster Plaza Building Number Ten in 22 the Borough of Greentree. This relocation occurred last week with 23 the assistance of a number of state approved vendors 24 25 including Supply Source Office Interiors who moved and

reinstalled all office furniture, Work Shares Security
Systems who installed and tested the lock systems
which secure the office. And Verizon and Black Box
Network Services who cabled and tested all computer
and telephone networks. The Borough of Greentree
issued us a Certificate of Occupancy on Monday, March
the 11th and all of our BIE personnel in that region
are now reporting to their new office.

This office relocation is part of the Board's overall initiative and efforts to reduce expenses and we anticipate saving approximately \$107,000 annually in lease related expenses. And I encourage all of you on your next trip out west to visit the BIE office and to see this location. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Kevin. Any questions, comments from the Board? Next Chief Counsel Doug Sherman and Susan Yocum.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. Our first agenda relates to a proposed regulation, which Assistant Chief Counsel Susan Yocum will present.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners.

CHAIRMAN:

2.

Good morning, Susan.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

The proposed rulemaking before you today does two things. It adds two additional side wagers, that's the Bad Beat for the four card Poker and the five card progressive for Ultimate Texas Hold 'Em, Crazy Four, Four Card Poker, Caribbean Stud and Let It Ride. It will also allow operators to link progressive side wagers to multiple tables together so that numerous tables are contributing and playing for the same progressive Jackpot amount.

This is similar to our linked progressives on slot machines where a bank of slot machines may be playing for the same progressive jackpot amount. In order to offer the progressive linked side wager operators will have to have the same wager amount and they will have --- the games that will be linked will have to have the same probability of winning. Included in this rulemaking are the system and access requirements for the link to progressive systems within the same licensed facility. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have regarding the rulemaking.

	13	
1	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
2	Questions, comments from the Board? Ex	
3	Officio members? May I have a motion?	
4	MS. KAISER:	
5	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board	
6	adopt the Proposed Regulation Number 125-169 as	
7	described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC) and	
8	that the regulation be posted to the Board's website.	
9	MR. MCCALL:	
10	Second.	
11	CHAIRMAN:	
12	All in favor?	
13	ALL SAY AYE	
14	CHAIRMAN:	
15	Opposed? Motion carries.	
16	ATTORNEY YOCUM:	
17	Thank you.	
18	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>	
19	Thank you, Susan.	
20	ATTORNEY SHERMAN:	
21	The next agenda item relates to a Local	
22	Law Enforcement Grant, which Assistant Chief Counsel	
23	Allison Cassel is here to present.	
24	ATTORNEY CASSEL:	
25	Good morning, Mr. Chairman,	

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Allison.

ATTORNEY CASSEL:

--- members of the Board. I have one Local Law Enforcement Grant Application for you today. The Montgomery County District Attorney's Office has applied for a grant totaling \$250,000. The application has been reviewed, has been determined to apply with the applicable grant standards and is recommended for approval.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions, comments from the Board? Ex

Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Local Law Enforcement Grant as presented by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

19 Second?

MR. MCNALLY:

21 Second.

22 CHAIRMAN:

23 All in favor?

24 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

25 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you, Allison.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Today the Board now has one matter before it being presented by way of petition and that is the matter just heard immediately prior to reconvening the normal Board business. We heard Wynn, PA's Petition for a Board Order Permitting Filing of the Institutional Investor Notice of Ownership Form in lieu of a Principal Entity Application. Wynn is requesting that the Board allow Waddell and Reed financial like a shareholder of Wynn Resorts Limited. The publicly parent traded company of Wynn, PA to file an Institutional Investor Notice rather than the Principal Entity Licensing Application.

If approved the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) proposed that the Board impose three conditions and added a fourth here during the hearing, which related to a notice of a threshold of 19 percent. Before we go to actually addressing the motion to approve, I would note, though, that while there seems to be some insider information about something magical about a 19 percent figure, a regulation has not yet been presented to the Board in terms of a proposed regulation.

So, therefore, I would caution that I be very clear that if the Board ultimately were to decide to extend the limitation on the percentage amount above the current ten percent in its final form --- the amount was, let's say, less than the 19 percent that was mentioned, it's very clear that Waddell and Reed would be required to either divest those excess shares to come under the institutional threshold requirement or go through the Principal licensing application if, in fact, they held more than whatever the ultimate percentage is that the Board adopts.

We just want to make sure that no one thinks that they can be grandfathered in at a higher rate than what our regulation allows. Given that clarification I think it would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion to grant the waiver of the current ten percent requirement and allow Waddell and Reed to have a current holding of what has been approximately 15, 16 percent and even up to that 19 percent.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. GINTY:

I have one question. I thought we had

the ability to waive that requirement. Whatever ultimately it might be.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

We ultimately do. Currently, though, the regulation as written is ten percent and they're asking for --- and we had discussed it at a meeting a number of months ago. We granted one waiver to an institutional investor. The Board had directed that staff begin working on amendments to a regulation to extend that threshold up.

MR. GINTY:

I guess what I'm saying is if we ultimately change the regulation to be 15 percent and for some reason the investment company has more than that, we can still entertain a waiver?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

You could. I'm not sure why you would only extend it to 15 percent and say then we're going to --- we've defeated the purpose I think somewhat of amending the regulation to get a number that reflects the demands of the market and the realities of the market to then be changing the Reg and granting waivers to it anyway.

CHAIRMAN:

Well, I think the answer to your

question is yes. Any other questions? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of Wynn, PA as described by the OCC so long as Waddell and Reed's ownership interest remains lower than 20 percent.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

12 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

14 Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Next presenting Withdrawals and Reports of Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve Cook.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The Board received ten unopposed

Petitions to Withdraw or Surrender the applications or credentials of individuals or businesses. The persons or businesses subject to these petitions are as follows. HR Philadelphia Manager, LLC, Moses Tawill, Jack Robert MacIlvain, Kevin Biglin, Elgee-Savar, Inc., Frank Koupiaris, Thomas McEvoy, Melvin Pullen,

Jeffrey D. Smith and John Romano. The OEC has reviewed each of these petitions and filed responses indicating that they have no objection to same and if the Board were inclined to grant these Withdrawals or Surrenders, they'd be doing so without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue Orders to approve the Withdrawals and Surrenders as described by the OCC.

MR. GINTY:

14 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

16 All in favor?

17 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

We have one Report and Recommendation before the Board today, which we received in the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA). The Report and Recommendation pertains to the Gaming Employee Permit of Mr. Hodder. The report and recommendation along

with the evidentiary record for this hearing has been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting.

Additionally Mr. Hodder has been notified that the Board was taking up this matter today, and if he is present and would like to address the Board briefly, he can come forward at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Ryan Hodder in the hearing room? Mr. Hodder, come forward, please.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Just by way of background while Mr.

Hodder comes forward. On August 13th, 2010 the Board issued Mr. Hodder a Gaming Employee Permit after approving him for licensure. Mr. Hodder thereafter commenced working at the Mount Airy Casino and Resort as a table games dealer. After being offered a promotion at Mount Airy Mr. Hodder thereafter submitted an application for a G2, Gaming Level Two, Permit seeking work as a table games dual-rate supervisor, which would allow him to work both as a dealer and at certain times in a supervisory capacity.

Mr. Hodder stated on both his Gaming

Mr. Hodder stated on both his Gaming
Employee Application and a subsequent G2 Application
that he'd been employed by Mohegan Sun in Connecticut
as a dual-rate dealer, supervisor, but he left this

position for personal reasons. He also indicated on applications that he had never been subject to any disciplinary proceeding and he never been suspended or fired from a prior employment. After conducting an investigation of Mr. Hodder's G2 Application the Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement (BIE) discovered that the Mohegan Tribal Gaming Commission had, in fact, revoked Mr. Hodder's Gaming License in 2006 for conducting a scheme to circumvent the facility, the Mohegan Sun facility's tip policy.

As a result the OEC moved to revoke Mr. Hodder's Gaming Employee Permit. That's the matter that's before the Board today. Previously the Board had moved --- I'm sorry. OEC had moved to deny his G2 Application, which the Board had previously taken up and ultimately adopted the Report and Recommendation denying that application. So the matter before the Board today is revocation of his Gaming Employee Permit, which obviously was a separate license.

A hearing on this matter was held on October 23rd, 2012 before the OHA. Both Mr. Hodder and the OEC appeared and offered testimony. The evidence presented by the parties at the October hearing was essentially consistent with that that was heard earlier in the earlier proceeding regarding the

G2 Application . That first hearing was held on April 1 2. 12th of 2012. Specifically the evidence put into the record at both hearings was that Mr. Hodder admitted 3 that he ultimately didn't leave his job at Mohegan for personal reasons as he indicated on his application, 5 but, in fact, was terminated from that employment. 6 7 He also stated that --- at the hearing that he wasn't sure --- wasn't aware that his license 8 was suspended --- or I'm sorry, revoked. 9 He thought 10 that it was only suspended by the Tribal Authority in 11 Connecticut. Notwithstanding the testimony by Mr. Hodder the Hearing Officer after the October 23rd, 12 2012 hearing issued a Report and Recommendation, which 13 14 is now before the Board and it recommends that Mr. Hodder's Gaming Employee Permit be revoked. 15 indicated, Mr. Hodder's present. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Hodder, do you want to make any 18 statements to the Board? Yes, you do, sir? 19 20 MR. HODDER: 21 Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Then please stand so we can All right. 24 have you sworn. State your name and spell your last 25 name.

MR. HODDER:

Ryan Hodder, H-O-D-E-R.

RYAN HODDER, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED

AS FOLLOWS:

6 -----

CHAIRMAN:

All right. Sit down, sir. All right.

What do you have to say?

A. I'm here today to appeal the decision that was made to revoke my G1 License due to the fact that at my prior hearings it was concluded that I was untruthful in my original application about the reason I left the casino job in Connecticut. As a result my G2 Gaming License was revoked. I currently still hold a G1 License and work as a full-time dealer for the past two and a half years.

I feel that I have already faced disciplinary action for the untruthfulness on the application and believe that I should be given a chance to go forward in my job as a dealer holding a G1 License, which I was originally approved for.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comment or questions from the OEC?

ATTORNEY SACAVAGE:

24

The OEC maintains its position and its 1 enforcement action for the revocation of Mr. Hodder's 2 3 Gaming Permit. The OEC is of the position that Mr. Hodder is severely lacking the suitability requirements under the Act and his Gaming Permit 5 should be revoked for all the reasons set forth in our 6 enforcement action. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any questions or comments from the 10 Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 11 MR. GINTY: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the 12 Board adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA 13 14 regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Ryan Hodder as described by the OEC. 15 16 CHAIRMAN: Second? 17 18 MS. KAISER: Second. 19 20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor. 21

CHAIRMAN:

ALL SAY AYE

22

23

24

Opposed? The motion carries. A 1 1 25 right. Thank you all, ladies and gentlemen.

will be Susan Hensel our Director of Licensing. Good morning, Susan.

ATTORNEY HENSEL:

Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Before the Board today will be motions regarding 388 Principal Key Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees and Gaming Service Provider Qualifiers. In addition there will be consideration of 17 Gaming Service Provider Applications. The first matter for your consideration is the approval of Gaming Service Provider qualifications.

Prior to this meeting the Bureau of
Licensing provided you with a proposed Order for two
Gaming Service Provider Qualifiers for Paragon
Wholesale Foods Corporation. Paragon is a certified
Gaming Service Provider. I ask that the Board
consider the Order approving these qualifications.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

24 Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 approve the issuance of Gaming Service Provider 3 Qualifications as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 5 MR. MCCALL: 6 Second. 7 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 8 ALL SAY AYE 9 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Opposed? The motion carries. 12 ATTORNEY HENSEL: Next for your consideration are 13 14 Temporary Principal and Key Employee Licenses. to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided to 15 you an Order regarding the issuance of Temporary 16 17 Licenses for one Principal and 16 Key Employees. 18 ask that the Board consider the Order approving these 19 licenses. 20 CHAIRMAN: Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 21 22 ATTORNEY PITRE: Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 23 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Any questions or comments from the

Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the issuance of Temporary Principal and Key Employee credentials as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. MCNALLY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 ALL SAY AYE

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY HENSEL:

In addition there are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached list of 281 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full Occupation Permits and 69 individuals to whom the Bureau has granted registrations under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board consider the Order approving these Non-Gaming Registrations and Permits.

CHAIRMAN:

28 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 1 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions or comments from the 6 Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 7 MR. MCNALLY: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve 8 the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming 9 10 Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Second? 13 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? The motion carries. 21 ATTORNEY HENSEL: 22 Also for your consideration are withdrawal requests for Key Employee Gaming and 23 Non-Gaming Employees. In each case the licensed 24 25 permit or registration is no longer required. For

```
today's meeting I have provided the Board with a list
1
2
   of three Key, 11 Gaming and five Non-Gaming Employee
3
   Withdrawals for approval. I ask that the Board
   consider the Orders approving the list of withdrawals.
5
                  CHAIRMAN:
6
                  Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
7
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
                  The Enforcement Counsel has no
8
9
   objection.
10
                  CHAIRMAN:
11
                  Any questions or comments from the
   Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?
12
13
                  MR. MOSCATO:
14
                  Chairman, I move that the Board approve
   the withdrawals as described by the Bureau of
15
16
   Licensing.
17
                  MR. FAJT:
18
                  Second.
19
                  CHAIRMAN:
20
                  All in favor?
   ALL SAY AYE
21
22
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Opposed? The motion carries.
23
24
                  ATTORNEY HENSEL:
25
                  In addition we have an Order to certify
```

```
30
   the following Gaming Service Providers. Chopstick
1
   Asian Cuisine, Inc., Gutherie Glass and Mirror, Inc.,
2
3
   which is a renewal. Knepper Press Corporation, Luen
   Fong Food and Produce, Inc. and Martik Brothers, Inc.
   I ask that the Board consider the Order approving
6
   these Gaming Service Providers for certification.
7
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
8
9
                  ATTORNEY PITRE:
10
                  The Enforcement Counsel has no
   objection.
11
12
                  CHAIRMAN:
13
                  Any questions or comments from the
14
   Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?
15
                  MR. FAJT:
                  Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
16
17
   issue an Order to approve the applications of Gaming
   Service Providers Certification as described by the
18
   Bureau of Licensing.
19
20
                  MR. GINTY:
                  Second.
21
22
                  CHAIRMAN:
                  All in favor?
23
   ALL SAY AYE
24
25
                  CHAIRMAN:
```

Opposed? The motion carries. 1 2 ATTORNEY HENSEL: 3 Finally we have an Order regarding Gaming Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 4 Licensing provided you with an Order and a list of 12 6 registered Game Service Provider Applicants. I ask that the Board consider the Order registering these Gaming Service Providers. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 11 ATTORNEY PITRE: The Enforcement Counsel has no 12 objection. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from the 15 Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 16 17 MR. GINTY: 18 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the applications for Gaming Service Provider Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MS. KAISER:

25 Second.

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

4

5

6

7

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY HENSEL:

That concludes the matters of the Bureau

8 of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

10 Thank you, Susan. Next will be the OEC.

11 Cyrus?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We have ten matters for the Board's consideration today. Eight of those are Consent Agreements, two of which are involuntary exclusion matters. The first matter before the Board is a Consent Agreement between the OEC and Atlantic City

18 Coin and Slot Services Company. Dustin Miller will

19 present the matter on behalf of the OEC. We were

20 notified that there were no individuals that would be

21 here present on behalf of Atlantic City Coin and Slot,

22 however, they did sign the Consent Agreement and they

23 are in agreement with the terms therein.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Dustin?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

2.

Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. Dustin Miller on behalf of the OEC.

M-I-L-L-E-R. On December 12, 2010 Atlantic City Coin and Slot Service Company, Inc. filed an application for renewal of its Manufacturer License. During the course of Atlantic City Coin and Slot's background investigation related to its renewal application, the company violated the Act, the regulations and the company's signed statement of conditions when it failed to submit audited financial statements for the company for 2010, which is also another Consent Agreement with the OEC.

Once again in 2012 Atlantic City Coin and Slot failed to timely file its audited financial statements for the company for 2011. Because the audited financials were never filed by Atlantic City Coin and Slot the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial letter to the company on January 7, 2013. Subsequently on January 9, 2013 Atlantic City Coin and Slot filed petitions with the OHA at Docket Numbers 2990 of 2013 and 2996 of 2013 to withdraw the renewal applications for the company, its Principals and its Key Employees.

In its Petition for Withdrawal Atlantic

City Coin and Slot cited an insurmountable financial hardship leading to the eminent closing of its business as the reason for the withdrawal request.

Atlantic City Coin and Slot further requested its applications be withdrawn without prejudice.

Following these filings Enforcement Counsel and Atlantic City Coin and Slot entered into negotiations to resolve the recommendation of denial and the

Withdrawal Petitions.

years.

On February 14, 2013 the parties entered into this Consent Agreement to resolve all matters. The terms of this agreement include the following provisions. Atlantic City Coin and Slot's application for renewal of its Manufacturer License shall be withdrawn without prejudice upon condition that Atlantic City Coin and Slot may not apply for any type

of Gaming License in Pennsylvania for a period of two

Atlantic City Coin and Slot shall wind down all business with any Pennsylvania casino facility within 60 days of approval of this Consent Agreement unless otherwise extended. Atlantic City Coin and Slot shall not liquidate any debt owed to any Pennsylvania casino facility in any future proceeding and all such debts will be paid within 60 days of the

Board's approval of this Consent Agreement unless otherwise extended.

2.

The Renewal Application of Atlantic City Coin and Slot Principals and Key Employees shall be withdrawn without prejudice if the other terms of the Consent Agreement are satisfied. The OEC will withdraw its recommendation of denial at the end of the 60-day period and upon satisfaction of the other terms of the Consent Agreement. The 60-day period may be extended up to 120 days after a written request by Atlantic City Coin and Slot for good cause shown.

If Atlantic City Coin and Slot has not satisfied its requirements under the Consent Agreement within 120 days after approval of the Consent Agreement by the Board Atlantic City Coin and Slot's right to a hearing is waived and its renewal application shall be denied by the Board and its Principal and Key Employee Applications shall be withdrawn with prejudice.

And finally Atlantic City Coin and Slot will pay a \$2,500 fee for costs incurred by OEC, BIE, and Board staff related to this matter, which is consistent with the Board's billing policy. At this time I would ask the Board to entertain a motion to approve this Consent Agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Atlantic City Coin and Slot Service Company, Inc. as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

10 | Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

13 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 Opposed? The motion carries.

16 ATTORNEY MILLER:

17 Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Dustin.

20 ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the
Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between
the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC. Cassandra
Fenstermaker will present the matter on behalf of the
OEC. I see Mr. William Downey and Ms. Lynn Hughes are

here on behalf of Harrah's Chester.

2.3

CHAIRMAN:

Cassandra, good morning.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker,

F-E-N-S-T-E-R-M-A-K-E-R, on behalf of the OEC. We have today for the Board's consideration two Consent Agreements between the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC doing business as Harrah's Philadelphia Casino and Racetrack.

The first Consent Agreement is the result of an underage gaming incident. On August 2nd, 2012 a 19 year old male named Kenneth Argo entered Harrah's Philadelphia wearing a wristband. And for those of you who aren't familiar with Harrah's procedure for handing out wristbands, the security officers issue them to patrons after they've checked their ID, verified that they're over the age of 21 or 21 or older. And then they issue the wristband to ensure that they don't have to be bothered every time they come back and forth in and off of the gaming floor.

In this instance Harrah's did not issue the wristband to Mr. Argo. Mr. Argo walked through

the main entrance where two security officers were stationed and held his arm out displaying his wristband. The security officer stationed to the right allowed Mr. Argo to proceed onto the gaming floor where he proceeded to play approximately 61 hands of Blackjack. The other security officer that was posted at the main entrance recognized Mr. Argo as the same individual who had attempted to enter the gaming floor on a previous occasion using his father's identification.

Mr. Argo was located on the gaming floor and was escorted to the security office where he was permanently evicted from Harrah's and was taken into custody. The parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order Harrah's Philadelphia shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$12,000 and \$2,500 for costs incurred by the OEC, BIE and related staff in connection with this matter.

This is Harrah's third fine for underage gaming violations since the renewal of its slots license in 2010 and the OEC asks that the Board approve the Consent Agreement as presented. And as Cyrus stated, we'd be happy to answer any questions along with Harrah's representatives.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Chester Downs?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Bill Downey on behalf of Harrah's Philadelphia. With me at Counsel table is Lynn Hughes who's the Chief Counsel and Vice President for the region. Mr. Chairman, we would join in the factorial recitation presented by OEC and we're prepared to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

I have just one. Do we have any idea about this wristband?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

No. Apparently it was, in fact, one of our wristbands and, you know, having some experience on the liquor side of this in other jurisdictions, not an uncommon move to go to the bathroom, switch it with a buddy and you're in. We've presented the wristband issue to the Board before, it does present a little bit of a two-edge sword for us. And we got the wrong end of the sword this time.

CHAIRMAN:

So this was one of your wristbands?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Yes.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

If I may. In reviewing the surveillance 1 2 and conducting our report or investigation it appears 3 as though Kenneth Argo's brother, who was about two years older, came in earlier in the day and obtained a 5 wristband. And then immediately left the property and --- we can only assume. We don't have any conclusive 6 evidence that that's how he obtained it. 7 8 CHAIRMAN: So we have a thriving market in 9 10 wristbands somewhere around here; right? 11 ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER: 12 Apparently. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Any questions, comments from the Board? 15 MR. GINTY: 16 That procedure's just asking for 17 trouble; isn't it? ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 18 You can make the argument. Sure. 19 20 mean, ---. 21 MR. GINTY: 22 For the very example you gave. 23 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 24 One of the issues and frankly our

implementation of the policy was a result of a

25

discussion we had with the Board in a case where, frankly, then Chairman Fajt clicked off a list of items that we might consider. In fact, I believe we implemented every one of those items. This is one of those items.

6 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

We had no problem and it's certainly a policy that is used with great success, but, like I said, there's another edge to the sword here and every once in a while it catches.

MR. GINTY:

The fact of the matter is if somebody comes in and waves a wristband you don't check IDs?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

All joking aside, the policy is that you're still checking IDs. In fact, you'll note in the settlement we have disciplined the one officer relatively severely who, in fact, confronted him was ---saw the display, but clearly our policy is 30 years or under you get carded. And that didn't happen here, so that's the ---.

MR. GINTY:

So, the procedure is that you are carded when you come in whether or not you are wearing a

1 wristband?

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN:

Before Mr. Fajt defends himself, is there any thought being given by Chester Downs to maybe doing away with this policy?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

It's something we would certainly consider if that were a recommendation from the Board.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Then he'll blame you, Mr. Chairman.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Not that it makes any difference.

CHAIRMAN:

I think Mr. Ginty makes some very good points here. It sounds to me like when you give somebody the band what it does is tell your employees, well, you don't really have to worry about this particular person no matter what your procedures are. Go ahead, Greg.

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Moscato leaned over and said, are you going to defend him and pay part of the fine, too. And I am not. I don't

remember what the list was, Mr. Downey, of different things, but I do remember a discussion on this. And I think part of it was, you know, that employees (sic) who come in and are of age and legitimate, have a wristband and, you know, your employees, as well as most of the casino employees, are trained that if you see anybody of suspicious age while they're on a gaming floor you should go and question them.

And so I think it became a practical matter of, you know, are you going to bother somebody who's 21, 22, you know, may look 19? Are you going to bother them, you know, five times in the course of an evening to produce their ID? So, again, there are going to be circumstances where, as you said, Mr. Downey, the other end of the sword sticks you, but I still think it's good policy. I think it does make sense even if they have a wristband to look at it. I suspect that --- you know, I've had wristbands on before, you know, walking into different venues.

If they're tight enough, it becomes very difficult to slip over your wrist unless you cut it. When you examine the wristband somebody else, you know, comes in, you can obviously tell if it's been taped or not. So, again, just a little tip that I may regret saying that sometime, too. I've been down this

road before.

CHAIRMAN:

One other question is --- this is a toss up question. Is Chester Downs the only one of the 11 casinos that has this, ---

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No.

CHAIRMAN:

--- to your knowledge?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

All the casinos employ the wristband policy and to approach it from a serious standpoint, the wristband policy does work when it's done properly. Obviously the security guards at the entry point should have asked for the identification. They didn't. The purpose of the wristband policy is that once an individual is on the floor to keep other employees from bothering a patron constantly as Commissioner Fajt stated. And it does work and it does --- I mean, I've been to ---.

I've talked to people in the casino who go to the casinos as patrons and while a lot of them are complaining about having a wristband, they do say that once I have the wristband at least they don't bother me every time I move on the floor for my

identification. So it does work to some extent and, 1 2 like Mr. Downey stated, it is a double edge sword from 3 time to time, but for the most part it is --- it does work. And we're not seeing a very significant problem 5 in underage gaming in the Commonwealth. I can 6 honestly say that, that our problems here are no 7 different than any other jurisdiction, but they are significantly less than other jurisdictions. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Although, it certainly is an expensive 11 problem. Any other question or comment from the Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 12 13 MR. MCCALL: 14 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 15 between the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC as 16 17 described by the OEC. MR. MCNALLY: 18 Second. 19 20 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 21

22 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. 24

25 you.

23

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

The second Consent Agreement that we have with Harrah's Philadelphia is the result of Harrah's Philadelphia's failure to implement an operational plan within the time specified by the Board. On October 14th, 2011 Harrah's submitted a request to the Board for the approval of Operational Plan 16, which I'll just refer to as Op 16. Op 16, among other things, would result in the creation of two new banks of slot machines, JJ 32 and JJ 33, totaling 18 machines.

Op 16 was approved by the Board's Executive Director on November 7th, 2011. Pursuant to the letter all slot machine changes were to be implemented within 60 days of the approval. On December 22nd, 2011 Harrah's was granted approval by all the necessary Bureaus and parties for the games in JJ Banks 32 and 33 to be made available to the public. In April 2012 it was identified by Board personnel that these games were never placed into play. The games were made available to the public for play on or about April 13, 2012.

The parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order Harrah's shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$34,500 in addition to \$2,500

in costs incurred by the OEC, BIE and other related 1 staff in connection with this matter. 2 The OEC asks that the Board approve the Consent Agreement as 3 presented today. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Mr. Downey? 7 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: Again, Mr. Chairman, we would just join 8 in the recitation of facts and entertain any 9 10 questions. I do want to --- Commissioner Fajt had nothing to do with this one. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 It's too late. Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex Officio members? 14 May I 15 have a motion? 16 MR. MCNALLY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 18 between the OEC and Chester Downs and Marina, LLC as 19 20 described by the OEC. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Second? 23 MR. MOSCATO: Second. 24 25 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2.

you.

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

We have for the Board's consideration today a Consent Agreement between the OEC and Angelo Lutz, Jr. This Consent Agreement is the result of an Exclusion Petition filed by the OEC on September 12th, 2012. In his Exclusion Petition the OEC sought the exclusion of Mr. Lutz as a result of his convictions for the following crimes on July 20th, 2001. One count of RICO conspiracy, three counts of racketeering, aiding and abetting, three counts of Hobbs Act extortion, aiding and abetting, two counts of illegal sports bookmaking business, aiding and abetting.

Mr. Lutz requested a hearing, however, prior to a full evidentiary hearing being held in this matter the parties entered into this Consent Agreement. The Consent Agreement provides for the placement of Mr. Lutz on the Board's Exclusion List for a minimum period of three years, which has the effect of requiring Mr. Lutz's exclusion from all

Commonwealth casinos while his name is on the list. 1 2 The Consent Agreement also prohibits Mr. 3 Lutz from petitioning the Board for early consideration of his removal from the Board's Exclusion List. The OEC asks that the Board approve 6 the Consent Agreement as presented today. 7 CHAIRMAN: Is anyone here on the other side? 8 9 ATTORNEY FENSTEMAKER: 10 Not that I'm aware. 11 ATTORNEY PITRE: 12 No. I think they informed us that they would not be attending today. 13 14 CHAIRMAN:

Okay. May I have a motion? I guess I should first ask if there are any questions or comment from the Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Mr. Angelo Lutz as described by the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

25 Second.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

50

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Cassandra.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The fifth matter that we have today for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement

13 between the OEC and Sands BethWorks Gaming, LLC. Mr.

14 Mike Roland will present the matter on behalf of the

15 OEC. I see Mr. Kraus and Mr. DeSalvio are here today

16 on behalf of Sands.

17 CHAIRMAN:

18 You may begin.

19 ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Mr. Chairman, thank you. Once again,

21 Michael Roland with the OEC. This is the first of two

22 | Consent Agreements I'll be presenting to you this

23 morning. This one involves six separate incidents of

24 underage on Sands gaming floor. The first one, on

25 August 12th, 2012 underage patron, Ivan Silva

Santiago, age 20, was identified when a floor supervisor noticed that the picture on his identification didn't look like him.

Additionally, Mr. Santiago did not know his address and Mr. Santiago eventually admitted that the identification did not belong to him. He also admitted that he was drinking and gaming while on the property. Mr. Santiago was on the gaming floor for approximately one hour and 15 minutes. In that time he gamed at four separate slot machines, one Blackjack table and consumed one alcoholic beverage. The security identification scanner was not used to verify Mr. Santiago's identification when he entered the facility.

The second instance took place on August 18th, 2012 when an off duty Sands Security Officer,
Joseph Harbaugh, came to the casino with an underage female. Samantha Ariel Fly, age 19, utilized a fake Arizona driver's license to gain access to the property. Security requested identification from Ms. Fly, but did not scan it. Ms. Fly left and returned to the gaming floor two additional times.

Security again allowed Ms. Fly to enter the gaming floor once without requesting identification at all and once after scanning Ms.

Fly's identification. It should be noted that the ID in this situation was apparently an expensive fake because it actually did scan in the scanners at the front door of Sands Casino. During that time Ms. Fly was on the property, she was not observed gaming, but was observed drinking alcoholic beverages.

After leaving Sands property Mr.

Harbaugh was stopped by the local police department

for failing to use his turn signal. Ms. Fly, who was

his passenger, provided identification to the officers

indicating that she was only 19 years of age. She at

that point admitted she had been in Sands Casino and

that she was consuming alcohol while on the property.

Count three takes place on September the 19th, 2012 when Jack Ming Singh (phonetic) was located on the gaming floor and was asked for identification. His identification indicated that he was 20 years of age. Security requested identification from Mr. Singh, but failed to scan it. The identification was returned to Mr. Singh and he was granted access to the gaming floor. Mr. Singh was on the gaming floor for slightly more than 30 minutes. He played slot machines and he consumed one alcoholic beverage.

The fourth incident takes place on October 29th, 2012. A father reported to security

that his son identified as George P. Lazovitch (phonetic), age 19, gained access to the gaming floor and claimed that he had won approximately \$800. The review of surveillance footage provided that the underage patron was asked for identification by security, however, security let him into the casino once again without scanning the identification. The underage patron gamed at four separate slot machines, was on the gaming floor for approximately one hour and 40 minutes. He did not consume any alcoholic beverages.

The fifth incident is November the 2nd, 2012. Security approached 19 year old Blake Harrison Cramer on the gaming floor and asked him for his identification. Mr. Cramer informed security that he had turned 21 years old in May. Mr. Cramer then provided security with his identification and security noticed that the identification had a different date of birth than which Mr. Cramer had provided. He then stated that he was 22 years of age and finally he admitted that he was only 20 years old, utilized a friend's identification to gain access to the casino. Mr. Cramer was on the floor for over two hours, gamed at multiple slot machines, a single table game, and consumed two alcoholic beverages.

The last incidence is on November the 17th, 2012 when an underage patron, Justin Ucauchi, (phonetic) was observed at a Craps table. Mr. Ucauchi was stopped and asked for valid identification before gaining access to the floor. Again, security officers reviewed, scanned the identification. Actually, in this case security officers reviewed and scanned the identification, but Mr. Ucauchi had used another individual's valid identification to gain access. Mr. Ucauchi was on the gaming floor for a total of 38 minutes. He was served and consumed one unknown beverage. He also engaged in table game play while he was on the casino gaming floor.

At this time the OEC requests that the Board approve this Consent Agreement between the parties. The terms of the settlement include that within five days of the Board's Order approving the Consent Agreement Sands shall pay a civil penalty of \$68,000 for the alleged violations described.

Also within five days of the Board's Order approving the Consent Agreement Sands shall pay the Board \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other related staff in connection with this matter. Further, Sands has agreed that it shall immediately review its policies and controls and

provide training and guidance to its employees, which 1 2. would minimize occurrences like this in the future. 3 If you have any questions, we'd be happy to answer them. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Any comments from Sands? 7 ATTORNEY KRAUS: Yes, Mr. Chairman. Fred Kraus. 8 I don't 9 know if the mic is on. Can you hear me? 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 I can hear you pretty well. 12 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 13 Okay. 14 CHAIRMAN: Why don't you just, for the record ---? 15 16 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 17 Yes. K-R-A-U-S, Fred Kraus, Vice President and General Counsel for Venetian Casino 18 Resort, the parent company of Sands BethWorks Gaming. 19 20 Here with me is Bob DeSalvio the President of the property. He's going to address the statistics, which 21 I think will corroborate the comments that Cyrus made 22 23 a few minutes ago about the extent of the efforts that we undertake, the success we have despite these 24 25 failures to exclude --- excluding and preventing

minors from entering our properties is a companywide policy at all the casinos we operate worldwide.

In each one of these cases we convened a meeting of all the department heads, addressed each one of the incidents. Noteworthy as to the seriousness in which we take these matters Bob DeSalvio participated in each one of these in this conference call. We had present every applicable department head to review each one of the incidents so that both Bob and I could be satisfied that under the circumstances appropriate discipline was taken and appropriate remedial measures were employed.

We're both satisfied that that's taken place. We wish we were --- we didn't have to be here with these mistakes, but these are not problems with the policy. We keep trying to get better at this. Bob will tell you, we exclude and turn away a large, large number of minors who attempt to enter. We always try to approach perfection. We think we've responded appropriately. We would urge the Board to adopt the Consent Agreement in this case.

We've also included in the Consent

Agreement as to each one of the incidents, the

progressive discipline that was imposed in each case.

In some of these instances table games personnel

picked it up where security personnel missed it. 1 So, 2 anticipating perhaps one of the questions of the 3 Board, we do have the wristband policy. We think that it is an effective deterrent because it focuses minors on the requirement. We have instituted a prior 5 recommendation by the Board that notwithstanding the 6 7 existence of a wristband, that does not mean that the team members are not obligated to follow the company 8 9 policy, which is to check everybody who looks under 10 the age of 30.

We've adopted the policy worldwide for gaming operations with the idea that we don't ask you to look to make a determination if they look 21. If they look under 30, you should check. We devise that to be over inclusive with the hope that with such a broad net we catch everybody under the age of 21.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions, comments from the

19 Board?

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. MCNALLY:

What remedial measures did you take after these instances?

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

In one case it was termination of Security Officer Harbaugh. It's spelled out in the

Consent Agreement. He was the one that brought that underage minor in. That was shocking to us that a security officer, one of whose main function is to prevent the entry of minors would have brought a minor with him that may have caused other security officers who otherwise received progressive discipline to let down their guards, never imagining that a fellow security officer would show up on the gaming floor with an underage person. Bob can articulate that we have a two strike policy where we believe if there's a violation of procedures, once is a personal improvement plan and training, a rededication, a reiteration of our policies. And a second violation of policy results in termination.

MR. MCNALLY:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Wouldn't those be described as progressive discipline as opposed to a remedy to prevent future occurrences? I thought that when you talked about remedial measures that you had instituted additional actions in addition to the wristband to prevent future occurrences. Is that not what happened?

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

We reiterate policies. Part of the remedial measures is to reiterate the policies to

address firsthand with each one of the department 1 heads for each one of the departments who may have 2 3 been implicated in an incident in addition to issuing discipline for any actual team member who violated applicable policies. So, we do both. 5 6 MR. MCNALLY: 7 Okay. Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions? Ex Officio 9 members? May I have a motion? 10 11 MR. FAJT: Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the 12 Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 13 14 between the OEC and Sands BethWorks Gaming, LLC as described by the OEC. 15 16 MR. GINTY: 17 Second. 18 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 19 20 ALL SAY AYE 21 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. Thank 22 23 you, gentlemen. Counselor? 24 ATTORNEY KRAUS: 25 I'm going to violate a rule that when

the matter's over I should sit down, but I would just note for the record that Bob DeSalvio was here to address the statistics. And I don't know if the Board is interested in having these placed as part of the record.

CHAIRMAN:

I don't think that's necessary given all the testimony we've heard. We certainly appreciate the efforts you've made, Mr. DeSalvio, but I think the Board heard what it had to hear. And given that it's a Consent Decree we're satisfied. We certainly appreciate your attempt --- successful attempt at cooperation. Okay?

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

The second Consent Agreement, Mr.

Chairman, involves Emerson Network Power who was placed on the Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Providers List on October 21st, 2009. The failure to timely address multiple deficiencies with Emerson Network Power's application led to their being placed on the Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider's List.

On July 5, 2011 Sands made a

disbursement to Emerson Network Power for their services in the amount of \$10,982.11 and then on December the 9th, 2011 Sands made a second disbursement to Emerson Network Power for their services in the amount of \$14,235.79. Emerson Network Power was on the Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List at the time of both disbursements. Emerson Network Power as they describe what their services are they say they supply and maintain interruptible power supplies and other data service related equipment services.

At this time the OEC requests that the Board approve the Consent Agreement between the parties. The terms of the settlement include that within five days of the Board's Order approving this Consent Agreement Sands shall pay a civil penalty of \$7,500 for the alleged violation described.

Additionally within five days of the Board's Order approving this Consent Agreement Sands shall pay the Board \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other related staff in connection with this matter.

Finally Sands has agreed that it will immediately review its policies and controls and provide additional training and guidance to its employees so this instance doesn't occur again in the

future. We're available for any questions if you have them.

CHAIRMAN:

2.

Okay. Comments from Sands?

ATTORNEY KRAUS:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board.

Again, Fred Kraus, K-R-A-U-S, Vice President and

General Counsel for Venetian Casino Resort, parent

company of Sands BethWorks Gaming. Here with me is

Mr. DeSalvio.

As in the previous matter, we conducted a --- had a discussion with three different departments of this incident, investigations, purchasing and compliance and one of the things that came out of that discussion was the recognition that the importance of checking when we have the information the names of --- trade names and the names of affiliates of entities that are on the prohibited list. We have a sufficient process in place where that --- where the prohibited list is checked once a week.

Every time we get an update to the list it is circulated to each one of the departments. The deficiency here was that the trade name, I believe, was the problem. So we've already conducted what we

63 think are the remedial measures and we urge the Board 1 2 to adopt the Consent Agreement. 3 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comment from the Board? 4 Officio members? May I have a motion? 5 6 MR. GINTY: 7 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 8 between the OEC and Sands BethWorks Gaming, LLC as 9 10 described by the OEC. 11 CHAIRMAN: Second? 12 13 MS. KAISER: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 16 17 ALL SAY AYE 18 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 19 20 you, gentlemen. 21 MR. DESALVIO: 22 Thank you very much. 23 ATTORNEY PITRE: The other matter that we have for the 24 25 Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between

the OEC and Valley Forge Convention Center Partners,

L.P. Glen Stuart will present the matter on behalf of
the OEC and Kevin Hayes is here on behalf of Valley
Forge.

ATTORNEY STUART:

1

2.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, members of the Board, Glen Stuart on behalf of OEC. S-T-U-A-R-T. Chairman. Presently before the Board for its consideration is a Consent Agreement entered into between the OEC and Valley Forge Casino and Resort. Specifically Valley Forge permitted an individual on the Self-exclusion List to obtain a Players Club Card, to wager on its gaming floor and to collect winnings. The selfexcluded individual gained access to the gaming floor on 38 occasions between April 2nd, 2012 and October 15th, 2012 by obtaining a casino access card as a patron of the Valley Forge amenities. Valley Forge reported this error to Board staff after the self-excluded individual attempted to obtain another Players Club Card and was found to be on the Self-Exclusion List. The Pennsylvania State Police charged the self-excluded individual for trespass on October 15, 2012.

require Valley Forge to pay a \$5,000 civil penalty and

The terms of the Consent Agreement

to remit \$2,500 to the Board for investigation associated with this Consent Agreement. The Consent Agreement is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Valley Forge?

ATTORNEY HAYES:

Mr. Chairman, members of the Board,
Enforcement Counsel has correctly stated the facts of
this incident and the terms of the Consent Agreement.
I would be happy to field any questions you have
relative to this matter.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. MCNALLY:

Yes. Have you done any sort of investigation to find out if any other persons on the excluded list kind of flew under the radar here?

ATTORNEY HAYES:

With this particular incident what occurred is on our third day of operation, April 2nd, 2012, he was issued a card despite the fact that this individual was identified in our system as a self-excluded person. So, it was human error. We've gone back and done multiple searches to confirm that

there's no other incidents where a self-excluded person was issued a card.

One other thing that we have done, which is address the issue of human error, is we've asked our player account system provider, Bally, to develop specific software which will make it --- which will provide additional alerts and additional safeguards that when our player services people, our table games employees are issuing cards there will be additional notifications and overrides that they would take in order to issue a card to someone who's been identified in our system as a self-excluded or excluded person.

MR. MCNALLY:

Thank you.

MR. GINTY:

You mean you're doing that manually now?

ATTORNEY HAYES:

Manually with respect to what?

MR. GINTY:

When somebody applies for a gaming card, when you enter it into the computer it won't kick out as an excluded person? You have to take a list and ---?

ATTORNEY HAYES:

No, no, no. It will automatically give

1 notification that there's a duplicate account and that
2 account is for an excluded person.

CHAIRMAN:

Anyone else? Ex Officio members? May I blave a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

8 issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement

9 between the OEC and Valley Forge Convention Center

10 Partners, L.P. as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

12 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

14 All in favor?

15 ALL SAY AYE

3

6

11

13

16

19

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you, gentlemen.

ATTORNEY HAYES:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

21 ATTORNEY PITRE:

The eighth matter that we have for the Board's consideration today is a Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association.

Deputy Chief Barry Creany will present the matter on

behalf of the OEC and Marie Jones is here on behalf of Washington Trotting Association.

CHAIRMAN:

wager is bet.

Ms. Jones, are you an attorney?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

Good afternoon, Chairman Ryan,

Commissioners. My name is Eugene Barry Creany.

That's C-R-E-A-N-Y. I'm Deputy Chief Enforcement

Counsel here on behalf of OEC. The matter we are

presenting for your consideration today arises from a

situation involving the Washington Trotting

Association Meadows Casino Craps Rules Submission of

May 5, 2011 in which the Meadows requested the Board's

And the vigorish is the casino's commission on any bet. The rule submission was approved on May 27, 2011, however, the Meadows never implemented the rules as to when that collection would take place. More specifically the Board's regulations require that the casino must obtain approval of the

approval to collect a vigorish at the time the players

rule submission, which sets forth the details of the options, the payouts, the wagers that the casino will use to conduct the table game.

The BIE discovered that the Meadows' May of 2011 approved rule submission indicated that it would collect the five percent vigorish at the time that a player wagers on a buy bet and at the time that the player wagers on a lay bet. The Bureau's investigation further indicated that the Meadows nonetheless continued to collect the five percent vigorish on the amount of wagered on a winning buy bet and on the amount of the payout of a winning lay bet. And this took place from May of 2011 through August 27th of 2012 at which time the OEC notified the Meadows to discontinue collecting the Craps vigorish in a manner that did not comply with its approved rule submission.

The Meadows immediately ceased collecting any vigorish on the Craps, lay bets and buy bets. That very next day the Meadows filed a rule submission requesting approval to collect the buy and lay bet vigorish in a manner consistent with the way in which it had been conducting the vigorish collection, that is to collect the vigorish only on the winning bets. The Meadows third and current rules

submission was approved on August 30, 2012 and the casino resumed the collection of the vigorish on the buy and lay bets.

2.

The OEC maintains the manner in which the Meadows conducted its Craps games relative to the buy bets and lay bets amounts to a continuing violation of its internal controls for a period of 15 months. The Meadows has agreed to pay a civil penalty of \$30,000 for the alleged regulatory violation and \$2,500 for the costs incurred by the OEC, BIE and related Board staff. In addition the Meadows has agreed to institute policies and controls and provide its employees with training and guidance to reduce the opportunity for the reoccurrence of a similar incident in the future. I would be glad to try to entertain any questions the Board may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Washington Trotting?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Good afternoon. Just to say we urge you to adopt the Consent Agreement and that at no time was this to the detriment of any patrons. Actually it was to their benefit because we collected on the winning wagers only and not on the bets which was actually what the incorrect rule submission permitted.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex Officio members? Can I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as described by the OEC.

MR. MCNALLY:

10 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

13 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

CHAIRMAN:

15 Opposed? The motion carries.

16 ATTORNEY JONES:

17 Thank you.

18 CHAIRMAN:

19 Thank you all.

20 ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter that we have for the
Board's consideration is the placement of Si Jia Liu
on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Dustin
Miller will present the matter on behalf of OEC. If
Mr. Liu is present, I ask that he come forward.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Si Jia Liu in the hearing room? ATTORNEY MILLER:

Good afternoon. The OEC filed a petition to place Mr. Liu on the Exclusion List for cheating while playing three card Poker at Parx Casino on September 10th, 2012. In the September 10, 2012 incident Mr. Liu was observed playing two hands of three card Poker simultaneously. On six different occasions Mr. Liu improperly switched cards between the two hands he was playing in order to increase his chance of winning.

Mr. Liu was arrested by onsite

Pennsylvania State Police for his actions and charged with criminal violations of the Gaming Act. A petition was promptly served upon Mr. Liu to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both certified and first class mail. Mr. Liu did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Liu's failure to respond the averments of the petition are deemed admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived. February 7th of 2013 the OEC filed a request to enter a judgment upon default. The matter's now before the Board to consider the placement of Si Jia Liu on the Board's Excluded

Dwayne Small on the PGCB's Involuntary Exclusion List.
If Mr. Small is present, I ask that he come forward.
Cassandra Fenstermaker will present the matter on
behalf of the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Dwayne Small in the hearing room? Go ahead.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Good afternoon. On January 18th, 2013 the OEC filed an Exclusion Petition against Dwayne Small. Mr. Small repeatedly attempted to past post wagers while playing Blackjack at Harrah's Philadelphia. The petition was sent to Mr. Small by both first class and certified mail and Mr. Small did not respond to the filing in any way and therefore pursuant to Board regulations all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a request for default judgment on February 22, 2013 and at this time requests that Dwayne Small be placed on the Board's Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes, Chairman. I move that the Board

1 issue an Order to approve the addition of Dwayne Small
2 to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by
3 the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

8 ALL SAY AYE

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Cassandra. Thank you, Cyrus. Ladies and gentlemen, we next have our public comment period. As I understand it, we do not have anyone who registered to speak today. Is there anyone in the audience wishing to make public comment? Okay. I hear no response. That will then conclude today's meeting. Our next scheduled public meeting will be held on April 3rd in this room. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. Any final comments from the

MR. FAJT:

So moved.

Board? Ex Officios? May I have a motion to adjourn?