#### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

IN RE: EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA CITIZENS AGAINST

GAMBLING'S PETITION TO INTERVENE IN VALLEY FORGE

CASINO RESORT'S PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF REVISED

RESORT MEMBERSHIP PROGRAM

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

#### PUBLIC HEARING

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., Chairman

Annmarie Kaiser, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gregory C. Fajt, Gary A. Sojka, Keith R.

McCall, James B. Ginty; Members Jorge

Augusto, representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture Christopher B.

Craig, Representing Robert M. McCord,

Robert P. Coyne, Representing Secretary to

Department of Revenue Daniel P. Meuser

HEARING: Thursday, November 8, 2012

LOCATION: Strawberry Square Complex

Second Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: None

Reporter: Lacey C. Gray

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
2
                    APPEARANCES
1
2
3
  GLENN STUART, ESQUIRE
  Office of Enforcement Counsel
5 PA Gaming Control Board
6 P.O. Box 69060
  Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060
8
       Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
9
10 MICHAEL D. FABIUS, ESQUIRE
11 Ballard Spahr, LLP
12 1735 Market Street
13 51st Floor
14 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599
15
       Counsel for Valley Forge Convention Center
16
       Partners, LP
17
18 KEVIN C. HAYES, ESQUIRE
19 Doherty Hayes Law
20 1000 Bank Towers
21 321 Spruce Street
22 Scranton, PA 18503
23
        Counsel for Valley Forge Convention Center
24
        Partners, LP
25
```

		3	
1	INDEX		
2			
3	OPENING REMARKS		
4	By Chairman	5 – 6	
5	PRESENTATION		
6	By Attorney Stuart	7	
7	PRESENTATION		
8	By Attorney Fabius	7 – 9	
9	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	10 - 11	
10	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	11 - 12	
11	CLOSING REMARKS		
12	By Chairman	12 - 13	
13	CERTIFICATE	14	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

					4
1		E	X H I B I T S		
2					
3				Page	Page
4	Number	Description		Offered	<u>Admitted</u>
5			NONE OFFERED		
6					
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

## PROCEEDINGS

2

# CHAIRMAN:

1

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

25

Good morning, everyone. I'm Bill Ryan, 4 Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. 6 Before we begin I would like to ask everyone to please turn off cell phones, PDAs, and other devices. Thank you all very much.

With us today is Chris Craig representing State Treasurer Robert McCord; Bob Coyne representing Secretary of the Department of Revenue, Dan Meuser; and Jorge Augusto representing the Secretary of Agriculture, George Greig. Thank you, gentlemen, for 14 being here.

I'll call the meeting to order, a quorum of members being present. I should note that today is Jim Ginty's birthday.

### MR. MOSCATO:

Dare we ask how old, Mr. Chairman?

# CHAIRMAN:

21 No, you don't. First order of business,

22 I'd like to ask everyone to please stand for the

23 Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED 2.4

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. We have one hearing prior to the start of the public meeting today. This matter is a Petition filed by Eastern Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling and James Schneller, which seeks Intervention in Valley Forge Casino Resort's Petition for Approval of a revised resort membership program.

Earlier this week Mr. Schneller made a filing with the Board clerk indicating he would not be present today, so we will consider his arguments on the documents. I do know, however, that both the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) and Valley Forge have responded to the Petition Seeking Intervention, so I would ask each of those parties if they have anything they would like to say to the Board on this matter? Enforcement Counsel?

And gentlemen and ladies, whoever comes up, before you speak I'd appreciate it and our court reporter, who I believe is new to the way we do things here, would appreciate it if you would state your name, who you represent, and spell your last name.

#### ATTORNEY STUART:

Glenn Stuart for the (OEC). Last name is, S-T-U-A-R-T.

# CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead, sir.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

## ATTORNEY STUART:

We would maintain that Mr. Schneller lacks standing and that the Board should deny his Petition.

## CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Short and sweet. Valley Forge? ATTORNEY FABIUS:

Michael Fabius from the law firm Ballard Spahr. It's, F-A-B-I-U-S. With me is Kevin Hayes.

# ATTORNEY HAYES:

Kevin Hayes, H-A-Y-E-S, on behalf of Valley Forge.

## ATTORNEY FABIUS:

Good morning. We'll try and keep it 16 nearly as brief as OEC. Thank you, Chairman, thank you, Commissioners. Happy birthday, Commissioner Ginty.

#### MR. GINTY:

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY FABIUS:

22 The Intervention and the Stay requested 23 by Mr. Schneller can be addressed briefly in the fact that he offers --- he doesn't address the legal 2.4 25 standing the Board has in its regulations. He doesn't address the legal standing for Stay. He has addressed them in the past. And there's a significant material difference in his papers --- this time from his papers in the past and the brevity that he spends addressing his arguments. He says on standing he is certain that he has standing. And he makes references to unspecified pleadings that we can't decipher. This is, in our view, not even a good faith attempt to address the legal standards that he knows he has to meet, that he has attempted to meet in the past and he's failed to meet in the past.

In May of this year --- I'm sorry, March of this year Mr. King was before you in the last request that we made for sanctions against Mr.

Schneller, asking that enough was enough. At that time the Board admonished the behavior in a written adjudication and called it a clear abuse of process. At the time, Chairman Ryan, you expressed sympathy from the bench. Commissioner Sojka, you expressed sympathy from the bench, asking about costs and damages in response to this Petition. There's in excess of \$10,000 of my attorney time, myself on behalf of Valley Forge.

We know that there's no merit to this 25 Petition, but if we don't participate --- one, we don't want to disrespect the Board by not

participating and treating it seriously. And two, we

have to be wary of adverse factual findings if we

don't treat it seriously. So we do, we respond and we

attend.

There's a lack of symmetry here. He simply papers the record because that's all he needs to do in order to then appeal the inevitable denial, appeal to the Commonwealth Court, appeal to the Pennsylvania Supreme Court, attempt to appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court, threaten to appeal to the U.S. Supreme Court, to a Federal District Court. And the procedure is, to say nothing else, a mess.

March be stepped up at least a notch. You gave him a clear signal that this was abuse of process and it should not continue. He hasn't taken that signal and he's continued to abuse the Board's process. In March we —— there was a discussion of a rule to show cause. At the very least, we would ask for a rule to show cause to instruct him to attend, and at the very least, be here present for, you know, another instruction that it's an abuse of process.

At this point I'll take any questions.

25 CHAIRMAN:

Questions from the Board?

### MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

I have a question.

### CHAIRMAN:

Jim?

## MR. GINTY:

I have a question. We could be asking Mr. Schneller to show cause from here to doomsday. I mean, it's not going to change anything. He would simply appeal that decision on and on and on. Do you have any recourse outside of coming to the Board for some come of injunctive relief?

### ATTORNEY STUART:

There is. We've looked at abuse of process, misuse of prosecution. There's prospects for damages, but we are not optimistic that damages could be collected, that he may be judgment proof from any civil damages.

#### MR. GINTY:

I mean, if you sought inductive relief, I 21 mean, you could move on from there if you had to; couldn't you? I mean, I assume he has a house or cars or some such thing. But that was just a comment.

## ATTORNEY FABIUS:

I understand and I appreciate it.

#### MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Rather than coming back to us. Because I'm not sure that one --- that would end anything. would suggest you look at some other alternative.

### ATTORNEY STUART:

Thank you, Commissioner.

Any other questions?

#### CHAIRMAN:

If I can, before we move on I'm going to ask our Chief Counsel, Mr. Sherman. Mr. Sherman, isn't it true that the issue of this gentleman, Mr. Schneller's, standing to come into this hearing room and to make himself part of the process is an issue that is on appeal to the Commonwealth Court? The Commonwealth Court still has not issued a decision; is that correct?

### ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That's correct. One of the Board's earlier denials of standing, I believe it was in the same matter involving the amenities plan, has been appealed by Mr. Schneller to Commonwealth Court. Office of Chief Counsel (OCC) is currently engaged in that litigation. And I think everybody is optimistic 23 that the court will rule that Mr. Schneller does not 24 have standing to participate in matters such as this. We do not have that court ruling yet.

### CHAIRMAN:

1.3

All right. Thank you. And Counselor, speaking for myself --- there are seven of us here --- but speaking for myself, but I think my colleagues would agree with me, we are all open to public input. We all need public input. We know that this Board is open, the doors are open. We have a period of time at the end of a meeting, at least every three or four meetings, I'm not sure what it is, inviting the public to come in and to say what's on people's minds.

However, we also have a process here. We know we have to protect. And that's the process, the rule of law. And we're aware of that. At this point, however, speaking for myself, it seems to me that on this issue we are best advised to wait until a --
Commonwealth Court issues an opinion on the issue of standing, then perhaps we can look at the issue again. I'm not saying we will. Speaking for myself, it's a possibility, but it seems to me it may be premature. Okay?

# ATTORNEY FABIUS:

I appreciate that.

## CHAIRMAN:

Anybody else? Okay. This concludes the public hearing if there is nothing from anyone else on

that issue. The Board will not be voting on this matter today. However, we will take it up for a vote prior to consideration of the underlying Petition by Valley Forge for which Intervention is sought at our November 20th meeting. Thank you all.

HEARING CONCLUDED

# CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Ryan was reported by me on 11/8/2012 and that I Lacey C. Gray read this transcript and that I attest that this 25 transcript is a true and accurate record of the

		14
1	1 proceeding.	
2	2 <b>V</b>	
3	2 3  Court Reporter	
4	4	
5	5	
6	6	
7	7	
8	8	
9	9	
10	10	
11	11	
12	12	
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25	25	