COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt, James B. Ginty, Annmarie

Kaiser, Keith R. McCall, Anthony C.

Moscato, Gary A. Sojka; Members

Christopher B. Craig, Representing Robert

McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, Representing Daniel P.

Meuser, Secretary of Revenue

HEARING: Wednesday, October 10, 2012

10:35 a.m.

LOCATION: Strawberry Square Complex

Second Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: Joseph R. Moser, David Walls, Michael Cruz

Reporter: Kayla Bolze

DRAFT VERSION UNTIL APPROVED BY THE BOARD

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

2 APPEARANCES 1 OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL 2 3 R. DOUGLAS SHERMAN, ESQUIRE Chief Counsel 4 STEPHEN S. COOK, ESQUIRE 5 6 Deputy Chief Counsel OFFICE OF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL 7 CYRUS PITRE, ESQUIRE 8 Chief Enforcement Counsel 9 10 MICHAEL ROLAND, ESQUIRE Assistant Chief Enforcement Counsel 11 MELISSA POWERS, ESQUIRE 12 Assistant Enforcement Counsel 13 DUSTIN MILLER, ESQUIRE 14 15 Assistant Enforcement Counsel CASSANDRA FENSTERMAKER, ESQUIRE 16 Assistant Enforcement Counsel 17 BETH A. MANIFESTO, ESQUIRE 18 19 Assistant Enforcement Counsel 20 JEFFREY P. HOEFLICH, ESQUIRE Assistant Enforcement Counsel 21 22 PA Gaming Control Board 23 P.O. Box 69060 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060 24 25 Counsel for the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

```
3
                APPEARANCES (cont.)
1
2
3
  MICHAEL D. FABIUS, ESQUIRE
  Ballard Spahr, LLP
  1735 Market Street, 51st Floor
  Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599
6
7
      Counsel for Western Money Systems & Global Cash
      Access
8
9
10 THOMAS C. BONNER, ESQUIRE
11 Greenwood Gaming Services Co.
12 2999 Street Road
13 Post Office Box 1000
14 Bensalem, PA 19020
15
      Counsel for Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc.
16
17 GUY S. MICHAEL, ESQUIRE
18 Michael & Carroll, PC
19 1125 Atlantic Avenue, Suite 619
20 Atlantic City, NJ
                     08401
21
      Counsel for International Gaming Technology
22
23
24
25
```

```
4
                APPEARANCES (cont.)
1
2
3
  DANIEL K. BRICMONT, ESQUIRE
  Caroselli, Beachler, McTiernan & Conboy, LLC
5 20 Stanwix Street, Seventh Floor
6 Pittsburgh, PA 15222
7
      Counsel for International Gaming Technology
8
  ALAN C. KOHLER, ESQUIRE
10 Eckert, Seamans, Cherin & Mellott, LLC
11 213 Market Street, 8th Floor
12 Harrisburg, PA 17101-2132
      Counsel for Pocono Downs Racing, LP
13
14
15 JAMES A. DOHERTY, III, ESQUIRE
16 | Doherty Hayes, LLC
17 321 Spruce Street
18 1000 Bank Towers
19 Scranton, PA 18503
20
      Counsel for DEQ Systems
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
5
                APPEARANCES (cont.)
 1
2
3 MARK S. STEWART, ESQUIRE
4 Eckert, Seamans, Cherin & Mellott, LLC
5 Post Office Box 1248
6 Harrisburg, PA 17101
 7
      Counsel for United States Playing Card Company
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				6
1	I N D E X			
2				
3	OPENING REMARKS			
4	By Chairperson Ryan	8	-	12
5	PRESENTATION			
6	By Ms. Yantis	12	-	13
7	By Mr. Rhen	13	-	17
8	Attorney Sherman	17	-	23
9	Attorney Cook	23	-	30
10	WITNESS: JOSEPH R. MOSER			
11	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	30	-	4 0
12	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	4 0	-	42
13	PRESENTATION			
14	By Attorney Cook	42	-	47
15	WITNESS: DAVID WALLS			
16	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	47	-	61
17	PRESENTATION			
18	By Attorney Cook	61	-	63
19	By Ms. Hensel	63	-	7 4
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	7 4	-	75
21	PRESENTATION			
22	By Attorney Miller	75	-	78
23	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	78	-	79
24	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	79	-	85
25				

					7
1	I N D E X (cont.)				
2					
3	PRESENTATION				
4	By Attorney Manifesto	85	-	87	
5	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	87	-	8 9	
6	WITNESS: MICHAEL CRUZ				
7	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	8 9	-	93	
8	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	93	-	94	
9	PRESENTATION				
10	By Attorney Powers	94	-	95	
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	95	-	97	
12	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	97	-	101	
13	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	101	-	104	
14	PRESENTATION				
15	By Attorney Manifesto	104	-	111	
16	By Attorney Roland	111	-	113	
17	By Attorney Miller			113	
18	QUESTIONS BY BOARD	113	_	121	
19	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	121	_	124	
20	PRESENTATION				
21	By Attorney Fenstermaker	124	_	131	
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	131	_	133	
23	PRESENTATION				
24	By Attorney Hoeflich	133	_	135	
25	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	135	_	137	

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. name is Bill Ryan. I'm Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, I would like to ask everyone to please turn off cell phones, PDAs, and other electronic devices. Thank you.

The first order of business I think today, we have a quorum here, and I think the first thing we want to do is stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED 13

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. At this time I would like to formally welcome the newest member of the Board, Annmarie Kaiser, who was an appointee of Governor Tom Corbett. I've had the pleasure of knowing Annmarie for a very long time, and I have no doubt that she is going to be a great asset to those of us on the Board and more importantly to the people 21 of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

Our first item on the agenda today will be the swearing in of Annmarie as a member of the 25 Board. Administering the oath to Annmarie this

```
1 morning will be the Honorable Deborah Curcillo, Judge
2
  of the Common Pleas Court of Dauphin County. With
  Judge Curcillo is her daughter, Olivia, who will hold
  the Bible for Annmarie. And it's certainly good to
  note that present as a guest for Annmarie is the
6 Honorable Jim Cawley, Lieutenant Governor of the
  Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Welcome to Lieutenant
  Cawley --- Lieutenant Governor Cawley. I put you in
  the military, Jim.
10
                Also present is someone I know very well,
  a long time, Steven Aichele, Chief of Staff for
11
12 Governor Corbett, and Kenya Mann Faulkner, someone
   else I know very well, worked with her in the Office
13
  of Attorney General, Kenya Mann Faulkner, Inspector
14
   General of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.
15
                And with that, we'll proceed with the
16
17
   swearing in. So, Judge, if you will, I'll turn it
18
   over to you.
19
                JUDGE DEBORAH CURCILLO:
20
                Thank you.
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                Annmarie, why don't you go down into the
   well, and we'll watch the proceedings.
23
24
                JUDGE:
25
                Good morning. Annmarie, if you would
```

```
10
  raise your right hand and put your left hand on the
1
   Bible and repeat after me; I ---
2
3
                 MS. KAISER:
                 I ---
4
5
                 JUDGE:
6
                 --- state your name ---
 7
                 MS. KAISER:
                 --- Annmarie Kaiser ---
8
9
                 JUDGE:
10
                 --- do solemnly swear ---
11
                 MS. KAISER:
                 --- do solemnly swear ---
12
13
                 JUDGE:
14
                 --- that I will support ---
15
                 MS. KAISER:
                 --- that I will support ---
16
17
                 JUDGE:
                 --- obey and defend ---
18
19
                 MS. KAISER:
20
                 --- obey and defend ---
21
                 JUDGE:
22
                 --- the Constitution of the United
   States ---
23
24
                 MS. KAISER:
25
                 --- the Constitution of the United
```

```
11
   States ---
1
2
                 JUDGE:
3
                 --- and the Constitution of this
   Commonwealth ---
5
                 MS. KAISER:
6
                 --- and the Constitution of this
7
   Commonwealth ---
8
                 JUDGE:
                 --- and I will discharge my duties ---
9
10
                 MS. KAISER:
                 --- and I will discharge my duties ---
11
12
                 JUDGE:
13
                 --- with fidelity.
                 MS. KAISER:
14
                 --- with fidelity.
15
16
                 JUDGE:
17
                 Thank you.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you,
20
  Olivia. And the dignitaries file out. We can begin
21 our business today. First of all, let me say that
22
  prior to our public hearing today --- excuse me.
  WHEREUPON, THE PUBLIC INPUT HEARING WAS HELD.
23
24
                 CHAIRMAN:
25
                 We will now begin our regularly scheduled
```

meeting by way of announcements. The Board held an
Executive Session via conference call on September

26th to discuss a personnel matter. We also held an
Executive Session yesterday, October 9th, to discuss
additional personnel-related matters and to conduct
quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters being
heard and considered by the Board today.

First item, new business, human resources, Claire Yantis. Claire?

MS. YANTIS:

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning, Chairman, Board members. 11 The Office of Human Resources has one motion before 12 you today. Mr. David Tepper has been selected for the 13 position of Law Clerk in the Office of Enforcement 14 Counsel (OEC). Mr. Tepper has completed PGCB 15 interview process, background investigation and drug 16 17 screening, and is being recommended for hire by Chief | Enforcement Counsel Cyrus Pitre. Unless you have any 18 questions, I ask that the Board consider a motion to 19 20 hire Mr. Tepper as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

13 approve the applicant as proposed by the Director of 1 Human Resources. 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Second? 4 MR. MOSCATO: 5 Second. 6 7 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 8 ALL SAY AYE 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Motion carries. Thank you, Claire. 15 will be Dave Rhen, our budget manager. Dave? 16 MR. RHEN: 17 Good morning. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Good morning, Dave. 20 MR. RHEN: I'm here today for two purposes. First, 21 to present the 2013-14 budget request for your 22 consideration, and second, to provide an overview of 23 expenditures for the first quarter of this fiscal 24 25 year.

I'll start with the agency budget. 1 2 Gaming Board's fiscal year of 2013-14 proposed budget request is \$37,034,000. This is a \$936,000, or 2.6 3 percent increase over the current year budget of 36.1 million dollars. The budget increase is a result of rising personnel costs including contractual raises, a 6 7 45 percent increase in pension costs to 15.2 percent of salaries, and an 11.5 percent increase to health benefits. Personnel costs are budgeted at \$31.3 million or 84 percent of the Board's overall request. 10 In order to limit the budget increase, we are holding 11 the complement at 319 employees, including currently 12 filled and vacant positions and seven additional 13 casino compliance representatives at the new Category 14 3 facility at Nemacolin. The complement stood at 317 15 at the time the budget was put together. 16 17

Operating expenses are budgeted at \$5.5 million or 15 percent of the overall request, and fixed assets are budgeted at \$244,000 or one percent of the request. The operating and fixed asset budgets essentially match the actual expenses from last fiscal year. By category, real estate and office equipment leases is the largest planned operating expense at \$1.7 million or 32 percent of operating expenses. This is followed by other operating expenses, which is

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

primarily databases used by the Bureau of 1 2 Investigations and Enforcement (BIE) for background investigations at \$1.4 million or 25 percent. 3 Services at \$1.2 million or 22 percent are the third largest operating expense, and services include 6 veteran assistance, with IT assistance development or 7 maintenance, state police, license applicant fingerprints, inter-agency billing such as Comp 8 services, payroll, and our SAP system and legal services. And lastly, telecommunications is the 10 fourth largest operating expense at \$480,000 or nine 11 12 percent of the operating budget. This budget will be funded through a 13 combination of \$5.8 million in restricted revenue. 14 This includes fees for investigation, proceedings of 15 the Board and gaming lab charge-backs to manufacturers 16 17 and a \$31.3 million --- and \$31.3 million in draw-downs from the escrow accounts funded by casino 18 19 operators. That concludes my presentation of the 20 budget. I'd be happy to take any questions or would appreciate your consideration of a motion to approve 21 22 the budget request.

CHAIRMAN:

23

Any questions, comments from the Board?

25 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

I'll move that the Yes, Mr. Chairman. Board approve the proposed Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board budget for fiscal year 2013-14, as presented by the budget manager.

MR. FAJT:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE 13

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 The motion carries.

16 MR. RHEN:

Next, I have quick update of first quarter expenses. This covers the period July 1st through September 30th. For the first quarter, Board expenditures totaled \$7,667,831. This is 3.2 percent or \$214,000 lower than expenditures for the same period last fiscal year. The decrease is primarily due to timing differences in paying invoices and having an average of 7 fewer employees on payroll so 25 | far this fiscal year relative to this same period last year.

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

2 By category, payroll expenditures totaled 3 \$6.5 million or 85 percent for the first --- or 85 percent of overall expenses for the first quarter. Average payroll for the first quarter was \$1,090,000 per payroll, which is down approximately \$14,000 per payroll from last fiscal year. And operating and fixed asset expenses totaled \$1.1 million or 15 percent of overall expenses. The largest operating 10 and fixed asset expenses included in \$564,000 for our rentals and leases, \$206,000 for our annual software 11 licenses, \$99,000 for voice and data communications 12 and \$81,000 for other operating expenses including 13 database searches for background investigations. That 14 concludes my report. 15

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? Thank you, Dave.

MR. RHEN:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Next will be Doug Sherman, Chief Counsel.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the
Board. Today the Board has three Petitions before it

1 for consideration. One of these matters was heard by 2 the Board earlier today with respect to the Sands Project. The remaining matters will be considered on the documents previously submitted. As to each of the Petitions, the Board has in advance of this meeting 6 been provided with the Petitions, any responsive pleadings of the OEC, as well as the complete evidentiary record of each matter.

As I mentioned, the first matter is the 10 Sands Bethworks Petition which the Board heard earlier, which in essence sought to relieve Sands of 12 complying with the monthly meeting requirement during the build-out of the project. The OEC has indicated 14 there's no further objection to that Petition, and it's appropriate for the Board's consideration of a motion to grant the Petition.

CHAIRMAN:

9

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC's Petition as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).

CHAIRMAN:

19 Second? 1 2 MS. KAISER: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 5 ALL SAY AYE 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 8 NO RESPONSE 9 10 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 11 12 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: The next Petition before the Board is 13 Western Money Systems' and Global Cash Access' joint 14 request for assignment of Western Money Systems' 15 Manufacturer License to Global Cash Access. 16 17 Money Systems is the licensed Manufacturer, and Global Cash Access is currently a licensed affiliate entity 18 of Western Money Systems. Regardless of the different 19 20 Licenses, both companies have been fully vetted by the 21 BIE in connection with their licenses. 22 In 2010, Global Cash acquired Western Money Systems, becoming its 100 percent owner and 23 parent company. Global is now in the process of 24 25 transferring employees and merging the operations of

1 the two companies such that the entire operation will operate under the banner of Global Cash. It's the 2 Global intent that Western Money Systems will, by the end of December of this year, be dissolved and as a result of the restructuring, be fully incorporated into Global Cash. The OEC has no objection to Western Money's and Global Cash's request. And additionally, the OCC believes the transfer is consistent with prior 10 Board precedent. I do note that Michael Fabius of the 11 Ballard firm is here on behalf of Western Money 12 | Systems and Global Cash if there are any questions. If not, it would be appropriate for the Board's 13 consideration of a Motion to approve the reassignment 14 of the Licenses. 15

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

18 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve Western Money Systems' and Global Cash Access' Petition for Reassignment of License, as described by the OCC.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

2 All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

And the final Petition is a request by

11 the OEC to place Chance Hartman on the Board's

12 Exclusion List. Mr. Hartman has waived his right to a

13 hearing, and the matter is ripe to be decided upon the

14 documents.

By way of background, in January of 2012,

16 Mr. Hartman, who was 20 years old at the time, entered

17 the Rivers Casino and engaged in gaming activity for

18 about nine hours prior to being recognized by

19 personnel of the Rivers of being under the age of 21.

20 Eventually when approached and asked for

21 identification, Mr. Hartman produced an expired

22 driver's license of another person, but eventually did

23 | acknowledge his true identity, and the fact that he

24 was underage.

25

On July 3rd, 2012, the OEC filed a

1 Petition to place Mr. Hartman on the Exclusion List. 2 Mr. Hartman requested a hearing regarding this placement, which was scheduled for August 21st, 2012. However, on that date, he appeared and signed a Waiver of his right to a hearing, agreeing that the matter could be decided based upon the documentary record. Part of the record in this proceeding is Mr. Hartman's answer to the Petition in which he admitted that he was underage and on the Rivers gaming floor on the date in question. The matter is now appropriate for 10 the Board's decision. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Questions, comments from the Board? 13 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 14 15 MR. MCCALL: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 16 17 an Order to approve the OEC's Petition as described by the OCC. However, in granting this request to place 18 Chance Hartman on the Board's Exclusion List, I would 19 20 further move that Mr. Hartman may petition for removal from the Exclusion List after one year. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: Second? 23 24 MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

25

CHAIRMAN:

2 All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

10 Next presenting Withdrawals and Reports

11 and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve

12 Cook.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Good morning.

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Mr. Cook.

ATTORNEY COOK:

18 The Board has received two unopposed

19 Petitions to Withdraw the Applications or Surrender

20 the Credentials of the following individuals; Robert

21 W. Crowder, Jr. and Joseph Lepouski. The OEC has no

22 objection to these Withdrawals. As a result, if the

23 Board were to grant same, they'd be doing so without

24 prejudice. The matter is now ripe for the Board's

25 consideration.

CHAIRMAN: 1 2 Questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 3 4 MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 5 Orders to approve the Withdrawal and Surrender as 6 7 described by the OCC. 8 MR. SOJKA: Second. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? 11 ALL SAY AYE 12 13 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 14 NO RESPONSE 15 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 The motion carries. ATTORNEY COOK: 18 Next, before the Board for consideration 19 20 are four Reports and Recommendations received from the 21 Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA), relative to 22 three Gaming Employee Permit Applications and one Non-

Gaming Employee Registration. These Reports and

24 Recommendations, along with the evidentiary hearing

25 for each, have been provided to the Board in advance

23

of this meeting.

1

22

23

24

2 Additionally, each individual involved has been notified that the matter was coming before 3 the Board today and that they had the right to be present to briefly address the Board. If any of these people are present, and I believe a few of them are, at least one or two of them, I'd ask them to come forward when their matter is called.

The first Report and Recommendation 9 10 before the Board pertains to Vincent Harris. 11 | Harris submitted a Gaming Employee Application on 12 March 26, 2012, seeking work as a security guard at the SugarHouse Casino. In his application, Mr. Harris 13 disclosed a 1997 arrest for possession of a controlled 14 substance and possession of a firearm, of which he was 15 convicted in 2000 and sentenced to ten years of 16 17 prison. However, during the background investigation, it was discovered that Mr. Harris also failed to 18 report other criminal activity that spanned from 1983 19 20 through 1987. The vast majority of Mr. Harris's arrests were for drug-related charges. 21

Based on Mr. Harris having a felony conviction within the last 15 years --- that is the 2000 conviction, as well as his other criminal 25 | history, the OEC recommended him for a Denial or

```
1 recommended his Application be denied. A hearing was
2 requested and held on August 14th, 2012.
  completion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer issued
  a Report and Recommendation finding that Mr. Harris
  was ineligible to receive a Gaming Employee Permit
6 based on the Statutes, the Gaming Act's 15-year felony
  prohibition for gaming applicants. This Report and
  Recommendation is presently before the Board.
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Questions or comments from the Board?
11
                MR. SOJKA:
                Just to make it clear, then, we have
12
   absolutely no discretion in this matter, whatever do
13
14 | we?
15
                ATTORNEY COOK:
                That's correct.
16
17
                CHAIRMAN:
                Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
18
19
                MR. SOJKA:
20
                Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the
21 Board adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA
22
   regarding Vincent Harris's Gaming Employee Permit
  Application, as described by the OCC.
23
24
                MR. FAJT:
25
                Second.
```

CHAIRMAN:

2 All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Joseph Moser's Report and Recommendation is the next matter before the Board. I believe Mr. Moser's present. By way of background, Mr. Moser submitted a Gaming Employee Application on May 16th, 2012, seeking work as a Table Games Dealer at Harrah's Philadelphia Casino.

Mr. Moser disclosed in his Application
that he had a 2004 arrest and conviction in New Jersey
for dispensing marijuana, a March 2007 arrest for
underage drinking, a September 2007 arrest for DUI;
both of those also resulted in convictions according
to Mr. Moser. And finally a 2011 arrest for
destruction of public property, which also resulted in
a conviction, I believe, for obstruction of justice.

Based upon Mr. Moser's criminal history

and its belief that his 2004 conviction was a felony

1 under the Gaming Act which would, as we just discussed, preclude licensure as a Gaming Employee, OEC recommended his Application be denied.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Moser requested a hearing, which was held on July 19th, 2012. Both the OEC and OEC (sic) attended the hearing and submitted evidence into the record. Mr. Moser testified at that hearing that in January of 2006 he voluntarily entered a New Jersey Drug Treatment Court program, an intensive and expensive drug treatment court program relative to his distribution of marijuana conviction. He completed that program in June 2009. Mr. Moser also placed into the record documents evidencing good character, letters of recommendation, those sorts of things.

After reviewing the evidence presented, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the Board approve Mr. Moser's Application for a Gaming Permit, as his 2004 conviction in New Jersey was not a felony under the Gaming Act and therefore did not preclude him from licensure. Essentially, the Hearing Officer found that notwithstanding the criminal charges that I alluded to earlier, Mr. Moser had done all the necessary steps to become suitable under the Gaming 25 Act.

```
While the OCC agrees that Mr. Moser's
1
2
  2004 New Jersey conviction was not a felony offense
  precluding licensure, based alone on the Report and
  Recommendation, it is the OCC's belief that there's
   just not enough evidence into the record as to these
  other criminal offenses for the Board to determine
  whether Mr. Moser has fully rehabilitated himself.
                                                        Мγ
  initial recommendation would have been to remand this
  matter to the OHA for further fact-finding, although
  since Mr. Moser's present, maybe we can delve into
10
   that briefly today.
11
12
                CHAIRMAN:
13
                Sir, are you Joseph R. Moser?
                MR. MOSER:
14
                Yes.
15
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                All right. First thing, I assume you
   want to speak to the Board?
18
19
                MR. MOSER:
20
                I would like that.
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                Okay.
                       First, before you do that, I would
23
   ask you to stand so that we can swear you as a
   witness, and the first thing you should do is state
24
   your name for the record and spell your last name.
25
```

MR. MOSER:

Joseph R. Moser, M-O-S-E-R.

3 | -----

I JOSEPH R. MOSER, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN,

5 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

6 -----

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Mr. Moser, you can sit down. All right. Mr. Moser, you've heard the statements by Mr.

10 Cook; did you not?

11 A. Yes.

1

7

12

CHAIRMAN:

All right. What do you want to tell the

14 Board?

15 A. First and foremost, I just --- I want to thank you

16 guys for giving me the opportunity to be here. I

17 really appreciate it. This is something that I really

18 want to do, and it's something I've been looking

19 forward to for a long time. Like I said in the

20 hearing previous, I mean, it was a big mistake that I

21 made in my life at that period of time and without the

22 structure of that program that was given to me that

23 was provided from the State of New Jersey, I don't

24 know if my life would have turned around. As far as

25 the 2010 conviction, my understanding from my lawyer

1 was that I was to pay a fine and nothing was going to

2 be on my record, because it was --- it just wasn't me,

3 he got ---.

4

9

13

CHAIRMAN:

5 Excuse me, Mr. Moser, if I could ask.

6 You say your 2010 conviction?

7 A. Well, it happened, I believe, in 2010 as far as

8 what this says.

CHAIRMAN:

10 Was that where you were charged with

11 destruction of public property?

12 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

14 What happened that caused you to get

15 arrested?

16 A. They said that somebody was trying to break into a

17 building. Later my lawyer got the surveillance

18 cameras of that building and proved that it was not

19 me.

20

21

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

But you were convicted?

22 A. I was convicted of a different charge.

CHAIRMAN:

24 What charge were you convicted of?

ATTORNEY COOK:

He was actually convicted of obstructing administration of justice. He was originally ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Do you know anything of that charge?

A. That charge was because I was in the backseat of the vehicle, and I was very upset. So, me and the officer had some words.

CHAIRMAN:

After you were arrested?

- 10 A. Yes. And I understand the officer --- I mean,
- 11 everybody's going to say they're not guilty. If they
- 12 believed everybody, nobody would be in jail. But in
- 13 my case I really was not guilty, and I wasn't there.

MR. GINTY:

- 15 Was there any physical action between you
- 16 and the officer?
- 17 A. Oh, no. No, none whatsoever.
- 18 MR. GINTY:
- You just ran at the mouth?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

- MR. GINTY:
- 22 And he charged you with obstruction of
- 23 justice?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 CHAIRMAN:

1 What was the building? It says

- 2 destruction of public property.
- 3 A. It was a gym.

4

CHAIRMAN:

5 Were you in there?

- 6 A. Oh, the destruction of the property was the police
- 7 officer's vehicle, the backseat. He indicated that
- 8 damage was done on the backseat, and the fine was
- 9 indicated to pay for the damage of the backseat.

10 CHAIRMAN:

- 11 And your only --- what Court did this
- 12 happen in, Mr. Moser? Do you remember?
- 13 A. That was in Gloucester Township, I believe.
- 14 CHAIRMAN:
- In the Township? Not in the County
- 16 | Court?
- 17 A. Gloucester Township, isn't that the county --- oh,
- 18 no, no it wasn't county. It wasn't Woodbury.
- 19 CHAIRMAN:
- 20 And September 2007, you were arrested for
- 21 driving under the influence; is that correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 CHAIRMAN:
- 24 And you were convicted of that?
- 25 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

2 Had your driving privileges suspended for

3 | six months?

A A. Yes.

1

5

6

8

CHAIRMAN:

What were you under the influence of?

7 A. Alcohol.

CHAIRMAN:

9 Did that cause you any problems with your

- 10 Drug Court probation?
- 11 A. At the time, yes, it did.
- 12 CHAIRMAN:
- What kind of problems did it cause you?
- 14 A. I had to be remanded for 30 days in jail on a 30
- 15 day inpatient program.
- 16 CHAIRMAN:
- You spent 30 days because of that?
- 18 A. Yes. It was under their strict policies. Any
- 19 time that you had a positive urine, or you failed a
- 20 breathalyzer or that you were remanded.
- 21 CHAIRMAN:
- 22 Did you have a drug dependency back then?
- 23 A. On marijuana, yes, I did.
- 24 CHAIRMAN:
- 25 On marijuana?

35 Α. Yes. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Not cocaine? 4 Α. No. MR. GINTY: 5 6 I don't know what the correct word was, but you graduated from the ---? Yes. I successfully completed the program and very few people actually do. It's a very tough 10 program. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Excuse me, sorry. Do you understand, sir, that if you are licensed by the Board that you 13 can get a job? Has a job been offered to you at all? 14 Yes. Yes, I already went to class and everything 15 before my denial. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Who offered you the job? Harrah's Chester. 19 Α. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 And what position? 22 Α. For black --- table games.

25 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

23

24

You're married; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN:

Is that your wife sitting there?

Yes, it is. 3

1

2

4

5

6

11

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GINTY:

I have a question. Steve, this is for you. The Hearing Officer found that --- let's see, applicant concluded his probation approximately three years ago, and but for the 2010 incident, parentheses, which may not have been a crime at all under New 10 Jersey law; what does that mean?

ATTORNEY COOK:

I think what he's --- I don't know 12 exactly what it means, so I'm speculating a bit, but I 13 think he's equating with a summary offense in the 14 Commonwealth. 15

MR. GINTY:

And what kind of evidence did OEC introduce in these hearings to show that Mr. Moser had, in fact, been convicted of something?

ATTORNEY COOK:

The evidence was of, I believe, a conviction; none of the factual information was put into the record.

MR. SOJKA:

How old are you, Mr. Moser?

A. Twenty-six (26).

1

2

3

4

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Fajt?

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Moser, when 5 I look back on your criminal history, the 2007 and the 6 four convictions; I can get over those. The one that bothers me, quite frankly, is the 2011, and I want to explore that a little bit more because of the recency of that, and that's something this Board takes note 10 of. We understand problems in the past when you were 11 12 younger, and generally we can get over most of that stuff. But in 2011, I want you to explain to me again 13 what happened. As I recall, you were at a gym. 14 were in the back of a car; there was somebody else who 15 was trying to get in the gym or --- give me the scene 16 17 on what happened there. All right. So, me and a couple friends, we went 18 Α. out. And as I was passing through the gym on the way 19 20 back, somebody made a phone call that somebody was trying to get into the gym. Now, I'm not going to 21 22 walk down the street lifting dumbbells. I mean, there's nothing in a gym that I would need. So, the 23 officer comes up to me and he says --- and he starts 24 putting me under arrest, after a few questions. 25

CHAIRMAN:

You were walking?

3 A. Yes. Yes, I was walking.

MR. FAJT:

Walking past the building?

A. Yeah, through the complex. And somebody made a call previous to that, before, saying that somebody was near and around the gym, and it looked they were trying to enter it.

MR. FAJT:

But you weren't in the gym?

12 A. No, I was not in the gym. I didn't try to enter
13 it at all, which the video proved, that my lawyer got.

14 And he then placed me under arrest for attempted

15 burglary, which later obviously was dropped. And as

16 he was putting me in the car, I started to get very

17 angry because it was not me. It wasn't me; I didn't

18 try to enter the building; I didn't go into the

19 building; I didn't even go near the building. And so

20 I became very angry and that --- and he charged me

21 with numbers of charges. I mean, the list was long.

22 And ---.

23

1

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

MR. FAJT:

24 We asked the question earlier. Did you

25 try to strike the officer? I mean, there must have

- 1 been some ---.
- 2 A. Never tried to strike the officer. The reason why
- $3\mid$ I got that conviction was because in the backseat, I
- 4 kept yelling through the window and asking the officer
- $5 \mid$ to come to the window. In that time, he said that
- 6 damage was done to the side of the door of the
- 7 vehicle. And when the damage was done, they said that
- 8 they were going to charge me with this to pay for the
- 9 damage that was done to the side of the door.

MR. FAJT:

- And what was the damage that was done?
- 12 Did you kick the door, did you ---
- 13 A. No, I was next to ---.

MR. FAJT:

- 15 --- maybe strike it with your hands that
- 16 had the handcuffs on?
- 17 A. I was striking it with my arm. I was flaring, and
- 18 I was hitting it with my arm --- well, with my
- 19 shoulder.

14

20

MR. FAJT:

- 21 And what was the damage to the door?
- 22 A. They did not specify the exact damage. They said
- 23 that it would come out to somewhere around \$900, which
- 24 later I received a check back from it for \$500.

MR. FAJT:

40

Okay. So, the damage was basically \$400?

2 A. Yes.

1

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

23

MR. FAJT:

Since 2011, any issues?

5 A. Nothing.

MR. FAJT:

7 Have you had any drug testing since you

8 graduated from the program in 2009?

A. From Harrrah's, and I would be willing to submit a urine sample, hair sample, anything to them.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

14 Anyone else? Ex-officio members? May I

15 have a motion?

16 ATTORNEY COOK:

Just before we proceed, the Report and

18 Recommendation presently before the Board is that Mr.

19 Moser be granted licensure of a Gaming Employee

20 Permit, so to adopt the Report and Recommendation

21 would allow that and to reject the Report and

22 Recommendation would deny him licensure.

CHAIRMAN:

So, right now the Recommendation is that

25 Mr. Moser be granted the License?

41 ATTORNEY COOK: 1 2 Correct. 3 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Fajt? 4 5 MR. FAJT: 6 Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 7 adopt the Report and Recommendation of OHA regarding Joseph Moser's Gaming Employee Permit Application as described by the OCC. Obviously this will grant Mr. 10 Moser then a License to work at Harrah's. And let me just say, Mr. Moser --- well, let me just --- I'll 11 12 make a comment afterwards. I'll leave the motion stand as is. 13 MR. GINTY: 14 15 Okay. I'll be very happy to second that motion. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 ALL SAY AYE 19 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? The motion carries. Greq? 22 MR. FAJT: Mr. Moser, first of all, thank you 23 Yeah. for being here. We are taking a chance on you. 24 And I 25 | want you to realize that this is a great opportunity

1 to work in a casino, to earn a good living, and we

hope that you take that opportunity. 2

Thank you. I greatly appreciate it. I really do. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

5

6

7

8

10

11

Okay. Thank you, sir.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation before the Board pertains to Linh Tan, who has sought employment as a Table Games Dealer at Harrah's Philadelphia. Ms. Tan filed a Gaming Employee 12 Application with the Board on January 24th, 2012.

The OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation 13 of Denial on April 12th, 2012, based upon the fact 14 that Ms. Tan was not current in filing of her 15 Pennsylvania state taxes. A hearing in this matter 16 17 was held on June 14th, 2012. OEC, along with one 18 witness, appeared and offered testimony and exhibits. Ms. Tan also appeared via videoconference. 19 20 testified that she was unaware that she had the 21 outstanding tax issue, and in the course of the

22 hearing, asked for --- asked the BIE agent and OEC how she could take care of this matter to resolve her tax 23

issue and therefore be eliqible for licensure. 24

25 was given direction on how to do that. The Hearing

1 Officer thereafter adjourned the meeting, left the 2 record open for 30 days so Ms. Tan could address her tax issue. 3 Subsequently, 31 days later on July 18th --- or actually 33 days later, I guess, a status 5 6 report was filed by OEC indicating that Ms. Tan had failed to supply her requested tax documents and that a check had indicated that her tax issues remained. As a result of that, the Hearing Officer closed the 10 record and issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that her Application be denied due to the 11 12 tax problems. This Report and Recommendation is presently before the Board. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Questions, comments from the Board? 16 Ex-officio members? 17 MR. GINTY: 18 I do. Just to be clear. She was advised of the current Recommendation? 19 20 ATTORNEY COOK: 21 She received a copy of the Report and 22 Recommendation that's before the Board, and she also 23 received a Notice that the Board was taking it up 24 today.

MR. GINTY:

		44
1		Okay. And she's not present?
2		ATTORNEY COOK:
3		As far as I know.
4		CHAIRMAN:
5		Is Linh Tan in the hearing room? Okay.
6	May I have a	motion?
7		MR. GINTY:
8		Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt
9	the Report ar	d Recommendation of the OHA regarding
10	Linh Tan's Ga	ming Employee Permit Application as
11	described by	the OCC.
12		CHAIRMAN:
13		Second?
14		MS. KAISER:
15		Second.
16		<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
17		All in favor?
18	ALL SAY AYE	
19		<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
20		Opposed?
21	NO RESPONSE	
22		CHAIRMAN:
23		The motion carries.
24		ATTORNEY COOK:
25		The final Report and Recommendation from

1 the OHA pertains to David Walls, who I believe is also 2 present, if he could come forward. Mr. Walls sought employment as a barback at Harrah's Philadelphia 3 Racetrack and Casino, which would require a Non-Gaming Employee Application to be approved.

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Walls filed this Application with the Board on May 16th, 2012. On his Application he disclosed that he had a 2005 arrest and conviction for selling drugs in which he was sentenced to two to five of incarceration. During the BIE's background investigation, it was discovered that Mr. Walls was, in fact, arrested and convicted of crimes beginning in July of 1996 and continuing through 2005, which included but were not limited to drug-related offenses, trespass, theft, burglary, assault and possession of a firearm.

As a result of his criminal history, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial to Mr. Walls' Application. A hearing was requested and held on August 15th, 2012. Both OEC and Mr. Walls appeared and offered testimony. At that hearing, Mr. Walls was represented by Counsel. At the hearing, Mr. Walls testified that essentially he acknowledged his criminal history, but that he's trying to turn his 25 life around. He testified he's completed various

vocational classes and a drug treatment program. 1 Не 2 also provided letters of reference and recommendations going towards his work ethic. And he has also put 3 into the record a letter of appreciation from the Department of Corrections relative to his saving the life of another inmate while incarcerated, who was 6 choking. 7

Upon completion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation stating that notwithstanding Mr. Walls's lengthy 10 criminal history, and the fact that he was just most 12 recently released from incarceration in early 2012, that he put into the record substantial mitigating circumstances and efforts --- substantial mitigating evidence, which would allow the Hearing Officer to recommend that he be found suitable for a Non-Gaming Position, which is what this would be; it would be a barback position or essentially helping a bartender at the casino.

CHAIRMAN:

8

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Are you David Walls, sir?

MR. WALLS:

Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN:

And I take it from your presence that you

47 wish to address the Board? 1 2 MR. WALLS: Yes. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: All right. First thing you have to do is 5 stand to be sworn so if you'll stand, and first of 6 7 all, state your name and spell your last name for the record. 8 9 MR. WALLS: 10 David Walls, W-A-L-L-S. 11 DAVID WALLS, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED 12 AS FOLLOWS: 13 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Okay. You may sit down, Mr. Walls. 16 17 Okay. ATTORNEY COOK: 18 Before we proceed, Mr. Chairman, I'd just 19 20 maybe for the record state that Mr. Walls called me 21 yesterday, indicating he wanted to come here today. 22 He also indicated that his attorney is hospitalized, I believe. I gave him the opportunity to defer this 23 24 proceeding to a later meeting so his attorney could be 25 present, but he chose to proceed without Counsel

48

1 today.

2

8

13

17

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Walls, Mr. Cook just indicated your attorney is unable to be present with you. He has also indicated that you wish to proceed without your attorney; is that correct?

7 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

Do you understand that you can, if you choose, have this continued or postponed until your attorney is available?

12 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

But you choose to go forward; is that

15 | correct?

16 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Mr. Walls, why don't you tell the

19 Board what you think the Board should hear?

20 A. As Mr. Steve just pronounced, I do have a lengthy

21 record from the past. I came home February 21st,

22 2012. I've seeked employment everywhere that was

23 possible. My wife is a member of Harrah's Casino.

24 | She's a supervisor also, and she asked me to give it a

25 shot. And I did. They gave me an interview, and I've

1 been chasing a job for a few months now because times 2 are really hard. I've been turned down numerous I've changed in so many ways. I have no desires to use drugs or commit a crime. And even my wife working there is a struggle also, because I can't 6 help her provide or do anything for her as I'm 7 supposed to as a man.

When I went through my interview at Harrah's, it gave me hope that I can do it. didn't stop, even though I was denied. I was just asking for an opportunity to start my life over, to hopefully build a career and earn honest money and give back to my community.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

It's been rough. And I have tried every angle I could to look for other employments before I even took the challenge at Harrah's. And it still --- even McDonald's wouldn't work --- I ask that you all give me a chance. I'm not asking to count money or work behind windows with money or --- I'm not no thief. changed my life around for the better. At the time of 21 my crimes I was using; I didn't really realize what my marriage was about. I didn't care about anything at that time. But after doing the time that I did, everything set in; I just can't keep living that life anymore at home.

```
Once again, it's a struggle. It's hard.
1
2 hard to find employment. And I only filed for a
3
  non-barback license. When I did the interview, and
  when they said, welcome to Harrah's, I was so proud of
  myself because I accomplished something, and I ran up
6 against 23 other people that was running for
  interviews that day. And there was only four of us
  there, and I was among that four, and that gave me
  hope. And Harrah's is in my community. I just want
  to be a speaker, let them know that they gave me a
10
   chance with what I have, that anybody could do
11
  whatever they want as long as they chase it.
12
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Anything else, sir?
15
  Α.
       No.
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                Any questions or comments? Annmarie?
18
                MS. KAISER:
                Can you tell us a little bit about some
19
20
  of the programs that you took advantage of to turn
21
  your life around?
22
       I did for like 40 sessions of AOD, the anger
23
  management ---.
24
                CHAIRMAN:
25
                I'm sorry, sir. What's that?
```

51 For other drugs and alcohol. 1 Α. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 What dependency did you have? Can you tell the Board? What were you ---? What I was using? 5 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Yeah, just ---. Cocaine. 8 Α. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Okav. I did anger management, vocational class trainings 11 12 on how to do résumés, and evidently that's --- I put one together as soon as I came home, and I submitted 13 14 it to Harrah's, the first one I ever did. I have counseling; I have mentors; I have a good support 15 system now. Even detectives and lawyers, they backing 16 17 me 100 percent to make sure I'm on the right path. got somebody to talk to whenever I have problems, 18 anything's on my mind. That's probably about it. 19 20 MS. KAISER: 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN: Anyone else? 23

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.
(814) 536-8908

MR. FAJT:

Yeah.

24

MR. SOJKA:

Go ahead.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. FAJT:

Steve, this is for you. Tell me again about his disclosure of his criminal record ---

ATTORNEY COOK:

There was ---.

MR. FAJT:

--- or lack thereof.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Well, originally OEC recommended him for a Denial in part because they thought he failed to disclose his entire criminal history. The only thing on his Application was his most recent conviction. But at the time the hearing concluded, I believe OEC dropped that allegation because the gentleman claimed that he went in and in submitting the Application, which is an online procedure, the computer froze up, or he had some problems with the computer. And OEC gave him the benefit of the doubt that he did, in 21 fact, try to report everything, but that's because he was not familiar with the computer and that there may have been some computer issues that not everything registered.

MR. FAJT:

1 Mr. Walls, is that a correct statement of 2 the facts?

Yeah, I did an online application. Over the 3 phone, they called me in for an interview, did the interview and then they had me sit down at a desk at 6 their computers. They were old computers, and I know nothing about computers; I don't know how to work them. I sat down and did the best I could. computer shut down twice on me. I thought it 10 registered the information. I called the lady; she came back, rebooted it and gave me a code to punch in. 11 12 And that was it, and it said finished by the time everything was done. 13

MR. FAJT:

Okay.

14

15

21

22

24

25

But then they asked for me to file paperwork for a 16 Non-Gamer's (sic) License. And when I did, it came 17 back denied for Non-Gamer's License, and they said 18 because basically I lied, and I never lied to them 19 20 once. So, I appealed it.

MR. FAJT:

What was the last criminal offense that Mr. Walls was released from ---23

ATTORNEY COOK:

It was a ---.

54 MR. FAJT: 1 2 --- in February '12? 3 ATTORNEY COOK: It was a robbery-related situation. 4 pleaded guilty to felony robbery, threatened to 5 6 intimidate (sic) serious injury, criminal conspiracy for robbery, and he was sentenced to 24 to 59 months. 8 CHAIRMAN: But it wasn't a felony? 9 10 ATTORNEY COOK: Well, it was, but there's no felony 11 prohibition for a Non-Gaming Permit. 12 13 MR. GINTY: And that was when? 14 ATTORNEY COOK: 15 The offense occurred in 2005. 16 17 MR. GINTY: 18 So, that's the one you served time for? Yes. 19 Α. 20 MR. MCCALL: 21 Are you currently on probation? 22 Α. Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN: Are you on parole also? 24 25 A. It's parole, just supervised parole.

55

CHAIRMAN:

How long?

3 A. Five years, just somebody I can talk to. Nothing,

1 like, was really ever sentenced from it, just

something the Judge recommended for me.

CHAIRMAN:

You were sentenced in Pennsylvania; is

8 that correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

6

7

9

10

18

23

CHAIRMAN:

And you're familiar, I would assume,

12 minimum sentence, maximum sentence?

13 A. Yes.

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 Did you serve longer than the minimum

16 sentence on both those offenses?

17 A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

19 Why did you serve more than the minimum?

20 A. Because I wanted to complete my programs, that ---

21 my rehabilitation programs that I was doing up state,

22 and also I was working ---.

CHAIRMAN:

It wasn't for any problems you caused in

25 the prison?

A. Never had one misconduct, no fights, nothing.

MR. SOJKA:

Well, that's fine. And we are, I think,

all painfully aware in this society of the recidivism

rates for convicted persons and how difficult it is

when you get out. And we've read carefully the

programs you've taken and whatnot, but I know it's a

much more complex problem than that. You've touched

one issue, your marriage; that's helpful. Have you

11 A. Yes.

12

17

21

25

1

2

MR. SOJKA:

Did you do that specifically to break the ties of your old neighborhood and your old

15 | acquaintances?

10 moved out of your area?

16 A. Yes.

MR. MOSCATO:

Do you find that makes it easier for you

to reach toward this new life you've described?

20 A. Yes.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay. Are you still in close contact with old friends, old acquaintances, old neighbors?

24 A. No, sir.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Anyone else?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Walls, I don't know what we're 5 going to do here, but I will caution you as I do with 6 many people that I come in contact that work in the casino. And that is if we grant you your License, I want you to be aware that there --- you won't be in behind a cage, dealing with money per se, counting 10 money, but there will be money all around you. 11 will be tips. There will be cash from people that 12 leave money on the bar while they go to the restroom. 13 There will be temptation. Everything in the casino is 14 on camera. Everything. And as I said, I don't know 15 what we're going to do here, but if your License is 16 granted, I just want you to know that you're going to 17 find temptation in that casino, and you're going to 18 have to be strong. 19

20 A. I'm ---.

21

22

1

2

3

4

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

23 A. I wouldn't be that desperate. Because it wouldn't

24 be worth losing my wife or putting her job at

25 jeopardy, even though she went to bat for me also. My

58 1 marriage, I wouldn't --- it's not worth it. 2 MR. FAJT: 3 Are you still under drug testing as part of your parole and probation? Α. Yes. 5 6 MR. FAJT: And how often is that done? 7 Anytime he feels --- I actually ask him do you 8 Α. want it; do you want me to take an urine. I don't ---10 that's done. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Did you grow up in Chester? Α. 13 Yes. 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Where do you live now? Sharon Hill, PA. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Okay. And you indicated your wife also 19 works at Harrah's? 20 Α. Yes. 21 CHAIRMAN: What does she do? 22 She's a supervisor of stewarding. 23 Α. 24 CHAIRMAN:

I'm sorry?

Supervisor of stewarding and EVS. Α.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3 Okay. Do you have a firm offer of a position at the back bar?

Well, this had --- in the process of me going through these motions here, the barback position was filled, so I talked to numerous supervisors at Harrah's, and they said if you get granted your License, we'll put you in another spot. And that's 10 where we are with that. They guaranteed me a spot already two different times. We're just waiting on the outcome of everything.

ATTORNEY COOK:

As a Non-Gaming Employee, he would not have access to cash or anything related to gaming.

CHAIRMAN:

Isn't it also true, Steve, that in many jurisdictions the position that we're talking about here, barback, is not one that requires licensing?

ATTORNEY COOK:

That's true, and in fact, even we do not require licensure for back-of-house positions. of the casinos choose to license those people as Non-Gaming anyway; but for example, a sous chef, 25 that's entirely back-of-house. We don't require

60 licensure of those people. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 One final thing, sir. Mr. Fajt, the gentlemen to my left, just told --- indicated to you what you have to do if the Board were to give you a 6 License. Do you understand that you can't make any mistakes? You can't have more run-ins with the law? Yes. 8 Α. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All right. Any other questions? Annmarie? 11 12 MR. FAJT: Can we ask Steve what the Recommendation 13 just so we're clear? 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Steve, could you give the Board what the 16 17 Recommendation is? ATTORNEY COOK: 18 19 The Hearing Officer recommends Sure. that he be granted a Non-Gaming Registration. 20 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 And may I have a motion? 23 MS. KAISER: Chairman, I would move that the Board 24 adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA 25

1 regarding David Walls' Non-Gaming Employee

2 Registration Application as described by the OCC.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

7 ALL SAY AYE

3

4

5

6

8

9

11

14

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

10 NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

12 Motion carries. All right. Mr. Walls,

13 the Board has granted you a License.

MR. SOJKA:

15 Good luck.

16 A. Thank you. I promise I won't let you all down.

17 CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much.

19 ATTORNEY COOK:

The next matter before the Board pertains

21 to the Emergency Suspension of Nicholas

22 Repko-Moschini. Mr. Repko-Moschini was issued a

23 Gaming Employee Registration on October 5th, 2011, and

24 was employed as a security officer at Sands Bethworks

25 Gaming. On October 1st, 2012, BIE was notified by the

Bureau of Casino Compliance that Mr. Repko-Moschini
was arrested on September 28th, 2012, and charged with
3 3 felonies and one misdemeanor. The arrest and
charges filed against Mr. Repko-Moschini are all
related to illegal sexual contact with a 13-year-old
minor female.

As a result of these charges, the OEC filed a Request for an Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Repko-Moschini's Gaming Employee Permit. The Order was signed by the Executive Director on October 3rd, 2012. Board regulations require a temporary Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full evidentiary hearing, or that the matter be referred to the OHA to conduct that hearing. In this case, the OCC would recommend that the matter be remanded to the OHA to conduct the evidentiary hearing, and that the Emergency Order of Suspension remain in place until the matter comes back up to the Board in the form of a Report and Recommendation.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions, comments from the Board?

Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to continue to the Emergency Suspension of

```
63
1 Nicole (sic) Repko-Moschini's Gaming Employee Permit,
  and that the matter be referred to the OHA for a
2
  hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency
3
   Suspension Order.
5
                 MR. GINTY:
                 Second.
6
 7
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
8
   ALL SAY AYE
9
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
12
13
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 The motion carries.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
                 And that concludes all matters of the
16
17
   OCC.
                 CHAIRMAN:
18
19
                 Thank you, gentlemen. Next, Susan
20
   Hensel, Bureau of Licensing.
                                  Susan?
21
                 MS. HENSEL:
22
                 Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of
23
  the Board. Before the Board today will be motions
   regarding one Supplier License, as well as 799
24
25 | Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees.
```

1 addition, there will be the consideration of 16 Gaming
2 Service Provider applicants.
3
The first matter for your consideration

4 is the renewal of the Slot Machine Supplier License
5 for Advanced Gaming Associates, LLC. Advanced Gaming
6 Associates installs and services gaming equipment for
7 slot machine licensees within the Commonwealth. Its
8 principal place of business is in Huntingdon Valley,
9 Pennsylvania. The BIE has completed its
10 investigation, and the Bureau of Licensing has
11 provided you with the renewal background investigation
12 and suitability report. I have provided you with a
13 draft Order and ask that the Board consider the
14 approval of the renewal License for Advanced Gaming
15 Associates, LLC.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from the Enforcement

18 | Counsel?

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions, comments from the Board?

23 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

```
approve the renewal of Advanced Gaming Associates,
1
2
   LLC's Slot Machine Supplier License.
                 CHAIRMAN:
3
                 Second?
4
5
                 MR. MOSCATO:
 6
                 Second.
 7
                 CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
8
  ALL SAY AYE
9
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
12
13
                 CHAIRMAN:
14
                 The motion carries.
15
                 MS. HENSEL:
                 Also for your consideration are temporary
16
17
   Principal and Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this
18 meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an
19 Order regarding the issuance of temporary Licenses to
20
  two Principal and 17 Key Employees. I ask that the
21 Board consider the Order approving these Licenses.
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
23
24
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
25
                 Enforcement Counsel has no objections.
```

CHAIRMAN: 1 Questions, comments from the Board? 2 3 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 4 MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 5 approve the issuance of temporary Principal and Key 6 7 Employee Credentials as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 8 9 MR. SOJKA: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 12 ALL SAY AYE 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 16 17 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 18 19 MS. HENSEL: 20

Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming 21 Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 549 individuals 23 to whom the Bureau has granted temporary or full 24 occupation permits and 103 individuals to whom the 25 Bureau has granted registrations under the authority

```
delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that
1
  Board consider a motion approving the Order.
2
3
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Any comments from the Enforcement
4
   Counsel?
5
6
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
 7
                Enforcement Counsel has no objection.
8
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Questions, comments from the Board?
9
10 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
                MR. SOJKA:
11
                 Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the
12
13 Board approve the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits
14 and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by
15 the Bureau of Licensing.
16
                 MR. FAJT:
17
                 Second.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                All in favor?
20
  ALL SAY AYE
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
23
24
                 CHAIRMAN:
25
                 The motion carries.
```

MS. HENSEL:

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

25

In addition, we have recommendations of

Denial for three Gaming Employee and two Non-Gaming

Employee Applications. Prior to this meeting, the

Bureau of Licensing provided you with Orders

addressing these applicants, who the BIE and OEC have

recommended for denial. In each case, the applicant

failed to request a hearing within a specified time

period. I ask that the Board consider the Orders

denying the Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee applicants.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORENY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel would request denial in each instance.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions, comments from the Board?

18 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the denial of Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee Applications as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. GINTY:

24 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

69

All in favor? 1 ALL SAY AYE 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 4 NO RESPONSE 5 6 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 7 8 MS. HENSEL: We also have for your consideration 9 10 Withdrawal Requests for Key Employee, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case, the License, 11 12 Permit or Registration is no longer required due to such circumstances as the employee accepting a job 13 14 offer with another employer. For today's meeting I have provided the Board with the list of two Key, 99 15 Gaming and 22 Non-Gaming Employee Withdrawals for 16 17 approval. I ask that the Board consider the Orders approving the list of Withdrawals. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Any comment from Enforcement Counsel? 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 23 CHAIRMAN: Any questions, comments from the Board? 24 25 Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

71 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion? 5 MS. KAISER: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 6 7 an Order to approve the Applications for Gaming Service Provider Certification as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 10 MR. MCCALL: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 13 14 ALL SAY AYE 15 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 16 17 MS. HENSEL: 18 Next we have an Order regarding Gaming 19 Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 20 Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached 21 list of 12 registered Gaming Service Provider 22 Applicants. I ask that the Board adopt a motion 23 approving the Order registering these Gaming Service

CHAIRMAN:

Providers.

24

73

```
1 Application for Golden Rock Entertainment & Travel,
2 Inc. is being recommended for Abandonment.
   company filed an application with the Board, but to
  the best of the Bureau of Licensing's knowledge is no
   longer in business. A company whose application is
6 abandoned is free to reapply at any time. I ask that
   the Board consider the Order declaring Golden Rock
  Entertainment & Travel, Inc.'s application abandoned.
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
11
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                Enforcement Counsel has no objection.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Any questions, comments from the Board?
  Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?
15
16
                MR. MOSCATO:
17
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
   an Order to approve the Abandonment of Golden Rock
18
   Entertainment & Travel, Inc.'s application as
19
20
   described by the Bureau of Licensing.
21
                MR. SOJKA:
22
                Second.
23
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
24
25 ALL SAY AYE
```

74 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Opposed? 3 NO RESPONSE 4 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 5 6 MS. HENSEL: That concludes the matters of the Bureau 7 of Licensing. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Thank you, Susan. Next, Cyrus Pitre, our Chief Enforcement Counsel. Cyrus? 11 12 ATTORNEY PITRE: Good morning. We have 12 matters for the 13 14 Board's consideration today. They make up six Consent Agreements, three Revocations and three Involuntary 15 16 Exclusions. The first matter is a Consent Agreement 17 between the OEC and Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, 18 Inc. I see Mr. Bonner is at the table on behalf of 19 Greenwood. Dustin Miller will present the matter on 20 behalf of OEC. 21 ATTORNEY MILLER: 22 Mr. Bonner, good morning. 23 ATTORNEY BONNER: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good 24 25 morning, Commissioners.

75

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

22

23

Could you do us a favor and just put your name on the record ---3

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Certainly.

CHAIRMAN:

--- and spell your last name?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Thomas Bonner, B-O-N-N-E-R, General

Counsel for Greenwood Gaming. 10

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. At this time, the OEC has a Consent Agreement prepared for the Board's approval. I'm

17 Dustin Miller on behalf of the OEC.

The Consent Agreement is between OEC and Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, doing business as 20 Parx Casino. This Consent Agreement arises from an 21 incident on March 16th, 2012, where a patron at Parx Casino was allowed to gamble while being visibly intoxicated.

Pursuant to Section 423, A6, B4, the 24 25 Board's regulations, the Slot Machine Licensee must

comply with its signed Statement of Conditions. 1 Condition 39 of Greenwood Gaming's Statement of 2 Conditions requires that Greenwood Gaming comply with 3 its approved Compulsive and Problem Gambling Plan. Policy 18 of Greenwood Gaming's approved Compulsive and Problem Gambling Plan outlines the duties and 7 responsibilities of different casino departments with relation to procedures to prevent intoxicated patrons from engaging in gaming activities.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Specifically with relation to the facts of this case, Food and Beverage Department employees and Table Games Department employees are required to notify their supervisors upon observation of intoxication. Per the policy, the supervisors are then directed to contact the Security Department to remove the patron from the gaming floor and arrange for the patron to eat or to see them safely leave the facility. In the instant matter, Food and Beverage Department Supervisors were never notified that the patron was exhibiting signs of intoxication, and Table Games Department supervisors failed to alert the Security Department to the presence of an intoxicated patron after being advised by their subordinates.

The facts of the incident are as follows. 25 On March 16th, 2012, a patron entered Parx Casino at

approximately 10:44 a.m. and began to play Blackjack.

Between 11:08 a.m. and 4:51 p.m. the patron was served ten bottled beers by three different Parkette servers and consumed over eight of the bottled beers. The patron continued to play Blackjack while consuming the alcoholic beverages and began exhibiting signs of intoxication at 1:31 p.m. when he fell asleep at the Blackjack table. The patron awakened and continued to play Blackjack.

Later, the patron was seen on surveillance stumbling away from the Blackjack table and knocking over and spilling beers on the Blackjack table. Eventually Parx Casino table games personnel confronted the patron regarding his conduct. At this time they discovered that the patron had removed his shoes. The patron then exhibited difficulty putting his shoes back on while he sat on the floor and had to be assisted exiting the casino by another patron at 4:51 p.m., when both were evicted.

After being escorted out of the casino, the two evicted patrons attempted to regain entry to Parx Casino and also Parx East. At 7:25 p.m. the intoxicated patron did reenter Parx Casino and remained on the casino floor until 8:31 p.m. when he left the property by taxi.

On September 4th, 2012, the parties
entered into a Consent Agreement to settle this
outstanding compliance matter. This is Greenwood
Gaming & Entertainment's first Consent Agreement with
OEC related to an intoxicated patron being allowed to
gamble.

The terms of the agreement include a provision that Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. 8 shall institute polices and provide training, guidance 10 and reinforcement to employees to minimize the opportunity for a similar incident of this nature from 11 12 occurring in the future. And also Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. shall pay a total fine of \$10,000. 13 14 | Further, in accordance with the Board's billing policy, Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. will 15 pay a flat fee of \$2,500 in investigative fees. 17 fines and fees shall be paid within five days of the Consent Agreement being approved by the Board. 18 19 Counsel for Greenwood Gaming & 20 Entertainment, Inc., Tom Bonner, is in attendance

CHAIRMAN:

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Bonner?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

today to answer any questions you may have.

Mr. Chairman, Mr. Miller, I believe, has

accurately recited the facts of this occurrence. personally reviewed the surveillance tapes and agree with the findings, and for that reason we agree to enter into the Consent Agreement.

I can assure you that we use incidents like this, whether it's an intoxicated patron or another incident involving a regulatory or policy violation, for training purposes. And we've already, through pre-shifting and additional training, 10 highlighted the importance of identifying signs of intoxication and ceasing dealing to patrons who are at the tables or removing them from the slot machines as our approved plan requires.

We've also recently increased the staffing in our Human Resources Department, which is responsible for all training for every kind, including this training. So, we have more resources available to beef up the training in this and other areas. we believe that we have taken this as a teaching moment, as we say, and have used it as such. But we agree to the Consent Agreement as proposed by OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

24 Greq?

1

2

3

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. FAJT:

One quick question. Thanks, Mr. 1 2 Chairman. Mr. Bonner, what is your policy when you evict an intoxicated patron? In other words, do you 3 just throw them out and leave them to their own devices to get in their car? 6 ATTORNEY BONNER: 7 No, sir. 8 MR. FAJT: Do you call a cab ---9 10 ATTORNEY BONNER: 11 Yes. 12 MR. FAJT: --- do you call a family member? 13 14 ATTORNEY BONNER: 15 All of the above. One thing we don't do is let a person leave with keys. In fact, we've had 16 incidents where our Security Officers have been 17 18 accused of being a little too physical with patrons trying to get their keys from them. I've told them, 19 20 I'll take that case. So, we call a cab, or we make 21 | sure that a family member is with the person, and we 22 actually watch them into the parking lot to make sure that they don't get into the driver's seat. 23 extremely vigilant with respect to that. 24

MR. FAJT:

25

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

Я

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Gary?

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Bonner, I've got a couple other questions too. I have no real problem with the Consent Agreement. And first of all, I want to make sure --- this was a self-reported issue by you folks?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Unfortunately, this was not.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

This was an incident --- and we actually had to request the video of this from the Gaming Control Board, because it wasn't brought to our attention until more than seven days after the event, and the regulations require that we keep video in this 20 area of the casino only for seven days. So, this was 21 one that was not self-reported because nobody picked it up.

MR. SOJKA:

So, if no one picked it up, can we 24 25 assume, then that you at this time have no separate

82 and distinct problem with the Liquor Control Board for 2 having served a clearly intoxicated person? 3 ATTORNEY BONNER: I am happy to say that we do not have 4 that problem with respect to this matter. 5 6 MR. SOJKA: 7 Okay. Good. One other issue. Has this person taken any action against the casino? 8 Has he filed any kind of legal action against you for helping 10 | him get in this compromised position? ATTORNEY BONNER: 11 He has not. 12 13 MR. SOJKA: Has he been charged with anything, public 14 drunkenness or anything of the sort? 15 ATTORNEY BONNER: 16 No, sir, he's not. 17 MR. SOJKA: 18 So, if we settle this Consent Agreement 19 20 as presented, this should be the end of this and you 21 have gained a training item? 22 ATTORNEY BONNER: I believe that's accurate, Commissioner. 23 24 MR. SOJKA: 25 Thank you.

MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Where were the State Police in all this?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Commissioner, I don't know that the State
Police became involved in this. I believe that, as is
typically the case in an incident like this, with a
patron who has had too much to drink, our own Security

Bepartment will remove the patron. Unless the patron
becomes violent and resists the effort, we don't
involve the State Police in matters like this. And
I'm fairly certain that in this case we did not
involve the State Police. So, no involvement and no
charges were brought against the patron.

CHAIRMAN:

Anything else? Thank you, Mr. Bonner.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. described by the OEC.

MR. FAJT:

put it on the record for us?

1

3

6

7

8

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 ATTORNEY MICHAEL:

Mr. Chairman, members of the Commission, my name is Guy Michael, law firm Michael & Carroll. With me is Dan Bricmont, Pennsylvania Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

Thank you. Beth Manifesto on behalf of 10 Enforcement Counsel. Good morning, Chairman Ryan, 11 members of the Board. OEC and International Gaming Technology, hereafter referred to as IGT, are before the Board to request acceptance of the Consent Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement the parties have negotiated.

The facts giving rise to the Consent Agreement occurred on February 29th, 2012, when four IGT progressive slot machines were being configured by IGT technicians at Rivers Casino. Also present was the PGCB's Bureau of Gaming Laboratory Operations or GLO. During the setup process, the Gaming Lab informed the IGT technician that progressive levels on the submission forms that they were using, and which 24 were dated February 23rd of 2012 were incorrect and 25 did not match the settings on the machines.

employee stated he believed the machines were correctly configured and that it was the paperwork that was in error.

1

2

3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Because the progressive machines were placed on the gaming floor with the initial and reset 6 amounts that were not submitted and approved by the Board, a violation of Section 461A.12(F1) occurred. The machines were live on the gaming floor for a four-hour period prior to being taken out of service by Rivers after it noticed the repeated winning of 10 high jackpot amounts. Due to the erroneous machine 11 12 setup, the payout by Rivers during the four hours was \$21,514.50. Had the machines been correctly 13 configured, the total payout would have been \$477. 14

Rivers informed the Pennsylvania

Department of Revenue of this error, and on May 15th of 2012, an adjusted gross tax revenue invoice was generated, which included the \$11,570.63 due in tax on the \$21,037.57 to correct the resulting previous underpayment in tax. The OEC confirmed with the Department of Revenue that Rivers has paid this tax; this occurred on May 16th of 2012.

It should be stated that the patrons' interests were not harmed as the elevated jackpots were, in fact, paid out by the Rivers Casino, and

there was no evidence the error was committed with an 1 2 intent to defraud or cause harm to the State, Rivers or Gaming in general. The settlement terms reached require that IGT pay a civil penalty of \$5,000 to the Board and a fee of \$2,500 for the costs incurred by OEC, BIE and associated staff in relation to this 6 matter.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments, Counselor?

ATTORNEY MICHAEL:

Just that we agree with Ms. Manifesto's description of the incident. This was, unfortunately, a mistake on the part of the technicians. As Ms. 14 | Manifesto has stated and as the Consent Order says, it 15 was not done with any intention to engage in any illicit activity. It was merely an error on their part for which we apologize and agree to the fine.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Questions, comments from the

20 Board?

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

21

MR. SOJKA:

And I notice that we have 22 Just a couple. 23 Mr. Cruz, the head of the Gaming Lab here, and one of our resident statisticians. So, I'm a little --- I 24 just want to make sure I understand. The way you got 25

to the amount that was properly owed. And Mike, I don't know that you ---.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

He's the only one who can answer that question.

MR. SOJKA:

7 He's the only one. And I'm not sure I can articulate the question, but you can probably 8 judge already what's beginning to bother me. And that 10 is that the differential between what was paid out and should have been paid out is not estimated in this 11 12 case. It's based on a straightforward statistical analysis of what the --- the game and the lifetime of 13 the game would have been expected to pay out. 14 since there is a random generator involved, we're 15 stuck with just an estimate of what might have 16 17 happened. Something very different could have 18 happened; right, if things had been in proper order?

MR. CRUZ:

Should I be sworn?

CHAIRMAN:

Mike, why don't you just give your name for the record and be sworn?

MR. CRUZ:

My name is Michael Cruz, C-R-U-Z. I'm

the director of the Gaming Lab for PGCB.

2 ------

MICHAEL CRUZ, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED

4 AS FOLLOWS:

1

3

6

7

5 -----

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

I believe the issue here was a decimal point 8 error. What was set up on the game was \$500 as the lower amount. What should have been set up was \$5 10 11 When the technician was reading the paperwork that he 12 was using to set up the game, it was listed as 500 credits. He assumed that that meant \$500 as opposed 13 to 500 pennies, which would equate to \$5. I believe what they had set up and how they calculated the tax 15 16 issue was they looked at what was the actual win based 17 on those --- the four hours of play, based on how many times that those jackpots hit, and calculated that 18 difference for the tax purposes. 19

MR. SOJKA:

It didn't even need to be jackpots. Any

22 | win ---.

20

23 A. Yeah, any one of those progressive wins. I

24 believe it was a four-level progressive, so there was

25 four different thresholds with the 500 or \$5 being the

```
90
                I believe any one of those jackpots that
1
  lowest one.
2 were hit and which could be reviewed, was in question,
  and each one of those was off by a factor of, I
  believe, one hundred. So, I believe that's what
  it ---.
5
6
                MR. SOJKA:
 7
                But that's every payout, not every
  jackpot. It's every payout that's affected; right?
8
   A. For this specific incident, it was the progressive
10 only that was affected.
11
                MR. SOJKA:
                Oh, okay. I see, it's ---
12
13
  Α.
       The regular ---.
14
                MR. SOJKA:
                --- not the whole machine?
15
      Yeah, the regular combinations had no effect on
16
17
   these payouts. It was the progressive combinations
18
  which in this game there was only four combinations,
  that were set up for progressive use.
19
20
                MR. SOJKA:
21
                Well, you have actual data on what was
22
  paid out?
23
   Α.
       Yes.
24
                MR. SOJKA:
```

And of course the initial gross terminal

25

revenue calculation, which resulted in the erroneous tax bill or tax payment, was based upon real data.

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

2.4

25

MR. SOJKA:

But the real problem for me is to determine the difference between what should have been paid out and what was paid out, which would actually increase the gross terminal revenue. You have to base that not on any actual data, but it's a simple 10 statistical calculation based on the lifetime of the machine's payout; is that right? For this specific incident, because it was Α. progressive and only the progressive awards, we know 14 exactly when those progressives hit, so it's only those specific combinations. And since this is only a four-hour period and not four days or four weeks, they were able to determine which actual combinations were hit. Because a simple --- you know, a seven or two sevens or a cherry that would've paid out maybe five 20 credits, that was not affected by this change, so you don't have to look at the whole cycle of the game and 21 every combination. You just have to look at the specific combinations that were hit, that were affected.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay. So, this is really very

comforting, because that means then as --- in our role

in protecting the citizens of the Commonwealth of

Pennsylvania, the appropriate tax to which they are

due we now know is paid to them not on the basis of

calculating an average or anything of the sort, but

you have rock solid numbers, both on the reduction of

the gross terminal revenue and the amount that the

gross terminal revenue should have been, and the

appropriate tax calculation.

11 A. Yes. For this specific incident it was an actual calculated amount as opposed to an average ---.

MR. SOJKA:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

That's fortunate; isn't it? Because some
--- a mistake like this could be substantially more
difficult to deal with if something like this would
happen in the future?

ATTORNEY MICHAEL:

Conceivably it could be, but as Mr. Cruz has pointed out the mistake was done in the re-setting of the progressive aspect, which again, the progressives have a record of precisely what the performance of the game was, so it's able to reconstruct.

MR. SOJKA:

```
Downs and the OEC. Melissa Powers will represent the
1
2
  matter on behalf of the OEC. I see Mr. Kohler just
   walked up, and he will be representing Pocono Downs in
3
   this matter.
5
                CHAIRMAN:
                Okay. Enforcement Counsel?
 6
 7
                ATTORNEY POWERS:
                Yes?
8
9
                CHAIRMAN:
                Why don't you start?
10
11
                ATTORNEY POWERS:
                Thank you. It's Melissa Powers,
12
   P-O-W-E-R-S.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
15
                Okay.
16
                ATTORNEY POWERS:
17
                What we have today is a Consent Agreement
18 between the OEC and Downs Racing, LP, doing business
19 as Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs. The Consent
20 Agreements relates to a Service Level Agreement or SLA
21 | between Downs Racing and Mohegan Sun Connecticut and
22
  its IT department.
                On June 3rd, 2009, the Board approved the
23
  SLA subject to certain conditions set forth in a
24
25 stipulation entered into by Downs Racing and OEC.
```

1 | SLA provided for specific IT services that Mohegan Sun 2 Connecticut would provide to Downs Racing, and it was in effect from February 12th, 2009, until January 31st, 2010, with an automatic renewal each year. Paragraph 4E of the stipulation required Downs Racing to seek Board approval of all renewals, including 6 automatic renewals. However, Downs Racing failed to seek such 8 approval for the automatic renewals that occurred in 2010, 2011 and 2012. The only petitions filed by 10 Downs Racing with regard to the SLA have been a 11 12 Petition filed on March 25th, 2009, seeking approval of that initial SLA, and also a Petition filed on May 13 24th, 2012, seeking approval of changes to the SLA, a 14 matter that is still pending before the Board. 15 parties have agreed that within five days of the 16 17 Board's Order, Downs Racing shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$3,000 and also \$2,500 for the costs 18 occurred by OEC and other staff in connection with 19 20 this matter. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Alan, why don't you put your name on the record? 23

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

24

25

Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners.

Welcome, Commissioner Kaiser. My name's Alan Kohler,
K-O-H-L-E-R, with the firm of Eckert Seamans,
representing MSPD. And I want to introduce to the
Board this morning --- I believe he's appeared in the
past, but in case you don't remember, Fred Brown is
vice president of Information Technology, who is not a
lawyer; if he needs to answer questions he needs to be
sworn.

CHAIRMAN:

9

10

11

Any comments, Alan?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

12 No. The facts were accurately recited by This is a matter --- my experience with 13 Ms. Powers. Downs Racing Mohegan is that they do a great job with 14 their tickler system, if you will, in catching these 15 types of regulatory notices, regulatory requirements. 16 In this case, a petition that had to be filed as a 17 result of a condition in the Order. This one simply 18 slipped through the cracks. We don't have an excuse 19 20 before you today. We don't think at the end of the 21 day that it caused any harm, but --- and when we did 22 decide to make any --- make changes to the SLA, we came in and filed a Petition, but nevertheless, the 23 condition reads clearly, and we blew this one. 24 25 Accordingly, we agreed to the terms of the Consent

Agreement and the Proposed Findings.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Questions, comments from the

Board?

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

MR. GINTY:

Yeah, I have a couple. I guess this is to Enforcement Counsel. Is this a standard provision that we require all the casinos to abide by?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

This is only the second casino that we had the Service Level Agreement with. The other casino, yes, we did, put that requirement. And that requirement was because the Service Level Agreements 14 were new at that time to Pennsylvania, and it allowed remote access by employees in other locations in other jurisdictions. So, we always require those employees in other jurisdictions that have remote access to be licensed. The other casino that had a Service --this type of agreement, we did require them to petition in the beginning, and then as we became 21 comfortable with what they were doing, we allowed them to stop petitioning. We planned to do the same thing here with Mohegan, obviously, but the petitions never came and ---.

MR. GINTY:

What is a Service Level Agreement, to describe it?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2

3

4

6

8

22

25

Well, it varies from casino to casino.

But I mean, Mr. Kohler, I don't know if he wants to

get into details of this. He's free to do so. We're

prepared to discuss it, if the Board would like.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

I mean, very generally --- if you want to 9 go into detail we can, but it's like, Commissioner, 10 taking you back to your PUC days, it's sort of like an 11 12 affiliated --- in this case, it's sort of like an affiliated interest agreement, and in this case, 13 14 pertaining to the IT services that are being provided by the parent to the subsidiary. Obviously, Mohegan 15 has a very large casino in Connecticut. They have 16 17 their ITs centralized there, and they're in a position to support the IT services in Wilkes-Barre. 18 Essentially, it's an internal agreement and required 19 20 to be submitted by our general Statement of 21 Conditions.

MR. GINTY:

I recall those agreements which we approved, I understand.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

As I believe Melissa recited, you 1 2 approved the original agreement in 2009. We now have before you a modified agreement, but what we failed to 3 do --- the terms of the original agreement were that it renewed annually. 6 MR. GINTY: 7 So, that would have been 2000 and ---. ATTORNEY KOHLER: 8 It automatically renewed annually, so 9 10 there was no --- as we moved forward from 2009 to, for example, 2010, there was no new paper. There was no 11 12 new provision. There was no new agreement. But under the terms of the original agreement, there was an 13 14 automatic renewal. And that's what we failed to petition. I mean, the only thing I ---. 15 16 MR. GINTY: 17 I'm confused. Why did you have to petition for an automatic renewal? 18 19 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 20 Because the terms of the Order approving the original SLA agreement ---21 22 MR. GINTY: 23 Right. 24 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 25 --- had a condition that required us to

get approval for automatic renewals.

2 ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

3

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

24

See, at the time --- I don't know if you remember, Commissioner Ginty, but at the time we didn't have the comfort level with Mohegan Sun, so ---.

MR. GINTY:

I understand. I mean automatic to me means automatic.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Well, it only means automatic if the 11 12 Board says, okay, it's fine. I mean, if the Board decided at that time, well, we don't like what you're 13 doing or if there'd been problems, obviously we would 14 have objected to them moving forward with this. 15 thankfully there were no problems. We looked ---16 17 basically, it was a minor headache because we had to go back three years and review everything and then 18 come up to speed on what they want to do now. 19 20 they're fine.

MR. GINTY:

Right. And I'm just trying to --- I mean 23 | this is a fairly minor matter, but Mohegan indicated that its tickler system didn't address this, but what 25 about our tickler system? You know, after the first

Our

time they failed to come to us for renewal --- you know, do we have a tickler system which would have prompted us to go ask them where the renewal was?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

You want me to answer that? I'll answer

MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

it.

Go ahead. I assume the answer is no.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No, we don't have a tickler system. tickler system is my secretary trying to keep track of 12 thousands of matters that occur on a daily basis. That's why we put the onus on the industry a lot of times, because if I have to keep track of industry the way I keep track of my children, then it's going to require a lot more staffing and a lot more expense.

MR. GINTY:

All right. When we come up to speed on our new computer system maybe all of this will be taken care of but I mean --- okay. Those are the questions I had.

CHAIRMAN:

23 Okay. Thank you, Jim. Anybody else have 24 any questions?

MR. FAJT:

	102
1	Thank you for your honesty, Cyrus.
2	CHAIRMAN:
3	May I have a motion?
4	MR. GINTY:
5	Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
6	issue an Order to approve in part the Consent
7	Agreement between OEC and Downs Racing, LP, as
8	described by the OEC. Specifically, however, I would
9	move that Downs Racing pay only the \$2,500
10	investigative costs agreed to and that they be
11	relieved from paying any civil penalty.
12	CHAIRMAN:
13	Second?
14	MS. KAISER:
15	Second.
16	CHAIRMAN:
17	All in favor?
18	ALL SAY AYE
19	CHAIRMAN:
20	Opposed?
21	NO RESPONSE
22	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
23	The motion carries.
24	ATTORNEY KOHLER:
25	Thank you.

103

CHAIRMAN:

2 Mr. Kohler, you did better than you

thought. 3

1

4

5

6

7

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

That's a first.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next three matters that we have for the Board's consideration all arise out of the same instance, so I would ask for the Board's --- to make 10 this a little easier, that all parties come up. are DEQ Systems. Mr. Jim Dougherty is here on behalf 12 of DEQ. Greenwood Gaming; Mr. Bonner is here on behalf of Greenwood.

CHAIRMAN:

Cyrus, why don't we ask ---

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Each one --- that's fine.

CHAIRMAN:

19 --- to put on the record their names,

20 spell their last name and which party they represent,

21 just to make it as easy as we can on the court

22 reporter?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

24 Okay. Do you want to go ahead, Jim?

25 ATTORNEY DOUGHERTY:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members. 1 Му 2 name is Jim Dougherty from the law firm of Dougherty and Hayes, and I represent DEQ Systems Corporation. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: Mr. Bonner? 5 ATTORNEY BONNER: 6 7 Good morning again, Chairman and Commissioners. Thomas Bonner, B-O-N-N-E-R, 8 representing Greenwood Gaming. ATTORNEY STEWART: 10 Good morning, Mr. Chairman and 11 Commissioners. Mark Stewart with Eckert Seamans. 12 That's S-T-E-W-A-R-T, and I represent the United 13 States Playing Card Company. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Beth? 16 17 ATTORNEY MANIFESTO: Thank you. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Why don't you go ahead? 21 ATTORNEY MANIFESTO: 22 We've moved on to good afternoon, and my last name is spelled Manifesto, M-A-N-I-F-E-S-T-O. 23 The next three Consent Agreements appearing on the 24 25 meeting agenda are the result of violations arising

out of the same series of events. Each company 1 2 involved in today's meeting was handled by a separate OEC attorney. Unless the Board would prefer 3 otherwise, the factual scenario for all three matters I will read into the record, and subsequently the terms of the respective Consent Agreements will be 6 7 presented by the appropriate OEC attorney. Is that acceptable?

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

Okay. In the facts presented today, I will also mention TDN Money Systems, Inc. Due to a scheduling conflict, the representatives of TDN, who wanted to address the Board, but could not be here today ---. Therefore they requested that it be presented at a future Board meeting.

The facts of this matter are as follows. DEQ is a conditionally licensed manufacturer applicant presently pending before the Board for complete 21 licensure. DEQ submitted an initial Manufacturer Application and discloser form, which was received by the Board on September 20th of 2010. DEQ was approved for its conditional Table Game Device Manufacturer 25 License by the Board on April 14th of 2011.

The other involved parties are Greenwood 1 Gaming & Entertainment, Inc., conducting business as 2 Parx Casino. It is a category one license facility. 3 Parx utilizes the Baccarat Bee shoe, which is manufactured by United States Playing Cards or USPC and its casino for licensed gaming activity. United 6 7 States Playing Cards is the holder of a Table Games Manufacturer License which was issued by the Board on November 22nd of 2011. TDN Money Systems, Inc. is the holder of a Supplier License originally issued by the 10 PGCB on December 2nd of 2007. 11

12

13

16

19

The product which is at the center of this event is the EZ Trak system, which is 14 | manufactured by DEQ. EZ Trak is a licensed trademark The EZ Trak system is an electronic 15 informational display used by players during the game 17 of Baccarat. The EZ Trak system is compatible with the USPC's Bee shoe, and when used in conjunction with 18 the Bee shoe, the display provides statistical data 20 which players may utilize, such as the last shoe 21 result; the table minimum and maximum bet; the numbers 22 and percentages for player, banker, and tie bets; traditional Asian scoring and simplified scoring 23 formats; the naturals banker and player pairs for the 24 current and prior shoe; as well as the number of hands 25

per current and prior shoe.

A brief synopsis of the actions and agreements which have led to these three Consent

Agreements is as follows. On December 15th of 2010, representatives from Parx and USPC signed a License

Order for 14 EZ Trak systems. This License Order was integrated into a License Agreement dated December

22nd, 2010, wherein Parx agreed to pay USPC \$250 a month for each EZ Trak system that it leased from

USPC. USPC distributed and Parx took possession of 14

EZ Trak systems on December 17th of 2010.

DEQ, prior to its receipt of its conditional License, contracted with two PGCB licensed companies. First, on December 16th of 2010, DEQ entered into a Service Agreement with TDN Money Systems, Inc. in which TDN would receive payment for each EZ Trak system it installed, as well as payment for fees and expenses accrued through the providing of ongoing technical support and servicing of equipment within the Commonwealth. On or about December 22nd of 2010, the EZ Trak systems were installed on the Parx gaming floor for use within the Commonwealth. TDN began billing DEQ on January 10th, 2011, for installation and/or maintenance of the EZ Trak systems on the Parx gaming floor. This service and billing

continued through April 14th, 2011.

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 The second agreement involving DEQ was signed on December 9th, 2010. The parties executing 3 the agreement were DEQ and USPC. This was a License Agreement in which DEO conferred USPC the right to distribute the EZ Trak system in Pennsylvania. The 6 agreement provided that USPC would pay a monthly royalty of \$250 to DEQ for each EZ Trak system leased within the State of Pennsylvania. Parx was the only licensed casino to which DEQ's products were 10 distributed by USPC between December of 2010 and April 11 14th of 2011. 12

As part of the agreement with Parx, USPC agreed to supply the systems for 30 days at no cost, and DEQ agreed to not charge royalties for this 30-day period as well. Thereafter, beginning on January 22nd of 2011, a 30-day billing cycle was instituted. On April 27th of 2011, after DEQ received its Conditional Manufacturer License from the Board, it entered into an Assignment and Termination Agreement with USPC, concluding the License Agreements.

As a result of the aforementioned agreements and actions, the EZ Trak system was utilized for live gaming activity by the public at Parx Casino from December 17th, 2010 through April

1 | 14th, 2011, without Board approval in violation of the 2 | Act.

And I should say that Parx Casino received the EZ Trak systems on December 17th; I believe they went live on the 22nd.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

That's correct.

3

5

6

7

8

ATTORNEY MANIFESTO:

The DEQ violation. Prior to April 14th, 9 of 2011, DEQ had not been licensed or authorized by 10 the Board to provide its products or services to any 11 12 licensed facility in the Commonwealth. As a result, DEQ's products were not authorized for use in the 13 Commonwealth. By entering into the contract to lease 14 its EZ Trak system prior to April 14th of 2011 to USPC 15 for distribution and use in the State of Pennsylvania, DEO then violated four PA CS Section 1317.1(e)(1). 17 Furthermore, through its actions, DEO participated in 18 the violation of four PA CS Section 1317(e)(2) by 19 20 manufacturing, distributing and causing its 21 unauthorized table game device and/or associated 22 equipment to be made available for use on the Parx gaming floor from December 22nd to April 14th of 2011. 23 During the investigation of this matter, 24 25 BIE did not detect any evidence or suggestion that DEQ

1 sought to mislead the PGCB or avoid the licensing or 2 fee requirements. Furthermore, all parties cooperated fully with the inquiry into the facts and 3 circumstances surrounding these events and agreements. DEO's terms are as follows. The OEC and 5 6 DEQ respectfully the Board approve the proposed Consent Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement, which require DEQ to submit to the OEC and the Board a copy of the policies and controls it has enacted to prevent 10 similar violations; that DEQ pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$18,000 and a fee of \$2,500 for costs 11 12 incurred by OEC, BIE and other staff in connection with the investigation, assessment and settlement of 13 14 this incident. 15 ATTORNEY PITRE: That's the DEQ matter for the Board's 16 consideration. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Okay. Probably we can take these one at 20 a time, although --- okay. 21 MR. SOJKA: 22 It's better to take them together; isn't it? 23 24 ATTORNEY PITRE: 25 I mean, we won't read the facts back into

the record. We'll just read what the penalty and what 1 they ---.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

13

20

23

24

Okay. All right. I'll tell you what. 4 Why don't we put on the record all three? I think Gary's right; it's probably easier to deal with all this at one time. We will vote on each Consent Decree separately because that makes sense, but this way this is all in one transaction that all the parties, including the one that's not here today are involved 10 in ---. So, it's just easier, I think, to do it that 11 12 | way.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

14 Mr. Chairman, if I may, Michael Roland, Members of the Board, I'll be handling the 15 again. United States Playing Card portion of this fact 16 pattern. Thankfully, I don't have to give all that 17 back to you; I'm very grateful. I'll simply say 18 that ---. 19

CHAIRMAN:

21 What can you tell us in addition to what 22 Beth already told us?

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

In addition, regarding USPC, how they fit 25 | into the scenario, as you're already aware, they

manufacture the Bee shoe product, and their
involvement starts really when they enter into the
contractual agreement with DEQ and Parx to provide the
EZ Trak system. It's OEC's position that by
contracting with both DEQ and Parx and by distributing
what is basically an unauthorized EZ Trak system to
Parx and ultimately the general gaming public, that
USPC caused a violation of both 1317.1(e)(1) and
1317.1(e)(2) of the Act.

Regarding the specific components of their Consent Agreement, both parties have agreed that within five days of the Board approving this Consent Agreement, if that should happen, United States Playing Cards will pay a civil penalty of \$10,000 for the alleged violations described. Additionally, also within five days, should the Board adopt a Consent Agreement, there would be a \$2,500 penalty that goes with costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other related staff in connection to this matter. And finally, USPC has agreed that they'll --- immediately will institute polices and controls providing training and guidance to its employees, which will minimize the opportunity for the occurrence of similar incidents in the future. I believe Mr. Miller will be presenting the last one.

CHAIRMAN:

All right. So, Dustin, you'll tell us about Greenwood?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Yes. Yes, I'm handling the Parx portion of the matter. Parx committed a violation of the Act Section 1317(e)(2) and also Condition 3 of their Table Games Statement of Conditions when they entered into a contract with US Playing Cards to order and receive the associated equipment, the EZ Trak system from DEQ, an unlicensed Manufacturer, and they employed the EZ Trak system on their gaming floor for use by the public.

OEC and Parx respectfully request that the Board approve the proposed Consent Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement, which requires Parx to pay a civil penalty of \$12,000 and a fee of \$2,500 for costs incurred by OEC, BIE and other staff in connection with the investigation, assessment and settlement of this incident.

CHAIRMAN:

All right. I guess at this point, then, we can open this up to the Board and Ex-officio members. Any questions? Tony?

MR. MOSCATO:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I'm curious;

how did OEC become aware that this happened?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Two different ways. Through the BIE background investigation, contracts were gathered and the BIE investigated and noted it in his background investigation report.

Additionally through the Bureau of Licensing, the Director of Licensing contacted me with regard to something one of her analysts spotted and relayed that information to me. It was included in a report that was forwarded to her. And therefore, we opened up the investigation to see what, if any, came of it. It started out with DEQ and then it led to, from there, to United States Playing Cards, Parx and TDN. And then agents that were responsible for those various entities went out and gathered documents as it relates to those.

I can say that from the very beginning, because --- that DEQ was upfront. I don't think there was any intention --- well, I know there was no intentional violation of the Act. It was simply ignorance of the law as it relates to Pennsylvania and their understanding of what they could do and could not do. I think they were under the misunderstanding that if the Lab didn't have to test their device, that

1 it could be distributed through a licensed 2 Manufacturer. That was an erroneous misunderstanding. They understand that now, obviously. The EZ Trak 3 system did not compromise the integrity of any game. It's a display system. We didn't receive any patron complaints, so we took all that into consideration 6 when dealing with this. But that's how --- to answer your question, how we discovered it. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Thank you. Anyone else? 11 MR. SOJKA: 12 Just a couple. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Okay. Gary. 15 MR. SOJKA: First of all, I thank you for that 16 17 summary. That helps. But each of the penalties,

financial penalties, for each of the three entities, has two components. It has the investigative component; that's the same for all three, and I think that's part of our practice now. We have a lump sum, and I have no issue with that.

18

19

20

21

22

23

But it's the other part. It's the civil 24 penalty part. There are three entities all involved 25 | in a problem, and they have three different-sized

penalties; \$18, \$10 and \$12,000. Am I correct in 1 assuming those numbers imply degrees of culpability? Is the \$18,000 firm more culpable in this problem than the \$10,000?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

The way I looked at it, I looked at the actions. DEO wasn't licensed, obviously, so they made \$10,500 from their machines being out there. obviously we wanted to cover the \$10,500.

MR. SOJKA:

So, that sets your base?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

So, that covers the \$8,000 and \$2,500; okay, that they'll be sending back to the Commonwealth. But then I looked at the number of contracts that they into, and we find in \$5,000 per contract that was followed through on. So, we reviewed those --- the contract between DEQ and United States Playing Cards is \$5,000 because they actually followed through on that contract and distributed 21 those products to US Playing Cards. The contract between DEQ and TDN is another \$5,000 penalty because they're entering into that contract with TDN to service the products on the Parx gaming floor. can say to the benefit of the Commonwealth because had 25

those machines experienced problems from not being serviced, we'd be in a whole different scenario with patrons and everything else, but ---. So, that was a \$5,000 penalty for that.

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

24

So, again, I'm trying to ---.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

So, that's ---.

MR. SOJKA:

What you just said then is very consistent with your earlier statement that said you saw no conspiracy to subvert the regulations or anything of that sort. So, it isn't a matter of culpability. It's simply a matter of the number of transactions involved?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

It's the number of transactions and the different --- the activity. We looked at the overall activity. United States Playing Cards didn't make any 20 money through these transactions. Obviously, it did 21 make their Bee shoe more attractive or --- I quess to | Parx or maybe to the patrons, but United States Playing Cards entered into a contract with DEQ. 23 entered into a contract with Parx, so it's \$5,000 for 25 following through on both of those contracts, each one

of those contracts.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

23

MR. SOJKA:

And so their action that deserves penalty is simply their failure to be careful about dealing only with properly licensed entities?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Yeah, and I don't think --- and legal Counsel can correct me if I'm wrong, but I don't think any one of these entities at any time consulted legal counsel to ensure that they were --- they just thought it was okay, what they were doing.

MR. SOJKA:

To play devil's advocate, and I certainly 13 don't want to take money away from the Commonwealth, 14 but could you not make the argument that Parx is 15 running a casino; they're dealing with at least one 16 properly licensed entity; they want a product; they 17 thought they were getting it. What did they do wrong? 18 Should everybody, every time make sure that all 19 20 parties, especially these complex things, be properly 21 licensed? That was their error for which they're 22 paying a penalty?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Well, Parx was well aware that DEQ was

25 not licensed.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay. Well, that takes care of

everything. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

That shortens that.

MR. SOJKA:

That shortens that.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Anybody else?

MR. GINTY:

Do you want to address that, Mr. Bonner?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I don't know what's more aggravating, Commissioners, a case in which legal counsel was sought, advice was given, and it's disregarded; or the case like this where they didn't even ask us because they know the answer they're going to get; they know they're not going to like it. And I'm not trying to clean our skirts, but it depends on who Parx is; okay? Clearly the house folks knew that they wanted to get 21 their product. I don't know if they were aware of the licensing niceties, as certainly as Cyrus indicates, but clearly somebody at Parx signed those pieces of paper. That person's not longer there; not for this 25 reason. But I can tell you, I like the \$10,000 figure

1 fee for US Playing Card a lot better than the \$12,000
2 for Parx. And following Commissioner Sojka's analogy,
3 we only signed one contract, so that's maybe only
4 worth five grand.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Activity.

5

6

7

8

9

10

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY BONNER:

But we're willing to accept the Consent Agreement as proposed.

MR. GINTY:

I just have a comment. I mean, I --- you 11 guys aren't small players in this, addressing the 12 attorneys but ---. Have you taken remedial actions 13 with your marketeers, or your --- and this goes to QED 14 (sic), I mean, you had a License Petition for a 15 License pending. It wasn't granted, so you couldn't 16 17 sell your product. So, you hooked up with US Playing Cards so you could get your product into Parx. 18 mean, that's what you did, and I think Cyrus has been 19 20 very, very easy on you guys.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I am being soft.

MR. GINTY:

You are being soft.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I am, and that's after taking a close 1 2 look at each one of the entities and talking to staff, who had discussions with them. So, I could see the 3 misunderstanding in the eagerness to get table games. Because remember, we were --- table games had just come online, so there was a lot of eagerness. 6

MR. GINTY:

7

8

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

All right. I think you guys are getting off very light here.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

11 I'm trying to get a consensus with the full Board. 12

MR. GINTY:

And take it back to your companies that, you know, we're not going to tolerate this kind of --at least I'm not going to tolerate this kind of nonsense. So, that's my comment.

CHAIRMAN:

Should I ask Thank you. Anyone else? Counsel for the other two if they have any comment or 21 would you rather just stand pat and we'll go from there? Good. Okay. We have three of these agreements. We will take the one involving DEQ Systems first. May I have a motion?

MS. KAISER:

```
123
  ALL SAY AYE
1
2
                 CHAIRMAN:
3
                 Opposed?
   NO RESPONSE
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
6
                 The motion carries. Greenwood Gaming &
7
  Entertainment, Inc. May I have a motion?
8
                 MR. MOSCATO:
                 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
9
10 an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the
   OEC and Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. as
11
12 described by the OEC.
                 MR. SOJKA:
13
14
                 Second.
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
16
17
  ALL SAY AYE
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 Opposed?
20
  NO RESPONSE
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 The motion carries. Thank you,
23
   gentlemen. Okay. Next would be Revocations and
   Suspensions; is that correct, Cyrus?
24
25
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
```

124

That's correct, Chairman. Next we have 1 2 the Revocation of Mr. Jason McGarrigle. Cassie Fenstermaker will handle the matter on behalf of OEC. 3 If Mr. McGarrigle is present, I ask that he come forward.

CHAIRMAN:

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Okay. You can proceed.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Thank you. Good afternoon, Chairman 9 10 Ryan, members of the Board. I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker, F-E-N-S-T-E-R-M-A-K-E-R, Assistant 11 Enforcement Counsel. 12

The first matter I have for the Board today is a Revocation of Jason McGarrigle's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit. On July 12, 2012, the OEC filed a Complaint for Revocation against Jason McGarrigle, a former security guard at Parx Casino. OEC sought the Revocation of Mr. McGarrigle's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit following his conviction for robbery after he used a knife to hold up a 7-Eleven in Bensalem Township.

Service was effectuated by first class and certified mail. Mr. McGarrigle did not respond to the Complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the 25

125

```
1 Complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a
2 Request for Default Judgment on September 14th, 2012,
3
  in this matter and at this time requests that Jason
  McGarrigle's Gaming Employee Occupation Permit be
   revoked.
6
                 CHAIRMAN:
 7
                 Is Jason McGarrigle in the hearing room?
  May I have a motion?
8
9
                MR. SOJKA:
10
                Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the
  Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of
11
12 Jason McGarrigle's Gaming Employee Permit as described
  by the OEC.
13
14
                MR. FAJT:
15
                 Second.
16
                 CHAIRMAN:
17
                All in favor?
18
  ALL SAY AYE
19
                CHAIRMAN:
20
                Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
21
22
                CHAIRMAN:
                 The motion carries.
23
                ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:
24
25
                 Thank you. The next matter I have is a
```

1 Complaint for Revocation for a Non-Gaming Employee
2 Registration. On July 5th, 2012, the OEC filed a
3 Complaint for Revocation against Christopher Smith, a
4 former engineer --- and that's an EVS employee --- at
5 the Rivers Casino.

Non-Gaming Employee Revocation (sic) following his convictions for robbery, possession of firearms not to be carried without a license, receiving stolen property, possession of marijuana, three counts of burglary, three counts of criminal mischief and criminal intent. Mr. Smith was charged with armed robbery of a convenience store originally, and during a search of his apartment, police discovered the stolen property resulting in the subsequent charges.

Service was effectuated by first class and certified mail. Mr. Smith did not respond to the Complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the Complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default Judgment on September 5th, 2012, in this matter and at this time requests that Christopher Smith's Non-Gaming Employee Registration be revoked.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Christopher Smith in the hearing room?

127

May I have a motion?

2

7

8

9

10

15

16

18

19

20

22

24

1

MR. FAJT:

3 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Christopher Smith's Non-Gaming Employee Registration as described by the OEC. 6

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 11

12 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? 13

14 NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

17 ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

The final Revocation I have is for James Titus. On July 2nd, 2012, the OEC filed a Complaint for Revocation against James Titus, Jr., a former 21 security guard at Mount Airy Casino. OEC sought the Revocation of Mr. Titus's Gaming Employee Occupation 23 Permit following his convictions for theft by unlawful taking and false reports to law enforcement.

25 | Smith was criminally charged after removing a ---.

MR. SOJKA

1

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Titus?

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Mr. Titus, I'm sorry, was criminally charged after removing a vehicle sub-frame from his 6 in-laws' yard. Service was effectuated by first class mail. Mr. Titus did not respond to the Complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the Complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default 10 Judgment on September 5th, 2012, in this matter, and 11 at this time requests that James Titus, Jr.'s Gaming 12 Employee Occupation Permit be revoked.

CHAIRMAN:

Is James Titus in the hearing room? questions, comments, from the Board? Ex-officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of James Titus's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MS. KAISER:

25 Second.

129

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 2

ALL SAY AYE 3

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

I now have two Exclusions for your consideration, and they're both related to the same incident.

On July 17th, 2012, the OEC filed a Petition seeking the Exclusion of Iris Cruz from all Commonwealth casinos. OEC sought the Exclusion after discovering that Ms. Cruz, who is currently under the age of 21, had entered Harrah's gaming floor and had participated in a physical altercation on the gaming floor involving numerous individuals.

Service was effectuated by first class and certified mail. Ms. Cruz did not respond to the Complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the Complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default Judgment on September 6th, 2012, in this 25

1 matter, and at this time requests that Iris Cruz be added to the Board's Excluded Persons List. 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Is Iris Cruz in the hearing room? 4 Questions, comments from the Board? Ex-officio 5 members? May I have a motion? 6 7 MS. KAISER: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 8 an Order to approve the addition of Iris Cruz to the 10 Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary 11 Exclusion List as described by the OEC, and that after 12 Ms. Cruz's 22nd birthday on August 1st, 2014, she may petition the Board for removal from the List. 13 MR. MCCALL: 14 Second. 15 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? 18 ALL SAY AYE 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 21 22 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 23 ATTORNEY FENSTERMACHER: 24 25 The final matter I have before the Board

is for Juanisha Flood. On July 17th, 2012, the OEC filed a Petition seeking the Exclusion of Juanisha Flood. OEC sought the Exclusion after discovering that Ms. Flood, who was under the age of 21 at the time of the incident, had entered Harrah's gaming floor and had participated in a physical altercation on the gaming floor involving numerous individuals.

Service was effectuated by first class

and certified mail. Ms. Flood did not respond to the

Complaint within 30 days, and therefore all facts

alleged in the Complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC

filed a Request for Default Judgment on September 6th,

2012, in this matter, and at this time requests that

Juanisha Flood be added to the Board's Excluded

Persons List.

CHAIRMAN:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is Juanisha Flood in the hearing room?

Comments, questions from the Board?

MR. SOJKA:

I am going to make a comment. We moved quickly on that other one, and we're here now. We have established a precedent that when we have underage persons on the gaming floor, we put them on the Involuntary Exclusion List and make it clear that one year after their 21st birthday, they can appeal

1 that. Those are generally cases where the event that 2 triggers it is simply being underage. In these two cases, these folks misbehaved badly, I think, in a casino, and I'm wondering if we aren't being too lenient here. I'm just going to raise that question.

MR. FAJT:

6

7

8

11

12

15

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Well, it's funny, because I was thinking the same thing, but I think the bottom line is, after a year they have to come before the Board. The facts 10 of the case will be laid out then, and I think we'll take that into account.

MR. SOJKA:

I believe you will cause me to take that 13 into account. Thank you. 14

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any other comments, questions? 16 17 May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Juanisha Flood to 21 the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC, and that Ms. Flood may petition the Board for removal from this List after one year.

CHAIRMAN:

	133
1	Second?
2	MR. MOSCATO:
3	Second.
4	CHAIRMAN:
5	All in favor?
6	ALL SAY AYE
7	CHAIRMAN:
8	Opposed?
9	NO RESPONSE
10	CHAIRMAN:
11	The motion carries.
12	ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:
13	Thank you.
14	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
15	Thank you, Cassandra.
16	ATTORNEY PITRE:
17	The next matter we have for the Board's
18	consideration is to consider the placement of Nakia
19	Jones on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Jeff
20	Hoeflich will present the matter on behalf of OEC.
21	ATTORNEY HOEFLICH:
22	Good afternoon, Jeff Hoeflich, H-O-E,
23	F as in Frank, L-I-C-H.
24	The next matter before the Board today is
25	a Request of Nakia D. Jones on the Board's Excluded

Persons List. The OEC filed a Petition to place Ms. 1 2 Jones on the Exclusion List for stealing a patron's ATM card then withdrawing \$300 from his bank account 3 on April 19th, 2012.

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

Ms. Jones was attending Valley Forge Casino Resort with a male quest when she oversaw him enter his PIN number for his debit card while at an ATM machine. Afterward, while the male patron was away from the table, Ms. Jones reached into his jacket and removed the debit card he had used to withdraw the funds. She then used the ATM card and later played 12 Blackjack with the stolen money. Ms. Jones was eventually detained by security and returned the money she had taken from the patron. Ms. Jones was evicted from the casino, and the male patron refused to press charges.

17 This is the not the first time Ms. Jones has been involved in mischief in a Pennsylvania 18 casino. Ms. Jones was previously evicted from 19 20 SugarHouse Casino when she was caught bet capping on 21 November 26th, 2010. Although the casino did not press charges, Ms. Jones was evicted from the 22 establishment. On June 1st, 2012, Ms. Jones attempted 23 to enter SugarHouse Casino, even though she was 24 evicted from the premises. Casino personnel removed 25

1 Ms. Jones from the casino floor, and she was charged 2 with simple trespass. Just two weeks later, Ms. Jones tried to enter SugarHouse casino again, at which time she was charged with defiant trespass.

OEC's Petition was filed on July 12th,

2012. The Petition was promptly served and Ms. Jones 6 --- by both certified and first class mail. Ms. Jones did not respond to filing in any way. Due to Ms. Jones's failure to respond, the averments in the 10 Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and her right to a hearing has been waived. On September 11 12 10th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment upon Default. 13

The matter is now before the Board to consider the Placement of Nakia D. Jones on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

CHAIRMAN:

5

14

15

17

21

22

24

18 Is Nakia Jones in the hearing room? Questions, comments from the Board? May I have a 2.0 motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 23 and Order to approve the addition of Nakia Jones to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by 25 the OEC.

	136
1	MR. SOJKA:
2	Second.
3	CHAIRMAN:
4	All in favor?
5	ALL SAY AYE
6	CHAIRMAN:
7	Opposed?
8	NO RESPONSE
9	CHAIRMAN:
10	Motion carries. Thank you. Okay. I
11	believe that concludes today's meeting. Our next
12	scheduled Public Meeting will be held here on November
13	8th at 10:00 a.m.
14	Any final comments from the Board or
15	Ex-officio members? May I have a motion to adjourn?
16	MR. SOJKA:
17	So moved.
18	MR. FAJT:
19	Second.
20	CHAIRMAN:
21	Meeting is adjourned. Thank you all very
22	much.
23	
24	* * * * * *
25	MEETING CONCLUDED AT 12:33 P.M.

* * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting before Chairman Ryan was reported by me on 10/10/2012 and that I Kayla Bolze read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Court Reporter

2 de