COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt, James B. Ginty, Keith R.

McCall, Anthony C. Moscato, Gary A. Sojka,

Kenneth Trujillo; Commissioners

Christopher B. Craig representing Robert

McCord, State Treasurer; Robert Coyne

representing Daniel P. Meuser, Secretary of

Revenue; Jorge Augusto representing George

Greig, Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, August 1, 2012

10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Hearings and Appeals Office

303 Walnut Street

2nd Floor, Strawberry Square Complex

Harrisburg, PA, 17101

WITNESSES: None

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited

without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
 1
                    APPEARANCES
 2
3 ALAN C. KOHLER, ESQUIRE
 4 Eckert Seamans
5 213 Market Street
 6 8th Floor
  Harrisburg, PA 17101
 8
      Counsel for Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment,
 9
      Inc.
10
11 MARIE J. JONES, ESQUIRE
12 Fox Rothschild, LLP
13 Midtown Building
14 1301 Atlantic Avenue
15 Suite 400
16 Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212
17
      Counsel for Washington Trotting Association
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		4
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman Ryan 5 - 6	
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney Sherman 6 - 10	
7	By Attorney Hittinger 10 - 20	
8	By Attorney Hensel 20 - 28	
9	By Attorney Pitre 28	
10	By Attorney Miller 29 - 31	
11	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 31 - 38	
12	PRESENTATION	
13	By Attorney Higgins 38 - 41	
14	QUESTIONS BY BOARD 41 - 43	
15	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 43 - 44	
16	PRESENTATION	
17	By Attorney Fenstermaker 45	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 45 - 47	
19	PRESENTATION	
20	By Attorney Miller 47 - 48	
21	By Attorney Fenstermaker 49 - 50	
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 50 - 51	
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

9

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

I'm Bill Ryan, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, I'd like to ask everyone to please turn off their cell phones, PDAs, and other electronic devices. Thank you very much.

Joining us today is Christopher Craig representing State Treasurer, Rob McCord. Robert Coyne representing Secretary of Revenue, Daniel 12 Meuser, and Jorge Augusto representing Secretary of Agriculture, George Greig. Thank you gentlemen for 14 being here.

We have all of our members present so I'll call this meeting to order. First will be the 16 Pledge of Allegiance, so I'd ask everyone to stand. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

By way of announcements the Board held an 21 Executive Session yesterday, July 31st. The purpose of yesterday's Executive Session was to discuss personnel matters, pending litigation, and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters being considered by the Board today.

Board. Today the Board has just two petitions before it for votes, each of those will be considered on the documentary records. In addition to the petitions the Board has been provided any answers or other pleadings from my office of Assistant Counsel, and any evidentiary records.

The first petition before the board is that of Shred-It USA, Inc., their petition for removal from the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List. 10 Shred-It is a mobile document shredding and paper recycling company. It was first issued a Vendor 11 12 Registration in September of 2007, but was 13 subsequently placed on the Prohibited Gaming Service 14 Provider List when they failed to pay \$795 in 15 investigative fees, as well as provide signed and notarized statement of conditions and an 16 17 indemnification agreement.

Shred-It has averred that the company inadvertently failed to follow through on its obligations to the Board, and is willing to do so, and desires to be removed from the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List. It has also represented that it will promptly submit the necessary application documents and pay the outstanding fee.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

With that condition and representation the Office

of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) does not object to the removal of Shred-It from this list provided that they 3 pay a civil penalty of \$1,500, in addition to the \$795 outstanding investigative fees, and the matter is now ready for the Court's consideration. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Questions or comments from the Board? Ex Officio members of the Board? May I have a motion? 9 MR. SOJKA: 10 Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the Board issue an order to approve Shred-It USA Inc.'s 11 petition as described by the Office of Chief Counsel 12 (OCC). 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Counsel. Second? 16 MR. TRUJILLO: 17 Second. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 All in favor? 20 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

23 NO RESPONSE

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next petition before the Board is that of Killian Digital, LLC, a commercial audio, video, consultation firm. It also requests to be removed from the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

Killian Digital submitted a Vendor

Certification Application and disclosure, and
information form in November of 2008. Killian
admitted that in error failed to pay the fees
associated with the background investigation and
certification in a timely, and subsequently was placed
on the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

They now request to be removed from the list, and have already provided a check in the amount of \$11,000.79, which was the amount of the investigative fees previously owed.

The OEC does not object to Killian being removed from the list providing payment of an additional \$1,500 civil penalty associated with that placement and removal from the list. In addition, we note that a check in the amount of \$1,500 has already been received by the Board from Killian. With that explanation, the matter is now ready for the Court's consideration.

10

1 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 2 3 Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 4 MR. TRUJILLO: Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board 5 issue an order approving Killian's Digital LLC's 6 petition as described by the OCC. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Second? 10 MR. FAJT: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 13 ALL SAY AYE 14 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Opposed? 17 NO RESPONSE 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 The motion carries. 20 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 21 Next presenting withdrawals and reports 22 for recommendations is Assistant Chief Counsel Neil 23 Hittinger. 24 ATTORNEY HITTINGER: 25 Good Morning, Chairman Ryan,

Commissioners.

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next before the Board are three unopposed petitions withdrawing the applications, or surrender their credentials of three businesses, and the subject of these petitions are Flair Development (phonetic) Systems, LLC, Lightening Poker, Inc. and Video Visions, Inc.

The OEC has no objections to these withdrawals and surrenders. As a result if the Board chooses to grant the requests, it would be doing so without prejudice for each requestor. These matters are now ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board issue orders to approve the withdrawals and surrenders as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2

1

CHAIRMAN:

3

Opposed?

4 NO

NO RESPONSE

5

CHAIRMAN:

Employee occupation permits.

6

The motion carries.

•

ATTORNEY HITTINGER:

8

The next matters before the Board for consideration are the Report and Recommendations from the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) relative to one Non-Gaming Employee Registration and two Gaming

12

13

10

11

These reports and recommendations, along with the

14

evidentiary records from each hearing have been

provided to the Board in advance of this meeting.

1516

Additionally each case and the person involved has been notified that the Board is considering their matter today and that they have the right to be

1819

17

present to briefly address the Board.

20

If any of these parties are present today, they

21

should come forward when the matter is called.

22

Board pertains to Adam Cloud. Mr. Cloud submitted a

23

Non-Gaming Employee Registration Application on April

The first report and recommendation before the

25

2nd, 2012 seeking work in a food service position at

the Rivers Casino. Mr. Cloud disclosed on his application that he had been arrested for conspiracy to commit robbery and for possession of marijuana, and that the charges were pending.

During the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement (BIE) background investigation, it was discovered the Mr. Cloud was, in fact, arrested and charged with one count of robbery, infliction of threat of immediate bodily injury, one count of conspiracy to commit robbery and infliction and threat of immediate bodily injury, one count of receiving stolen property, one count of conspiracy to commit robbery, and take property from another by force.

On April 26th, 2012, Mr. Cloud plead guilty to a misdemeanor of receiving stolen property charge.

Thereafter he was sentenced to 18 months of probation, including random drug testing. All other charges were withdrawn.

The facts underlying these charges was that Mr. Cloud was accompanying other individuals, one of whom assaulted and robbed a gas station employee and thereafter split the money with others, including Mr. Cloud.

As a result of this criminal conviction, we received a notice and recommendation of denial based

14

```
1 upon the nature and recency of Mr. Cloud's conviction.
2 A hearing was requested and held before the OHA on
  June 20th, 2012. Although properly served, Mr. Cloud
3
  failed to attend the hearing and it was held in
  absentia. Thereafter the Hearing Officer issued a
  report and recommendation, recommending Mr. Cloud's
   application be denied. This matter is now ripe for
  the Board's consideration.
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Any questions or comments from the Board?
11
  Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?
12
                MR. GINTY:
                Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board
13
14
  adopt the full recommendation of the OHA as described
15
  by the OCC.
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                Second?
18
                MR. MCCALL:
19
                Second.
20
                CHAIRMAN:
21
                All in favor?
  ALL SAY AYE
22
23
                CHAIRMAN:
24
                Opposed?
25 NO RESPONSE
```

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY HITTINGER:

The next report and recommendation is that of Frederick Marshall. Mr. Marshall is employed as a table games dealer at the Rivers Casino. On June 22nd, 2011, the Bureau of Casino Compliance was notified by the poker room supervisor and overnight manager that two poker dealers, one being Mr. Marshall, had violated the Board's regulations and allowed side bets between patrons to occur during poker play.

As a result of this incident, the Rivers suspended Mr. Marshall pending an investigation. Mr. Marshall's employment was later terminated for having violated company policy. Thereafter OEC brought an Enforcement Action against Mr. Marshall requesting that the Board revoke his Gaming Employee Occupation Permit based upon a violation of the Board's regulations.

Mr. Marshall requested a hearing on the event Enforcement Action was held on February 14th, 2012. Both Mr. Marshall and OEC appeared and offered testimony and exhibits at the hearing.

At the hearing, Mr. Marshall testified that before the day in question, he had never seen side bets at a

table in which he had been dealing for. Evidence was also presented indicating that Rivers did not train table games dealers on how to properly handle patrons 3 making side bets during play. Moreover the evidence presented indicated that Mr. Marshall had to speak to the supervisor at the end of the shift in question about how to handle such wagers. It was also discovered during the hearing that the poker room supervisor happened to be at Marshall's table when the 10 incidents occurred and did not intervene. After the conclusion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer issued 11 12 a Report and Recommendation recommending that the 1.3 OEC's complaint to revoke Mr. Marshall's Gaming Permit 14 be dismissed. This matter is now ready for the 15 Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? ExOfficio members of the Board? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOJKA:

17 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 The motion carries. 10 ATTORNEY HITTINGER: 11 The last matter before the Board this 12 morning is that of William Rua. Mr. Rua was also 13 employed as a table games dealer at the Rivers Casino 14 and was the dealer who relieved Mr. Marshall at the 15 end of his shift. While Mr. Rua dealt poker at the table previously manned by Mr. Marshall, two 16 17 additional side bets between patrons occurred. Rivers 18 reported the incidence to the Bureau of Casino 19 Compliance and suspended Mr. Rua pending an 20 investigation. Mr. Rua's employment was then 21 terminated for violating company policy. 22 OEC subsequently initiated an enforcement 23 action requesting Mr. Rua's Gaming Permit be revoked. 24 Mr. Rua requested a hearing which was held on March 6, 25 2012 before OHA. Mr. Rua represented by Counsel and

OEC attended the hearing and offered testimony and exhibits. In addition to the evidence that the Rivers did not train its dealers on how to properly handle patrons making side wagers.

The evidence also showed that on both occasions, Mr. Rua's attention was diverted from the side wagers when they occurred. Specifically the parties, including Mr. Rua's own witness testified that during the first incident, Mr. Rua's attentions were focused on a problem with the card shuffler and during the second incident, Mr. Rua was busy collecting cards at the opposite end of the table.

At the conclusion of the hearing, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the OEC's complaint to revoke Mr. Rua's Gaming Permit be dismissed.

This matter is now ready for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

MR. SOJKA:

And at this point, these cases are clearly linked and I think it's clear by what the Hearing Officer's saying, and I can't disagree with him, but I'm still left with a somewhat unsettling

19 1 feeling about what's going on with side bets, training, and so on. 2 3 And I'm hoping that there will be some mechanism by which we can clearly define what these 5 kinds of side bet activities are and make sure that that gets built into training for dealers and supervisors so that we don't get back into situations of this kind. 9 CHAIRMAN: Having said that, I agree with the Board 10 Any other comments from the Board? Ex 11 member. 12 Officio members? Do I have a motion? 13 MR. SOJKA: 14 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the 15 Board accept the Board's recommendation of the OHA as described by the OCC. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: Second? 18 19 MR. TRUJILLO: 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 All in favor? 23 ALL SAY AYE 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Opposed?

20

NO RESPONSE

2

1

CHAIRMAN:

3

The motion carries.

4

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

5

And that concludes all matters for the

OCC. 6

7

CHAIRMAN:

8

Next up, Susan Hensel, Bureau of

Licensing. Susan, you can come up.

10

ATTORNEY HENSEL:

11

Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of

12 the Board.

13

Before the Board today will be motions

14

regarding the issuance of Principal and Key Employee

15

and Non-Gaming Employees. In additional there will be

16

the consideration of 13 gaming service provider

17

applicants.

18

The first matter for your consideration

19

is the approval of Principal and Key Employee

20

Licenses. Prior to the State's Bureau of Licensing

21 provided me with a proposed order for one Principal

22

and five Key Employee Licenses, Category 1, 2 and 3

23

Licensing. I ask that the Board consider the Order

24

approving these Licenses.

25

CHAIRMAN:

25 | Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the

```
1 Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order
   regarding the issuances of licenses for four Key
2
3
   Employees. I ask that the Board consider the Order
   approving these licenses.
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
 6
                 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
                 Enforcement Counsel has no objection.
8
9
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Questions or comments from the Board?
10
                                                          Εx
   Officio members of the Board? May I have a motion?
11
12
                 MR. GINTY:
                 Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board
13
14
   approve the issuance of Temporary Key Employee
15
   credentials as described by the Bureau of License.
16
                 MR. MCCALL:
17
                 Second.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 All in favor?
20
   ALL SAY AYE
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 Opposed?
23
  NO RESPONSE
24
                 CHAIRMAN:
25
                 The motion carries.
```

ATTORNEY HENSEL:

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming 2 3 Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 643 individuals who the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full Occupation Permits to and 126 individuals who the Bureau has granted registrations to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. that the Board consider a motion approving the Order.

CHAIRMAN:

Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Enforcement Counsel has no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? Εx Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board approve the issuance of Key Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the 21 Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

25 Second.

24 1 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 2 3 ALL SAY AYE 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 7 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 8 9 ATTORNEY HENSEL: 10 We also have for your consideration withdrawal requests for Key Employees and Gaming 11 12 Employees. In each case, the License Permit or 13 Registration is no longer required. For today's 14 meeting, I had provided the Board with a list of two 15 Key and 23 Gaming Employee withdrawals for approval. 16 I asked that the Court consider the Orders approving these withdrawals. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any questions or comments from the Board? 24 Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

25

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 approve the withdrawals as described by the Bureau of 3 Licensing. MR. SOJKA: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? 11 NO RESPONSE 12 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 13 14 ATTORNEY HENSEL: 15 Next we have an order regarding Gaming Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 16 Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached 17 18 list of 12 registered Gaming Service Providers. I ask 19 that the Board adopt a motion approving the Order of 20 registering these Gaming Service Providers. 21 CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 22 23 ATTORNEY PITRE: 24 Enforcement Counsel has no objection. 25 CHAIRMAN:

26

Any questions or comments from the Board? 1 Ex Officio members? May I have a motion? 2 3

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board issue an Order that approves the application for Gaming Service Provider Registration as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 11

ALL SAY AYE 12

4

6

8

9

10

19

20

22

25

13 CHAIRMAN:

14 Opposed?

15 NO RESPONSE

16 CHAIRMAN:

17 The motion carries.

18 ATTORNEY HENSEL:

Finally the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order regarding the Gaming Service 21 Provider IEP, Ltd. This is a company that is recommended for the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider 23 List. The company conducted business with a slot 24 machine licensee, but failed to complete the

application process. Once added to the Prohibited

```
27
  Gaming Service Provider List, no slot machine
1
2
   licensing can conduct business with the company.
3
   ask that the Board consider the Order adding IEP, Ltd.
   to the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
 6
                 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
 7
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
                 Enforcement Counsel's in support of their
8
   motion.
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Any questions or comments from the Board?
  Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?
12
13
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
                 Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board
14
15
   issue an Order approving the addition of IEP, Ltd. to
   the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.
16
17
                 MR. FAJT:
18
                 Second.
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 All in favor?
  ALL SAY AYE
21
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
23
                 Opposed?
2.4
   NO RESPONSE
25
                 CHAIRMAN:
```

The motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Counsel.

 $\label{eq:thematters} \text{That concludes the matters of the Bureau}$ of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Next is Cyrus Pitre, Chief Enforcement

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We have five matters for the Board's consideration today. Two Consent Agreements, two revocations, and looks like one motion that puts an individual on the Exclusion List.

First matter is the Consent Agreement between the Office of Enforcement and Greenwood Gaming, Inc. and Entertainment Inc.

I see Mr. Kohler's here representing Greenwood Gaming. Dustin Miller will present the matter on behalf of OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Mr. Kohler.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Good morning, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Perhaps you should ensure the name on the record so the court reporter has it and list your

capacity who you're here for.

1

2

3

4

6

8

17

18

19

20

22

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Certainly. My name is Alan Kohler, K-O-H-L-E-R. I'm with Eckert Seamans representing Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc.

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

9 Good Morning, Chairman Ryan and members 10 of the Board. At this time, the OEC has a Consent Agreement prepared for the Board's approval. 11 12 Consent Agreement is between the OEC and Greenwood 13 Gaming and Entertainment, Inc., doing business as Parx Casino. And this Consent Agreement arises from a 14 15 business transaction between Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment and Vision Solutions, Inc. 16

Pursuant to Section 437a.8.(b) of the Board's Regulations, a slot machine licensee may not enter into an agreement or continue to do business with a Gaming Service Provider on the Board's 21 Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

Visions Solutions, Inc. was placed on the 23 Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List on 24 December 16th, 2010. Pennsylvania Gaming Control 25 Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List is

posted on the Board's website. Vision Solutions, Inc.'s name was added to the website following its placement on the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

2

3

5

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On September 20, 2011, Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment purchased a maintenance program from Vision Solutions Inc. for \$4,947.80. Visions Solutions Inc. was on the Board's Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List at that time and remained on the 10 Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List until July 11, 2012, when the Board granted Visions Solutions Inc. petition to be removed from Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

On July 5th, 2012, the parties entered into a Consent Agreement to settle this outstanding compliance matter. This agreement was Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment's first Consent Agreement with the OEC related to conducting business with a company on the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

The terms of the agreement include a provision that Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. shall institute policies and provide training, quidance, and reinforcement to employees to minimize the opportunity for a similar incident of this nature

from occurring in the future. And also Greenwood 1 Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. shall pay a total fine 3 of \$4,947.80. This civil penalty represents a dollar for dollar match for the contract entered into between Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. and Vision Solutions, Inc., while Vision Solutions, Inc., was on 6 the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List.

As stated earlier, Alan Kohler is here on behalf of Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. to answer any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Mr. Kohler, do you have anything you want to add to that?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Nothing to add.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments for the Board?

MR. FAJT:

Yes. I would like to just add a comment. In the Executive Session yesterday, we expressed some 21 heartburn or uncomfortableness, if you will, upon matching the fine to the amount of their contract, and we don't want to set a precedent.

So I think while we think the fine is appropriate and the amount of the fine is pretty much appropriate in this area, I am going to make a motion to reject the Consent Agreement and just ask that you go back and look at that fine again. Again, we don't want to set a precedent of matching the fine with the amount of the contract. I think that's a bad precedent.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

May I respond before the motion?

CHAIRMAN:

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Go ahead Cyrus.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

And I understand perfectly. Our thinking behind this is we are trying to find a minimum threshold that would be fair across the board in the industry. Obviously if someone pays a vendor a \$100,000, I'm not going to go and seek a \$100,000 penalty, that's not what the intention behind this is. But I also was striving to be fair to those who might just pay \$1,000 to a vendor who was on that list. So. we approach this with the minimum threshold being \$10,000, meaning anything above \$10,000 would be a \$10,000 fine and it escalates, if it's egregious or if it happens more than one time. So, it would be dollar for dollar below \$10,000 and then above \$10,000, then 24 we would look at the instance and then fine

appropriately, based on that. That's what the thinking was behind that.

3

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Outside of that, if the Board's uncomfortable with even that scenario, I did speak to 5 Mr. Kohler prior to here in anticipation that the Board might have some questions, and if the Board is willing to set the minimum threshold, like we did much with underage gaming, and self-exclusion violations at \$5,000, I think Mr. Kohler would be willing to accept 10 the Consent Agreement on the record at \$5,000. then any subsequent Consent Agreements we have coming 12 forward, we'll adjust to present those matters to the Board in keeping with that.

CHAIRMAN:

If I can ask the question, Cyrus, is this a matter of first impression?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Yes. Yes.

CHAIRMAN:

And that's the reason for ---

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Right.

CHAIRMAN:

24 --- a little bit of angst that we're all 25 experiencing right now.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

And what you're all suggesting is that this matter of \$5,000 be the penalty?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

If the Board rejected --- doesn't want to go along with the dollar for dollar below \$10,000.

CHAIRMAN:

We are.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Okay. Well, then if that's the case and if Mr. Kohler is willing --- we're willing to amend the Consent Agreement on the record with a \$5,000 minimum penalty and going forward with other Consent Agreements in that fashion.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. I think I understand.

MR. SOJKA:

Since this is a matter of first impression, I think it's worth our time to flush this out a little bit. You mentioned in your first response to Commissioner Fajt, that some other 24 extenuating circumstances like for example, flagrant disregard for our regulations, and multiple violations

and so on can come into effect, if we are setting this 2 amount, probably going to agree to here, is it 3 understood that this one then is in the category of essentially oversight, if it's not flagrant, it's not a flagrant disregard of our regulations, but a slip 6 up. 7 MR. PITRE: Correct. 8 9 MR. SOJKA: 10 That's so this number would be associated 11 with that kind of violation? 12 MR. PITRE: That's correct. 13 14 MR. SOJKA: 15 And we would then have latitude Okay. if you sensed something a little more pernicious could 16 17 come to us with a larger number? 18 MR. PITRE: 19 I would have latitude and obviously the 20 Board has great latitude and can accept and reject and 21 comment upon anything we bring before it. 22 CHAIR: 23 Anything else? MR. TRUJILLO: 24

The one discomfort that I have is simply

25

doesn't relate to the size of the fine here, but the notion of having any kind of actual threshold, so I understand your thinking in terms of all the presumptive threshold I think it's helpful to us to know what your thinking is, but I think the Board --- the one thing we want to make sure it's clear, that our discretion remains regardless of being presumptive of the thoughts you might have and so we appreciate the thinking and the guidance, but I think our discretion remains regardless.

CHAIRMAN:

And I think everybody on the Board agrees with you, Ken, that that's exactly what we're saying here, that as far as the Board is concerned, the dollar for dollar just doesn't seem to be the way to go. I think what we're doing in this case and what we've said so far is appropriate and with that I would ask if anyone else has anything else to add to this.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I just ask that Mr. Kohler agree on the record to amend the Consent Agreement of \$5,000.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

As I told Cyrus before the hearing, I'd be willing to pay the \$52 to resolve this matter. But no, I was able to get a hold of the client. We are

37 fine with the \$5,000 fine. 2 CHAIR: 3 Okay. With all of that as the background, is there a motion? 5 MR. FAJT: 6 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC, Greenwood Games Entertainment as described by the OEC and the amendment thereto to be a 10 \$5,000 fine. 11 MR. GINTY: 12 Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor. 15 ALL SAY AYE 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? 18 NO RESPONSE 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Motion carried. Thank you. 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 The next matter is the Consent Agreement 23 between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association. 24 Kathleen Higgins will be here representing the OEC and 25 presenting the matter. Marie Jones is here on behalf

of Washington Trotting Association.

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning ladies. Perhaps you should state your names and your positions on the record.

ATTORNEY HIGGINS:

ATTORNEY JONES:

Good morning. Marie Jones, Fox Rothchild, on behalf of Washington Trotting Association.

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

ATTORNEY HIGGINS:

Thank you. Chairman Ryan, members of the Board, we have today for the Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between the OEC and the Meadows. The agreement involves four incidences of underage gaming. On March 24, 2012 an 18-year-old male entered the Meadows gaming floor through the main entrance after providing a California identification card indicating that he was 18 years old to a Meadow's security officer who did not use an ID scanner to check the ID. The 18 year old was present on the gaming floor and played slot machines for

approximately one hour and at one point exited the gaming floor and reentered after again presenting the California ID to another Meadow's security officer.

This 18 year old individual was cited by the Pennsylvania State Police with underage gaming.

The second incident occurred on April 3rd, 2012 when a 20-year-old male entered the Meadow's gaming floor through the racetrack entrance after providing an Illinois identification card indicating that he was 20 years old to a Meadow's security officer who did not use the scanner to check the ID. The 20 year old was present on the gaming floor for approximately five hours and played ten different slot machines for a total of approximately one and a half hours.

The third instance of underage gaming also occurred on April 3rd, 2012 when a male individual entered the Meadow's gaming floor through the racetrack entrance after providing photo identification that indicated at the top that it was a non-government issued international driving document. A Meadow's security officer unsuccessfully attempted to twice scan the identification but allowed the individual on to the gaming floor anyway. The identification indicated that the individual was 34

years old, however the individual later admitted that
he was under 21 years of age and that the ID was fake.

This underage individual was present on the gaming
floor for approximately five hours, and played five
different slot machines for a total of approximately
minutes.

The fourth instance occurred on May 19th, 2012, when a 20-year-old male entered the Meadow's gaming floor through the racetrack entrance after providing military ID indicating that he was 20 years old to a Meadow's security officer who did not use the ID scanner. The 20 year old was present on the gaming floor for approximately three and a half hours, during which time he played Blackjack at two different tables for approximately three hours and played roulette for approximately 18 minutes. The 20 year old individual was cited by the Pennsylvania State Police with underage gaming.

In all instances the Meadows properly notified the Bureau of Casino Compliance and Pennsylvania State Police. As part of this Consent Agreement the Meadows has agreed to institute policies and provide training and guidance and reinforcement of all policies regarding underage gaming to its employees which will minimize the opportunity for

similar incidents to occur in the future. In addition the parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's order the Meadows shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$45,000. And the OEC asks that the Board approve this Consent Agreement as presented today.

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any comments?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Just a couple of additions to the Consent Agreement that has been --- these security officers in question, one of which has been terminated. addition our director of security has recently resigned and Michael Keeline (phonetic) is temporarily acting as director of security as well as director of compliance. During his review they realized the level of security was very low. They have in the last month hired 13 additional security officers and have another 13 in either HR processing or license processing. We're staffing up. And he again is reiterating all policies and training with the staff and is personally walking the floor reminding the guards. When we looked at it we realized that the staffing levels were low and that overtime was being utilized which of course, after the guards are there for a number of

1 hours they start not being as productive as they should be. I encourage you to accept this agreement. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questions or comments from the Board?

MR. AUGUSTO:

I do have one question. In terms of how many security officers are typically positioned at the racetrack entrances. That would be a concern for the harness commission.

ATTORNEY JONES:

I again, really don't know off the top of my head 13, but there's normally at least two if 14 understand.

MR. AUGUSTO:

Are there sufficient signage to reflect the idea that, you know, you're coming from the racetrack in so now you're entering on to gaming --to the gaming floor to give people, these minors notice?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Yes, there is. Again, if you would like us to review the report, I'd be happy to do that.

MR. AUGUSTO:

That would be helpful. Thank you.

43 1 MR. SOJKA: If you provide that, will you also 2 3 provide it to the gaming board as well. ATTORNEY JONES: 4 5 Absolutely. 6 MR. SOJKA: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Tony? 10 MR. MOSCATO: 11 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. With the addition of these 26 security guards, do you feel that 12 is a sufficient number, or are you looking to hire 13 14 more than ---? 15 ATTORNEY JONES: They believe that will be a sufficient 16 number and it will be enough that there won't be 17 18 over-time being utilized and that the new guards will 19 be well trained. 20 MR. MOSCATO: 21 Very good. Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any other questions or comments? May I

MR. GINTY:

24

25

have a motion?

44

```
Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
1
2
   an order to approve the Consent Agreement between the
3
   OEC and Washington Trotting Association as described
   by the OEC.
5
                 MR. MCCALL:
6
                 Second.
 7
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
8
   ALL SAY AYE
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Opposed?
12
  NO RESPONSE
13
                 CHAIRMAN:
14
                 The motion carries.
15
                 ATTORNEY HIGGINS:
                 Thank you.
16
17
                 ATTORNEY JONES:
18
                 Thank you.
19
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
                 The next matter for the Board's
20
   consideration is the revocation of Brian Dovin's
21
22 | Non-gaming Employee Registration. Cassandra
23 Fenstermaker will present the matter on behalf of OEC.
24 If Mr. Dovin is present in the room, I ask that he
25
  come forward.
```

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

1

25

2 Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker, Assistant 3 the Board. Enforcement Counsel for the OEC. For the Board's consideration today I have a complaint for the revocation of a Non-Gaming Registration. complaint was filed on January 5th, 2012 against Brian Dovin, a former valet attendant at Mohegan Sun. December 9th, 2011 Mr. Dovin pled guilty to numerous 10 violations of the controlled substance drug device and cosmetic act, including three felony counts and four 11 12 misdemeanor counts. As a result of the quilty plea, 13 Mr. Dovin was sentenced to between two and four years 14 in prison. He was not employed by any Commonwealth 15 casino at the time of his arrest or conviction. However, he still holds a valid Non-Gaming 16 17 Registration as issued by this Board. The complaint 18 was served upon Mr. Dovin in prison by first class and 19 certified mail. Mr. Dovin did not respond to the 20 complaint in any way, and therefore pursuant to Board 21 regulations of facts alleged in the complaint are 22 deemed admitted. The OEC filed a request for default 23 judgment on July 2, 2012 and at this time request that Brian Dovin's Non-Gaming Registration be revoked. 24

CHAIRMAN:

46 Questions and comments from the Board? 1 Ex officio members? May I have a motion? 2 3 MR. MCCALL: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 4 an Order to approve the revocation of Brian Dovin's Non-Gaming Registration as described by the OEC. CHAIRMAN: Second? 8 9 MR. MOSCATO: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? 16 NO RESPONSE 17 CHAIRMAN: The motion carries. 18 19 MR. PITRE: 20 The next matter we have for the Board's consideration is the revocation of Mr. Shane Stone's 21 22 Key Employee License. Dustin Miller will present the matter on behalf of OEC. If Mr. Stone is present in 23 the room I ask that he come forward. 24 25 CHAIRMAN:

It appears he's not present. Mr. Miller.
ATTORNEY MILLER:

1

2

3 Good morning, once again. Mr. Stone was employed as a soft account manager at Parx Casino and 4 licensed as a Key Employee. The OEC filed an Enforcement Complaint to revoke Mr. Stone's Key Employee License for failing to maintain suitability on May 14, 2012. Mr. Stone was terminated from Parx Casino. On May 1st, 2012 the Parks Casino 10 surveillance department observed Mr. Stone placing money in to his jumpsuit while working in the count 11 12 room. Mr. Stone was arrested by onsite Pennsylvania 13 State Police officers. While in custody, Mr. Stone consented to a search of his vehicle and his locker. 14 15 These searches revealed a plastic bag of marijuana, two plastic bags of psilocybin mushrooms, prescription 16 17 bottle containing marijuana cigarettes and a 18 prescription bottle containing a pill different from 19 the prescription marked on the bottle. Mr. Stone 20 admitted to the Pennsylvania State Police that he 21 purchased the psilocybin mushrooms for delivery to 22 another individual. The Parx Casino surveillance department also reviewed prior shifts where Mr. Stone 23 24 had worked in the count room to discover that he took 25 five \$100 bills from the Parx Casino count room on

April 14th, 2012, which he was not authorized to take. Mr. Stone was charged with felony possession with 3 intent to distribute a controlled substance, misdemeanor possession of a controlled substance, two counts of misdemeanor possession of a small amount of marijuana, two counts of first degree misdemeanor theft, and two counts of first degree misdemeanor receiving stolen property for his actions. enforcement complaint was properly served upon Mr. Stone to the address listed on the criminal complaint 10 filed against him under certified and first class 11 12 mail. Mr. Stone did not respond to the filing in any 13 Due to Mr. Stone's failure to respond to the 14 averments in enforcement complaint are deemed to be 15 admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived. On July 13th, 2012 the OEC filed a request to 16 17 enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the revocation of Mr. Stone's 18 Key Employee License. 19

CHAIRMAN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questions or comments from the Board. Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Shane Stone's Key

Employee License as described by the OEC.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter is the placement of 14 Lordes Ortiz on the involuntary exclusion list. MS. Ortiz is present I ask that she come forward. 16 Cassandra Fenstermaker will present the matter on behalf of OEC.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

OEC's final matter before the Board today is a Petition to place Lordes Ortiz on the Board's exclusion list. On February 26th, 2012 Ms. Ortiz left 22 her 10 and 12-year old children unattended in the 23 valet lobby of Harrah's Philadelphia while she was on 24 the gaming floor. Ms. Ortiz was cited for disorderly conduct by Pennsylvania State Police as a result of

her actions. She pled guilty to the charge on May 2nd, 2012. She was also permanently evicted from 3 Harrah's Philadelphia. The Petition was sent to Ms. 4 Ortiz by first class and certified mail. She did not respond to the filing in any way and therefore pursuant to Board's regulations all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a request for default judgment on July 5th, 2012. And at this time the OEC requests that Lordes Ortiz be placed on the Board's excluded person's list.

CHAIRMAN:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. SOJKA:

Just to note that this is slightly different than children being left in cars, but I think the message to parents or guardians should be exactly the same, and that is you don't put a child in a difficult dangerous or compromising position in relation to your desire to game in Pennsylvania.

CHAIRMAN:

Here again, I think the Board agrees with that whole heartedly. Any other questions or comments? Ex Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

51 issue an order to approve the addition of Lordes Ortiz 1 on the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by 3 the OEC. MR. TRUJILLO: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? 11 NO RESPONSE 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Motion carries. Thank you, ladies and 14 gentlemen. Ladies and gentlemen, I believe that 15 concludes today's meeting. Our next scheduled public meeting will be here in this room on Wednesday, August 16 17 22nd at 10:00 a.m. Any comments from members of the Board or Ex Officio members of the Board? May I have 18 19 a motion to adjourn? 20 MR. TRUJILLO: So moved. 21 22 MR. FAJT: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 The meeting is adjourned. Thank you all.

* * * *

MEETING CONCLUDED AT 11:00 A.M.

* * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before Chairman Ryan, was reported by me on 08/01/2012 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Court Reporter