#### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt, James B. Ginty,

Keith R. McCall, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth I. Trujillo; Members

Christopher Craig, Representing Robert M.

McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, Representing Daniel P.

Meuser, Secretary of Revenue

Jorge Augusto, Representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture

MEETING: Wednesday, March 21, 2012, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board

Strawberry Square Complex, Second Floor

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: LiWei Zhou

Reporter: Jolynn C. Prunoske

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
                     A P P E A R A N C E S
 1
 2
                          (Continued)
 3
  ADRIAN R. KING, JR., ESQUIRE
5 Ballard Spahr, LLP
 6 1735 Market Street
 7 51st Floor
8 Philadelphia, PA 19103-7599
9
       Counsel for Valley Forge Convention Center
10
       Partners, LP
11
12 KEVIN C. HAYES, ESQUIRE
13 1000 Bank Towers
14 321 Spruce Street
15 | Scranton, PA 18503
16
       Counsel for Valley Forge Convention Center
17
       Partners, LP
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		4
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairperson Ryan 7 - 8	
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Mr. O'Toole 8 - 12	
7	By Ms. Yantis 12 - 14	
8	By Ms. Knavel 14 - 16	
9	By Attorney Yocum 16 - 18	
10	By Attorney Sherman 18 - 29	
11	By Attorney Cook 29 - 37	
12	WITNESS: LiWei Zhou	
13	TESTIMONY	
14	By Mr. Zhou 37 - 40	
15	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 40 - 45	
16	PRESENTATION	
17	By Attorney Cook 45 - 48	
18	PRESENTATION	
19	By Ms. Hensel 48 - 50	
20	QUESTIONS BY BOARD 50 - 55	
21	PRESENTATION	
22	By Ms. Hensel 55 - 63	
23		
24		
25		

			5
1		INDEX	
2	((	Continued)	
3			
4	PRESENTATION		
5	By Attorney Matelevio	ch-Hoang 63 - 65	
6	By Attorney Fensterma	aker 65 - 69	
7	By Attorney Dustin M:	iller 69 - 71	
8	By Attorney Roland	71 - 87	
9	CLOSING REMARKS		
10	By Chairman Ryan	87 - 88	
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			

#### PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

# CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Bill Ryan. I'm Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, as we always do, I would ask everyone to please turn off cell phones, PDAs and other electronic devices.

Okay. Thank you very much. Joining us today is Christopher Craig, representing the State Treasurer Robert McCord; Robert Coyne, representing Secretary, Department of Revenue, Daniel Meuser; and Jorge Augusto, Deputy Secretary of Agriculture, representing Secretary of Agriculture George Greig. Thank you all for coming.

We have a quorum, so I will formerly call today's meeting to order. First order of business, I ask everyone to stand for the pledge of allegiance.

19 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIENCE RECITED

20 ORAL ARGUMENT CONDUCTED

# CHAIRMAN:

We will now begin our regularly scheduled
meeting. By way of announcements, the Board held an
Executive Session yesterday for the purpose of
discussing personnel matters pending litigation and to

7 conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to 1 2 matters being considered by the Board today. Our first order of business is we'll have consideration of 3 a motion to approve the transcript and minutes of the Board's February 8, 2012 meeting. May I have a 6 motion? 7 MR. FAJT: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 8 approve the minutes and transcript of the February 8, 2012 meeting. Thank you. 10 11 MR. GINTY: Second. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? 15 AYES RESPOND 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? The motion carries. 18 | Kevin O'Toole, Executive Director, will provide his 19 report. Kevin? 20 MR. O'TOOLE: 21 Good morning, Chairman Ryan, Members of 22 the Board. Our staff assigned to the opening team 23 have been onsite at Valley Forge this week to ensure that all regulatory details are completed prior to the 24

opening of Valley Forge Casino Resort, the first

25

Category 3 Slot Machine Licensee to open for business.

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

This morning I have a resolution to read into the record that will accomplish two important steps. First, a resolution request that the Board approve proposed internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Valley Forge. And second, the resolution will delegate authority to Chairman Ryan, Commissioner Fajt and Commissioner Ginty to act on behalf of the full Board in authorizing commencement of gambling activity at Valley Forge subject to the successful completion of two test periods.

The resolution reads as follows, whereas under Title IV of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes at Section 1202(a)(1), the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board has general and sole regulatory authority over the conduct of gaming and related activities, and whereas under Chapter 58 of the Pennsylvania Code, Section 467 A.2b, a slot machine licensee may not commence slot operations until the slot machine licensee successfully demonstrates to the 21 Board that no less than nine criteria are met, including but not limited to confirmation that the slot machines and associated equipment are properly operating, that adequate surveillance and security 25 measures are in place, that the slot machine

licensee's employees are all properly licensed, 1 permitted or registered by the Board, as well as 2 properly trained, that all conditions of licensure have been met, that the licensee's proposed site plan, internal systems and audit protocols have been approved by the Board, and that the slot machine licensee has successfully completed the test period, and whereas under Title IV of the Pennsylvania Consolidated Statutes at Section 13, capital A.22, a slot machine licensee holding a Table Games Operation 10 Certificate may not commence table game operations 11 until the certificate holder is shown to be in full 12 compliance with the Pennsylvania Racehorse Development 13 and Gaming Act, the table games related internal 14 controls and audit protocols are found to be 15 sufficient, all table game employees are properly 16 authorized and credentialed, the certificate holder is 17 prepared in all respects to offer table games to the 18 public, and all necessary internal and management 19 20 controls, security arrangements and surveillance systems are in place and operational, and whereas the 21 22 Board has previously approved Valley Forge Convention Center Partners for slot machine licensure, to hold 23 the table games operations certificate, as well as 24 Valley Forge Convention Center Partners site plan, and 25

whereas Board staff has now reviewed the proposed 1 2 internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Valley Forge Convention Center Partners as they relate 3 to both slot machine operations and table game operations, and Board staff recommends that the Board 5 approve the proposed internal controls and audit 6 protocols submitted by Valley Forge Convention Center Partners, and whereas Valley Forge Convention Center Partners continues to work towards meeting the other prerequisites to commencing slot machine and table 10 games operations, and whereas the Board has in the 11 12 past delegated authority upon certain members of the Board, acting collectively, to review whether all 13 remaining pre-opening criteria have been met and to 14 grant authorizations on behalf of the full Board when 15 the required criteria have been met, and whereas the 16 17 Board believes it is once again in the Board's best interest to delegate such authority to certain members 18 of the Board, now be it resolved that the Board hereby 19 20 approves the proposed internal controls and audit protocols submitted by Valley Forge Convention Center 21 Partners as they relate to both slot machine 22 operations and table games operations. And be it 23 24 further resolved that Board Members William H. Ryan, Jr., Gregory C. Fajt and James B. Ginty are delegated 25

authority to determine whether Valley Forge Convention 1 2 Center Partners has demonstrated that all pre-opening criteria have been met and to authorize commencement 3 of slot operations and table game operations for the Valley Forge Casino Resort. 6 The Board members delegated this 7 authority shall also have the authority to approve minor modifications of any gaming floor plan previously approved by the full Board between the date 10 of such Board approval and the commencement of slot 11 operations. I request at this time that a motion be 12 made to approve this resolution. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Any questions or comments from the Board? From Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 15 16 MR. GINTY: 17

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the resolution related to Valley Forge Convention Center Partners as described by the Executive Director.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25 AYES RESPOND

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

#### CHAIRMAN:

1

2

5

6

7

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you, Kevin. Next will be Claire Yantis, Human Resources 3 Director.

#### MS. YANTIS:

Good morning, Chairman, Board Members. The Office of Human Resources has two motions for your consideration today. The first motion before you today seeks to hire Mr. Chris Stever in the position 10 of Casino Compliance Representative at SugarHouse Casino. Mr. Stever has completed the PGCB interview 12 process, background investigation and drug screening 13 and is being recommended for hire by Director of 14 Casino Compliance, Jerry Stoll. As such I ask that the Board consider a motion to hire Mr. Stever as indicated.

## CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

# MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the applicant as proposed on condition that they have completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

## CHAIRMAN:

13

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

MS. YANTIS:

10 The second motion before you today is a motion to ratify the hiring of two new individuals. 11 As you may recall, on February 29th, 2012 the Board 12 passed a motion to delegate authority for its 13 14 Personnel Committee to approve the hiring of individuals that have successfully completed 15

background investigations between February 29th, 2012 16 17 and March 20th, 2012.

In that time frame, the Personnel Committee approved the hire of Gerald Lynch in the position of Casino Compliance Representative at SugarHouse Casino and Jeremy Banks in the position of Casino Compliance Representative at Harrah's. you have any questions, I'd ask that you consider a 24 motion ratifying the hire of these two individuals, as required by the February 29, 2012 Board Action.

14

# CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

# MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board ratify the previous hearing of applicants as described by the Director of Human Resources.

#### MR. SOJKA:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 11

AYES RESPOND 12

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

18

19

20

22

23

# CHAIRMAN:

14 Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you, Claire. Next will be Stacey Knavel, representing the 15 16 Budget Manager and presenting the financial update. 17 Good morning, Stacey.

## MS. KNAVEL:

Good morning. My report today summarizes agency expenditures through February. Through 21 February spending for the fiscal year totaled \$21.6 million. Expenditures recorded in February alone totaled \$2.6 million.

For the year, personnel ---. I'm sorry. 24

25 | For the year personnel expenditures totaled \$17.9

1 million or 83 percent of overall spending for the
2 fiscal year. Payroll expenses for February totaled
3 \$2.3 million. Operating expenses reported in February
4 totaled \$369,000, bringing the year-to-date total of
5 operating expenses to \$3.7 million.

Rentals and leases totaling \$1.4 million was the largest category of operating expense to date and represents 38 percent of operating expenses.

Services totaling \$958,000 and comprising 26 percent of operating expenses was the second largest operating expense for far this fiscal year. And the third largest category of operating expense was other operating expenses. This category accounted for \$590,000, or 14 percent of the total.

\$355,000 accounted for ten percent of the year-to-date expenses. Finally, travel expenses totaling \$181,000, represented the fifth largest operating expense to date and accounted for five percent of the year-to-date operating expenses.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? Thank you, Stacey. Office of Chief Counsel, Mr. Sherman?

## ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. Our first agenda items relate to Final-form Regulations, which Assistant Chief Counsel Susan Yocum will present.

#### ATTORNEY YOCUM:

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Good morning, Chairman, Commissioners. have two Final-form Rulemakings for your consideration today. That is 125-152 and 125-154. I am happy to announce that these are the last rulemakings transitioning the initial 29 temporary chapters on table games into Final-form Rulemakings.

First, 125-152 addresses the rules of play for three-card poker, four-card poker, Ultimate 14 | Texas Hold 'Em and Let it Ride. During the comment period, we did receive comments from Hollywood Casino and the Independent Regulatory Review Commission. Several rules were incorporated into this Final-form Rulemaking. Additionally, we did add a --- some side wagers to Let it Ride and some additional pay tables. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

#### MR. SOJKA:

There were no outside comments on that last set of items, the side bets and so on? 25

	17		
1	ATTORNEY YOCUM:		
2	No.		
3	MR. SOJKA:		
4	No. But they had plenty of time?		
5	ATTORNEY YOCUM:		
6	Yes.		
7	CHAIRMAN:		
8	Anyone else? Ex-Officio members? May I		
9	have a motion?		
10	MR. SOJKA:		
11	Yes. Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the		
12	Board adopt the Final-form Regulation Number 125-152,		
13	as described by the OCC, and that the regulation be		
14	posted on the Board's website.		
15	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>		
16	Second?		
17	MR. TRUJILLO:		
18	Second.		
19	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>		
20	All in favor?		
21	AYES RESPOND		
22	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>		
23	Opposed? The motion carries.		
24	ATTORNEY YOCUM:		
25	The last Rulemaking is 125-154, which		

```
addresses the rules of play for Texas Hold 'Em Bonus
1
2 Poker and Four Game Side Poker. We did receive
   comments from the Independent Regulatory Review
3
   Commission, not from the operators. We did include
   updates to several of the pay tables but there were no
   substantive additions made to the rulemaking.
6
 7
                CHAIRMAN:
                Questions or comments from the Board?
8
  Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
10
                MR. TRUJILLO:
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt
11
12 the Final-form Regulation Number 125-154, as described
  by the OCC, and that the regulation be posted on the
13
14 Board's website.
15
                MR. FAJT:
                Second.
16
17
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
18
  AYES RESPOND
19
20
                CHAIRMAN:
21
                Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you,
22
   Susan.
           Doug?
23
                ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
                Today the Board has four petitions before
24
25 | it for consideration, one of those involving Eastern
```

1 Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling and Mr. 2 Schneller, or the subject of the Oral Argument earlier. The remaining three petitions will be considered on the documents. As to each of the petitions, the Board 5 6 has, in advance of this meeting, been provided with all of the pleadings and any other responsive documents, memoranda or evidence which has been filed in the record. I'll briefly describe each and ask for 10 a motion. The first petition before the Board today 11 12 is that of Eastern Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling, and it's a request to issue a Stay of all 13 14 activities relating to the construction and commencement of operations of Valley Forge Convention 15 Center Partners' licensed facility. The Board has 16 17 heard argument on that. Mr. Schneller, as we had indicated, is not present. If there are any 18 questions, I'd be happy to answer them. Otherwise, 19 20 I'd ask for a motion on that motion for stay. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Questions or comments from the Board? 23 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 24 MR. FAJT: 25 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny

the request for a stay filed by PA Citizens Against Gambling, as described by the OCC. I further move that the Board deny Valley Forge's request for administrative sanctions at this time.

# MR. GINTY:

Second.

1

2

3

5

6

7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# CHAIRMAN:

Second? Mr. King, speaking for myself as 8 the newest member of this Board, I will tell you that I can't help but have a great deal of sympathy for you 10 and for Valley Forge in this matter. Maybe it's my 11 old age. I'm getting soft. Or maybe I haven't been 12 here long enough, but with respect to your request for 13 a remedy here, I can't go there. But I can tell you, 14 sir, I'm about this (indicating) close, because this 15 can't go on. But I think at this point, that's where 16 17 it is.

## ATTORNEY KING:

Okay. Thank you.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, if I may, I understand the --- both the frustration, but I am in agreement with what Mr. Craig said earlier today, which is that the two things that worry me --- you know, I was a federal prosecutor and lots of other lives I've had,

you know, when you have pro se litigants, there's a frustration that goes with that.

1

2

3

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I think there's a couple of things that you make here. Number one, he's not only a pro se litigant, but he is purporting to represent others, and that is, I think, a little bit of a troubling thing for me. I'm not hesitant to --- or wouldn't be hesitant to impose sanctions if I were certain of --and if we went through all of the due process 10 considerations that were required, so ---.

But on this record, I think it's still impossible for us to make that determination. But I think, much like the Chairman, I wouldn't be --- I wouldn't hesitate to impose the appropriate sanction if the record were appropriately developed.

# CHAIRMAN:

Anybody else want to comment?

## MR. SOJKA:

On that very issue, I'm also moved Yeah. by the serious precedential nature of any decision that we may take in this regard. And like everyone here, I'm happy to express my frustration with this ongoing obstruction which seems to be out of bounds.

But I would welcome a thorough discussion 25 of this issue by this Board before we reach some sort

of final remedy, because I think what we do will have implications beyond not only this case, but possibly beyond this Board.

#### CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you. Any other comments? All right. We have a motion and a second. All in favor? AYES RESPOND

#### CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you.

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next petition before the Board is another Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling Appeal of a January 20th, 2012 Order of the OHA.

Specifically on January 18th, 2012, Mr. Schneller and 14 the Eastern Pennsylvania group filed a Petition to Intervene in Valley Forge's Petition for Approval of a Floor Plan, and at that time also filed a motion for release of a copy of the Floor Plan Petition with confidential information redacted.

The Board's Hearing and Appeals Office issued an Order on January 20th granting the motion and providing Mr. Schneller with a copy of the petition. However, Exhibit A, which was the floor 24 plan complete with back-of-house diagrams and other 25 confidential security information, was not provided 1 upon the basis that it is confidential.

Mr. Schneller filed an appeal of that

Order of the Director of Hearings and Appeals to the

Board, seeking release of a redacted version of the

floor plan. Following his appeal, the OCC did reach

out to Mr. Schneller, attempting to provide him with a

copy of the public version of that floor plan to make

sure he had it. And it's the same floor plan which

has been placed on our website.

For whatever reason, that did not suffice, and he has continued the appeal. It is now appropriate for the Board to consider a motion.

## CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

15 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

## MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny the appeal of Eastern Pennsylvania Citizens Against Gambling as described by the OCC.

# MR. MCCALL:

Second.

#### CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

In the next Petition, Fine Capital has requested to file as an Institutional Investor with the Board in connection with its beneficial ownership interest in Scientific Games International, a publicly traded company, an Applicant before the Board for a Manufacturer License. Should the Board allow Fine Capital to file as an Institutional Investor, the company will be allowed to participate in a somewhat more abbreviated application process.

The background of this is that Fine Capital has acquired and currently holds about 7.5 percent of the common voting shares of Scientific Games. Fine Capital has indicated that its acquisition of shares in Scientific Games was made for investment purposes only and not to gain control of the company. In fact Fine Capital has filed a Schedule 13G with the Securities and Exchange Commission, identifying itself as a passive investor.

Additionally, Fine Capital has filed all of the required documents needed to receive a designation as an Investment Advisor with the SEC. Ιf the SEC finds that Fine Capital is an Investment 25 Advisor, they will fall under the Gaming Act's

Definition of an Institutional Investor and thus be afforded the abbreviated process.

1

2

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

3 OEC has not objected to the relief requested by Fine Capital. However, OEC recommends that the Board impose conditions requiring that if 6 Fine Capital receives the SEC approval as an Investment Advisor under the Investment Advisor's Act in 1940, that it provide evidence to the Bureau of Licensing within five days of that approval, and if the SEC conversely does not approve Fine Capital's 10 registration, that they immediately file the required 11 12 Principal Applications.

Finally, a Confidentiality Motion was 14 also filed by Fine Capital Partners, requesting that just nine lines within its application be maintained as confidential. There's no objection to that, and it would be appropriate for the Board to consider both motions.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? 21 Ex-Officio members of the Board? May I have a motion?

#### MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the Amended Petition of Fine Capital Partners as described by the OCC. 25

26

#### CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

#### CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

And the final petition before the Board is Lightning Poker's Amended Petition for Approval of Assignment of a Slot Machine Manufacturer License 14 Number M-18384 to Lightning Gaming, Incorporated. Lightning Poker is a Pennsylvania-based company which had been licensed in the Commonwealth to manufacture electronic poker machines.

Lightning Gaming, Incorporated, the 100 percent owner and parent company of Lightning Poker, 20 has recently begun to manufacture slot machines and is the licensee in other gaming jurisdictions outside of Pennsylvania. All the Lightning Poker and Lightning Gaming's directors, corporate officers and owners hold 24 more than --- who own more than a five percent 25 | interest are already licensed by this Board in

conjunction with the Lightning Poker licensure. 1 2 Lightning Poker avers that for purposes of operational and administrative efficiency, including the need for a uniform licensee across all gaming jurisdictions, the transfer of the license not only is necessary but just simply makes sense. 6 If the Board is inclined to grant the relief, OEC has requested that within 30 days of the 8 Order approving Lightning Poker's Petition, the following shall occur, first, all employees of 10 Lightning Poker who are involved in the research 11 development, manufacturing and servicing of slot 12 machines must become employees of Lightning Gaming, 13 Incorporated. And second, all slot machine 14 manufacturer --- manufacturing and operations will be 15 conducted by Lightning Gaming, Incorporated and not 16 the old Lightning Poker. The record on the matter is 17

#### CHAIRMAN:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questions or comments from the Board?

# MR. SOJKA:

Just one quick one. Does this mean, then, if we find in favor of this, that Lightning Poker simply disappears in time?

closed and ready for Board consideration and motion.

## ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

28

That seems to be the import, that 1 2 everything goes to the parent company under the umbrella of Lightning Gaming, rather than having a 3 bifurcated company process. MR. SOJKA: 5 Okay. Okay. 6 7 MR. GINTY: Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I want the record to 8 reflect that I did not participate in this matter. 9 10 CHAIRMAN: Let the record reflect that Mr. 11 Yes. 12 Ginty has not participated and recuses himself. Any other questions or comments from the Board? 13 14 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 15 MR. MOSCATO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant 16 17 the Petition of Lightning Poker for Approval of 18 Assignment of Slot Machine Manufacturer License Number M-18384, as described by the OCC. 19 20 MR. SOJKA: Second. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 23 AYES RESPOND 24 25 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

22

25

Okay. Next, presenting Withdrawals,

Reports and Recommendations and one matter related to
an Emergency Suspension is Deputy Chief Counsel, Steve
Cook.

## ATTORNEY COOK:

Thank you. Good morning.

## CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, Steve.

# ATTORNEY COOK:

12 The Board has received five unopposed 13 Petitions to Withdraw the Applications or Surrender 14 the Credentials of five individuals or businesses. The persons or entities subject to these petitions are 15 as follows: Amenity Elite, LLC, Michelle Hofstetter, 16 17 Thomas F. Smock, Waller Corporation, and Andrew S. The OEC's reviewed each of these matters Zimmerman. 18 and has no objections to these withdrawals. As such, 19 20 if the Board were to grant the same, they would be doing so without prejudice. 21

#### CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

24 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

## MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the 1 2 Board issue Orders to approve the withdrawals as described by the OCC. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: Second? 5 MR. TRUJILLO: 6 Second. 7 8 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 9 AYES RESPOND 10 11 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 12 13 ATTORNEY COOK: Next before the Board for consideration 14 are four Reports and Recommendations pertaining to one 15 Gaming Employee Permit and three Non-Gaming 17 Registrations. These Reports and Recommendations, along with the evidentiary record, have been provided 18 to the Board in advance of this meeting. 19 20 And additionally, in each case the person 21 implicated has been notified that the Board will be 22 taking the matter up today, and they have the right to come forward and briefly address the Board. If any of 23

these persons are present, I would ask them to come

25 forward when their name is announced.

24

The first Report and Recommendation 1 pertains to Jerome Crawley. Mr. Crawley submitted a 2 Non-Gaming Employee Registration Application on August 23rd, 2010, seeking work in a janitorial position at the SugarHouse Casino. The OEC issued a Notice of 6 Recommendation of Denial on September 30th, 2010, 7 based upon Mr. Crawley's failure to disclose on his application certain criminal convictions or charges or convictions, specifically a 2006 arrest for promoting prostitution, a January 2009 arrest for similar 10 prostitution-related offenses, which ultimately led to 11 12 a conviction and a probationary sentence, and finally, a June 2010 arrest and conviction for receiving stolen 13 property and theft. 14

Mr. Crawley requested a hearing which was 16 held on February 9th, 2012. Both he and the OEC appeared and offered testimony. Essentially, Mr. Crawley's defense was that he did not think the crimes were serious enough in nature to warrant disclosing them on his application when requested. Subsequently, the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that the application be denied. And that is the recommendation before the Board.

# CHAIRMAN:

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Questions or comments from the Board? Ex-

Officio members? May I have a motion?

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Jerome Crawley, as described by the OCC.

## MR. FAJT:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

#### AYES RESPOND 11

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

#### CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

## ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation before the Board pertains to Vanessa Holmes. On January 19, 2011, Ms. Holmes submitted an application for a Gaming 18 Permit to work as a Cage Cashier at Harrah's Chester Racetrack and Casino. Ms. Holmes, on her application, indicated that she did not have any outstanding tax 21 liabilities in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. However, during the course of investigation, it was determined that she, in fact, did have some outstanding tax issues with the Commonwealth.

Ms. Holmes received a notice for ---

33

```
1 Notice of Recommendation of Denial, requested a
2 hearing, and the hearing was scheduled for February
  14th, 2012. However, Ms. Holmes failed to appear for
  the hearing, although receiving notice, and the
5 hearing was held in her absence. Based upon the
6 ongoing tax issues with respect to Ms. Holmes, the
  Report and Recommendation issued recommended her
  application be denied, and that is the recommendation
  before the Board.
10
                CHAIRMAN:
11
                Questions or comments from the Board?
12 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
                MR. FAJT:
13
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
14
  an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the
15
   OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Vanessa
17 l
  Holmes, as described by the OCC.
18
                MR. GINTY:
19
                Second.
20
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
21
22
  AYES RESPOND
23
                CHAIRMAN:
                Opposed? The motion carries.
24
25
                ATTORNEY COOK:
```

The next matter before the Board is the Report and Recommendation pertaining to Dong Son. On January 5th, 2011, Mr. Son submitted a Gaming Employee Permit Application to work as a Table Games Dealer at the Harrah's Chester Casino.

On his application, Mr. Son disclosed that he had a March 2006 felony charge and subsequent conviction for failure to comply with the Federal Insurance Contribution Act withholding requirements. Apparently, Mr. Son at one point in time was a small business owner and failed to remit certain taxes to the federal government, resulting in this conviction.

Based on the felony conviction, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial. Mr. Son requested a hearing, and it was held on ---. An initial hearing was held on April 13th, 2011. At that point in time, the Hearing Officer, upon Mr. Son's request, held the record open in that Mr. Son indicated that he was seeking an expungement of his prior convictions.

After approximately six months, a notice was sent to --- by the OHA to determine the status of the matter, and subsequently, a hearing was held on February 7th, 2012, again with both the OEC and Mr. Son being present. At that point in time, the record

```
35
  shows that, in fact, the felony conviction was not
1
   expunged and remains in place.
2
                As a result, the recommendation before
3
   the Board is that the application be denied as it is
   the statutory mandate that felony convictions within
   15 years preclude licensure. That's the
6
   recommendation that's before the Board.
7
8
                CHAIRMAN:
                Questions or comments from the Board?
9
10 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
11
                MR. GINTY:
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
12
  an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the
13
   OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Dong Son,
14
  as described by the OCC.
15
16
                MR. MCCALL:
17
                 Second.
18
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
19
20
  AYES RESPOND
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                Opposed?
                           The motion carries.
23
                 ATTORNEY COOK:
                 The final Report and Recommendation
24
25 before the Board today pertains to LiWei Zhou, who I
```

1 believe may be present. If he is, I'd ask him to come forward.

2.

3

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I'll give the Board a little bit of background. Mr. Zhou was issued a Gaming Permit to work as a Table Games Dealer at Harrah's Chester Casino on June 7th, 2010. On October 5th, 2011, while off duty, Mr. Zhou visited the Sugarhouse Casino with friends and played craps for approximately 15 minutes before he was identified as a Gaming Employee from another casino and escorted by security to the Board's --- to a Board Casino Compliance Representative. 11

At that point in time, he admitted to the CCR that he held a Gaming Permit and worked as a Dealer at Harrah's Chester Casino. Mr. Zhou also stated that he knew he was prohibited by the Board's regulations from gaming at casinos in the Commonwealth, and he indicated a regret that he had chosen to partake in gaming at SugarHouse on that evening. OEC thereafter commenced an enforcement action seeking revocation of Mr. Zhou's gaming permit.

Mr. Zhou requested a hearing, which was held on January 25th, 2012. Both he and the OEC appeared at that hearing. Mr. Zhou again admitted to his conduct and indicated that he was remorseful for 25 his actions, and he realized what he had done was

```
1 wrong. Upon completion of the hearing, the Hearing
2 Officer issued the Report and Recommendation
  recommending that OEC's request for a Revocation not
  be granted, but rather that a six-month suspension of
5 Mr. Zhou's Gaming Permit be imposed. That is the
6 Report and --- or that's the recommendation that's
  before the Board today.
                And as indicated, Mr. Zhou is present.
8
   If you'd like to address the Board, Mr. Zhou.
10
                CHAIRMAN:
                Mr. Zhou?
11
12
                MR. ZHOU:
13
                Yes.
14
                CHAIRMAN:
                First of all, sir, I think we should have
15
16 you sworn, so I would ask the court reporter to swear
17 l
  in the witness.
18
  LIWEI ZHOU, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS
19
20 FOLLOWS:
21
22
                CHAIRMAN:
                Mr. Zhou, try to sit up and speak into
23
24 the microphone so everybody can hear you; okay?
25 A.
      Yeah.
```

#### CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead.

A. Well, thank you for giving me this opportunity to speak today. I want to really apologize for my actions of breaking one of the rules and policies of the Gaming Commission, which is not to gamble while holding a GE license at any other PA casinos.

I was very sorry for what I had done, and I --when they pulled me off the floor, I didn't give them
any hassle, you know. I went without any problems.
And whatever they asked me to provide, I provided
them, and I did not try to deceive them or anything.

And I was sitting in the back for two and a half hours and it gave me a lot to think about. And I was really upset, because, you know, I let the Gaming Commission down, and I've also, you know, let my wife and kids down and to jeopardize my license and my career and this, you know, great opportunity that you guys have provided.

And you know, usually, I'm at work and I'm never late and I barely call out and, you know, I just follow the rules and polices at work at all times.

And I try to move up, because I'm trying to better myself. And every time they offer any games or anything, I always took it, and right now I've only

1 been there for 18 months and I'm complied with the ---I'm certified in all the games except for one.

But it has come to a conclusion that I cannot move up at all with this six-month suspension, and I feel it's very harsh and, you know, it's definitely my fault, and I admit that 100 percent. You know, I have no excuse for that, and I definitely learned a lot from it and, you know ---.

But you know, I'm just hoping you guys can see 9 some light into this, and that maybe there is another 10 way of punishment, such as a fine or a long probation, 11 12 because once I get suspended, I cannot even get a license from out of state. I tried to get a Delaware 13 14 license. I cannot ---. I'm not able to get it, and I'm definitely fired from my job, and I'm probably 15 definitely not able to get hired from any other PA 16 17 casinos for my actions.

So, I think it's a very harsh penalty. And you know, I realize what I did was terribly wrong, and I hope you guys can shed some light into this dark situation I've created.

#### CHAIRMAN:

23 Sir, you understand what you did was 24 wrong; right?

Yes, definitely. 25 Α.

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

#### CHAIRMAN:

No question about that?

No question. Α.

## CHAIRMAN:

I take it you still have your job at the

casino? 6

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

11

A. Yes, for now.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Sir, do you have any remarks you want to

10 | make?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Usually, I use ---. Initially we 12 Yes. started out revoking people for this. Now we're down 13 to a point where we're offering giving individuals 14 six-month suspensions, which I have to agree that in 15 this situation, I think, would be a bit harsh. 16

17 Usually, the individual is not remorseful. They don't

show up to the --- at the hearings. They're very ---. 18

They have a very disagreeable attitude about the whole 19

20 process.

21

22

23

Here we have an individual who, aside from this anomaly on his record, has not caused any problems. He was very ---. From what I understand, 24 | he was very contrite when he was pulled off the floor

25 and didn't cause any problems and admitted, you know,

1 to what he was doing. So, in this instance I would leave it to the Board --- Board's discretion, but I 2 would definitely have no problem with the Board reducing the suspension or fining the individual in this case.

## CHAIRMAN:

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Members of the Board, looking at this gentleman and the violation here, it just seems to me the suspension is a little harsh. Does any member of 10 | the Board concur, have any suggestion?

## MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, based on the record in front of us, I agree that it's unduly harsh. I have one question about that. What would be the likely impact if, in fact, a suspension were imposed on him?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Depending upon the length of the suspension, he'd lose his job. And he would report 19 --- have to report that to any other jurisdiction he goes to, and they would likely hold that against him in some form or fashion.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

And what would be the effect if instead of a suspension, he were fined?

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

He would still have to report it, but he would probably maintain his job, and he would ---. wouldn't be as egregious to other jurisdictions.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

And I take it that the reason for that is because the other jurisdictions will view the suspension as an indication that the Board views the conduct as being a step up from, or more egregious if it's a suspension and very egregious if it's a 10 revocation, and less egregious, therefore, if it's a fine?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct, unless he doesn't pay the 13 fine fully ---14

## MR. TRUJILLO:

16 Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

15

17

18

19

23

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

--- but that's correct.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

20 And finally, is there any ---?

Consistent with his testimony, is there any 21

22 information on how he has performed as an employee?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Well, I speak to Harrah's General Counsel 24

on a frequent basis. I mentioned the name to her. 25

She looked into it. From all respects he's performed 1 2 admirably.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Thank you. That's all I need, Mr.

Chairman. 5

3

4

6

7

8

11

13

14

15

20

21

24

## MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, just a quick comment. Board has a fairly long history of when individuals appear in front of us to consider their testimony, and 10 sometimes it works in their favor, quite frankly, and sometimes it doesn't. But in this case, I have to 12 agree with you that I'm certainly amenable to something less than a six-month suspension, given his cooperation and his appearance here today.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Zhou, not only did you gamble in this 16 17 particular occasion, but you got lucky; right? won 479 bucks? 18

Yes. 19 Α.

## CHAIRMAN:

And you eventually did get that from the

22 casino?

Yes, sir. 23 Α.

## CHAIRMAN:

25 All right. Do you understand you have to

44 give that money back? 1 2 That's not a problem, sir. That's definitely not a problem. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: How about a fine of \$500? Fine of \$500? 5 Anybody want to make a motion? 6 7 MR. TRUJILLO: So moved. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Second? MR. MOSCATO: 11 Second. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All right. Mr. Son, what we're discussing here is a fine of \$500 plus you have to pay 15 16 back to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania the money you 17 won, which is \$479; okay? The Board will give you 30 days to make that total payment of \$979; understand? 18 A. Yes, sir. 19 20 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Could I have a vote? All in 21 favor? 22 AYES RESPOND 23 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Opposed? The motion carries. Thank you

all. 1 2 Thank you very much, sir. 3 MR. FAJT: And Mr. Chairman, just for the record, 4 there is no imposition then of a suspension of his 5 6 license? 7 CHAIRMAN: That's correct. 8 I appreciate it. Thank you. 9 Α. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Okay. 12 ATTORNEY COOK: The next matter before the Board ---. 13 14 MR. SOJKA: 15 Just to be formal, do we need to reject the Report and Recommendation? 16 17 ATTORNEY COOK: I think we would be adopting, but ---. 18 We probably need a motion to adopt the Report and 19 20 Recommendations but for the facts --- but for the 21 recommendation which --- in lieu of the 22 recommendation, there was a fine.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you.

23

24

25

MR. TRUJILLO:

```
Yeah, sure. So, I guess it would be a
1
2 motion the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report
3
  and Recommendation of the OEC regarding the Gaming
  Employee Permit of Dong Son, except as it relates to
  the imposition of a six-month suspension, and in its
  place, instead, a $500 fine would be entered and to be
   paid within 30 days, along with the money that he won.
8
                CHAIRMAN:
                That keep all the lawyers happy?
9
10
                ATTORNEY COOK:
                It would be Mr. Zhou.
11
12
                MR. TRUJILLO:
                Oh, I'm sorry.
13
                                 Yeah.
14
                ATTORNEY COOK:
15
                You said Son.
16
                MR. TRUJILLO:
17
                Yeah.
                       Sorry, Zhou, Z-H-O-U; right.
18
   sorry.
19
                CHAIRMAN:
20
                Okay? Do we have it? All in favor?
21 AYES RESPOND
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                Opposed?
                          That motion carries; okay?
                                                        Wе
            Thank you.
24
  got it?
25 OFF RECORD DISCUSSION
```

#### ATTORNEY COOK:

The next matter before the Board, is the request by the OEC to lift the Emergency Suspension of the Gaming Registration held by Travis Riley. By way of background, on February 17th, 2012, Executive Director O'Toole issued Emergency Suspension of Travis Riley's Gaming Permit based upon this individual being charged with felony stalking involving two incidents between Mr. Riley and a former girlfriend.

The Board thereafter on February 29th, 2012 issued an Order continuing the Emergency Suspension and referring the matter to the OHA, so that a hearing could be held in this matter.

Subsequently, the OEC confirmed that the felony charge facing Mr. Riley had been withdrawn, and as a result there is now no basis for continuing the Emergency Suspension. The OEC filed a motion, actually, or a notice requesting the withdrawal of the Emergency Suspension.

This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration. I would note for the record that should the Board lift the Emergency Suspension today, it does not prejudice the OEC in any way of coming forward with another enforcement action based on criminal charges that presumably remain for the

48 underlying conduct, for that matter. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Questions or comments from the Board, Ex-Officio Members? May I have a motion? 5 MR. MOSCATO: 6 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 7 an Order to lift the Emergency Suspension previously imposed upon Travis Riley's Gaming Employee Permit as identified by the OCC. 10 COMMISIONER SOJKA: 11 Second. 12 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 13 14 AYES RESPOND 15 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 16 17 ATTORNEY COOK: And that concludes all of our records. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Thank you. Next we have Susan Hensel, 21 Director of Licensing. 22 MS. HENSEL: 23 Thank you, Chairman Ryan and members of the Board. Before the Board today will be one 24 25 | Manufacturer License, as well as motions regarding 721

```
1 Principal, Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees.
2
   addition, there will be the consideration of motions
   regarding 27 gaming service providers.
3
                The first matter for your consideration
  is the approval of an initial Slot Machine
6 Manufacturer License for Scientific Games,
7
  International, Inc. Scientific Games sells
  technology-based products, systems and services to
   gaming markets worldwide, including central control
10 computer systems.
                The Bureau of Investigations and
11
12 Enforcement has completed its investigations, and the
  Bureau of Licensing has provided you with a background
13
  investigation and suitability report for this
14
  Applicant. I have provided you with a draft Order and
15
   ask that the Board consider the approval of Scientific
16
17
   Games International, Inc. for a Slot Machine
  Manufacturer License.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN:
20
                Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
21
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
22
                Enforcement Counsel had no objection to
23
   the request.
24
                CHAIRMAN:
25
                Any questions or comments from the Board?
```

#### MR. SOJKA:

I have one question, just a clarification for the OEC. In the materials prepared there was an item called Miscellaneous Matter, which involved some apparent improprieties having to do with lobbying and legislation in North Carolina. And it's clear that Scientific Gaming was not a target in that investigation, did cooperate, but was clearly the subject of some of that information.

And the report concludes with --- if you'll pardon me for the lawyerly language. It says, upon review of information obtained by BIE, the OEC is of the opinion that the behavior of SGI in relation to the above referenced indications would not preclude a finding that it is suitable for approval of a Slot Machine Manufacturer License.

That's a fairly safe comment, and I want to be sure that we fully understand if there are any implications that might relate to something, for example, as general as corporate culture. Do you or does the OEC have any concerns at all in that area if we go ahead and accept this recommendation?

# ATTORNEY PITRE:

No, sir, Commissioner Sojka. We don't have any concerns. For the most part, that's just a

	51
1	writing style.
2	MR. SOJKA:
3	I know that.
4	ATTORNEY PITRE:
5	Someone just
6	MR. SOJKA:
7	I know that. I know.
8	ATTORNEY PITRE:
9	took the liberty felt like, I
10	guess, taking liberty with the English language, but
11	there are no concerns. We've fully vetted the matter.
12	We're comfortable. We've talked to the prosecutors at
13	length, so we have no problem.
14	COMMISSIOENR SOJKA:
15	That's a fine answer, and I didn't mean
16	to be in any way critical.
17	ATTORNEY PITRE:
18	No, no.
19	MR. SOJKA:
20	I just wanted to be certain that we were
21	not missing any signals.
22	ATTORNEY PITRE:
23	No.
24	MR. SOJKA:
25	Thank you.

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

No.

# MR. GINTY:

I have a question. Cyrus, this goes to, apparently, a contract that was executed between the Department of Gaming Services and Scientific Gaming that was subsequently, I guess, revoked or canceled by DGS in the best interest of the Commonwealth. And apparently, no --- Scientific Games has now taken that into the Commonwealth Court, and it is before the Commonwealth Court. And it appears that the DGS is refusing to give any reason for canceling the executed contract. And that's included in the report.

Does that item ---? I'm not impugning Scientific Games in any way here, and I ---. You know, they're approved in numerous jurisdictions. But

know, they're approved in numerous jurisdictions. Bu
does that scenario give you any --- have you had an
opportunity to flesh it out so that we're comfortable

19 that it doesn't raise any issues?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Well, we're monitoring the litigation to see where it comes up, where it ends. I've been dealing with Scientific Games ever since I got into the gaming industry 15 years ago, as Autotote, as Scientific Games, and now as Scientific Games

International. They're well respected throughout the industry.

They have had some bumps in the road. I have fined them before for things, but I mean they're no different than any other manufacturer in the gaming industry. So, as far as that litigation is concerned, I assume that DGS has its reasons, and I assume that through litigation at some point, that will be brought out.

## MR. GINTY:

And it also involves another Licensee, and that's its GTECH. GTECH isn't before us now, and as I said, there's nothing here that is obvious to me to raise questions about Scientific Gaming. And I would ask you to keep your eyes on that.

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Oh, we will. I mean I think it's important to note, too, that I mean Scientific Games and GTECH largely either are competing against one another or are in business together in other jurisdictions, in joint ventures. So, I mean this is pretty much par for the course for them. I mean I don't want to ---. I mean, their Counsel is here if you'd like to hear from him, but this is the way they pretty much do business and go at it.

## MR. GINTY:

1

5

6

7

8

12

15

18

19

20

22

23

25

2 Well, my concern is the canceling of the contract without giving the reason, other than best 3 interest.

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Well, I'm sure it'll be addressed in litigation at some point.

#### MR. GINTY:

And that's fine. I don't need ---. 9 10 don't want to start any war. But I would ask you to 11 keep an eye on it.

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

With interest. I mean, it's our central 13 computer system that ---. 14

#### CHAIRMAN:

Okay, Jim? Anyone else from the Board? 16 17 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

## MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Slot Machine Manufacturer License for 21 Scientific Games International, as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

## MR. SOJKA:

Second. 24

## CHAIRMAN:

55 All in favor? 1 AYES RESPOND 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 4 MS. HENSEL: 5 6 Next for your consideration is the 7 approval of Principal and Key Employee License. to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a proposed Order for one Principal and three Key 10 Employee licenses for Category One and Category Two licensees. I ask that the Board consider the Order 11 12 approving these licenses. 13 CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 14 15 ATTORNEY PITRE: We have no objection. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 18 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 20 MR. SOJKA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the 21 22 Board approve the issuance of Principal and Key Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of 23

CHAIRMAN:

Licensing.

24

25

	56
1	Second?
2	MR. TRUJILLO:
3	Second.
4	CHAIRMAN:
5	All in favor?
6	AYES RESPOND
7	CHAIRMAN:
8	Opposed? The motion carries.
9	MS. HENSEL:
10	Also for your consideration are Temporary
11	Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the
12	Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order
13	regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for 23
14	Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the
15	Order approving these licenses.
16	CHAIRMAN:
17	Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
18	ATTORNEY PITRE:
19	We have no objection.
20	CHAIRMAN:
21	Questions or comments from the Board?
22	Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
23	MR. TRUJILLO:
24	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
25	approve the issuance of Temporary Key Employee

57 Credentials, as discussed by the Bureau of Licensing. 1 2 MR. FAJT: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 5 AYES RESPOND 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 8 9 MS. HENSEL: Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming 10 11 Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of 12 Licensing provided you with a list of 544 individuals 13 who the Bureau has granted Temporary or Full 14 Occupation Permits to, and 128 individuals who the 15 Bureau has granted Registrations to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. 17 that the Board consider a motion to approve the Order. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel? 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: We have no objection. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: Questions or comments from the Board? 23 Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 24 25 MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 1 2 approve the issuance of Gaming Employee Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the 3 Bureau of Licensing. 5 MR. GINTY: 6 Second. 7 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 8 AYES RESPOND 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Opposed? The motion carries. 12 MS. HENSEL: We also have for your consideration 13 14 | Withdrawal Requests for Key, Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case the license, permit or 15 registration is no longer required due to such 17 circumstances as the job offer being rescinded. 18 today's meeting, I have provided the Board with a list 19 of one Key, nineteen Gaming and two Non-Gaming 20 Employee Withdrawals for approval. I ask that the 21 Board consider the Orders approving this list of 22 Withdrawals. 23 CHAIRMAN: Comments from Enforcement Counsel? 24 25 ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

19

22

3 Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. MCCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Withdrawals as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

Second. 12

13 CHAIRMAN:

14 All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIRMAN:

17 Opposed? The motion carries.

18 MS. HENSEL:

In addition we have an Order to certify 20 the following gaming service providers: Component 21 Assembly Systems, Inc.; Graphic Controls Acquisition Corporation; Mintz and Hoke, Inc.; Paragon Wholesale 23 Foods Corp.; and Samuels and Son Seafood Company, Inc.

24 | I ask that the Board consider the Order approving

25 these gaming service providers for certification.

	60
1	CHAIRMAN:
2	Comments from Enforcement Counsel?
3	ATTORNEY PITRE:
4	No objection.
5	CHAIRMAN:
6	Questions or comments from the Board?
7	Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
8	MR. MOSCATO:
9	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
10	an Order to approve the applications for Gaming
11	Service Provider Certification as described by the
12	Bureau of Licensing.
13	MR. SOJKA:
14	Second.
15	CHAIRMAN:
16	All in favor?
17	AYES RESPOND
18	CHAIRMAN:
19	Opposed? The motion carries.
20	MS. HENSEL:
21	Next we have an Order regarding Gaming
22	Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of
23	Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached
24	list of 16 registered gaming service providers. I ask

25 that the Board consider a motion approving the Order

25 | Provider Applications that are being recommended for

```
abandonment. These companies filed applications with
1
2
  the Board but failed to complete them. In some cases
   the companies are, to the best of the Bureau of
   Licensing's knowledge, out of business.
                Under our regulations, the Board has the
5
  authority to declare an application abandoned if the
6
   applicant fails to cure application deficiencies.
   company whose application is abandoned is free to
   reapply at any time. I ask that the Board consider
10 the Orders declaring the following applications
  abandoned: Alta Communications, Inc.; James S.
11
12 Ritter, d/b/a Ritter's Farm Markets; Land Shapers,
   Inc.; Peregrine Company, Inc.; T.C. Lloyd Construction
13
   Company, Inc.; and Turner/Rado Joint Venture, doing
14
  business as Turnado.
15
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                Comments from Enforcement Counsel?
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
18
                No objection.
19
20
                CHAIRMAN:
21
                Any questions or comments from the Board?
  Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?
22
23
                MR. TRUJILLO:
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
24
25
   an Order to approve the abandonment of the Gaming
```

63 Service Provider Applications as described by the 1 2 Bureau of Licensing. MR. FAJT: 3 Second. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 6 AYES RESPOND 7 8 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? The motion carries. 9 MS. HENSEL: 10 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's 11 12 presentation. 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Susan. All right. Cyrus 14 Pitre, our Chief Enforcement Counsel, is next. 15 16 ATTORNEY PITRE: We have four revocations and eight 17 Involuntary Exclusion List candidates for the Board's 18 consideration today. Billie Jo Matelevich-Hoang will 19 20 start us off. 21 ATTORNEY MATELEVICH-HOANG: 22 Thank you. Good morning, Chairman Ryan, 23 members of the Board. My name is Billie Jo 24 | Matelevich-Hoang, M-A-T-E-L-E-V-I-C-H, hyphen, 25 H-O-A-N-G, on behalf of the OEC. This matter involves

1 the request to revoke Mr. Glazewski's Gaming Permit.
2 On January 11, 2012 the OEC filed a Complaint for

2 on danuary 11, 2012 one one filted a complaint for

Revocation of Mr. Glazewski's Gaming Permit due to his arrest and guilty plea for retail theft.

The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Mr. Glazewski by First Class and Certified Mail. Mr. Glazewski did not respond to the complaint within 30 days. Therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default Judgment on February 28th, 2012, and at this time the OEC asks that Mr. Glazewski's gaming permit be revoked.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Is Thomas Glazewski in the hearing room?

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio

members? May I have a motion?

## MR. FAJT:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Thomas

Glazewski's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

## MR. GINTY:

24 Second.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

## CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

## CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

#### ATTORNEY MATELEVICH-HOANG:

Thank you.

## CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of the Board. I'm Cassandra Fenstermaker, Assistant 12 Enforcement Counsel. I have three revocations for your consideration today. The first occurred on 14 December 13th, 2011. The OEC filed a complaint for revocation against Alexis Billops, a former Food and Beverage Server at Harrah's Chester, after she admitted to taking a gift card voucher which she cashed in for four gift cards.

The Enforcement Complaint was served on Ms. Billops by First Class Mail. Ms. Billops did not 21 respond to the complaint in 30 days. Therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a 24 Request for Default Judgment on February 23rd, 2012, 25 and at this time, requests that Alexis Billops'

Non-Gaming Registration be revoked.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

6

7

8

10

11

19

20

22

24

3 Is Alexis Billops in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Revocation of Alexis Billops' Non-Gaming Employee Registration as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

Second. 12

13 CHAIRMAN:

14 All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

16 CHAIRMAN:

17 Opposed? The motion carries.

25 was served upon Ms. Marker by First Class and

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER: 18

On January 3rd, 2012 the OEC filed a Complaint for Revocation against Valerie Marker, a 21 former Food and Beverage Employee of Harrah's Chester's, after she admitted to and was charged with 23 theft from Harrah's Chester and another theft unrelated to the casino. The Enforcement complaint

1 | Certified Mail.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

25

Ms. Marker did not respond to the

complaint within 30 days. Therefore, pursuant to

Board regulations, all facts in the complaint are

deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for Default

Judgment on February 23rd, 2012, and at this time

requests that Valerie Marker's Non-Gaming Registration

be revoked.

## CHAIRMAN:

Is Valerie Marker in the hearing room?

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio

members? May I have a motion?

# MR. MCALL:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Valerie Marker's Non-Gaming Employee Registration, as described by the OEC.

## CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MOSCATO:

21 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

23 All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

## ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

1

2

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 Thank you. Finally, on January 20th, 2012, the OEC filed a Complaint for Revocation against Ryan Patrick Lowe, formerly a Table Games Dealer at 6 | Harrah's Chester, as a result of a quilty plea to 7 intentional possession of a controlled substance. Enforcement Complaint was served on Mr. Lowe by First Class and Certified Mail.

Ms. Lowe --- Mr. Lowe did not respond to the complaint within 30 days. Therefore, pursuant to 12 Board Regulations, all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted. The OEC filed a Request for 14 Default Judgment on February 23rd, 2012, and at this time requests that Ryan Patrick Lowe's Gaming Permit be revoked.

## CHAIRMAN:

Is Ryan Patrick Lowe in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

#### MR. MOSCATO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of Ryan Patrick Lowe's Gaming Employee Permit as described by the OEC.

## MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY FENSTERMAKER:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

Certified and First Class Mail.

ATTORNEY DUSTIN MILLER:

Good morning, Chairman Ryan, members of Dustin Miller, on behalf of the OEC. the Board. The 14 next item is a request for placement on the Board's Exclusion --- Excluded Persons List today, involving Clive W. Cattan.

The OEC filed a petition to place Mr. Cattan on the Exclusion List for cheating while playing blackjack on October 6th, 2011. The petition 20 was filed on January 3rd, 2012, and the petition was properly served upon Mr. Cattan to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both

Mr. Cattan did not respond to the filing 24 in any way. Due to Mr. Cattan's failure to respond, 25

```
1 the averments in the petition are deemed to be
  admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been
2
  waived. On February 29th, 2012, the OEC filed a
3
  Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default in this matter.
  The matter is now before the Board to consider the
  placement of Clive W. Cattan on the Board's Excluded
6
  Persons List.
8
                CHAIRMAN:
                Is Clive Cattan in the hearing room?
9
                                                        Any
   questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio
10
            May I have a motion?
11
  members?
12
                MR. SOJKA:
                      Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the
13
                Yes.
14 Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Clive
   Cattan to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as
15
   described by the OEC.
16
17
                CHAIRMAN:
                Second?
18
19
                MR. TRUJILLO:
20
                Second.
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                All in favor?
  AYES RESPOND
23
24
                CHAIRMAN:
25
                Opposed? The motion carries.
```

## ATTORNEY DUSTIN MILLER:

Thank you.

1

2

3

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

# ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of 4 the Board. Mike Roland, R-O-L-A-N-D, with the OEC. 5 will be presenting the last seven agenda items for you today. 7 In each one of these, Enforcement Counsel filed a Petition to Exclude. With no response from any of the patrons, we then filed a Default ---Request for Default Judgment. Those matters are 10 11 before you today.

The first one is Nebiyu Bellete. This next matter is a request to place Mr. Bellete on the 14 Board's Exclusion List. The OEC filed a petition on October 20th, 2011 to place Mr. Bellete on the Exclusion List for past post betting while playing midi baccarat at Sands.

The petition was properly served on Mr. Bellete to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both Certified and 21 First Class Mail. A receipt for the Certified Mail was signed and returned to the OEC, confirming successful service. Mr. Bellete did not respond to the filing in any way. Given Mr. Bellete's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to

1 be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived.

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

On February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Nebiyu Bellete on the Board's Excluded Person List.

Mr. Bellete was originally charged with a Felony Three under our Act, Prohibited Acts, Section 1518. He was also charged with theft by deception under 3922 under the Crimes Code. At this point, those matters still seemed to be pending.

Just a mater of note, Mr. Bellete was 12 able to remove \$2,325 from Sands Casino. That has not 13 yet been recovered. Apparently, while playing midi 14 baccarat ---. I'm not terribly familiar with it, but 15 I understand you can place multiple bets at one time. 16 17 Mr. Bellete placed a \$25 bet and a \$5 bet and, essentially, a \$75 bonus bet. He had won the hand, 18 and while the dealer was paying out another patron, he 19 20 very carefully --- and we have this very clear on surveillance --- then slid the bonus bet over to cap 21 22 his original bet, thus causing 30 to 1 odds and in him winning that large sum. 23

It was only one hand which he won. 24 25 Unfortunately, we have not been able to recover it yet

at this point. 1

2

3

6

7

8

10

21

22

## CHAIRMAN:

Nebiyu Bellete in the hearing room? questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

# MR. SOJKA:

I'll move that the Yes, Mr. Chairman. Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Nebiyu Bellete to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as described by the OEC.

#### 11 CHAIRMAN:

Second? 12

MR. TRUJILLO: 13

14 Second.

#### 15 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 16

17 AYES RESPOND

#### CHAIRMAN: 18

19 Opposed? The motion carries.

#### 20 ATTORNEY ROLAND:

The next matter is a request to place Jin Cheng Lin on the Board's Excluded Persons List. 23 OEC filed a petition on October the 11th to place Mr. 24 Lin on the Exclusion List for past post betting while

25 playing mini baccarat at Sands. The Petition was

1 properly served on Mr. Lin to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both Certified and First Class Mail.

2

3

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Mr. Lin did not respond to the filing in any way, and given Mr. Lin's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived. February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the 10 Board to consider the placement of Jin Cheng Lin on the Board's Excluded Person List.

Mr. Lin was charged with four counts of theft by deception, all being misdemeanor of the Second Degree, two counts of prohibited acts, under our Act, Section 1518, misdemeanor of the First Degree. The case has been disposed of. He entered a quilty plea to one amended count of theft by deception, and that was Misdemeanor Three.

In the Order, he was sentenced to 12 months probation, restitution and court costs. 21 restitution was \$827, which Mr. Lin won by having two out of four successful attempts at capping his bet --past post betting, I'm sorry.

# CHAIRMAN:

Is Jin Cheng Lin in the hearing room?

Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio 1 members? May I have a motion?

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Jin Cheng Lin to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as described by the OEC.

### MR. FAJT:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 12

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

24

### CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

### ATTORNEY ROLAND:

The next matter is a request to place 16 17 Aizhen Nmn Liu on the Board's Excluded Persons List. The OEC filed a petition on October the 11th to place 18 Ms. Liu on the Exclusion List for past posting bets 19 20 while playing midi baccarat at Sands. The petition 21 was properly served upon Ms. Liu to the address listed 22 on the criminal complaint filed against her by both Certified and First Class Mail. 23

A receipt for the Certified Mail was 25 signed and returned to the OEC, confirming successful service. Ms. Liu did not respond to the filing in any way, and given Ms. Liu's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and her right to a hearing has been waived.

On February 14th, 2012 the OEC filed a
Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is
now before the Board to consider the placement of Ms.
Aizhen Liu on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

Originally, Ms. Liu was charged with one count of theft by deception, Misdemeanor Two. The case has since been disposed of. This also is a guilty plea, which was reduced to a summary disorderly conduct.

Her sentence was restitution, that being in the amount of \$2,000, but she actually never really removed \$2,000 from the facility, because she was caught in the process of trying to post the bet. So, she never actually got away from the table. She also was sentenced to some court costs and, specifically, stay out of the Sands Casino.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Is Aizhen Liu present in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

# MR. FAJT:

77

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Aizhen Liu to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List, as described by the OEC.

## CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. GINTY:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 11

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

### CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

# ATTORNEY ROLAND:

The next matter is a request to place Qing Ding Liu on the Board's Excluded Person's List. The OEC filed a petition on October the 19th to place Mr. Liu on the Exclusion List for grabbing gaming chips from an active mini baccarat table game float and subsequently running through the casino with the gaming chips in his possession.

The petition was properly served on Mr. Liu to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both Certified and First Class 25 | Mail. The receipt for the Certified Mail was signed

and returned to the OEC, confirming successful 2 service. Mr. Liu did not respond to the filing in any way. Given Mr. Liu's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Qing Ding Liu on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

Mr. Liu was charged with one count of theft by unlawful taking, one count of receiving stolen property, both of those being felonies of the Third Degree. The matter is still pending in the criminal court system.

We have very clear surveillance showing 16 Mr. Liu, while in the process of playing, reaching into the gaming float. And it's blatant. It's not hard to see. Grabbing seven \$1,000 gaming chips and absconding from the table. Thankfully, he was caught in the parking lot by our security --- or Sands' security. Those chips have been recovered, so at this point there's no loss to the Sands Casino.

#### CHAIRMAN:

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Is Qing Ding Liu in the hearing room? Any questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move this Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Qing Ding Liu to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCALL:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion carries.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Next matter is a request to place Sefula

Seji on the Board's Excluded Persons List. The OEC

filed a petition on October 11th to place Mr. Seji on

the Exclusion List for marking cards while playing

poker at Sands. The petition was properly served upon

Mr. Seji to the address listed on the criminal

complaint failed against him by both Certified and

First Class Mail.

A receipt for the Certified Mail was signed and returned to the OEC, confirming successful service. Mr. Seji did not respond to the filing in

any way. Given Mr. Seji's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived.

1

2

3

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

On February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is 6 now before the Board to consider the placement of Sefula Seji on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

Mr. Seji was charged with 39 counts of prohibited Acts under our Gaming Act, Section 1518, those all being misdemeanor of the First Degree. matter is still pending.

Mr. Seji was relatively creative. He had a square piece of sandpaper on the inside of his 14 middle finger on one hand. He was using that to scuff the backs of the cards so he could identify which cards would assist him in his play.

He actually did this on two separate occasions, one ---. On one day he marked 30 cards. The second day he had successfully marked 39 cards. Why he was only charged with 39 counts for the second day, I can't answer that.

And at this point, there's been some difficulty trying to determine exactly how much he would've been successful taking from the Sands, 25 because once the cards are marked and they're going

1 through the game play, no one is really certain whether or not the winning hand is because of the 2 marked card or not. We are looking into that. 3 trying to see if we can come up with a number. At this point, whatever he would have 5 been successful in winning is still out of pocket for 6 7 Sands. We would anticipate that coming back in restitution if there is some type of guilty plea. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Is Sefula Seji in the hearing room? questions or comments from the Board? Ex-Officio 11 members? May I have a motion? 12 MR. MCCALL: 13 14 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Sefula Seji to the 15 Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board Involuntary 16 Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Second? 19 20 MR. MOSCATO:

21 Second.

22 CHAIRMAN:

23 All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

25 CHAIRMAN:

The motion carries. Opposed?

# ATTORNEY ROLAND:

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

The next matter is a request to place Ms. Baoyu Zhang on the Board's Excluded Persons List. The OEC filed a petition on September 16th to place Ms. Zhang on the Exclusion List. Zhang, with the 6 7 assistance of patron Cong Zheng, placed post bets while playing mini baccarat at Sands.

The petition was properly served upon Ms. Zhang to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against her by both Certified and First Class Mail. A receipt for the Certified Mail was signed and returned to the OEC confirming successful service. Ms. Zhang did not respond to the filing in any way, and given Ms. Zhang's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and her right to a hearing has been waived.

On February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider placement of Ms. 21 Baoyu Zhang on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

She's charged with one count of prohibited acts under Section 1518, a Misdemeanor One, also, under 1518, but subjection (d) for aiding and abetting. That's also a Misdemeanor One. One count

of theft by deception, a Misdemeanor One.

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The case has been disposed of. entered a guilty plea to a summary disorderly conduct under 5503. She was ordered to pay restitution, court costs, not placed on probation in this situation. bring that up only because her co-defendant was placed on probation.

Ms. Zhang is a resident of California. Τ know routinely that Pennsylvania will transfer probation to surrounding states. Sometimes that becomes a little bit more difficult if you're going 12 across the country. That's only speculation on my behalf, why she only has restitution and court costs.

As far as the restitution is concerned, she was ordered to pay the full \$400 which they were successfully able to remove from play. Ms. Zhang was sitting one seat next to Mr. Zheng, and Mr. Zheng actually had the winning hand. Ms. Zhang capped Mr. Zheng's bet. Mr. Zheng was paid the full amount out, and then he slid it over between the two of them, which she then took, and we assume that they were going to split at one point in time, which is why they were both charged.

# CHAIRMAN:

Is Baoyu Zhang in the hearing room?

Questions or comments from the Board?

## MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Just could I ask one quick question?

That is, here's a person, obviously, that's doing this thing in various places. Do we issue to these persons that we put on our list some kind of clear formal announcement to them that they're on that list? Do they know they're on the list?

# ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Well, they certainly receive all of ---.

11 As far as Enforcement Counsel's concerned, they've

12 received all the paperwork that would have been filed

13 and at some point in time was presented to the Board,

14 saying that there was a petition for you to be placed

15 on the Exclusion List. And it lays out blow by blow,

16 in detail, what the accusations are.

# MR. SOJKA:

How does she know the ---?

#### ATTORNEY ROLAND:

I'm sorry. One more time?

# MR. SOJKA:

Will she get a letter saying ---?

# ATTORNEY COOK:

There's actually an Order issued, or an

25 Order to her last known.

85

# MR. SOJKA: 1 Okay. So, then in other words, the best 2 3 we can hope for in this is that this is a clear disincentive to people to try to wander back into a Pennsylvania casino? They know they're going to be looked for? 6 7 ATTORNEY COOK: I think that's true. 8 9 MR. SOJKA: 10 Okay. Thank you. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Any other questions of the Board? Ex-Officio members? May I have a motion? 13 14 MR. MOSCATO: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue and Order to approve the addition of Baoyu Zhang to 17 the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 18 19 MR. SOJKA: 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 23 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Opposed? The motion carries.

# ATTORNEY ROLAND:

1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And the last matter before you today is the co-defendant of Ms. Zhang. The next matter is a 3 request to place Cong Zheng on the Board's Excluded Persons List. The OEC filed a petition on September the 16th to place Mr. Zheng on the Exclusion List for 6 placing post bets while playing mini baccarat at Sands. The petition was properly served upon Mr. Zheng to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both Certified and First Class 10 11 Mail.

Mr. Zheng did not respond to the filing in any way. Given Mr. Zheng's failure to respond, the averments in the Petition are deemed to be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On February 14th, 2012, the OEC filed a Request to Enter Judgment Upon Default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Cong Zheng on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

Same as Ms. Zhang, Mr. Zheng was charged with one count of prohibited acts, Misdemeanor One.

Second count of prohibited acts is under Subsection

(d), aiding and abetting, and one count of conspiracy to commit theft by deception, Misdemeanor One. The case has been disposed of. It was a guilty plea to

1 the summary disorderly conduct, just like Ms. Zheng, except in this case we have 12 months of probation in 2 addition to the restitution. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: Is Cong Zheng in the hearing room? 5 Any comments, questions from the Board? Ex-Officio 6 7 members? May I have a motion? 8 MR. SOJKA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the 9 10 Board issue an Order to approve the addition of Cong 11 Dian Zheng to the PGCB Involuntary Exclusion List as 12 described by the OEC. 13 CHAIRMAN: Second? 14 MR. TRUJILLO: 15 Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 AYES RESPOND 19 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? The motion carries. 22 ATTORNEY ROLAND: That's all I have. 23 Thank you. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Thanks, Michael. Thank you, Cyrus.

```
1 Ladies and gentlemen, I think that completes the
2
  substantive part of this hearing and meeting this
  morning. We have a period today set aside for public
3
  comment, but as I understand it, however, we do not
  have anyone registered to speak today. Is there
  anyone in the audience wishing to make public comment?
6
  Since there are no speakers, I believe that concludes
  our business today.
                Our next scheduled public meeting will be
9
10 held here on Wednesday, April 11th at 10:00 a.m.
  final comments from the Board? Ex-Officio members?
11
12 May I have a motion to adjourn the meeting?
                MR. TRUJILLO:
13
14
                So moved.
15
                CHAIRMAN:
                Second?
16
17
                MR. FAJT:
18
                Second.
19
                CHAIRMAN:
20
                This meeting is adjourned. Thank you all
21
   very much.
22
23
               MEETING CONCLUDED AT 11:43 A.M.
24
25
```

# 

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before Chairman Ryan, was reported by me on 03/21/2012 and that I, Jolynn C. 6 Prunoske, read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Jolynn C. Prunoske