COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

IN RE: MOUNT AIRY #1, LLC - PETITION FOR APPROVAL OF

A CASINO FLOOR RECONFIGURATION

BEFORE: WILLIAM H. RYAN, JR., CHAIRMAN

Gregory C. Fajt, James B. Ginty,

Keith R. McCall, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth I. Trujillo; Members

Christopher Craig, Representing Robert

McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, Representing Daniel P.

Meuser, Secretary of Revenue

Matthew Meals, Representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Tuesday, November 22, 2011, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: PUC Keystone Building

Hearing Room #1

Harrisburg, PA 17120

WITNESSES: James Tuthill, Richard Whitby

Reporter: Jolynn C. Prunoske

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

		3		
1	INDEX			
2				
3	OPENING REMARKS			
4	By Chairman Ryan 5 - 6			
5	OPENING STATEMENT			
6	By Attorney Sklar 7 - 10			
7	TESTIMONY			
8	By Mr. Tuthill 10 - 14			
9	By Mr. Whitby 14 - 18			
10	CLOSING STATEMENT			
11	By Attorney Sklar 18			
12	EXAMINATION			
13	By Attorney Davenport 18 - 24			
14	QUESTIONS BY BOARD MEMBERS 24 - 46			
15	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 46 - 47			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1		ЕХНІВІТЅ		4
2			Page	Page
3	Number	<u>Description</u>	Offered	Admitted
4	One	PowerPoint Slides	47	47*
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
1819				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25	* Exhibit	t not attached		

5

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Good morning, everyone. Thank you all for being here today. My name is Bill Ryan. I am the Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Before we begin, I would like to ask everyone to please turn off your cell phones, PDAs and other electronic devices. Thank you.

I'd also remind everyone --- and those of you who have been in this room before know this 12 firsthand, the acoustics in this room are not very good, and the court reporter may have difficulty getting everything that is said if you don't keep your voice up. So, try to remember to do just that so that we don't have interruptions or repetitions.

In addition to the seven members of the Board, joining us today is Christopher Craig, representing State Treasurer, Robert McCord; Robert Coyne, representing Secretary of the Department of Revenue, Daniel Meuser; and Matt Meals, representing the Secretary of Agriculture, George Greig. Thank you all for coming, gentlemen.

24 I will now call this meeting to order.

25 And the first order of business, I'd like to ask everyone to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

10

11

13

14

15

16

20

21

23

24

25

We have one item before the Board today for a public hearing, and that will take place prior to our public meeting. At the conclusion of this hearing, we will take a recess to conduct quasi judicial deliberations in executive session before returning to conduct our regularly-scheduled meeting.

The public hearing scheduled today is Mount Air #1, LLC's Petition for Approval of a Casino 12 Floor Reconfiguration. Can I please have all persons who are participating in these proceedings come forward? They have done so. Can I have all persons who are non-attorneys but who will be presenting evidence please stand to be sworn?

17

WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE 18

19

CHAIRMAN:

Before we begin, I would ask all persons 22 participating, please identify yourselves before speaking. Also, if you could spell your name for the benefit of the court reporter, that would be greatly appreciated. Mount Airy, you may begin.

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

1

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Good morning, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Michael Sklar, S-K-L-A-R, on behalf of Mount Airy. With me this morning is Jim Tuthill, who is Vice President of Gaming at Mount Airy, and Rich Whitby, who's the Director of Slot Operations.

If you will recall, we were here before the Board in December 2010, requesting a slot reduction of 300 machines. And at the hearing itself, we modified our request and cut it in half and requested a 150-machine reduction. And we said at the time we wanted to see and evaluate what kind of impacts the 150 reduction would have and then possibly, after being evaluated and analyzed what the impact was, possibly come back before you and make another request for reduction. So, this morning we're going to present the rationale for why we're here before you today. Specifically, we're requesting a 200 slot machine reduction from the current count of 2,275 down to 2,075. And in addition, as part of the reconfiguration, the proposed relocation of the existing poker room to the third floor and relocating the current high slot room to the location of the former poker room. And the --- essentially the rationale for this request is --- there's several

reasons. Specifically with respect to the reduction
in slot machines, after analyzing the data, again,
it's pretty overwhelming, the statistics and the
evidence which Jim and Rich will get into, the
utilization and occupancy of the current slot machine
count on the floor is overwhelming, that there is an
excess supply. And in addition, the win-per-unit
statistics show that Mount Airy is significantly below
the industry average.

In addition, the benefits of the reconfiguration beyond this, the slot machine reduction, these moves will relieve congestion and I think make it a better customer experience and enable a better flow on the floor. The poker room will be expanded and will have a dedicated cage, bar, and it will just be a much more comfortable experience for the poker players. In addition, the high slot --- the high-limit slot room will be moved to a larger area. We'll be able to increase the number of machines and it will be a much better location.

And lastly, this reconfiguration is going to allow Mount Airy to define the smoking and non-smoking areas a lot better and is going to be not ---right now, currently, it's a little piecemeal, and it's going to be --- the division is going to be much

more defined, which I think is going to be beneficial for everybody.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I know that there have been a number of petitions before you for slot reductions, and I know it's a sensitive area. I think the principle is that there's no correlation necessarily between the number of slot machines that you have and GTR. And I think that the experience certainly of Mount Airy and I think some of the other facilities bears that out. It's not to say that --- you know, I'm not making the case that a reduction in slot machines is going to increase GTR. I don't think anyone could say definitively that there's a cause and effect. But I think the point is that there's certainly no correlation between a reduction in slot machines and a reduction in GTR, which I think is the primary point and the issue before the Board and the sensitivity with slot reductions in general.

With that, I'm going to turn it over to Jim, and he's going to walk through the current floor plan and what the proposed reconfiguration is comprised of. And just before I hand you over, I just want to apologize for not coordinating --- we had a PowerPoint, and we dropped the ball and didn't coordinate to have a projector and computer with us.

So, we're going to try to --- and I apologize to the audience, but we'll try to identify on the slides so the commissioners can follow with us. With that, I turn it over to Jim.

MR. TUTHILL:

3

5

6 Good morning. I'm happy to be here this morning. My name is James Tuthill, spelled T, as in Thomas, U-T, as in Thomas, H-I-L-L. If the commissioners will kindly turn to --- I believe it's 10 page five. The title of the page is Current Operating Plan, parentheses, OP-6A, closed parentheses. You'll 11 see the current floor as it exists today and has 12 13 currently been operating under since the last 14 reduction that we appeared before the Board for. 15 I'll take you --- starting in the upper right-hand corner, the orange highlighted areas in particular, 16 17 you'll see two circles and a long rectangular 18 arrangement there. That is an egress area that is directly in front of the casino cashier. The round 19 20 area below that is the bus entrances to the main 21 entrance to the property. And the games were put in 22 --- the slots were put in there with the addition of 23 table games in order to more or less squeeze the games 2.4 of the slot assets on the floor because we have 25 maintained that number of slot machines at a time.

So, it's a public egress area, and that's one of the areas that we've designated to move in order to make a much more pleasant quest experience. To the left of 3 that you see two games, a long rectangle, orange area, that's adjacent to the table game area. We plan on shortening those rooms and then to provide more egress for table games and a slot area. Below that, on the other side of the table game area, towards the center of the casino, is the circled bar. And again, opening 10 up that area is a major pitch point for peak periods, Friday, Saturday and holidays. Traffic gets jammed up 11 in there, and we want to relieve those pinch points. 12 To the far left there's a small area highlighted in 1.3 14 orange. That's the current high-limit slot room. 15 There are fewer than 30 slot assets in that room. This is a comfortable, little room. It doesn't give 16 17 us much of an opportunity to market to the high-end 18 segment for the slots. And in terms of opening up the poker area, we can more than double our inventory of 19 20 high-limit slots, and we intend to market to that 21 segment. I think it's a huge benefit to us. 22 To the far left is the third floor, and 23 that's currently all slots. The area that is highlighted in orange is the proposed location for the 24

poker room. So, we will be taking the poker room,

25

which is immediately opposite, on the other side of the page, highlighted in orange, the large square area, and placing that up to the third floor area.

and if you will go to the next page, it's entitled New Operating Plan OP-7. And it indicates just exactly on the first floor how the area will look. And the areas in blue are all the areas relieved of slot assets and will be open to the public, a much more gracious playing environment. It will be easy to traverse the entire casino floor.

On the following page you will find the new poker room plan. You'll notice at the bottom the current square footage for the poker area is 1,968 feet. It allows us to increase the poker room area to 2,761 games --- or 2,761 square feet.

The primary concern and the problem in our current poker room has been the fact that it's a very tight space for the amount of players that come in that area. This will allow us to open up the area and to make it a more comfortable environment. I think it will help us a great deal in the corporate area, which has been very successful. Mike, do you want to go through the parameters?

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Sure. The next page is the poker room

parameters. You see in the background there's a small 1 2 lounge, bar area that will be set up in there. 3 will be several tables down in there with seating and several seats at the bar. And adjacent to that will be the cage. So, we will be able to service the poker area on the third floor with the cage up there. also it will improve service to the slot machines that will be on the other side of the third floor. we'll have a cage and beverage service up there, where 10 currently we have very limited beverage service and we 11 have no cage up there at the current time.

The next page is the new high-limit slot room plan. We're going to increase from 22 assets to 65 assets in that particular area. We're going to be offering many of the most popular products that are available to us and, as I said, not only to be able to market to the segment, where currently we're unable to because of our limited facility in the high-limit spot now. Square footage increase is 572 to 1,968 square feet.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And on the following page you'll see a rendering of the new high-limit slot room. It's open. It's spacious. It's the current poker room, and it's almost exactly what it's going to look like. It's very close to what it will look like. It's beautiful.

And the last two slides, we have our 1 2 current smoking and non-smoking area. You'll see 3 currently it's kind of a patchwork quilt of smoking area --- the green areas are the smoking areas. if you go to the next page, you'll see how we simplified it. The pink area is the smoking area. The white area is the non-smoking area. non-smoking area is dedicated to --- directly in front of the restaurant facilities. And to be quite honest 10 with you, since we did the last reconfiguration, the majority of comments that we get from our guests are 11 that they wish we would put it back to where it was. 12 13 This is very similar to the configuration that you had 14 originally. And I think that we'll make great strides 15 in terms of quest service, in terms of a more refined smoking versus non-smoking. It's a hot topic, and 16 17 they're important issues to that. With that, I'll 18 turn it over to Rich.

MR. WHITBY:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning. My name is Richard Whitby, W-H-I-T-B-Y. I'm the Director of Slot Operations at Mount Airy. As Michael referred to earlier, with the win-per-unit on the casino floor, prior to our last reduction we had 2,450 slots. Our win-per-unit is approximately \$175. In April we finished our

reduction down to 2,275 units. Our win-per-unit has 1 increased to \$180. Going forward, I think with this 2 reduction of 200 units, we look to increase our 3 win-per-unit again. We still are the lowest in the state. If you look at that slide number 14, we're currently at \$187.80, with the industry average being \$257. We would like to see our win-per-units increase to somewhere in that area.

As far as occupancy goes, if you look at 9 10 slide number 13, we're looking at April through July of 2011, and our average weekday occupancy is only 11 32.3 percent. Our average weekend is 51 percent. 12 13 we see very little times during even our peak weekends 14 where we're hitting a little above 50-percent 15 occupancy. So, we have plenty of units, we believe, to --- even with this cut, you will still not get 16 17 anywhere near an occupancy where we would consider industry average to be about 80 percent. 18

We calculated the cost savings to us in reduction. In slide number 16, the average cost of a slot machine today is about \$20,000. By reducing the casino floor by 200 units, in the future we will not have to replace these units. That alone is a \$4 24 million savings to us. Along with that we have approximately \$40,000 in operating cost savings for

19

20

21

22

23

25

maintenance, for electricity, for parts, et cetera. So, just by reducing the units we would save a considerable amount of money in capital replacement costs and operating costs.

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Rich, can you just --- going back to the occupancy, slide 13, can you just explain for the Board how that is calculated and give a little more flavor to those percentages?

MR. WHITBY:

The way we calculate the occupancy is based on handle pulls or spins, if you want to say it, for a customer playing a machine. So, we graph the handle pulls per hour through our slot system, our ACSC slot system. We divide that by 60, which would be 60 minutes in an hour. So, with that amount, the average number of handle pulls per customer per minute on each machine is 7.8. From there we divide that 7.8 and we get our total number of games played per hour. With that, you divide that by your total number of 21 machines on the casino floor, and you're going to come up with your occupancy percentage. The last time we were here, we captured our occupancy by our slot monitoring system, which is a manual reading that our staff actually looks at a monitor every hour and

writes down how many games were in play. The Board requested that we come up with a system-generated report, which we were able to run through our IT department. Took this calculation, we captured the handle pulls and we ran the calculation and we were able to come up with an occupancy report that's provided through our slot system.

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

And last thing, Rich, on slide 15 it shows the impact of the December 2010 slot reduction, the 150 machines. Can you run through what the experience was with that reduction?

MR. WHITBY:

Sure. Our last season, we got the reduction of 176 slot machines. We started in February, I think it was, and finished right at April 1st. So, this slide shows our gross terminal revenue from April through July 2010. The average number of machines, April through July 2010, was 2,343. We were in the process of adding table games at that time, so our numbers did go up and down a little bit. But our gross terminal revenue was \$50 million, approximately, with a win-per-unit of \$175. When we finished our reduction in April, we were at 2,275 slot machines. Our gross terminal revenue increased to \$52,149,000,

with a win-per-unit of \$188. So, we see a variance of 68 less slot machines with an increase in gross terminal revenue of \$2 million, \$13 towards the winper-unit.

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

3

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Thanks, Rich. That concludes our presentation. I just want to reiterate. I think that the management's goal, I think everyone can agree, is to maximize revenue and profit certainly for Mount Airy, and that would obviously coincide with the interest of the Commonwealth. And here I think that 12 with the data, with the occupancy statistics and win-per-unit, I think it's pretty clear and I think pretty overwhelming that there's not going to be --there's no correlation between a reduction in slot machines with the excess supply that Mount Airy has currently and GTR. So, with that, I think that it's a pretty compelling case for the reconfiguration, not only in slot reduction but also the other --relocation of the high slot limited room and the poker room. And with that, we'll be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN:

Does the Office of Enforcement Counsel 25 have any questions of any of Mount Airy's witnesses?

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

2.0

Yes, we do. Good morning, Chairman and commissioners. Nan Davenport, D-A-V-E-N-P-O-R-T. I'm the Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel for the Office of Enforcement Counsel. With me today I also have Rich O'Neil, who is the Financial Investigative Unit Supervisor. He and his staff prepared a report that's attached to OEC's Answer, which is confidential. And we're available to answer any questions after I ask some questions today.

With respect to the decision to remove a particular slot machine, could you just go through what the decision is based on? Was it the type of machine, denomination, the software of the machine or location of the machine, or was it a combination?

MR. WHITBY:

It was pretty much based on a pointed and win-per-unit calculation. We tried to target the underperforming units on the floor based on those two factors.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

This is the fifth time, since Mount Airy opened, that they've requested a reduction in the number of slot machines on the gaming floor and the second time that they have requested a reduction since

the January 2010 amendment to the Gaming Act that authorized table games. During the December 2010 hearing of what ended up being 150-slot reduction, plus another 26 slot reduction for games that were close to elevators, you stated that the termination in the amount of slots to reduce was more an art than a science. So, if you could just go through how you arrived at the number of slots with this present reduction, how you arrived at 200 slots.

MR. WHITBY:

The number of slots for this reduction was determined based upon our occupancy, of course, and having done system-generated reports. At our peak period, using 80 percent as an industry standard for full occupancy, we barely hit 70 percent for a couple hours a day once a week when we are at premium play. When we are at our busiest period during the course of the week, we are at 70 percent. That's only for about two hours every week. By reducing the floor 200 games exactly, it takes us right to about 79 percent, which is just under the 80 percent optimum utilization we like to see. So, that's how we got to 200 games.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

With respect to the 70 percent during a two hour period, is that on weekends or during the

week?

2

1

MR. WHITBY:

3

Typically, on Sunday afternoons.

What impact, if any, would the 200 slot

4

5

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

6

reduction have on the 34 percent tax paid from the

machines?

daily gross terminal revenue of Mount Airy's slot

8

MR. WHITBY:

10

I don't know that that would have any,

11 presuming that --- based upon our last appearance and

12 the premise was that we would redistribute the revenue

13 on the other games that were available. The

14 underperforming units would be taken off the floor.

15 People would come and play the games that were

16 available. The revenue would --- tax benefit to the

17 State should not be hindered.

18

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

19

20 new seven slide, that once the slots are removed, it's

21

just going to be empty space. Is that correct or do

22

you plan to spread out the remaining slots in those

23 areas?

MR. WHITBY:

25

24

Actually, the original floor plan I

Reviewing the slides, it appears that the

believe we have is an empty space in the egress areas that we would like to open up to the public. Again, there are peak periods on Friday nights, Saturday, Sunday. We find ourselves with major pinch points that are very difficult to traverse for our guests, so it's a very uncomfortable situation. They do a lot of bumping and shoving. And the one area with the two circles directly in front of the cage has some long lines that kind of jump into the traffic flow. So, we're trying to open up these areas to make it more comfortable for service.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

2.4

I noticed on a couple of slides that you referenced that the square footage is increasing. One of the slides is the new approved plan. I did not see in the actual petition the square footage of the gaming floor is going to be increased. Will there be an increase in the gaming floor size?

MR. WHITBY:

The overall square footage of the gaming floor will not increase, but the poker room is being moved to a new area of the floor, and that provides more square footage. The overall square footage will remain the same.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

Your petition references --- indicates slot performance for the periods, April through July 2010, and April through July 2011. What is the significance of those two periods of time?

MR. WHITBY:

We wanted to compare --- show a comparison of before and after the reduction compared to the same time of the year for the previous year. So, we finished our slot reduction right before April 1st, 2011. We wanted to see what the impact would be comparing it to the same time the previous year.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

All right. I noticed also that during your testimony you stated that the slot reduction would not result in layoffs. Conversely, would it lead to increase in staff?

MR. TUTHILL:

The staff should remain the same.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

Is the request in reduction related to installation of table games at Mount Airy?

MR. TUTHILL:

It has absolutely nothing to do with table games.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

24 And does Mount Air perceive coming back 1 2 at a later date to request additional decreases in the 3 number of slot machines? 4 MR. TUTHILL: 5 There are no plans on the table at this 6 point. ATTORNEY DAVENPORT: 8 Does Mount Air currently have enough space in its two off-site storage areas to store these 10 200 slot machines? 11 MR. WHITBY: 12 Yes, we have room. 13 ATTORNEY DAVENPORT: 14 And what's the proposed time limit on 15 removing these machines? 16 MR. WHITBY: Actually, we would like to start on 17 Monday to remove the machines and have about an eight 18 19 week implementation plan to finish the project. 20 ATTORNEY DAVENPORT: That's all we have at this time. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Does the Board have any questions of Mount Airy? 24 Gary? 25 MR. SOJKA:

I do have a few comments or questions. 1 2 One was going to be an exceedingly small point, having 3 to do only with the clarification of language, because this is a public hearing with a transcript. And because Mount Airy has now, on several occasions, come in and asked for a reduction in slot machines, based on past history, we have to look forward and think it's conceivable that it can happen again. that be the case, I don't want to let something 10 hanging in the transcript unchallenged. I fully understand, Mr. Sklar, what you're saying about there 11 is no correlation between the actual number of slot 12 13 machines and the gross terminal revenue, but let's 14 clarify that that means that statement is only true in 15 the situation where you make a case that Mount Airy is more than saturated in slot machines. I think we 16 17 would all agree that there is some critical point in reduction beyond which that statement would no longer 18 19 be true. If you reduce to a certain point, then there 20 is going to be a direct correlation between the number 21 of machines and the amount of gross terminal revenue. Do you agree to that? 22 23 ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Absolutely.

MR. SOJKA:

24

25

Okay. So, we can't ever, in the future, 1 2 come back to this, since we've established that point. The thing that troubles me with regard to that, 3 though, is Mr. Tuthill, your comment when asked about the difference between art and science in determining the number of slot machines. And unless I misunderstand the mechanism you used in giving us your answer, you, in fact, did infer that there is some correlation, that you drop by a certain number of 10 machines, you calculated the increase in the amount of utilization. That would imply there must be some 11 correlation at least at that level; is that correct? 12

MR. TUTHILL:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Well, clearly, you don't go into this willy-nilly. And you waive as much as you can possibly waive. The art part of it is the variables and unknowns that lie ahead of you. But clearly, you try to calculate as best you possibly can to project what your expectations are going to be.

MR. SOJKA:

But that calculation would be based on an assumption correlation?

MR. TUTHILL:

It would be based on an assumption

25 correlation and, in addition to that, you also have to

take into consideration what's popular, what's not popular, what you have on the floor, and that's the art of it.

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you. And then an unrelated question that has to do, again, with the security of the storage facility. Assuming again, please correct me if I'm wrong, that these machines will be inventoried, that the numbers will be known to the Gaming Control Board, they can be identified, and just as a hypothetical, if, at some point in the future, someone wished to walk in and see those machines, they would all be there in that specific spot?

MR. WHITBY:

Yeah, that is correct. We have two locations that we store slot machines. One of them is on site, which is locked down and only accessible by slot employees, as well as under surveillance. The other one is off site, has an alarm system on it, a camera, motion detectors. The building is locked, of course. Inside, I believe the slot area is locked separately and only accessible to slot department employees. We notify the surveillance department, onsite gaming representatives whenever we go into that room and we provide an updated master list every month

of the games and where they're located at, that's correct.

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Fajt?

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. One question for Mount Airy, then one for OEC. This is unrelated to the matter before us today, but I continue to have some concerns about the Mount Airy performance. I'm not telling you anything you don't know, but where you rank among the ten casinos that are up and running in Pennsylvania right now. I understand, you know, you're not in a high population area. I kind of justify that in my mind as the reason. But we've heard from Mount Airy in the past that, you know, new marketing initiatives were taking place that a new hotel was being contemplated. You know, with the upcoming ski season that is now approaching, you know, my thoughts turn to, you know, what's happening with marketing efforts in that area. So, I quess specifically --- I don't know who wants to answer the question. Let's start with the hotel. What is the current plans for the new hotel or the expansion of

the current hotel?

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Rich and Jim are in more of the gaming operations, so I can let the Board know.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. How about marketing? Same answer?

I understand you guys aren't marketing experts, but

are there any new marketing initiatives being planned?

MR. TUTHILL:

Well, I think I can give a little bit of a brief overview. I think that your point is well taken. And we meet on a weekly basis. There are members of our marketing group in the meetings that we attend. We realize that because of our location being somewhat remote, that, in fact, folks who visit us have to make a decision to travel 30, 45 minutes to get to us. We realize that we're an event-drive type of property, and we are attempting to put this in the best place as possible in order to get people to come to Mount Airy.

The one thing that stands out, and there are several things that stand out, but one of the things that stands out about this plan is something I mentioned as we were going through the slides, and that is that a marketing option we have missed in not

1 being able to address is the high-end segment. for the property size of Mount Airy, 65 asset rooms is 3 about correct. I worked in the properties, and typically you'll have 82 to 120 high-end assets and maybe in a high-end room. And I believe 65 to 70 is about what we have for Mount Air. So, in terms of marketing to the high end, I'm relatively confident --- I hate to speak for marketing, but this will give them an opportunity to draw some revenue today for 10 that particular location, its highest and best usage on the casino floor. 11

MR. FAJT:

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. And Mr. Sklar, if you can get 14 back to us just on the status of that, that would be helpful.

Quick question for OEC, this is a very small point, that Mount Airy testified that the cost of slot machines is \$20,000. I thought that sounded high. My recollection is that slots typically cost between \$12,000 and \$15,000. Do you have any information on that?

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

No, we don't have any information on that. We have to defer to Mount Airy's calculations.

MR. FAJT:

Yeah. But if you could have our staff just get an answer for me on that, I'd appreciate it. Thank you.

MR. SOJKA:

Can I ---?

CHAIRMAN:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you. Mr. Sklar, again, I'm not sure if it's addressed, because I have a similar kind of question that Commissioner Fajt had, which is the standard --- and I know this is something that, I quess, perhaps is more useful to give you a preview of some of my questions which are like in the middle of the hearing, but to me, the notion of a --- I mean, I understand the issue here, from your perspective, is how do you increase the revenue and what's the appropriate mix. So, whether it's art, science, a mixture of that or --- whatever it is, we don't know what the role is. I am, like Commissioner Fajt, though, more interested in perhaps a better understanding of the overall strategy because your financial fitness requires you not only to have an operation that is efficient but also looks towards having a steady growth in your revenue. And I'm

pleased to see that the gross terminal revenue up to 52, but I agree with Commissioner Fajt that your performance has been an underperformance based upon what was originally presented to this Board. So, whether it's now or whether it's in the less familiar area, I just want to make sure that you're aware that I'm going to have some significant questions and like to understand from an overall strategy standpoint what you're going to do in order to maintain that financial fitness. I don't know that that requires a response, but I wanted to put that out there.

CHAIRMAN:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Anyone else on the Board? Keith?

MR. MCCALL:

A quick follow-up on Commissioner Sojka's questions. OEC, I heard you testify that this is the fifth time. Did I understand that correctly, ---

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

That's correct.

MR. MCCALL:

--- the fifth time they've asked for a reduction? What was the number, say grand opening, when they first opened their doors, of the slot machines?

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

They opened on October 27, 2007 with 1 2 2,523 slot machines. OP-2 was a removal of ten slot 3 machines. That was in November of 2008. So, that brought them down to 2,513 slots. OP-3 was in June of 2009 and was a removal of eight slot machines. was the removal of 50 slot machines in December of 2009, and that brought them down to 2,451 slot machines. It's a temporary removal of 320 slots, but I didn't calculate that. And then OP-6 was the 10 removal --- it's two petitions. There was one petition to remove 300 slots. And Attorney Sklar on 11 12 the record requested a reduction, a 150 slot reduction at that point. There's also another petition to 13 14 remove 26 slots, and that's slots that were in the 15 hallway by the elevators. And it's a concern for OEC as well as Mount Air that it's an invitation for 16 17 underage individuals access on the gaming floor and playing slots. So, at the end of OP-6 they were at 18 19 2,271 slot machines.

MR. MCCALL:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. And I'm not sure if this is to OEC or Mount Airy, the effect on all those occasions, the five occasions that you came and asked for reduction, what was the effect on the gross terminal revenues in each of those instances? Was

there an increase, decrease, stayed the same?

MR. TUTHILL:

I would have been involved with the last one, and we've had an increase in gross terminal revenue as a result of that.

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

OEC hasn't broken it down to specific time periods, but we will do that if requested.

MR. MCCALL:

I think along the lines of Commissioner Sojka, the length of time here, these reductions will not result in the increase of gross terminal revenue. We do, in fact, understand that. Your business people we were hearing maximize the investment and maximize the amount of money that you make. We have been cognizant of that as well, of these changes. No other questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Jim?

MR. GINTY:

Yeah, I just have a couple questions. I keep trying to understand the art of slot machines versus table games, so let me ask you a couple questions. That's the \$64,000 question here. Have your tax payments to the State gone up or down or

remained the same, more or less?

MR. TUTHILL:

2

3

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

Well, on the last reduction, we've seen approximately --- and that's the period of April 2010 through October 2007 versus April of 2011 through October of 2011, our tax payments have increased approximately \$700,000.

MR. GINTY:

And I wasn't referring just to slots. Ι 10 mean, would that be the table tax as well?

MR. TUTHILL:

That's slots only. I don't have the table ---.

MR. GINTY:

We know the slots were increased. Okay. 16 By the way, in the future, that would be a very nice number to know. From the staff's position as well, I 18 mean, I think that's the --- one of the cruxes of the map.

I guess the second question is I 21 understand that casinos only have to pay the slot and table game taxes, but you also pay income taxes to the State, as I understand. Is that true?

MR. TUTHILL:

I don't have any understanding of that.

MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The understanding is, if you become profitable or more profitable, the State takes a piece of that as well. So, the interest is making you more profitable.

Lastly, so I can try and better understand Mr. Sklar, do you keep statistics on operating margins on slots and operating margins on table games?

MR. TUTHILL:

We don't personally, but yes, they are 12 kept.

MR. GINTY:

Okay. Could you make that available? I'm interested to see if the operating margin for Mount Airy casinos, in general, is higher on the slot side, table side.

ATTORNEY SKLAR:

We can try to go through the exercise. It's a difficult exercise to do because you can certainly isolate the slot operation and slot personnel and table personnel and allocate those directly to each of those departments, but then you get into security, surveillance.

MR. GINTY:

I'm talking about operating revenue. I understand the allocation processes that have to take place. We probably had more than we know what to do with. But I think that could be very important to how you spent it, quite frankly. Are you making more money here or there? If you would kind of work with the staff and find that data, that would be helpful. That's all, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Anyone else from the Board? Do any of the ex-officio members have any questions?

MR. CRAIG:

Just a couple, Mr. Chairman. Again, my name is Christopher Craig. I represent Treasurer McCord. And I appreciate the points that you've made because that's the points that I think are important about the partnership between the Commonwealth and the future success of Mount Airy.

The Treasurer is the custodian of all the funds from which gaming revenue is posited as a result of this matter. Just for the information of Commissioner McCall and others that may be interested, the Treasurer's report has a trailing 12 months of historical performance of Mount Airy's slot revenue as juxtaposed on top of the win-per-unit, and all that is

probably available to anybody that would be interested.

1

2.

3

10

I have a couple questions from my personal interest about the process in which you ID'd underperforming machines. In that process were you able to discover any commonality between the underperforming machines, such as location or type of game or real versus the video machines, that kind of thing?

MR. TUTHILL:

11 Well, what we primarily find is that some of the older models of slot machine design, we're 12 looking at ITT, S2000, meaning a real machine, they've 13 14 been in service forever, basically. It's one of your 15 original manufacturers, in the way the machine works. They tend to underperform. In Pennsylvania, it seemed 16 like the video product and then the product with 17 bonuses and all the fancy video screens seem to be 18 performing much better than these older games. 19 20 we opened up, we had --- the majority of our games are these real machines. We purchased machines. 21 22 Obviously, the technology has increased over the last 23 four, four or five years since we've opened up. unfortunately, we have not purchased a lot of machines 24 25 since then, so we tend to find these real games.

it's a different combination from pennies all the way it, so it depends on your performance.

MR. CRAIG:

Mell, I'm interested to know how you view market saturation in the Pocono or at least in the northeastern region of the Commonwealth. Do you think we're at market saturation? And if so, do you believe that the Commonwealth should be able to expect future revenue growth, or do you think it's going to be plateaued in the future?

MR. TUTHILL:

That's strictly personal opinion.

Several weeks ago I appeared at a planning seminar in Scranton, and this was a similar point. I think we're going to be facing increased competition from New York, from Ohio. And I think that it's a comment on the operators in the State of Pennsylvania, in the northeast in particular. To Commissioner Sojka's point on that point of deterrence and not go behind us so that you are gleaned efficient? So that you'll be able to continue to be profitable and for people to enjoy the environment. But I think that one of the things that we all have to be cognizant of is the increasing competition from New York and Ohio.

MR. CRAIG:

What percentage of your market would you estimate is from New York or New Jersey?

MR. TUTHILL:

I couldn't quote it, but it's a very high percentage from New York and New Jersey.

MR. CRAIG:

Do you think, overall, you're prepared to respond aggressively to New York in competiveness for expansion?

MR. TUTHILL:

Yes, I do. I think this request is part of the response.

MR. CRAIG:

have --- and let me be clear, the Treasurer's Office has no objection --- at least not going to voice any objection, but I guess some of my concerns is that this really doesn't get to the root of the performance of the machines. I understand that it would improve the win-per-unit calculation, but that kind of, you know, masks the real concern, and that is gross terminal revenue. And one of the issues that popped up to me is that the slide on page 15, this is the April to July 2010, April to July 2011, appears to give the impression that over the year gross terminal

revenue has increased. But if we take a look at the 1 numbers from this fiscal year, July, August, September and October, we don't have the full number for 3 November, they've actually been a steady decrease. And I'm more concerned about --- and maybe your next hearing is a more appropriate forum to discuss it in detail, but I'm more concerned about what are the plans to reverse the gross terminal revenue trend overall.

MR. TUTHILL:

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

25

Well, there were two hearings that took place in August and October of 2011. It did not take place in 2010. Obviously, in 2011, there was a severe 14 hurricane that hit the northeast, and it impacted gross terminal revenue severely in the month of August. In October we had the snowstorm, which knocked out power and killed traffic and decreased visitation to the property on both events. think if we keep track of those both in it, it kind of normalized the data. And I'm unable to give an exact 21 number to each of those. However --- and I don't have the numbers with me today, but certainly when we get beat at our own games on a monthly basis and we look at the impact, it's very strong in the recent months. And this kind of pulled the rug out from under us in

both those natural disaster events.

MR. CRAIG:

1

2

3

11

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

Understood. And again, I'm looking at the numbers on a month-to-month basis for the last two calendar years. You know, it's either a steady trend or a slightly downward dip. Instances are going to occur in a business, but I guess I'm raising the concern that --- maybe the next hearing is the more appropriate one to discuss, but you know, the plan is 10 really to generate and to try to turn this thing around. And that, you know, the removal of slot 12 machines, I understand it provides cost savings, et cetera, but the concern from the Commonwealth's perspective is really the gross terminal revenue achieved. Thank you. I appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN:

17 Okay. Thank you, gentlemen. Oh, I'm 18 sorry. Mr. Fajt?

MR. FAJT:

Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. follow-up question. Do you own all of your slot machines versus lease?

MR. TUTHILL:

24 We do not own all of our slot machines. 25 We lease a percentage of them. And if I can follow up

to that briefly. We're constantly looking for the best product to be able to put on the floor. Part of this exercise --- and we take this extremely 3 seriously, and I think everyone understands that, but you know, is to free up capital and be able to grow capital so we can purchase units and consistently look at what our leased product or proprietary product is on a daily, weekly, monthly basis, and moving that product in and out designed to meet what the market cases are in that month, that quarter, that year. 10 11 MR. FAJT: 12 Let me go back here a little bit more. 13 Do you know the percentage of what you own versus what 14 you lease? 15 MR. WHITBY: We currently lease just under 200 units 16 17 of the total casino. 18 MR. FAJT: 19 So ten percent you lease, 90 percent you 20 own?

MR. WHITBY:

21

22

23

Correct.

MR. FAJT:

And so when you lease a machine, is it

25 for a set period of time, a year, two years, six

months, or is it monthly?

2 MR. WHITBY:

1

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

It's monthly. If the machine --- like Jimmy said, we constantly monitor performances of the leased equipment. And from an operator's standpoint, I would expect a machine that I lease to earn two-anda-half times the amount that a game I own earns.

MR. FAJT:

Okay.

MR. WHITBY:

So we constantly --- if we lease, say, 50 machines from ITT, those machines underperform, the benefit of leasing ITT's machines have something to replace it with. So, we don't normally send them back and reduce and increase our interest, we just swap them out for something new.

MR. FAJT:

So 90 percent of the machines you own, when they are non-performing, you put them in this warehouse or two warehouses that you have on the property; is that right? They're put out to pasture then?

MR. WHITBY:

Well, unfortunately, we don't have the 25 luxury of doing that. We don't have a bunch of other 1 machines to replace them with. Your options at that point are to modify or change the machine through a kit. If a machine is underperforming, you can purchase from an operator a new --- basically a new machine. But you're just changing the guts of the machine, making it's something that you hope will perform better. We don't have a bunch of machines sitting in a warehouse that we bring back when something is underperforming. We don't have the luxury of that.

MR. FAJT:

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

I thought that you had responded to Commissioner Sojka that you did have machines in a warehouse on the property.

MR. WHITBY:

The question was whether we were going to store these machines when we removed them, if I recall, in our warehouse, when these machines are removed.

MR. FAJT:

Okay. And so those, again, let's just say 90 percent of them are owned, so they will stay in 23 that warehouse then for some period of time until you sell them on a secondary market or destroy them? Ιs 25 that the way that works?

MR. WHITBY:

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

That is correct. And we will use them for parts. Some of the parts are valuable. And then --- or if the opportunity arises for some sort of trading value to purchase new equipment or just selling them outright. We would weigh our options at that point.

MR. FAJT:

Mr. Tuthill, did you want to weigh in? Ι thought I saw you over at the mic.

MR. TUTHILL:

No, sir. And Rich and I would thank you.

MR. FAJT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Anyone else? All right. Does the Office of Enforcement Counsel have any presentation they'd like to make?

ATTORNEY DAVENPORT:

No, we don't, but we would ask that if the Board grant this petition, that it be subject to the conditions as set forth in OEC's Answer. I'd also like to point out that we wish for confidentiality with respect to the report that's attached to OEC's 25 Answer.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing

proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Ryan, was

reported by me on 11/22/2011 and that I Jolynn C.

Prunoske read this transcript and that I attest that

this transcript is a true and accurate record of the

proceeding.

Jolynn C. Prunoske