### COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

IN RE: HARRAH'S CHESTER CASINO AND RACETRACK

PETITION FOR A BOARD ORDER PERMITTING

THE OFFERING OF SENIOR SECURED NOTES

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC HEARING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

Raymond S. Angeli, James B. Ginty,

Keith R. McCall, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth I. Trujillo

(via telephone); Members

Jennifer Lang, Representing Robert M.

McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, Representing Daniel P.

Meuser, Secretary of Revenue

Matthew Meals, Deputy Secretary of

Agriculture, Representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: August 18, 2011, 11:34 a.m.

Reporter: Tyler S. Rhoads

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

		4
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman Fajt 5 - 6	
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney DiGiacomo 6 - 9	
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 9 - 13	
8	PRESENTATION	
9	By Mr. Hession 13 - 18	
10	By Mr. Baumann 18 - 26	
11	QUESTIONS	
12	By Board Members 26 - 27	
13	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 27 - 33	
14	WITNESS: RICHARD O'NEIL, ESQUIRE	
15	QUESTIONS	
16	By Attorney Miller 33 - 39	
17	STATEMENT	
18	By Attorney Pitre 39 - 41	
19	QUESTIONS	
20	By Board Members 41 - 42	
21	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 43 - 45	
22		
23		
24		
25		

## PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

## CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Our final hearing this morning is
Harrah's Chester Casino & Racetrack, a Petition for a
Board Order Permitting the Offering of Senior Secured
Notes. All parties providing testimony, please come
forward and identify yourselves. As previously
mentioned, we would ask that you spell your names for
the court reporter. And also, any non-lawyers who
will be offering testimony today should stand to be
sworn in.

## ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, ---

#### CHAIRMAN:

Good morning.

# ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

18 --- members of the Board. Frank

- 19 DiGiacomo from the firm Duane Morris,
- 20 D-I-G-I-A-C-O-M-O. I have with me today from both
- 21 Chester Downs and Caesars Entertainment, to my
- 22 immediate left is Eric Hession. He's the Vice
- 23 President of Finance and the Treasurer for Caesars
- 24 Entertainment. H-E-S-S-I-O-N. Next to him is Lynne
- 25 | Hughes, VP of Legal Affairs and chief legal officer

1 for the Eastern Division of Caesars Entertainment. 2 And Ron Baumann, who is the Senior Vice President and General Manager of the Harrah's Chester Casino. 3

#### CHAIRMAN:

Now, Mr. DiGiacomo, could you please have any of the non-lawyers stand and be sworn in?

WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

22

#### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. And with that, Mr. DiGiacomo, you can begin.

## ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Bear with me for a moment.

#### CHAIRMAN:

16 Sure.

# ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you for 19 the opportunity to appear here today. And I'd be 20 remiss if I don't thank staff for the quick 21 turnaround. BIE, the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC), Financial Investigation Committee, along with 23 the Chief Counsel's Office, did a wonderful job in 24 turning this matter around when it became apparent 25 that we needed to file a petition to seek Board

7

approval.

1

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 I think more background is also 3 appropriate. Chester Downs, in addition to having its obligations under the Gaming Act and the regulation, entered into a Statement of Conditions with the Board, which sets forth and requires it to provide notification with respect to its financial status generally but also with respect to changes in debt position. We, as a licensee, have --- since we were 10 licensed by this Board, we've repeatedly done that and have continued to do so. Most recently, in May of 11 this year, we notified the Board, through Licensing, 12 13 of our intention to refinance existing debt. 14 supplemented those notifications and in July the 15 Board's request for BIE, to OEC requested that we file this present petition here today. 16

Concurrent with that, we also provided --- filed a motion for confidentiality because we provided a significant amount of detailed findings from information, which it's our position comes under the confidentiality provisions of the Gaming Act. And in fact, the OEC has joined in that motion, in connection with their submission as well.

Another issue I need to bring to the attention of the Board is because of that detailed

financial information and I think the relief we're seeking here today, there may be an implication with regard to security laws that may require us to request a closed executive session to answer those questions more specifically.

1

2

3

19

6 There are essentially two ways to tell a security under U.S. security laws. You register the security or you seek an exemption. And registrations are very rare for this type of debt offering we are 10 proposing. Almost all these types of securities proceed through the exemption process. They're more 11 12 generally known as private offerings. In order to 13 avail ourselves of that exemption, federal security 14 law and the interpretation by the FCC have placed 15 significant restrictions, ones we can publicly disclose. And those public disclosures would include 16 disclosures here today in this public hearing as well. 17 Therefore, it's customary in these types of offerings 18 to provide very limited public information. 20 provide detailed information to those private 21 qualified investors who are going to purchase the 22 offering. However, public disclosures through any 23 medium can jeopardize that exemption. I bring this up at the beginning of the presentation because I know 24 25 that the Board has, to its credit, historically gone

to great lengths to conduct these public hearings in an open manner, as required by law. With that said, under the Pennsylvania Sunshine Act and the confidentiality agreement with the Gaming Act, they allows us to strike that balance between public disclosure and --- I think protection is a requirement that we need to comply with under U.S. security laws.

I think we are prepared to proceed with our presentation that we can make public. I suspect, however, though, there may be questions from this Board, perhaps from OEC, that may require us to go to a closed executive session in order to answer those questions.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Let's do this, Mr. DiGiacomo. Why don't you proceed with your presentation, get as much information as we can under public domain. We'll then go to Enforcement Counsel, see what their questions are and whether you've answered those in the public domain, and then we'll go to the Board's questions. And if we need to have an executive session at that time, we'll have the executive session of the Board. And then we'll just continue that executive session with our other matters. After you're finished, we'll go into the other two hearings that we've had today.

So why don't you go ahead with your presentation, and then we'll go to Enforcement Counsel for their questions and presentation.

### ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

I appreciate your consideration.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman?

#### CHAIRMAN:

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Yes, Commissioner Trujillo.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

Just one question on the confidentiality 12 issue. If I can ask this preliminarily because it may 13 make this go faster. But is the request on 14 confidentiality on the petition --- as I understand the confidential petition that you're seeking to be 16 treated as confidential is the attachments to the petition, not the petition itself. Am I accurate or are you seeking for the petition itself to also be considered confidential?

# ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Commissioner Trujillo, I think to the extent that the petition made certain averments, we were also requesting that that be maintained confidential as well.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

I would just --- as you are going through 1 2 your presentation, if there's somebody there who can 3 be looking at that. Because as I --- in my review of the petition, I understand that it's not very detailed. So, I just want somebody to be able to address, you know, --- by and large, most of it could be considered to be non-confidential. But if you can just deal with that. And similarly Cyrus, on your side, I understand that the attachments have the 10 detailed information, but you don't --- I'd like you to keep as much of it on the record, personally, as 11 12 possible. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. That's all I 13 have.

## ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Mr. Chairman, just to address that, I would offer a compromise. I agree that there are portions of the petition that are more historical in nature and don't implicate the security law issues that I discussed. Perhaps a redacted form of the petition in terms of some of the more detailed requests would be more appropriate, if there's a compromise position available.

#### CHAIRMAN:

I'm looking over at our Chief Counsel and 25 Enforcement Counsel?

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

2.4

Yes, Mr. Chairman. This is Dale Miller, D-A-L-E, M-I-L-E-R, Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel for the Eastern Region. I just want to add that when we responded to the petition, and Mr. Trujillo, you are correct that it's the attachments to our response that are confidential. Those are FIE reports that are confidential. But within our response to the petition, we refer to those reports and I believe in some instances actually may quote from them. And to that extent, we've asked that our response also be confidential.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Commissioner Ginty?

#### MR. GINTY:

And not only in this instance, but in the future we should at least provide redacted. I mean, if we're filing petitions that themselves have confidential information in it, fine, I understand that and we can grant the confidentiality. But both in terms of the petition and responses that are filed, we should receive a public document that's, you know, appropriately redacted so that at least people know the nature of the matters in sum.

#### CHAIRMAN:

I agree. I think what we'd like to do is at the conclusion of the hearing; we can go into executive session if we need to do that. You can work with our lawyers, OEC and Chief Counsel to come up with a redacted version that everybody's comfortable with. But I do agree with Commissioner Ginty and Commissioner Trujillo that something needs to be on the public record and it can't be the whole petition as being a confidential document.

## ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

I understand and appreciate that, and I think it's an excellent suggestion. I would just add that, in this particular instance, since --- assuming we are able to proceed with the offering, many of those issues regarding confidentiality requests will be moot post offering. They're not necessarily --- some of the information is traditional confidential financial information, and I agree that's primarily in the attachment. However, some of the averments in the petitions may implicate the security laws that I discussed earlier and post issuance of the security will no longer an issue.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Understood. Okay. Why don't we proceed with your presentation?

# ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Thank you. I'd like to turn it over to Mr. Hession.

## MR. HESSION:

1

2

3

4

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Chairman, members of the 5 Commission and staff. Once again, thank you for giving us the opportunity to come and talk to you today. As we mentioned earlier, we wanted to provide you with some information about our financial 10 standing, as well as give you an update on the property in general and some of the activities that 11 12 we're undertaking. In particular, as we mentioned, 13 I'm not able to provide any forward-looking statements 14 or projections in terms of our financial standing, but 15 what I can do is talk about our historical performance as well as some of the metrics that I think are 16 17 important when you're considering the amount of debt 18 and interest and leverage that's on a particular property. So, with that, I'll move forward. 19

The first slide we show here is an adjusted cash flow statement. And what --- these are in millions of dollars. And we're trying to show that the property is a very substantial operation. We generate a significant amount of cash flow. You can see that the cash flows have been steadily growing in

2010 and '11, touching \$70 million. We'd expect the trend to continue, particularly with the introduction of table games. That's a very welcome result of the property. And the amount of cash flow shown here is before interest, amortization and capital. And the objective is to show that we project for the last 12 months we would have \$72 million to spend on interest, amortization and capital reinvestment in the property.

metric that we look at when we're considering certain financing is the amount of debt per EBITDA dollar. We put up some columns, and what I've shown for Chester itself here, the existing debt to EBITDA ratio.

That's 3.3 times. We consider that very reasonable and on the lower end of the scale of single property assets.

Another key metric that ---.

#### CHAIRMAN:

2.4

Can I interrupt you? Can we have copies of that? Yeah, it is very difficult to see that.

Could we either have one of your copies or two of yours and maybe from Enforcement Counsel? Thank you.

Mickey, can you grab those? Thank you. Can we start over?

#### MR. HESSION:

Absolutely.

### CHAIRMAN:

1.3

Thank you.

### MR. HESSION:

I apologize for that. Turning to --turning to slide one, as I discussed, this is a figure
that we calculated for our property itself that shows
the historical cash flow that's available for
interest, amortization, capital reinvestment in
property so far. In 2009 it's around \$50 million and
then the trailing 12 months it's close to \$70 million.

EBITDA ratio slide. On the far right-hand side it's 3.3 times for Chester. Again, that's the trailing 12 months or the last four quarters metric. We're showing some costs, and these costs are reflected based on the anticipated amount of EBITDA that would be generated per the rating. So the actual results can certainly be different in the properties as they became operational.

Turning to the next slide, another key metric that's used to evaluate the amount of financial stability a property has with respect to the amount of debt or leverage on it. It's the EBITDA to interest coverage. We're showing Chester on the left-hand side

as being on the higher end, currently at
two-and-a-half times. That means we generate
two-and-a-half times more EBITDA than we do interest
expense on a per-year basis. And once you get down to
a leverage, let's say, of one or one-and-a-half times,
then you get concerns about whether there's sufficient
capital or the ability to spend on other items besides
interest. And so when the analysts look at this
ratio, you know, we've drawn a line around
two-and-a-half times, which is very good coverage for
this metric.

Turning to the next slide, we wanted to provide some information on just the general stability of the property. You can see that we've grown gross gaming revenues throughout the history of the property, including the last trailing 12 months at \$407 million. From a net gaming revenue perspective, that's also up on a compounded annual growth rate basis. But we did have a slight decline in 2009 of 3.8 percent. And I'll also point out you can see in 2011, the trailing 12-month period, the strong impact that table games had on the operations.

The next slide, slide five, here we show the quarterly EBITDAM for the property. This is another metric of essential cash flow that's available

for use to further the property. You can see that in the first and second quarter we've improved the results significantly, up 30 percent. And then the most recent quarter is 51. Obviously, those are tremendous results and you would not expect growth rate of that type to go forward, but it does show the stability of the property. Even in the prior four-quarter period you can see that the cash flow is extremely stable and --- between \$15 million and \$20 million quarter basis.

So, with that I know it's a historical looking very high level. And as we mentioned, we'd be very happy to address more details and specifics about plans and about the financials in a private setting.

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

## MR. BAUMANN:

Good morning, Chairman. Good morning,
Commissioners. Ron Baumann, Senior Vice President and
General Manager of Harrah's Chester. It's my pleasure
today to walk you through a little bit of the property
and how we're doing and how we're thinking about the
property.

The first slide really shows the strategic report of Harrah's Chester. It is a \$400

million initial investment, of which we put in an additional \$50 million since 2007, when the property first opened. It is a converted Brownfield site, as you all know, and it is of extreme value to our organization.

Our major accomplishments if I look at it since opening were ten million visitors, 2.9 visitors this year, which is up over the previous year. We have created 1,800 jobs at Harrah's Chester, many of those going to Chester residents. We remain committed to the community. We've donated over \$1.5 million in charitable contributions. And we've also been steadfast in purchasing products and services from in Pennsylvania. Thus far this year, we've purchased about \$2.7 million from PA firms.

The other stat that's not on here we're proud of is our racing operations. We are one of the few tracks that have shown growth. We showed --- race numbers were up last year and we are up this year also. So it's something we are very, very proud of. And our partnership and operation with the PHHA, and we look forward to growing the racing community further. That relationship and activity I think that everybody is looking for is alive and well out of Chester.

We've been a good steward to the Commonwealth, providing almost \$1 million in tax revenues in various forms that are here in the state. In addition to that, we've also provided about \$9 million in annual --- through our annual contributions. We're on top of the public and state funds. It's a good partnership that we have.

From a performance standpoint, through
June --- these numbers are mainly through June, our
last reported quarter. Our net revenues are up, 15,
16 percent. We are, again, up in visitor count. From
a Harrah's --- or a Caesars' portfolio perspective,
this property is vitally important and one of the top
performers in our company. Generally speaking, we are
in the top five or the top ten in every single
category our company measures, revenue, slot revenue,
table win, poker win, EBITDA. We are one of the top
performing properties.

From a tri-state area, when we looked at a tri-state through June, we are second in the slot wins, third in table win and second in poker win. If you look at us and throw New Jersey in, they're actually fourth on --- fourth on slots, not third. So, I apologize for that error. So, the property performs significantly well whether we judge it from a

national perspective or what it represents to Harrah's 1 2 and for Caesars in our portfolio or whether we look at it in terms of the tri-state area, competitive 3 landscape. You can see we currently have about a 12-percent share if you look at it from a state perspective. And we have a 27-percent share from a local perspective. My job is to look locally. when we look locally, we consider Delaware one of our chief competitors. One unique thing about our 10 property is we have three competitors within 25 miles, Delaware, Parx being a competitor. So we've got about 11 12 a 27-percent share.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Capital planning. As I said, we have about \$450 million invested in the property. This year alone, we are tracked to put into the property about \$1.7 million. Approximately one million of that is dedicated to slot --- new slot technology, which is currently employed at the property. We were the first in the region to offer that. It is not available at all Atlantic City properties as of yet. We're one of the first to get it. Finally about \$700,000 into property improvements, from the creation of a WSOP tournament to other forms of restaurant and property maintenance. We have about \$200,000 left unspent for spending over the next two months. So we remain

steadfast in maintaining the property.

1

21

22

23

24

25

Looking forward to 2012, we are currently 2 3 in our capital planning and approval process. can tell you is that our capital ask and request will focus in on three things, one, revitalizing our restaurants, all of our restaurants. We are currently in negotiations now with bringing in different product there. Two is we are looking at expanding our parking. Our visitor count has run into a great problem, that is we don't have enough parking. And 10 it's one of those great problems to have. And then 11 three is --- it will focus again on slot technology. 12 13 We are currently on track to employ the technology you 14 see in here. They call it the GPG. We will be the 15 first to offer that in the Eastern Region. be introduced at Harrah's Chester. 16 Again, a very, 17 very important aspect to us, and we spent a lot of our time, effort and energy to think about how to grow the 18 business for the benefit of the organization and all 19 20 the stakeholders.

From a marketing perspective, we are on track this year to book a record amount of events on the property, some events such as boxing and MMA and shows. We will, by the end of the year, have somewhere north of 18 events. I think the most we

ever did was eight. We brought the World Series Poker 1 Thanks to the Board and Kevin and his 2 Tournament. team for helping us launch that from the State of 3 Pennsylvania. And in September we're going to be doing our first foray into utilizing the infield of track in some events. It will be our first test. plan on doing many more of those. Specifically next year, in the month of September, we partnered with the Racing Commission and Harness Commission to alter the 10 schedule of racing a little bit the last --- do a little something different in September, when the 11 market allows for events. 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have spent almost \$10 million on advertising since we've opened up. This year alone we will spend over \$2 million. We have a commercial running now. I believe for those of you in the east that promotes Harrah's. We continue to leverage and utilize total rewards as the number one reason why a customer visits our property. We do surveys that say why are you here, why are you with us. The number one reason is that we total rewards in a rewards program, which is the ensuing program. And we are in the process with --- waiting with bated breath with Kevin to launch slot tournaments and look forward to the approvals to come forward on that guidance from Kevin

and his team. So very aggressive in our marketing efforts.

1

2.

22

23

24

25

Again, one of our chief 3 Careers. stakeholders in Caesars is always our employees. We're proud that we have 1,800 committed employees. We offer an excellent compensation package with full benefits. Our average wage, not including benefits, from an hourly perspective is over \$10 an hour. average salary is \$55,000 an hour (sic), not including 10 benefits. It's a life-changing salary for many, many individuals. As you know, when we launched table 11 12 games, we provided free training to dealers. Many of 13 those individuals were unemployed in the State of 14 Pennsylvania. We currently have a great relationship 15 with many union partners. We employ over 700 union employees. And we've spent a lot of our time, effort 16 17 and energy thinking about and partnering with the City 18 of Chester and how can we help the city and the youth of that city share in the success of the property and 19 20 the initiatives that take place through gaming. 21 That's a big part of that we want to do.

From a community standpoint, we're proud that we contribute over \$1.5 million to various charitable organizations, some of them a one-time grant and many of them continuous. Two of them I'd

like to talk about. One is the Chester Resident 1 Scholarship Fund, which is \$400,000. We began that in 2 2008 and provide \$100,000 each year for scholarships 3 for Chester residents. So far, 105 individuals have taken advantage of that scholarship. I think that's a pretty impressive number. This year, on top of that, we have introduced Project Transition, which is a \$120,000 annual grant. And that is to help people achieve the dream of going to college. So, this is 10 dedicated to 11th and 12th graders in the City of Chester who have the academic ability to get to 11 college. And these are to give them additional skills 12 13 and competency to help them realize their dreams and 14 remain and not drop out once they get into college. 15 So we just launched that. And we also just launched our Walk to Work Program in 2000 --- late 2010, where 16 17 we provide for the family and for any individual who wants to purchase a home in Chester and work in 18 Chester. So, again, I'm very proud of where we are 19 20 from a community standpoint.

Diversity and inclusion is alive and well at Chester. Forty-six (46) percent of our staff are a minority and 43 percent are women. We have taken on a much more aggressive approach also with minority procurement, posting various vendor fairs in

21

22

23

24

25

1 Philadelphia and within the community to make sure that everybody shares in the success of Harrah's Chester.

3

4

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Again, we talked about our commitment to PA and make sure that some of the money stays home, so to speak. And we have also taken very serious our commitment to local vendors in Chester --- local, meaning Chester. And we purchased about \$350,000 worth of goods and services from the different 10 businesses in the City of Chester so far this year.

So, again, to summarize, I think the property is performing well. It is of great importance to our organization, and we are firmly committed to both the success of the property and the success of all stakeholders, employees, customers, the Commonwealth, the community, and we look forward to sharing more information.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

# ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

Nothing further at this time.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner Ginty.

## MR. GINTY:

I know there's a lot of confidential

information here, but I mean, can't you share with us what the nature of the refinancing is?

## MR. HESSION:

That's actually not allowed under the FCC rules because it would be considered a solicitation.

So, I'm not allowed to talk to you what form it's going to be in, how much it's going to be or any of the projections to support it. I apologize. But if we do that, then the FCC could rule that we're no longer exempt under the qualification. We would then have to go through a multi-month process, and we may --- may need an extended period to complete the refinancing.

# MR. GINTY:

Cyrus, do you agree with that?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

I concur.

1

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

# CHAIRMAN:

All right. Thank you for your

19 presentation. OEC, do you have questions for any of
20 the witnesses?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

Not any that --- unless we have some general questions, not any that we could ask the company may have answers.

## CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Are there any general questions, Mr. Miller?

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

1.3

Mr. Chairman, I think what the Petitioner here is trying to do is obviously ask the Board's permission to make a bond offering. And I think the main question that we would like to know --- and I must say that our position I think is quite clear through our response and our objection to this in the pleading, but I think what we would like to know and the public would like to know is what effect this will have on our Pennsylvania casino at Harrah's Chester. You testified that the casino is doing great. It's one of your top performers, and yet you want to do this refi. And I think you can state publicly why you're doing it and to what effect it will have on our casino.

## ATTORNEY DIGIACOMO:

I'm not sure we can say that publicly, certainly not completely. Let's put it that way. I would hope it wouldn't have a negative effect.

#### MR. HESSION:

Maybe I should just jump in --- this may not address your question entirely, but when we look at the portfolio of properties that we have under the

Caesars brand, the Chester property is certainly one of the outstanding performers. It's doing very well. 3 It generates a significant amount of EBITDA for our portfolio. When our owners and the potential equity holders in the company look at our portfolio, what they're looking for is a long-term ability to sustain and grow revenue. And it would not be in our best interest to put leverage on a property that would then enable or not enable us to invest appropriately in the 10 property to make sure that we're doing the right things we need and to really put strains on that 11 property from a physical plant standpoint or from a 12 marketing standpoint. So, although I can't get into 13 14 the details of the offering and the amount of proceeds 15 that we would plan to raise, what I can say is that, looking at the range of comps that we provided and 16 17 looking at our ability to service the debt and to make 18 payments, we're very confident that it won't impact our ability to reinvest in the property at all. 19

# CHAIRMAN:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Miller?

#### ATTORNEY MILLER:

That's probably the only question we have that would be subject for a public hearing at this point.

## CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Commissioner Ginty?

# MR. GINTY:

Before we go --- are we going into

executive session?

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## CHAIRMAN:

Well, no. I was going to have Mr. O'Neil tell us why certain refinancings are petitions before the Board and why certain ones are not and get into a little bit of detail of what he does to review those refinancings.

## MR. GINTY:

And if I might just --- before we go into executive session, I'd like to have our Chief Counsel testify as to what the standard of review will be on the general finances so that, you know, we all will know what the measure there is going to be?

## MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman?

# CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner Trujillo.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

Before we go over to Doug, one question I think, which I'm trying to ask it in a way that doesn't implicate the FCC concerns but I think needs

to be answered, and that is without the amount or the details of it, you know, what are the general purposes of the sources of the refinancing?

#### CHAIRMAN:

3

5

6

Can either one of you answer that question? Do you need more clarity on the question?

MR. HESSION:

I think I can --- other than --- again, 8 unfortunately, I'm not sure I feel comfortable 10 answering that in a public setting. I understand the question clearly. I'm happy to provide it. 11 I would say the one thing, you know, that I can certainly 12 13 comment on is that at the time when we put the initial 14 debt in place on the property, the economic 15 environment was significantly different. Although the last few weeks it proved to be challenging as well, it 16 17 was a different role two years ago. And the interest rates that we're paying right now is 12 and 18 three-eighths percent interest. So one of the 19 20 significant objectives of this transaction would be to 21 lower the interest rate significantly. And then what 22 I'd like to --- if we could, in a private session, get into the details obviously in terms of the magnitude, 23 the operating and what we do with the sources that we 24 25 have.

## CHAIRMAN:

1

17

18

19

22

23

I think what makes the most sense 2 Okay. here is, you know, we're dancing around all these 3 questions and answers. Let's hear from Mr. O'Neil. Give us an overview of what matters need to come before the Board on these refinancings and why certain matters do and certain matters don't. Talk a little bit about what you do when you're looking at a refinancing, both those that come before the Board and 10 those that don't. We can break for executive session, get into more detail. And then once we have executive 11 12 session, if we come to agreement as to what we can go 13 out and disclose to the public that doesn't give you 14 heartburn and helps us with transparency, we can come 15 back out and disclose those things. Sound like a game 16 plan?

# ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

It does. Thank you.

### CHAIRMAN:

20 Okay. All right. Mr. O'Neil, why don't

21 you talk to us ---?

#### ATTORNEY MILLER:

Can I ask what would be preparatory 24 questions so we can --- just to get the record 25 clarified, Mr. Chairman?

# 33 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Yes. 3 ATTORNEY MILLER: I would say, first of all, that Mr. 4 O'Neil is an attorney. He has not been sworn because he is a licensed attorney. I want to say that up front. And I would just ask him, your name --- spell your last name for the record, please. 9 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 10 Rich O-N-E-I-L. 11 ATTORNEY MILLER: 12 And what is your position with the PGCB? 13 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 14 Supervisor of the Financial 15 Investigations Unit of BIE. 16 ATTORNEY MILLER: 17 And as supervisor of the Financial Investigations Unit, the BIE, what is your job? 18 19 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 20 To review the financial aspects of all 21 the applicants and licensees on an ongoing basis. 22 ATTORNEY MILLER: 23 When a processing licensee refinances or 24 borrows funds, is it your policy and your duty and the

policy of the Financials Investigations Unit to review

25

the transactions and any documents related to the transaction?

## ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

Yes.

3

4

5

6

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

24

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

And generally, what do you look for when you do that review?

#### ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

There's a number of issues. 10 refinance is different, so we have to take a very 11 broad view on what's happening. I would first start 12 with what's the effect on our casino. How does it affect it, whether it's the debt of our casino, whether our casino is a co-borrower, things like that? That would be the starting point.

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

And did you review the documents in this particular case relating to Harrah's Chester Casino?

#### ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

I reviewed what's been made available.

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

And did you conduct that review in the 23 normal course of business, as you would normally review any financial transaction from any other slots 25 licensee?

# 35 1 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 2 Yes. 3 ATTORNEY MILLER: When you conducted that review, at the 4 end of your review, did you generate a report of your 6 findings? ATTORNEY O'NEIL: The report was based on the petition. 8 Because we don't have final documents or near final 10 documents, the report really doesn't deal with a lot of the points that we can't comment on right now. 11 12 ATTORNEY MILLER: 13 And when you --- but you did generate a 14 report; correct? 15 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 16 Yes. 17 ATTORNEY MILLER: 18 In fact, you generated two reports here; 19 correct? 20 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 21 Correct. 22 ATTORNEY MILLER: 23 And were those reports submitted as part 24 of the OEC response to the petition? 25 ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ATTORNEY MILLER: 2

And were those reports confidential?

ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

Yes.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Have you received and reviewed any additional materials since your reports were submitted?

## ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

The only additional information was the presentation that they had today.

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

And based upon that additional --- the additional information received in the presentation; did that cause you to change anything that's in any of the reports that you generated and submitted?

## ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

No.

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

Now, Mr. O'Neil, the Chairman has asked if you would explain in perhaps greater detail what you review and how you review it on a normal basis 24 when you receive financial documents from a slot 25 | machine licensee in regard to either refinancing or

borrowing funds. Could you do that, please?

# 2 ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

1

3

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Do you want me to just go ahead? I break it down into two points. One is a process, which is how the documents get to us and how we begin the review process, whether we receive notification pending transactions, whether it's a project, whether it's a public offering, the road show presentations, they have those or a straight loan, in which case it's just a new debt. We will have a meeting with BOL to discuss potential issues with the refinance, things like are there going to be new entities involved that need to be licensed. We also then set up a process so that all communications that come in are directed to the proper people that are responsible for the report or responsible for the review. We'll ask for a timeline on the offer so we know what our deadlines are, what the anticipated deadlines are. We will also request that we be kept up to date on any revisions to offer memorandums or debt agreements. And I usually ask if there's any updated information to be presented in a format which is searchable because that will speed up our process and enable us to key in on the particular items that have changed. I also ask for a clean version, which makes it much easier to look at

once we get the updated version reviewed.

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Within FIU I'll assign the project to one 3 or two persons or more, depending on the complexity, the timeline of those documents that type of thing. We begin reviewing the documents and come up with an initial outline or format of where we think we're going with this based on the information, because a lot of times, particularly with these private offerings, a lot of the terms are not available because it's very preliminary and also because we only 10 have an offer and memorandum. We don't have the final 11 12 documents yet. So we work with what we have to fill 13 in the gaps and then question if there's particular 14 items that come to our attention that we want to 15 pursue any further. So, there's a lot of communication back and forth between the licensee and 16 17 FIU.

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

In addition to reviewing all the documents, is it correct to say that you also meet personally with the slot machine licensee to answer any questions that you might have?

## ATTORNEY O'NEIL:

We do whenever we have to to ---.

# ATTORNEY MILLER:

39 Is that done? 1 2 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 3 Yes, there are meetings. 4 ATTORNEY MILLER: 5 And there were meetings in this one; 6 correct? ATTORNEY O'NEIL: Yes. 8 9 ATTORNEY MILLER: 10 And did Harrah's cooperate with you in this particular instance? 11 12 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: 13 Yes. 14 ATTORNEY MILLER: 15 Is there anything else you'd like to add, Mr. O'Neil? 16 17 ATTORNEY O'NEIL: Yeah. Once we feel confident that we 18 have a near final document and can really speak to 19 20 what the transaction is, then we'll prepare a report 21 and deal with OEC to see where we want to move with 22 this. 23 ATTORNEY PITRE: 24 I want a little bit more clarity, Mr. 25 Chairman. The process that was developed was started

when we went through the old holdings acquisition 1 2 scenario. That process called for an initial meeting internally with staff that was involved. Once an 3 internal meeting is set up, subsequent to the notification we reach out to the licensee or the applicant, whoever is involved in the financing. Wе need to bring in the financial people. We bring those people in. There's a large --- if there's a credit switch or a J.P. Morgan, we get a representative down. 10 Whoever we need to speak to we reach out to and get them at a table with us so we can discuss what's going 11 12 Within a 48-hour period we try to give them 1.3 notice as to whether or not we think they're going to 14 have to file a petition with the Board. What leads us 15 to determine whether or not a petition needs to be filed depends upon what's in the documents. 16 documents lead us to believe that our licensee ---17 18 that there could be some type of impact on our 19 licensee that we don't view as positive, then we ask 20 that person or that licensee to file a petition 21 because, quite frankly, that's above my pay grade. 22 That's a determination the Board will have to make. 23 have my opinion, but I think that it's a determination --- those determinations have to be made by the Board. 2.4 25 If it's a straight refinancing where all the terms

seem like they're better, there's no impact and there's a brace that basically fits right across the board, we usually have no issues with those. 3 for the closing documents. We monitor through the whole process, as to the communication back and forth. At any time if we see something that raises a red flag for a better use that causes us to question that we can't get an answer that we think is something that we can make a decision on, we ask them to file a petition 10 before the Board. But if it's something that it seems 11 like there is nothing questionable or everything is positive, we'll allow them to move forward and it's 12 13 followed up. We execute the closing documents, which 14 are reviewed once again to make sure it's all 15 consistent with what they've given us in the past, and then a final report is delivered to the Board at the 16 17 end of that transaction.

#### CHAIRMAN:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. That was helpful. Do any of the Board members have any questions of Cyrus or Mr. O'Neil or Mr. Miller on the general rules for what comes before the Board or not? Okay.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I just have a couple of questions.

#### CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes. Commissioner Trujillo?

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Cyrus, as I understand it then, the review depends on the decision whether or not to send it or review and approval if the --- a routine refinancing would be one in your view, as I understand it, that would not have a material change in the condition that would potentially negatively affect the financial viability of the licensee?

## ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

And so one that would not be routine would be one which has material changes or change in material, either in the terms of the financing that creates a red flag, in your view, that they need to have the attention and approval of the Board?

#### ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Which is not to necessarily say that there is a problem with the financing, but it is that the changes are significant enough that they warrant these and that you would not consider them to be

43 routine? 1 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 That's correct. 4 MR. TRUJILLO: 5 Okay. I think I understand, Mr. Chairman. CHAIRMAN: 8 Thank you, Commissioner Trujillo. don't we do this. Let's break for executive session. 10 Everybody else can go have some lunch. Yes, Commissioner Ginty? 11 12 MR. GINTY: Can we ask Doug just to go on the public 13 14 record ---? 15 CHAIRMAN: I'm sorry. Yes, absolutely. We'll have 16 17 our Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman, to go on public record as to what we are and are not allowed to review 18 in executive session and what is confidential and 19 20 non-confidential. 21 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 22 I think that might be a different 23 question than what Mr. Ginty wanted me to do. 24 MR. GINTY: 25 I'd like to be very clear on what the

standard is on our review ---.

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

And the Board's view of this matter is going to be guided by Section 1313 of the Gaming Act. And that's the section that addresses financial viability of an applicant for a license. By virtue of the statement of conditions on every licensee, they are bound to maintain the same standards of financial fitness as a licensee that they are as an applicant.

In a case such as this, with a refinancing, there's really two things for the Board to look at when you hear the details of the refinancing plan, you look at the materials that are before you. One is whether or not, in this case, Harrah's can establish by clear and convincing evidence that it has sufficient business ability and experience to create and maintain a successful and efficient operation. And number two, more importantly under Section 1313E as to their operational viability is whether they're likely to maintain a financially successful, viable and efficient business operation and will likely be able to maintain a steady level of growth and revenue to the Commonwealth.

Literally, the standards that the Board should impose upon this application I specifically ---

1 if the refinancing is approved, is Harrah's likely to 2 maintain a financially successful, viable and 3 efficient business operation; and two, will they be able to maintain a steady level of growth to the Commonwealth.

## MR. GINTY:

Thank you. Can I just go forward? That's the standard. Now, what is the proof?

## ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

It's a clear and convincing burden.

## CHAIRMAN:

12 Okay. Let's break for executive session. 13 We will have an executive session on this refinancing 14 and then go immediately into executive session on the 15 license renewal for the Washington Trotting Association and then also the Harrah's petition for 16 17 their refinancing. I'm going to guess that's ---. 18 Why don't we reconvene at 1:30? Just so everybody 19 knows that the session on this refinancing takes a 20 little longer. Obviously, it will take a little 21 longer, but let's shoot for 1:30. 22

\* \* \* \* \* \* \*

HEARING CONCLUDED AT 12:24 P.M.

25

23

24

6

9

10

11

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Fajt, was reported by me on 08/18/2011 and that I Tyler S. Rhoads read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Tyler D. Moon