# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

IN RE: HSP GAMING, LP - HOLDINGS ACQUISITION COMPANY

JOINT PETITION REGARDING EMPLOYEE ALLOCATION

PUBLIC HEARING

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, Chairman

Raymond S. Angeli, James B. Ginty,

Keith R. McCall, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth I. Trujillo; Members

Christopher Craig, Representing Robert M.

McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, Representing Daniel P.

Meuser, Secretary of Revenue

Jorge Augusto, Representing George Greig,

Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, May 11, 2011

10:07 a.m.

LOCATION: Hearing Room One

North Office Building

401 North Street

Harrisburg, PA 17120

WITNESSES: Mary Cheeks, David Patent

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

				3		
1	I N D E X					
2						
3	OPENING REMARKS					
4	By Chairman	5	-	7		
5	PRESENTATION					
6	By Attorney Sklar	7	-	14		
7	TESTIMONY					
8	By Ms. Cheeks	14	_	15		
9	By Mr. Patent	15	_	16		
10	PRESENTATION					
11	By Attorney Sklar	16	_	18		
12	TESTIMONY					
13	By Mr. Patent	18	-	21		
14	PRESENTATION					
15	By Attorney Armstrong	21	-	23		
16	QUESTIONS BY BOARD 23 - 51					
17	CLOSING REMARKS					
18	By Chairman			51		
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

								4
1		E	х н	I B	ΙΤ	S		_
2								
3						Page		Page
4	Number	Description				Offere	ed A	dmitted
5			NONE	OFFI	ERED			
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11								
12								
13								
14								
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

#### PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

#### CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, everyone. I'm Greg Fajt.

I'm the Chairman of the Gaming Control Board. I'd

like to ask everyone to please turn off any cell

phones or other personal devices they have that may

interfere with the communication systems.

Joining us today, we have Christopher
Craig representing the State Treasurer Rob McCord.
Bob Coyne, representing the Secretary of Revenue Dan
Meuser. And Jorge Augusto representing our Secretary
of Agriculture, George Greig. So thank you all for
being here today.

A quorum of the Board is present. I'd like to call today's meeting to order. As the first order of business, please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

19 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

#### CHAIRMAN:

We have three items before the Board today by the way of public hearings, which will take place prior to our scheduled public meeting. The first public hearing pertains to HSP Gaming, LP, and Holdings Acquisition Company joint petition regarding

employee allocation. Immediately following our first hearing, we'll move directly into HSP Gaming's

Petition to Modify its Gaming Floor Plan. And our third and last hearing today pertains to Keystone

Gaming Technology Petition for authorization to continue to utilize an employee's --- continue to utilize and employ certain persons as technicians.

At the conclusion of these three hearings, we'll take a recess to conduct quasi judicial deliberations before returning to conduct our regularly scheduled meeting.

Acquisition is at the table. Prior to your presentation, could all non-lawyers who will be presenting on your behalf, and also on Office of Enforcement Counsel's (OEC) behalf relating to both of your matters today, please stand and be sworn in.

Again, just non-lawyers.

19 -----

20 WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

23

24

25

21 -----

## CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. And again, just as a matter of housekeeping, I just like to ask anybody before you begin speaking today, if you can please state and

spell your name for the stenographer. And with that, Holdings Acquisition Gaming.

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning, Chairman and Commissioners. Michael Sklar, S-K-L-A-R, on behalf of Sugarhouse Casino and Rivers Casino.

What we have before you this morning is two shared service arrangements that we are seeking your approval for. The first deals with inner 10 property shared employees, so these employees will just be shared between Rivers and Sugarhouse. The second arrangement is an oversight services 13 arrangement from a company called Rush Street Gaming, and I'll get into the details of who they are. Rush Street will have an oversight arrangement with 15 16 each of Sugarhouse and Rivers.

So the inner property shared employee arrangement, the whole purpose of doing this is so that each property is able to get the benefit of a highly skilled and experienced employee that --- but for the fact that they share the costs, that other compensation benefits that take place, they wouldn't be able to each hire these employees. So for example, Mary Cheeks, who is sitting here, she's the Senior Vice President of Finance. Right now, the way we have 1 it structured --- and again, with your approval, is
2 Mary is the head of the Finance Department at
3 Sugarhouse Casino. So for purposes of the mandatory
4 position under the Regulations, Mary serves that
5 function.

But because of her background and experience, we --- the company believes that she could be --- could provide invaluable benefits for the Rivers Casino as well. So in terms of the Regulation, Bill Winkelried, who's the Director of Finance at the Rivers, he is the head of that department, and the buck stops with him in terms of the Finance Department at the Rivers. But because of Mary's background and experience, the --- there's tremendous benefit that she can bring to the Rivers.

So for example, strategic types of decision making dealing with the finance area, Mary can consult with the Rivers. There's not going to be --- the way to propose it is the indirect report from the Director of Finance to Mary, and she would essentially just be consulting and providing her advice based on her experience for the benefit of the Rivers. And she can explain a little more the --- in terms of how she divides her time, but again, for the purposes of the mandatory position under the

Regulations, she is physically located at the Sugarhouse Casino the majority of the time. That's not to say that she'll never travel to regular jobs to the rivers, but the bottom line is she's primarily located at the Sugarhouse Casino.

1

2

3

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 The second piece of this with the Rush 7 Street arrangement, the --- I think this is something that you guys are familiar with. We're not re-inventing the wheel here with the Rush Street 10 arrangement. Essentially what this --- the function 11 of Rush Street is a corporate oversight company. Now, because of the way Neil Bluhm's affiliated casinos are 12 owned, it's not a Las Vegas Sands kind of model, where 13 you have a publicly-traded company, and the 14 publicly-traded company owns each of the casino ---15 16 local casinos.

Here Neil, as you know, originally he had interest in the two Canadian casinos and now he has interest with different partners in Sugarhouse, Rivers and Riverwalk Casino in Mississippi. And the Chicago casino, which is also in the Rivers Casino, is scheduled to open in July of this year.

So the function, the whole purpose of Rush Street is Neil --- and let me, before I get into the purpose. Rush Street is owned by Neil Bluhm, and

each of his three kids have trusts. That's the ownership of Rush Street. And all those entities, individuals are licensed by the Board.

So from Neil's perspective, he --- like any corporate type of structure, he needs to protect and oversee his investments, both from a personal side, he has significant of his own family money has invested in these properties, and he needs to oversee those investments. On top of that, he has fiduciary responsibilities to the various partners in the different casinos. So again, there needs to be --- he has to have oversight over these different casino properties in order to fill his fiduciary responsibilities to the other parties.

And finally, and perhaps most importantly, as everyone knows, in the gaming business, your license is everything. That's the life blood of this business. So the fact that Neil and his family, they have interest in different jurisdictions, what's happens in one jurisdiction will absolutely impact what happens in another jurisdiction. So again, there's an absolute need for oversight from a corporate --- and even though it's not a corporation, from a corporate type of level.

So that was the whole rationale behind

creating Rush Street and proposing these types of 1 arrangements. So what does Rush Street do? 2 the function? Essentially it's strategic and 3 oversight services to each of the companies. And what 5 does that mean? It means that the company --- Rush Street is going to leverage the best practices and ensure that each of the properties are following those best practices, which is going to create --- and create deficiencies. And it's also going to ensure 10 compliance. So that is --- from a big picture, that's 11 the whole purpose of Rush Street and its function.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, it's in its infancy, Rush Street, and the road, you know, there is certainly a lot of different things that Rush Street can do, which you see with a Las Vegas Sands with the Harrah's, where it's more formalized. So for example, purchasing. Right now, there's consulting that's done at the Rush Street level, and each of the purchasing directors at each of the properties, their consultation, and they're able to leverage the scale that they have, but it's not a formal kind of arrangement where purchasing is done at the corporate level. It's still done at the local level, but they're beginning to try to leverage the scale that they have, and it may become a more normal type of arrangement where things are going

to happen at the Rush Street level, as opposed to each of the property levels. But that's down the road.

You know, another example will be reward card. That's a possibility that they'll centralize the rewards program at each of the casinos. And you know, like that total reward at Harrah's, patrons will be able to, you know, generate credits or points at one --- Philadelphia and use them out in Chicago.

I think there's going to be significant benefits to being able to have a corporate type of structure.

Employee training, and then also to standardize the purchasing orders, for example, contracts. So for all those things, again, it's to create the efficiencies and short compliance and ensure that the best practices are employed across the platform of properties.

So right now, I'm going to move back to the inner property shared employee arrangement. Right now we're requesting Board approval for --- there's seven positions that are encompassed right now.

Senior Vice President of Finance, Director of Planning and Analysis, Director of Marketing Analysis, Senior Internal Auditor, Vice President of Customer Service, Vice President of Compliance and Director of

Procurement and Supplier Diversity. So what we're 1 proposing is that each of these --- each of the 2 individuals in each of the positions will be --- from 3 an administrative point of view, will be employed by 5 one particular property, but also provide services to 6 the other property. So from the administrative point of view --- Mary Cheeks, for example, she is a Sugarhouse employee, she receives one paycheck and her benefits come from Sugarhouse. Rivers will reimburse 10 Sugarhouse for the time that Mary spends on Rivers' 11 matters.

So we work with staff to explain how the reporting lines and the organizational charts will be structured with them. I don't think that there's been any problems, but before we formalized anything we, obviously, wanted to get the Board's consent before we moved forward on the shared employee side.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, one of the things that I want to address with the shared employees. There is no --there's no loss of employees with this arrangement.

And let me explain, the --- again, we're going to use Mary as an example. Mary is the Vice President --Senior Vice President of Finance at Sugarhouse.

Underneath her there is a Director of Compliance. If Mary wasn't a shared employee, there would not be a

Senior Vice President of Finance. So I think, if
anything, what we've done with this arrangement, is
create additional jobs, because it has allowed
Sugarhouse to bring on --- additional experienced
personnel on, and they're able to defray the cost. So
I think by any analysis, this is a net addition of
jobs.

And Mary can get into a little more detail in terms of the benefits if some of these shared employees that we're proposing worked directly under Mary. She can give a little additional flavor for exactly what shared employees are doing and the benefits.

## MS. CHEEKS:

Good morning. Mary Cheeks, C-H-E-E-K-S. With the interest property shared service, one of our main goals is to determine and identify the best demonstrative practice. We have done so in procurement in our gaming audit process, and many, many other examples. So the key is to quickly identify best demonstrative practices and implement them. This gives us ability to maximize our revenue where it's most efficient and maximize our jobs with our service goals.

I'd also ask David to add into that,

because he's very familiar with it.

## MR. PATENT:

Good morning. David Patent, P-A-T-E-N-T, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. To get the points that Michael and Mary were discussing, from a job standpoint, these are not jobs that would exist if we're not able to share resources, partly because just from the standpoint of how much work does each property generate, it's probably not worthy of a full-time position, but since we have multiple properties it makes sense to employee these talented people.

When we think about the work that they're doing and the value they're providing, let's keep in mind, this starts out as a cost, because obviously there's a salary and benefits to be provided, they provide insights to drive enhanced service, and we know the enhanced service leads to more customers coming into the casino and more revenues and also provide very valuable insights on our property marketing and other revenue driving aspects of slot machine performance, table gaming performance, et cetera, which again allows us to drive more revenue to the casino, which provides more taxes benefits to the State of --- to the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

So it's a win/win from our standpoint in that we get to provide better practices and we think that Pennsylvania benefits as well, both from a job standpoint and also tax revenues.

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Basically, before I move on to Rush
Street, there was a little confusion when we were
going back and forth with the staff, and I just want
to make sure the Board understands. The position of a
Senior Internal Auditor, who we're proposing to be a
shared employee, that is not the Department head.
That's required under the Regulations. The Senior
Internal Auditor shared employee would have a direct
report to an internal audit manager, who is the
department head that services the mandatory position
under the Regulations.

The Rush Street Gaming, again, is to provide oversight services to the Neil Bluhm affiliated casinos. So that's Rivers Casino, Pittsburgh Rivers --- soon to be Rivers Casino. Chicago, Sugarhouse Casino and Riverwalk Casino in Mississippi. There are --- the officers of Rush Street are Neil Blugh, who's the chairman; Greg Carlin is the Chief Executive Officer. David Patent is the Chief Operating Officer. Suzanne Trout is the Chief

Marketing Officer. Joe Scibetta is the Director of Service and Operations, and Michael Bowman is the Vice President of Strategic Planning and Operations.

Now, the way we have it structured is we also wanted each of the employees, not Neil --- well, Greg is the CEO of each of the --- Rivers and Sugarhouse. But each of the other employees, we were --- for a few reasons, which I'll get into, we wanted them to be employees of each property as well. So for example, David Patent is not only the CEO of Rush Street, he's also the COO of Sugarhouse and Rivers. And the reason why we did that was one, from an internal point of view, we think that given the role and the responsibilities that Rush Street employees are going to have, they should be licensed at the highest level. So all of those individuals have --- are either licensed already or have submitted their applications and have temporary credentials.

Secondly, at the property level, property level employee, we didn't want there to be any kind of confusion as to, well, can I take direction from David Patent, or do I have to --- at Sugarhouse, do I have to only take it from Wendy? So to eliminate any possible confusion, okay, let's implement --- put them into the Sugarhouse organization, and that way we'll

have clear reporting lines that everyone will understand exactly whose responsibility is what and who reports to who, and who takes direction. that's the best way to address and foreclose any potential problems in that area.

And David, why don't you explain in a little more detail exactly the oversight services that Rush Street is providing to the various properties?

## MR. PATENT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Sure. From the start we talked about this with the shared employees between the two The Rush Street employees were overheads properties. as far as the properties are concerned, in that our salaries and benefits are reimbursed, there's no markup, there's no profit that goes to anybody, it's purely a pass-through cost based on how much time we allocate to different properties. So there's no reason for me to exist, there's no reason for Suzanne to exist or any of the Rush Street employees to exist, unless we can be adding more value than we cost to the company.

So, that's really the starting point. 23 need to be providing insights, we need to be providing value to Neil and to Greg to the assets in order for us to be justified. And we believe that that's

definitely the case, and that's why we want to proceed 1 2 with this arrangement. So, the people who work at Rush Street are all folks who are experienced in 3 various gaming markets, have anywhere from 8 to 25 5 years of experience in our areas of expertise. And we work very closely with the properties collaboratively to come up with better marketing strategies, better operational strategies, better service strategies to help the properties have better results. And I think, 10 you know, if you look --- especially if you look at 11 Pittsburgh, when we started getting involved with the Pittsburgh property back in the fall of 2009, we saw 12 some pretty strong results that came out of the 13 insights of some of the answers we came up with while 14 15 looking at the property. We also helped with finding 16 the right talent. We want to be sure we have the best 17 people in all the key positions at each property. 18 That's been a very important part of your function. 19 We work well with the property sharing 20 managers. We work well with the, you know, shared 21 employees, such as Marian and the other folks that 22 we're proposing to between Sugarhouse and Rivers. we think it's been a very good arrangement as far as 23 Neil's concerned, because to Michael's point, you 24 25 know, compliance and keeping that license are

critical. And one of things we're able to do is 1 2 understand if there's an issue at one property, how do we make sure it doesn't happen at another property. 3 We can get ahead of things. If you don't have someone 4 5 with that visibility of the properties, you run up a 6 significant risk that there is a compliance issue or something that's done sideways to the property that's not going to get vetted, it's not going to get aired out. And you can run similar risks at your other 10 So, again, we think it's been very properties. 11 beneficial. It's a very transparent relationship, very straightforward on how the costs are allocated, 12 13 not a lot of mystery to it. And you know, the only real difference between how we're doing it with Neil 14 is we have --- instead of a corporate structure, such 15 16 as they have at Harrah's or Las Vegas Sands and other companies, each of Neil's properties are individually 17 18 own, because of the different partnership agreements. 19 But from a functional standpoint, it's no different 20 than other arrangements that exist in the industry.

#### MR. MCCALL:

21

22

23

24

25

How is your time over at Rush Street in place? How do you determine, you know, I am going to be at Sugarhouse next week or Chicago the week after? How is that ---?

## MR. PATENT:

1

16

19

20

21

22

Well, it's often driven by hearings and 2 So, for example, I'm here today just to 3 meetings. talk about this. But without being too glib, it's really need based, based on, you know, where the 5 6 activities are. So, when in the early days of the Rivers opening, obviously we're spending a lot more time in Pittsburgh. As the Sugarhouse gets --- you know, got ready to open, we devoted more time to 10 helping Wendy and her team. Now, the Chicago is 11 getting close to opening, a lot more time and attention is being spent on Chicago. So, it's really 12 based on, you know, consultations with Greg and 13 occasionally with Neil, although not so much Neil. 14 But it's --- it's really driven by business. 15

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

That's all we have. Available to answer any questions you may have.

## CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. We'll now hear from Enforcement Counsel.

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

James Armstrong, OEC. Chairman and
Commissioners. As we said in our Answer to the
Petition, this is a matter for ---. We would be ---.

## CHAIRMAN:

Come up close to the microphone and speak up ---

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

We're requesting that ---.

## CHAIRMAN:

--- and everybody in the table. This room is tough to hear. So, if you could please speak up a little bit and speak into the microphone.

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

We would defer to the Board's discretion on this on whether or not to approve this petition for major business relationships through the properties including Rush Street. We're also recommending that any approval would be an exception basis because we're not --- they're not in this petition. You're actually approving their property, the reallocation schedule really for agreements between the individual casinos at Rush Street. Simply approving of the concepts that they're utilizing at Rush Street as its other site comprising of Ebony and Louise (phonetic) that are with Sugarhouse and Rivers have dual responsibilities to both properties and compensate one another, you know, for what those services are. But they do not actually approve only the agreements that they have

reached between one another. It's simply that you're approving --- they're giving their approval to afford this arrangement that they believe is going to be beneficial in a business sense. If you do grant approval to this petition, we would ask --- we've listed six conditions that we believe should be part --- to both casinos and Rush Street complied with them as part of permission to grant them permission to have implemented this shared employees allocation schedule.

## CHAIRMAN:

Does the Board have any questions?

#### MR. GINTY:

Yes. This is for OEC. What are we trying to protect? Why do you care how they structure their management?

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Every business is in business for profit. It's to cut costs and things of that nature. One could argue that maybe there aren't a loss of jobs here because part of the motivation for them --- for the Rush Street would be sharing employees is to cut costs and there also arguments it's more efficient. We've heard testimony here this morning that it's actually going to increase employment.

#### MR. GINTY:

Well, there's got to be something more than just, you know, maybe losing a couple of employees. I mean, that's just ---.

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

If I may? Dale Miller, M-I-L-L-E-R. I'm the Deputy Enforcement Chief Counsel for the Eastern Region. I think one of the things hat we were concerned with initially was whether or not either one of these casinos would be shortchanged by having an employee shared by another casino. Whether there might be some conflict of interest between the casinos where one employee is representing one side of the state and also representing another side of the state.

The other thing we were concerned with initially was whether or not there would be somebody, especially in the operational area, onsite at each casino when important decisions had to be made.

They're sharing employees and the employee that's being shared is in Pittsburgh and an important decision has to be made in Philadelphia, will there be somebody onsite who can make that decision. Say all the lights go out and you have to make a decision, do we turn on the generator, that sort of thing. Our regulations and their internal control require that

1 certain employees be onsite and able to make those decisions. Throughout this process, and they filed this petition back in December, we've had meetings with HSP and we've voiced those concerns. And we've been assured and I think we're satisfied at this point that they've answered that call. That there will be people onsite who can make those kind of decisions for each casino. Even though the employees are shared, in each, there's oversight.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the public interest here is to make sure that neither casino gets shortchanged by this. And we don't think at this point that that would happen. Any internal controls that have to be modified or changed, will be done so. And we want to make sure that that happens. I believe our conditions require that they provide us with updated organizational charts and job descriptions and certain other things. We have our Internal Controls Manager here, Peggy Gustafson, who has been working with us and with the casinos to make sure that all our questions are answered. We think at this point that they have been, such as the conditions that we recommend the Board impose on this.

## MR. GINTY:

That doesn't actually answer. Michael,

want a take a shot at that same question?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Sure. I think that the --- what it comes down to, yes, it's absolutely in the public interest because it comes back to ensuring compliance. And I think that this arrangement or both of these arrangements will ensure that the --- there is highly-skilled personnel in place and that the best practices are implemented across the board, across each property. And I think that will benefit the state. You know, obviously it will benefit the company, but I think it absolutely will transfer over and be beneficial to the state.

## MR. GINTY:

Well, I guess --- and I think that is what we have to be concerned with is the level of supervision. You know, I spend a lot of time in industry and having been trying to do best practices some day. I spent a lifetime on best practices. I'm not sure that that was in the best interest of ---. But in any event, we are available, we'll look into the supervision question. Right now we're satisfied. We will look, you know, it will be part of the compliance --- what do we call those things?

Internal controls.

## MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

4

22

23

24

25

Internal controls.

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

5 Yeah. Mr. Ginty, I can tell you more 6 specifically we've had meetings with Sugarhouse and Rivers. And some of those meetings were pretty vocal, I'll be honest with you. We had some concerns that we felt pretty strongly about. And the internal controls issues were one and I think we've resolved them at 10 11 this point. But just to make sure that they stay resolved, we're asking as part of the conditions that 12 13 quarterly reports are filed, and that we be kept up to 14 date with what's going on. And we're not putting a time limit on those quarterly reports. But I think at 15 16 some point, we think that they're no longer necessary, 17 we'll ask that the Board rescind on them. However, we 18 want to make sure that we stay on top of this, because 19 it is a matter of first impression in this Commonwealth. And we intend to monitor it as closely 20 21 as we can.

## MR. GINTY:

And I assume there is a Shared Services Agreement, a formal Shared Services Agreement?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

28

Yes.

2

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

22

## MR. GINTY:

Okay. And has that been provided to the

staff? 4

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Yes, it has.

## ATTORNEY MILLER:

It has, yes.

## MR. GINTY:

Nothing further.

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

I have one thing. The first impression, I really think that it's not. I think that this is 13 14 the same type of arrangement that you see all over the country with, you know, Harrah's or Las Vegas Sands. 15 16 Yes, it is different because of the ownership structure of the Neil Bluhm affiliated casinos. 17 18 in concept, it's really identical to what these other, you know, publically traded casino companies do. 20 know, it's the same purpose that we're trying to 21 implement here.

## ATTORNEY ARMSTRONG:

Chairman, if I may? First impressions 23 --- it's a first impression here in Pennsylvania. The 24 25 difference is Sugarhouse has only two casinos. So,

common ownership is what we meant by first impression.

## CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Commissioner McCall?

#### MR. MCCALL:

I think most of my questions have been answered. But just on your last point when you say that there is a Shared Service Agreement in place, but you're still maintaining an employer/employee relationship with all of the listed property employees as well as Rush Street employees; correct? There is an employer/employee relationship with all of the listed individuals?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Correct.

## MR. MCCALL:

Are you currently providing these services elsewhere or licensed to Mississippi? I know the Illinois casino is not up and running. But you have established Rush in October of 2009. Are you providing these services to other facilities or are you licensed in other jurisdictions to provide these services? Because you listed here in your filing that you're also providing services for not only Sugarhouse but also Riverwalk in Mississippi as well as the Rivers Chicago. So, are you currently licensed to

provide those services in those jurisdictions?

## MR. PATENT:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Let me answer it this way, Commissioner McCall. I have been found suitable in Mississippi and I am licensed. We have other employees who are --- either have been found suitable or are in the process of being found suitable. Rush Street is up for discussion similar to this forum here in Mississippi. And we're in the same process in Illinois as well.

## MR. MCCALL:

So, you're not providing those services at this point, but you're in the same process as you're in right now?

## MR. PATENT:

We're in the same process. We're still actively engaged with our properties in Mississippi Illinois similar to how we are here.

## MR. MCCALL:

Thank you.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sjoka?

## MR. SOJKA:

Yes. Just a few questions and please
pardon my naiveté here, because this is not my field.
I'm trying to better understand the actual physicality

of Rush Street. I understand how it exists on paper and how people have --- it's kind of brokers people's time. Is there a physical entity to Rush Street? Is there a dedicated suite of offices, for example?

## MR. PATENT:

1

2

3

5

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 Not really right now. Actually I 7 personally don't have an office. We are establishing office space in Chicago right close to where our casino is going to be. We've basically been, you 10 know, on the road traveling with, you know, cell 11 phones or borrowing off somebody else and visiting office space in downtown Chicago. But there is, you 12 13 know, physical building that Rush Street rents to get office space. Although, that is something we're in 14 the middle of, you know, doing so that we have, you 15 16 know, a place to ---.

#### MR. SOJKA:

I'm trying to look forward in this
because as I understand it, it clearly does seem to
have a parallel with the sort of management structures
of multi-site casino operating corporations. And I
understand why it has to be different because of the
ownership situations at each of the casinos in which
Mr. Bluhm is involved. But I'm trying to understand
that a little better. In the future, if there are

more casinos that this group puts online, is it likely
that persons that, for example, have a role like Ms.

Cheeks has, that they will move solely and completely
into Rush Street and not be shared, but will provide
the same services but it will be out of the corporate
central facility?

## MR. PATENT:

7

25

8 That's a great question. I think --- and just a little --- I used to be both a property 10 employee within the Harrah's organization and a 11 corporate employee within the Harrah's organization. So, it's a little bit more familiar to me how this 12 13 works, so I do want to act quick and explain things 14 for folks that haven't had the experience. With regard to Rush Street, as we --- and we would love to 15 16 be able to say that we're going to add assets, you 17 know, to the portfolio over time. If we were to open 18 a casino in another jurisdiction tomorrow, my said 19 responsibilities would grow and include those casinos. They're very similar to, you know, when I first 20 21 started and we just had the Riverwalk out in Vicksburg 22 and the Rivers in Pittsburgh. Sugarhouse hadn't opened up yet and we hadn't even broken ground yet on 23 the Illinois casino. 24

With regard to the dual properties in

Pennsylvania, I think the answer is no except to the
extent that we decided that, hey, we would love to
have Mary become a corporate resource for Rush Street.
And that's a chance for Mary to have career growth.
We would attempt to do that. We would create a, you
know, corporate finance position for Mary. And then
we would need to backfill the position that she
currently occupies, because there is significant value
that Mary fills in that role.

## MR. SOJKA:

And those corporate digs then would be in Chicago? That is the home base for Rush Street?

## MR. PATENT:

Yes, that is correct.

## MR. SOJKA:

Okay. So, if that entity grows, we're not going to see job growth specifically in Pennsylvania because of Rush Street? But you're saying there won't be serious job loss because of it?

#### MR. PATENT:

You certainly wouldn't experience job

loss. And from the Rush Street perspective, unlikely
that you'd see job growth, except to the extent that
there are regional positions that we may create over
time. But that's --- you know, that's hard to

speculate.

# MR. SOJKA:

In the immediate phase, where you are right now, with the assumption maybe Rush Street will grow with more licensed casinos out online that's it's involved with, isn't the dilution of talent and experience going to be a problem for the two Pennsylvania casinos if, for example, there's a difficulty in getting this child up and running and you really need to bring your intellectual and experiencial horsepower on that project, are the Pennsylvania casinos going to suffer for lack of that oversight because you have a problem somewhere else?

## MR. PATENT:

I would say that if we believed that was going to become a long-term structural problem, we would address that to make sure that the Pennsylvania properties did not suffer. But in the short term during the week that we open in Chicago, I'm going to be physically in Chicago and it's hard to imagine I'm going to be spending a lot of time in Pennsylvania during that first week, unless there's an emergency. And hopefully, there's not an emergency. So, in all honesty, we have to make decisions about how to allocate our time. I mean, we only have a certain

1 number of hours that exist in a day and only a certain 2 number of places we can be at one point. But we take our responsibilities to provide quality services to 3 all the casinos very seriously. And if we start getting jammed up and can't offer that --- first of 5 all, we do have multiple employees at Rush Street. So, to the extent that I can't have time, Greg often fills in and makes that trip out. Or if Greg's busy, then I'll fill in and make the trip out, whether it's 10 to a meeting or a hearing or something like that. But 11 if it became a structural issue where I'm out for the next 90 days where we're going to have a problem here, 12 13 we would figure out a way to allocate resources to 14 make sure. Because you know, each casino is virtually 15 equally important.

## MR. SOJKA:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In which case then, it would not be done like any other over-reaching corporate structure?

## MR. PATENT:

I would agree with that.

## MR. SOJKA:

That people have to get other resources?

That's emphasizing. I would just like to make one observation and ask one quick question in closing.

And that is, we've now seen two entities coming from

this group named for thoroughfares in Chicago. We've seen Walnut Street and we've seen Rush Street. Can I make a request that you never come to us with a Wacker Drive? That is it for me.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

I feel like I'm missing something. Is this on? I feel like I'm missing something and I'm not sure what it is. If the seven employees, I guess one of which is to be hired, plus the other four, if we deny the request, will those seven plus four employees then be fired tomorrow?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

I think --- David can chime in. But I think that there would be --- the company would have to look closely at --- to see how to reorganize the employee structure. I don't think that everyone would stay.

## MR. TRUJILLO:

And these employees, as I understand the testimony, have already been providing, in effect, shared services? As I heard the testimony, time being spent between the Rivers and Sugarhouse. So, what you're requesting permission for is already occurring; has it not?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

The answer is --- in discussions with staff in terms of the shared employees, we --- I think Dale said he started these discussions back in late --- 2009?

### ATTORNEY MILLER:

# ATTORNEY SKLAR:

'10, 2010. And we requested the ability to begin having these employees --- you know, assuming that they had filed applications and were properly credentialed, to begin providing services. But the --- everyone recognized that, listen, this has to go before the Board. This is not a --- this is a very interim type of thing that you guys can do. But you're going to have to bring it before the Board and the Board is going to have officially make the call.

### MR. TRUJILLO:

I take that as a yes then?

# ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Yes.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

And I don't disagree with the observation that, you know, for whatever purposes the business owners runs, whatever they do, I think that the one safety here though is that you also have --- you're

1 not a large publically traded company with your four or five subsidiary companies these are separate Licensees and each of them have independent 3 responsibilities and so where we end up and I don't 5 forecast into the future, but it sounds to me like one 6 of the next steps will be some sort of consolidation and one of the great arguments today over that consolidation will be, gee, we're already sharing a whole bunch of employees that have been very high 10 levels anyway. And so I'm a little --- I'm not saying 11 skeptical about the wisdom of doing it from a business purposes --- for the business purposes, but it strikes 12 me as kind of odd. And so I --- so I quess I still 13 14 feel that there's something else going on here that I'm now aware of. So, is there anything else going on 15 16 here that I'm not aware of? Because if it looks, walks and talks like a duck, usually it's a duck but 17 18 it's not always a duck. So, is that something you can 19 fill me in on? You know, what's the strategic purpose and is it because you want to have Rush Street become 20 21 the gaming company ultimately? Is it the desire to 22 centralize in Chicago? I mean, what's the overarching corporate goal of what's going on here? 23

### MR. PATENT:

24

25

I'll speak to that, Commissioner

Trujillo. And let me just --- before I give you a 1 2 substantive answer, just step back for a second with the caveat, Commissioner Sojka to your question. 3 Just keep in mind that we're really --- we're the oversight 5 organization. We do not get involved in the day-to-day operations of the casino. And keep in mind, too, that there's not a single position within Rush Street that replaces any property job. All those property jobs and all those key positions in the daily 10 decisions, those positions would exist whether we 11 exist or not. And we're not there to take the place 12 of that. And so to your ---.

### MR. TRUJILLO:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yeah, but Rush Street is not novelty (phonetic).

### MR. PATENT:

That is correct. We're the oversight on it. So, the overarching strategic goal or Rush Street is to help the collective properties and the individual properties, because there really is no conflict between the operation of each property. Each property, they're in different jurisdictions. They don't compete for customers. Is to maximize the collective return of those properties. So, driving revenue. And you know, the word efficiencies has sort

of become almost a four-letter word these days because 1 2 it's often seen as a code for job reductions, which in our case, it is not the case. This is not a --- as we 3 said, these positions are neutral to add it from the 5 standpoint of overall jobs. But it is really to take the collective wisdom and experience of people who have significant operational and strategic experience across a number of jurisdictions over a number of years and helping the properties manage through and 10 provide our insights and wisdom, hopefully, to improve 11 the properties' operations and ultimately the financial results of those properties. And nothing 12 more than that. I mean, Neil's got to figure out if 13 Neil needs a reason to spend the money he's spending 14 to have this around him, obviously we believe that we 15 16 provide that reason. And so does Neil and so did the 17 local partners and others who are involved in the 18 casino operations. But it's really nothing other than 19 that.

#### MR. TRUJILLO:

20

21

22

23

24

25

One last question I think, unless ---. I read, I think, in our clippings that there was some dispute between some of the local partners in Philadelphia and Sugarhouse and --- or I guess Mr. Bluhm, I'm not exactly sure how I want to phrase this.

1 Is there any difference in opinion on the wisdom of this proposal between you and Mr. Bluhm and his local partners?

### MR. PATENT:

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is not. The dispute between the local partners and high paying gaming has to do with whether there are super majority that would be required for approval of an expansion of the casino.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

So it's limited to that issue?

### MR. PATENT:

They're very unrelated to this issue.

### MR. TRUJILLO:

I have no further questions.

### CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Moscato?

### MR. PATENT:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My questions tend to run down where my colleagues, Mr. McCall and Commissioner Sojka, were going. And I understand the over-reaching concept of Rush Street. Do you anticipate using any of the property employees at any of your casinos outside of Pennsylvania? I'm not sure who wants to answer that one.

#### MR. PATENT:

I'll answer it. So I just want to make sure I understand the question. Would we take a property employee from Sugarhouse and use them for the other property? Is that ---?

### MR. MOSCATO:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

21

23

24

25

### MR. PATENT:

In the long term, no. In the short term, in order to help with the opening, we have reached out to the general managers, and Wendy's familiar with this to say, hey, can we get some, you know, some slot techs to, you know, help with the opening, help with training people who have experience, because they know 13 the system. And then they'll come back to their property jobs. There are situations where there's some employees who would like to relocate to Chicago who are currently working at one of our other casinos. 17 If they want that opportunity, and they prove that they're worthy of that opportunity, they will have the opportunity potentially to move to another casino. 20 And that position would be backfilled at that their 22 home casino.

### MR. MOSCATO:

Are you more specifically referencing these seven property employees listed in your filing here?

### MR. PATENT:

You're referring to the shared employees?

MR. MOSCATO:

Yes.

# MR. PATENT:

Mo, we don't anticipate --- we have meetings, we have to get-togethers every quarter where each property comes and shares their results and talk about what they're going to be doing in the future and those shared property employees, some number of those are usually present at those meetings. And we get together to share insights about the business. But in terms of actually spending meaningful time working for another property, that is not part of the plan. We don't foresee that happening.

### MR. MOSCATO:

Okay. Thank you. And my last question, and I think perhaps you may have answered this and I may have missed it. If I did, I apologize. OEC's concern that a shared employee may be at one casino when a decision needs to be made at another casino, what safeguards do you have in place that would ease their concerns?

#### MR. PATENT:

1 The safequards we have in place and I think we discussed these with OEC and have satisfied 2 them, is that if you look at --- if you look at the 3 seven employees in the areas that they oversee and are 4 5 responsible for, they're not the key decision maker about should we turn on the generator, should we evict Those employees are full-time this customer. employees there. None of those roles are shared. Wе have shift managers, supervisors, chief engineers, 10 general managers who make those decisions every day. 11 Those are not the kind of positions that are shared. These are more planning analysis function that looks 12 at results of the table game business and can provide 13 14 insights as to how are we doing in this --- you know, 15 with this segment of customers. Mary provides 16 strategic oversight on the finance front, but there is a key finance person who is the person, as Michael 17 18 said, for whom the buck stops. So, the answer is I 19 think that's how we satisfied those concerns.

### ATTORNEY SKLAR:

20

21

22

23

24

25

And just let me add one thing. To the extent that Mary --- she's the head of the finance department in Sugarhouse. To the extent that she's out in Pittsburgh at the Rivers in her capacity providing strategic planning whenever out there, I

think that she's certainly available by phone, by video conference. It's an hour flight back. You know, if there was anything, you know, that was in the emergent --- emergency type situation, she would absolutely be able to drop anything that she was doing at the Rivers and address what was going on at Sugarhouse.

### MR. MOSCATO:

Thank you very much. Cyrus, do you want to weigh in?

### ATTORNEY PITRE:

Right. The mandatory positions that we require, we want to make sure those positions remain filled. And the day-to-day discretionary decisions that have to be made at the casino, we want to make sure those positions remain filled. That was the whole purpose of us getting involved with this. We're satisfied. That's why we put the conditions in place. That's why we wanted to make sure the internal controls were reflected with that, and that's the controls that we --- those are the concerns we had. And to add, you talked about generators. The generators come on automatically, nobody has to do that. At least they should come on automatically.

# CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

### MR. SOJKA:

1

2

24

25

3 Yeah, one more just to help me understand I think all of us clearly understand 4 again. 5 over-reaching corporate structure to grow in 6 different way. If the Dunder Mifflin Paper Company wants to open a new branch in Des Plaines, Illinois, we know what that means, they open it and it could be a franchise, any number of things. There are 10 similarities to, I think where you're over-reaching 11 corporate structure is going or service providing entity is going, Rush Street. But I want to know is 12 it conceivable with these different type of ownership 13 14 situations, that maybe down the road in Indiana, this group that puts together casinos puts together a 15 16 casino and says, now one of the benefits we have, of course, is we have this over-reaching best practices 17 18 advisory group called Rush Street, then the owners of 19 that, the people will say, no, thank you. Can they do that? Can they say we're playing one level but we're 20 21 not going to play at another? We want to have our own 22 separate way operating? Would you tolerate such a 23 thing?

### MR. PATENT:

That's a another great question. Hard to

speculate. But there is a bit of an analog with regard to the Falls Casino in Canada, which is a casino that Neil owns. They have a management company that manages and oversees that casino and Rush Street has no involvement. That's really a negotiation between the partners.

### MR. SOJKA:

Thank you.

### CHAIRMAN:

I have a couple of questions. First, to OEC --- we had talked --- initially there was some questions from Board members about job losses and I know Mr. Sklar and Mr. Patent both weighed in on that. I want to ask you specifically, the structure that they are employee, shared employee structure in the Shared Services Agreement, you know what our other casinos look like in Pennsylvania. Is the staffing model of this shared arrangement adequate compared to other staffing models of the Pennsylvania casinos?

#### ATTORNEY PITRE:

Based upon our review, it is adequate.

What I think will happen if we wouldn't approve this concept today, then they're not getting rid of Mary.

They're going to get rid of the person below Mary, because Mary's got experience. They're not going to

get rid of Dave. They're going to get rid of someone else, because these are the people with experience.

They have filled those positions below these individuals, so that these individuals have oversight over the individuals at each of the properties.

#### CHAIRMAN:

A question probably for you, Dave. The Rust Street employees, these shared employees, do you guys keep timesheets? Is that how you allocate your time? I noticed no component that is the direct cost. How do you allocate your direct costs between Rivers and Sugarhouse and Des Plaines and the one in Mississippi?

### MR. PATENT:

That's exactly how I do it. There's a time sheet where we put in a percentage of the time that we spend and a short description of what we spent our time that week doing and then that goes to finance and they allocate the expenses.

#### CHAIRMAN:

And really my last question is more of a comment, and this goes to you, Mr. Sklar and to David a little bit. One of the things that we pay attention to at the Gaming Board is the amount of money that casinos spend with other businesses in Pennsylvania.

And again, I'm not telling you how to run your business. You know, I think we generally have a handle on approaches as a general rule with this But that is something that we watch closely Board. and Mr. Sklar brought up the issue of purchasing and we all understand, you know, bulk purchasing and how that, you know, it creates efficiencies and draws more money to the bottom line when you have two or three entities to purchase in one block as opposed to doing it separately. So, again, just a comment more than, you know, anything else, that to the extent that you can continue to purchase products the way you are now with Rivers individually and Sugarhouse individually with local businesses in Pittsburgh and Philadelphia, you know, that's something that would be beneficial to us.

# MR. PATENT:

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We think it makes actually great business sense to continue to use local vendors. We actually use that as part of our marketing segment. Especially Sugarhouse, we use so and so for our sandwich meat, so and so for the various other products. But that's something that would not change.

### CHAIRMAN:

And that's both to you and to us as an

oversight agency. Any other questions? Yes, Mr. Craiq?

### MR. CRAIG:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Just following up on the question about timesheets, is that an appropriate mechanism or has there been any determination as to the ability to instruct her to do with Philadelphia wage taxes of employees that may be shared and allocate what that high billing would be based on time spent in Philadelphia?

### MR. PATENT:

That's more of a --- I would have to defer to the tax expert on it. What I can tell you is that in 2010, I did pay wage tax in Philadelphia and I filed a Pennsylvania tax return based on the amount of time that I --- and paid taxes to the State of Pennsylvania based on the amount of time that I worked in Pennsylvania.

# MR. CRAIG:

This is for the shared employees who describe --- the Rivers employee were to be shared and 22 had to spend time at Sugarhouse or something like hat. There'd be some sort of mechanism in your structure that would make sure that whatever was due to the city 24 for wage tax would be property accounted for? 25

### MR. PATENT:

Everybody's time is accurately accounted for. So, they're able to see what percentage of their time they spent working on each asset.

# ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Yeah, Mr. Craig. The time that is allocated, anything that is to Sugarhouse would be --- the finance people and whoever handles the tax side of things, they ensure that the wages --- city wage tax.

# MR. PATENT:

For the non-Philadelphia ---?

### ATTORNEY SKLAR:

Correct.

### CHAIRMAN:

All right. That concludes this matter.

17 And let's move directly into HSP's Petition.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

HEARING CONCLUDED AT 11:09 A.M.

\* \* \* \* \* \*

21

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

#### CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Fajt, was reported by me on 05/11/2011 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908