COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * *

PUBLIC HEARING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, Chairman

Raymond S. Angeli, James B. Ginty,

Keith R. McCall, Anthony C. Moscato,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth I. Trujillo; Members

Jennifer Langan, representing State

Treasurer, Robert M. McCord

Robert Coyne, representing Secretary of

the Department of Revenue, Daniel Meuser

Daniel Tufano, representing Secretary of

Agriculture, George Greig;

Ex-Officio Members

HEARING: Thursday, April 14, 2011

9:35 a.m.

LOCATION: State Museum

State Museum Auditorium

300 North Street

Harrisburg, PA 17120.

WITNESS: Bryan P. Schroeder

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairperson Fajt 5 -	7
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney Kohler 7 - 1	8
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
_		

										4
1			E	K H	I B	I T	S			
2										
3								Page	Page	
4	Number	Description						Offered	Admitted	1
5			N	ONE	OFF	ERED	•			
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
11										
12										
13										
14										
15										
16										
17										
18										
19										
20										
21										
22										
23										
24										
25										

PROCEEDINGS

23 l

CHAIRMAN:

Good morning, everyone. If I could please have everybody take their seats. I'll ask everybody to please take their seats. Thank you. Good morning. I'm Greg Fajt, Chairman of the Gaming Control Board. I'd like to ask everyone, as is our normal practice, to please deaden all of your cell phones and PDAs as they tend to interfere with the communication system for this room.

Joining us today is Jennifer Langan, representing State Treasurer Rob McCord; Bob Coyne, representing Secretary of Revenue Dan Meuser; and Dan Tufano, representing Secretary of Agriculture George Greig. Thank you all for coming. A quorum of the members is present. I'd like to call today's meeting to order. Would the members of the Board join me as --- please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance? PLEDGE OF ALLEGIENCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Just as a housekeeping matter ---. I think most of you probably know this, because our agenda's on the website. We have a public hearing with Greenwood Gaming. I don't expect that to be a

long public hearing.

2

5

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We want to do a couple of other minor 3 administrative matters, and then we'll get into the 4 Category 3 decision. We'll make that decision, hopefully, and then we will break for probably five or ten minutes, just to let the crowd clear. And then we'll come back and do the rest of our meeting.

Today we have Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. before us to present three items by way of public hearings, which will take place, as I mentioned, prior to our public meeting, although it is my understanding that two of these matters may be We'll get to those two petitions in a withdrawn. moment, but let's first deal with the matter for which we will be proceeding.

First item is Greenwood's petition for approval of expansion of its gaming floor. I see that Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment is at the table. Please, prior to your presentation, could all of the witnesses presenting evidence today who are nonlawyers please stand to be sworn in, both from Greenwood Gaming's standpoint and anybody from the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC).

24 And also, I'd ask that you please spell 25 your name and state your name clearly for our

stenographer before you begin. And with that, Greenwood Gaming, you may begin. Any witnesses you'll be calling today who are non-lawyers?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

No, Chairman Fajt.

CHAIRMAN:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $20 \, \mathrm{I}$

21 I

 $22 \, \mathrm{J}$

23

24

25

Thank you very much. Please begin.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt,

Commissioners. My name is Alan Kohler, K-O-H-L-E-R, 11 from out at Eckert Seamans, representing Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. that you know as Parx Casino. We're here this morning as --- for the first matter, which I would describe as a coin-up to OP-16, which it has been a little bit confusing, but I think we have it straightened out.

OP-16 was a fairly --- made some time ago, was a fairly far-reaching filing, making a whole variety of changes to Greenwood's gaming floor. Board in December granted partial relief, and there's been a series of actions by the Executive Director and your staff, which I'll go through. And what's before you today, and I think we all are in agreement about this at this point, is one remaining part of OP-16, which is the high limits table game room which we're

1 proposing to construct and activate.

10

11

12

13

14

15.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 I, you know, go through this in a little 3 bit of detail so --- to demonstrate, but essentially, 4 the rest of the relief in one manner or the other has 5 been granted over time. I won't go through this in any detail, but here's a list of the things that OP-16 originally requested. Again, there was changes 7 throughout the casino, not only in the grandstand portion of the casino, called Parx East, but also in the main casino, and involved both slots and table games.

And on December 16th the Board issued an Order approving OP-16 in part, and they actually ---. You actually approved four specific components of OP-16, which are listed for your reference. Oh, by the way, I have with me this morning Bryan Schroeder, who's Assistant General Counsel at Philadelphia Park, and, you know, he can answer any questions you might have.

Then there's been a series of communications and filings with your Executive Director related to various other minor components of OP-16 which falls under the threshold for Executive Director delegation. There was a January 12th, 2011 approval. Included movement of 93 slots plus 52 that

had originally been approved for movement.

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There was, for lack of a better word, an inventory issued by your staff which tried to bring 4 together the various components. It actually was issued in the context of OP-17, but we've used that as a reference to try to get everyone on the same page as to where we are. We believe accounts as reflected in that document are correct and we've operated off that to some extent.

There also was a letter from the Executive Director dated February 24th, 2011 related to the fully automated electronic gaming tables and the conversion from slot stations. And then to complete the circle, there was a letter from the Executive Director dated March 1st, related to table game mix. And again, that had been originally included in OP-16 but not included in the Board's approval of that.

And where we end up after all of that chronology is the high limit room, the high limit pit, as it's called. That will be a pit comprised of 14 table games. Twelve (12) of those table games will be Two of those will actually be moved from one of new. the existing pits. It's going to be a very nice --we think spectacular area for high limit table game

players, and we have a little diagram here of what it'll look like.

And we put together a few statistics for you. The room has actually been under construction since January. We hope to place table games in the pit by May 15th, 2011. And if everything goes right, system checks and approvals, by May 25th, and online, assuming no glitches, by May 27th, that would be our proposed schedule.

The next slide has the breakdown of the 14 tables. You have eight for mini baccarat, eight blackjack, one roulette, one craps.

We anticipate incremental --- additional incremental revenue of about \$20 million per year.

When you break that down, \$2.8 million would go to Commonwealth gaming taxes, and another \$400,000 to a local share, to Bensalem Township.

There will be some additional employment, new jobs as a result of activation of the high limit room. We estimate 34, 24 dealers and 10 supervisors. With that, we will end the presentation, and certainly are --- would be pleased to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Does Enforcement Counsel have any questions on their presentation before I turn it

over for any presentation that you might have?

2 ATTORNEY PITRE:

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What are the limits of the tables? 4 you guys prepared? Have you guys thought about that?

ATTORNEY SCHROEDER:

We have in terms of rough estimates for these numbers here, but in terms of approvals from the Bureau of Gaming Operations, we haven't submitted that yet. We're waiting for approval from the Board and then we can submit new maxes.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Okay. But I'm trying to figure out how What are those you came up with those estimates. estimates based upon? I mean, what amounts?

ATTORNEY SCHROEDER:

There's a mid max amounts. I do not know what the estimates were. They came from our management team based on the new max numbers, the number of high limit players you have who would use the room and the use of the room in terms of the types of games we'd have. So there was a breakdown that But in terms of mid max being a criteria, I don't know what percentage or what numbers there were set up.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

I believe that we can provide you the estimated numbers if that's helpful.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm just curious, just curious. 5 no further questions. This is consistent with the information that staff has been reviewing for quite some time now, and staff is all on board, and we recommend that the Board approves this.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you. Do I take that to mean that you have no separate presentation?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you. Does the Board have any questions? Yes, Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

I have a couple. I have a couple questions, Mr. Kohler. They are exclusively connected to layout, and it is --- and then also to the issue that this is apparently truly high limit play when you look at the proposed revenue. Could you put up the diagram that you put up before and give us a little bit more indication of which games are where? what is that thing at the bottom? Is that a bar or

1 what is that? 2 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER: 3 Commissioner, let me give you a 4 breakdown. If you look at the top left of the screen, 5 there are two tables, oval-shaped, kind of like a They're both mini baccarat. Across on the 7 | right-hand side there are three blackjack tables in that configuration. You move to the middle, that's a single zero roulette wheel by itself. Below that are three more blackjack tables with a player service 11 system in the back there for our pit boss. MR. SOJKA: 12 13 That's the dark outlined ---? That's 14 the ---15 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 16 No. 17 MR. SOJKA: 18 --- service area? 19 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 20 The one that's in the middle. 21 MR. SOJKA: 22 Got you. Okay, all right. 23 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER: 24 To the right of that is the craps table.

There you go. And finally, as you go down to the

25 l

1|bottom, again, on the left-hind side, two mini 2 baccarat tables. And then to the far right is two 3|blackjack tables. The final area is a lounge area. 4 MR. SOJKA: 5 That's the lounge? 6 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER: 7 Yes. 8 MR. SOJKA: 9 Could I ask again, and I'm sure it's in 10 the plan somewhere, but where --- how close is this 11 facility to the cage? 12 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER: 13 Again, on the left-hand side there where the wall ---. There's a wall there. That's actually 14 15 cage windows on the left there. 16 MR. SOJKA: 17 Okay. So ---18 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER: 19 And again ---. 20 MR. SOJKA: 21 --- a winner cashing in chips would be able to do the entire transaction in that room without 23 having to walk across the gaming floor in front of 24 other people and that sort of thing? 25 ATTORNEY SCHROEDER:

Yes, absolutely.

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

231

24

25

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions? Okay. Thank you 6 very much. The record is now closed in this matter, and we will consider it later today. Moving on to Greenwood's second and third petitions seeking intervention in a couple of Valley Forge matters, I understand, Mr. Kohler, that you would like to address the Board on that issue.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Those are two Petitions to Intervene some time ago last fall when the Valley Forge appeal was pending before the Supreme Court. With your permission, I can address them together, although you have them, I think, scheduled separately.

CHAIRMAN:

Please address them together. That's fine.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

One involves a corporate restructuring and effusion of equity to finance some of their table game operations. The other involves a revised master site plan, which, if I recall, as a result of table

games, moves their gaming floor from one floor to These were issues of significant interest to another. Greenwood at the time of Supreme Court appeal, in that they changed things that were before the court.

Of course, as we all know, we received a decision in that appeal. We accept that decision, although it was by the slimmest of margins. you review that decision, the main issue which remains outstanding for Valley Forge is what I call the amenities plan or what some people call the access plan.

And following the Court's decision, and 13 also in conjunction with discussions with your staff and also with Valley Forge, there'll be a proceeding on the amenities plan as a condition of licensure, not as approval of licensure but as a condition of licensure, and that Greenwood will have an opportunity to review that plan and to determine if they want to seek intervention. As long as everyone's in agreement with that and on the same page, we're prepared to withdraw both of these Petitions to Intervene on the record today. And if the Commissioners desire we also do that in writing, that's fine, as well.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Just to be crystal clear, Mr.

25

5

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Kohler, the Board does not believe you have a right to intervene, but you have the opportunity to petition the Board to intervene, which we will deal with in due course. But just to be clear, that I want to ---. I want to make sure that you and I have an understanding that that's the law and that you do not have a right, but you have a right to file a petition which we can review and dismiss or accept as we deem.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

11 |

25 I

Chairman Fajt, we would never presume that you have to predetermine anything. We understand that we have to file and you have to decide. We'll make our arguments at the appropriate time. However, let me say this. In order to have an opportunity to intervene, we need to receive the proposal from someone, and we need an opportunity to review it and we need to know about it.

And so, you know, there's been a lot of discussion in the Valley Forge proceeding as to what we have a right to be notified of, much less to intervene in. And as I listen to the dialogue, I think we're on --- all on the same page, that we have a right to notice.

And of course, the Board has a --- we have a right to review the filing to see if we think

1 there's an issue for intervention. And of course the 2 Board in due course can review anything we file and decide at that time. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 We're on the same page as to that matter. You will be given due notice, as will everybody else. 7 Thank you. Okay. I believe that that will dispense 8 with any need for an Executive Session, and we'll get 9 into our regular meeting agenda. 10 MR. TRUJILLO: 11 Mr. Chairman? 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Yes. I'm sorry. Commissioner Trujillo? 14 MR. TRUJILLO: 1.5 Just to be clear, you are withdrawing 16 those two petitions? 17 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 18 We are withdrawing those two 19 petitions ---20 MR. TRUJILLO: 21 Thank you. 22 ATTORNEY KOHLER: 23 --- on the record right now. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Thank you very much.