# COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

PUBLIC MEETING

\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

James B. Ginty, Raymond S. Angeli

(via phone), Jeffrey W. Coy,

Kenneth T. McCabe, Gary A. Sojka,

Kenneth I. Trujillo (via phone); Members

Robert M. McCord, State Treasurer

Robert Coyne, representing Acting Secretary

of the Department of Revenue, Daniel

Meuser; Daniel Tufano, representing Acting

Secretary of Agriculture, George Greig

MEETING: Thursday, February 10, 2011

10:45 a.m.

LOCATION: North Office Building

401 North Street

Harrisburg, PA 17120

Reporter: Cynthia Piro-Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

		3
1	APPEARANCES	
2	(Continued)	
3		
4	MARIE J. JONES, ESQUIRE	
5	Fox Rothschild, LLP	
6	Midtown building, Suite 400	
7	1301 Atlantic Avenue	
8	Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212	
9	Counsel for Washington Trotting Association	
10		
11	MICHAEL D. SKLAR, ESQUIRE	
12	Levine, Staller, Sklar, Chan, Brown & Donnelly, PA	
13	3030 Atlantic Avenue	
14	Atlantic City, NJ 08401-6380	
15	Counsel for Rivers Casino	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

				4
1	INDEX			
2				
3	OPENING REMARKS			
4	By Chairman Fajt	5	_	6
5	PRESENTATION			
6	By Ms. Yantis	6	_	7
7	By Mr. Rhen	7	-	9
8	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD			9
9	PRESENTATION			
10	By Attorney Sherman	10	-	11
11	By Attorney Cook	12	-	18
12	<u>WITNESS</u> : Robert Smeltzer			
13	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD	19	_	27
14	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	27	-	29
15	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD	29	-	33
16	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	33	-	3 5
17	PRESENTATION			
18	By Attorney Cook	35	-	39
19	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	39	-	41
20	PRESENTATION			
21	By Attorney Cook	41	-	43
22	By Susan Hensel	43	-	4 4
23	By Sean Hannon	4 4	-	47
24	By Susan Hensel			47
25	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD	48	-	53

				5
1	I N D E X (Continued)			
2	PRESENTATION			
3	By Susan Hensel	53	_	55
4	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	55	-	56
5	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD			56
6	PRESENTATION			
7	By Susan Hensel	57	-	60
8	By Attorney Powers	61	-	62
9	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	62	-	63
10	PRESENTATION			
11	By Attorney powers	6 4	-	65
12	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	66	-	70
13	PRESENTATION			
14	By Attorney Miller	71	-	7 4
15	<u>WITNESS</u> : Ryan McCauley			
16	TESTIMONY			
17	By Ryan McCauley	74	-	75
18	QUESTIONS FROM BOARD	76	-	77
19	STATEMENT			
20	By Mr. Coy	78	-	8 0
21	By Mr. McCall	8 0	_	81
22	CLOSING REMARKS			
23	By Chairman Fajt			82
24	STATEMENT			
25	By Mr. Sojka	83	_	8 4

### PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

### CHAIRMAN:

We'll now convene our regular meeting.

By way of announcements, since our last meeting, the

Board held Executive Sessions yesterday, February 9th,

and again, just prior to this meeting. The purpose of

yesterday's Executive Session was to discuss personnel

matters, pending litigation and to conduct quasi

judicial deliberations relating to matters being

considered by the Board, including each of the matters

coming before the Board today. The Executive Session

which just ended was held to conduct quasi judicial

deliberations relative to the hearing held earlier

today.

The next matter on the agenda is consideration of approval of the Board's minutes and transcripts for the January 6th and January 26th meetings. May I have a motion, please?

### MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board approve the transcripts submitted for the January 6th and January 26th, 2011 meetings.

#### MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 2

AYES RESPOND

1

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

### CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. The next item of business is human resources. Our Director, Claire Yantis, is here. Claire?

#### MS. YANTIS:

You have before you today a motion to consider the hiring of Dennis O'Brien as a Casino Compliance Representative at SugarHouse Casino. Mr. O'Brien has completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening, and is being recommended for hire by Director of Casino Compliance, Jerry Stoll. As such, I ask that you consider a motion to hire Mr. O'Brien, as indicated.

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Just for the record, this is not the former Speaker of the House, Dennis O'Brien. I think 21 he has other plans, as I read the papers recently. Anyhow, seeing no questions, can I have a motion, please?

# MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve

Ω

```
the Applicant as proposed on the condition he complete
   the necessary background investigation and drug
3
   testing.
 4
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Second?
 5
 6
                MR. SOJKA:
                 Second.
                 CHAIRMAN:
8
9
                 All in favor?
10
  AYES RESPOND
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Opposed?
                           This motion passes.
                 MS. YANTIS:
13
14
                 Thank you.
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Thank you, Claire. Next up is Dave Rhen,
16
17
  presenting our agency financial report. Welcome,
18
   Dave.
19
                MR. RHEN:
20
                 Thank you. Today's financial report
21
   summarizes expenditures through the end of January.
22
  Through January expenditures totaled $18.9 million, or
23
  53 percent of the gross overall appropriation of $35.8
24 million. For the year expenses totaled $14.9 million,
25
  or 79 percent of total expenditures. And operating
```

expenses totaled \$4 million, or 21 percent of total expenses.

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For the month of January, expenditures totaled \$2.8 million. Payroll expenses were \$2.2 million, and operating expenses encountered were \$629,000. The largest operating category for January was \$213,000 for other operating expenses. This would primarily include ChoicePoint Investigative Research Services in the amount of \$189,000 for information 10 utilized in 1,300 background investigations.

The second largest category of operating expenses was services. This category totaled \$167,000 and included \$35,000 for computer IT consultants, \$11,000 for legal services and \$23,000 for annual payroll processing services provided by the Budget Office, and \$105,000 for State Police for approximately 2,700 fingerprints of licensed applicants.

The third largest operating expense category belongs to rentals and leases. This category totals \$167,000. For the year, rentals and leases, the largest operating expense of \$1.3 million, or 32 percent of operating expenses, followed by services at \$965,000, or 24 percent of operating expenses; other operating expenses at \$934,000, or 22 percent;

telecommunications at \$340,000, or ten percent of operating expenses; and travel by \$230,000, or six percent. That concludes my remarks.

#### CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Thank you. Questions from the Board? Just a quick question, Dave. You and I spoke about this yesterday. ChoicePoint, that was a significant portion of our other operating expenses, and it appeared to be pretty high to me, but you had mentioned it was 1,300 background checks, and that was related to the table games and encompassed the what, August/September time frame or July/August time frame?

# MR. RHEN:

The fingerprint fee was the August/September time frame. And then most of the ChoicePoint expenses were from November, although there were some other months that lapsed into that category as well. I think it seems that the activity from table games applicants is starting to wean, but pretty much the first half of the year we've seen a lot of activity and major expenses in that category.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you very much. Thank you. 24 Next up is our Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman. Welcome, 25 Doug.

# ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. Today the Board has one petition before it for consideration, and that petition was the subject of the hearing earlier this morning. That's Downs Racing's petition for approval of changing its gaming floor.

In addition to the hearing today, the evidence presented during that hearing, the Board has, in advance of this proceeding, been provided copies of the petitions, the Answers to the petitions and all other relevant documents which have been filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA).

As you heard, Downs is requesting to remove 134 slot machines from the gaming floor, and if approved, the number of machines will be reduced from 2,465 to 2,331. If the Board is inclined to grant the relief, the Office of Enforcement Counsel has requested that ten conditions be placed on that approval. Those conditions are contained within their Answer to the petition and, again, are before the Board.

In addition, Downs Racing has requested that information marked confidential in its petition, which largely is security sensitive information,

12 1 diagrams of the back of the house area, be maintained as confidential. There's no objection to that 3 request. And we would submit both matters to the Board for consideration at this time. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, can I have a motion, please? 8 MR. GINTY: 9 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant 10 Downs Racing's petition, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC), subject to the OEC's conditions. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Second? 13 14 MR. MCCABE: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 17 AYES RESPOND 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? Motion passes. 21 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 22 Next, presenting Withdrawals and Reports 23 and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve 2.4 Cook. 25 ATTORNEY COOK:

Good morning.

# CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Welcome, Steve.

# ATTORNEY COOK:

The Board has received five unopposed petitions withdrawing the applications of individuals or businesses, as in each case, regulation of the person or entity is no longer required pursuant to the Board's regulations. The entities and individuals subject to these petitions are as follows: Daniel Fryer, Dennis Gallagher, Hiromi Okada, Richard Sansone, and Sprung Instant Structures, Inc.

The OEC has no objection to these withdrawals. However, with respect to the petition of Sprung Instant Structures, Inc., Sprung's withdrawal is conditioned upon the company not doing any business with a slot machine licensee in Pennsylvania for three years. And should the Board grant relief from that three-year prohibition at any point in the future, that interim authorization to conduct business prior to a full background investigation will not be requested.

The Board will recall that Sprung was 24 previously on the Prohibited Vendors' List, but was removed after agreeing to undergo a full

investigation. Now, Sprung is seeking a withdrawal of 1 2 its application prior to that full investigation, and 3 as a result, they have agreed to these sanctions in conjunction with the OEC. I don't believe there's any issues with respect to their background from seeking further business in Pennsylvania.

# CHAIRMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Sojka?

Thank you. Any questions? Commissioner

# MR. SOJKA:

I just want to make sure, in the case of Sprung Systems (sic), are they completely current in paying the expenses for their background investigation?

### ATTORNEY COOK:

Actually, part of being removed from the Prohibited Vendors' List they were required to pay a civil penalty of \$5,000, which they have paid, as well as \$20,000 in projected investigative costs. About half of that I think has been consumed. The other 21 half will be recouped.

#### MR. SOJKA:

Thank you.

### CHAIRMAN:

Can I have a motion, please?

15

### MR. MCCABE:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an order to approve the referral of the surrenders, as described by the OCC.

# CHAIRMAN:

Second?

### MR. SOJKA:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

#### AYES RESPOND 11

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

22

23

25

# CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

### ATTORNEY COOK:

15 Next before the Board for consideration are four Reports and Recommendations received from the 16 17 OHA relative to two Gaming Employee Permits and two Petitions to be Removed from the Self-Exclusion List. 18 The Reports and Recommendations, along with the 19 20 complete evidentiary record for each hearing, have 21 been provided to the Board.

And additionally, in each case, the people that are subject to these Reports and 24 Recommendations have been notified that the matter is coming before the Board today and that they had the

 $1 \mid$  ability to come forward and briefly address the Board.

If any such person is here today, they should come

3 forward when their name is announced.

4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board pertains to Kim Lam. Mr. Lam submitted his application for a Gaming Employee Permit on October 7th, 2010, seeking work as a Table Games Dealer at Parx Casino.

The OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial on November 19th, 2010 based on Mr. Lam's failure to disclose his criminal history, as well as his inability to qualify for a Gaming Employee Permit, having two misdemeanor gambling convictions within the last 15 years.

After receiving the Notice of Recommendation of Denial, Mr. Lam requested a hearing, which was held on January 5th, 2011, before the OHA. Both OEC and Mr. Lam appeared at that hearing and offered testimony.

As a result of the hearing, the Report and Recommendation presently before the Board was issued. It concluded that Mr. Lam is ineligible to receive a Gaming Employee Permit, given his misdemeanor gaming convictions within the last 15 years. And this matter is now before the Board and is

17 ripe for consideration. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Thank you. Is Mr. Lam present? questions from the Board? Can I have a motion, 4 please? 6 MR. MCCABE: 7 Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board issue an order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Kim 10 Lam described by the OCC. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Second? MR. COY: 13 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 16 17 AYES RESPOND 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Opposed? Motion passes. 20 ATTORNEY COOK: 21 The next matter before the Board for 22 consideration is the Report and Recommendation pertaining to Robert Smeltzer. I believe Mr. Smeltzer 23 24 is present.

CHAIRMAN:

25

Mr. Smeltzer, do you want to come up and take a seat, please?

# ATTORNEY COOK:

1.3

By way of background, Mr. Smeltzer submitted a Gaming Employee Permit to work as a Table Game Dealer at Harrah's. Mr. Smeltzer disclosed three convictions on his application, which were confirmed by the Pennsylvania State Police, specifically a July 2001 DUI, an April 2002 arrest and conviction by the New Jersey State Police on one count of driving while suspended and being involved in an accident causing death or serious injury, and a September 2003 retail theft conviction.

On July 12th, 2010, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based upon Mr. Smeltzer's July 26th --- I'm sorry, excuse me, July 2006 guilty plea for the driving while suspended and causing an accident involving death or serious injury. The OEC made this recommendation, stating that the crime for which he was convicted was a felony and 15 years has not elapsed from the date of conviction.

A hearing in this matter was scheduled for and held on October 26th, 2010 before the OHA.

Mr. Smeltzer's attorney appeared at that hearing and presented testimony. Mr. Smeltzer --- at that hearing

--- or after that hearing a Report and Recommendation 1 2 was issued concluding that Mr. Smeltzer had proven by clear and convincing evidence that the crime, the New Jersey offense, was not a felony and he is therefore eligible to be licensed as a gaming employee. The Hearing Officer further did an analysis as to the suitability of Mr. Smeltzer and felt that, in fact, he was suitable to be a gaming employee, given the age of these convictions, as well as his relative youth at 10 the time. I believe he was in his early 20s. That 11 Report and Recommendation which recommends this gentleman for licensure is before the Board for 12 consideration. 13

#### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Before moving any further,

Mr. Smeltzer, would you please stand and be sworn in

by the stenographer?

18 -----

19 ROBERT SMELTZER, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN,

20 TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

14

22

21 -----

#### CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Smeltzer, do you have a short
statement or comment to make before the Board? Push
the green button there. It's on. Thank you.

20

Yes, I'd be glad to answer any questions you No. guys would have.

### CHAIRMAN:

Great. Okay. Questions or comments from Mr. Sojka? the Board?

# MR. SOJKA:

I'll have a few. It's not entirely clear to me. The one charge where you were driving with a suspended license and the charge had to do with an 10 accident leading to death, did someone die in that accident?

12 A. Yes. I fell asleep behind the wheel. I crossed 13 the yellow line and hit a motorcycle, and somebody 14 passed away.

### MR. SOJKA:

But there were no other charges other 16 than driving without --- there was no DUI there? 17 18 Α. No, no. In fact, I was the designated driver that day. But I couldn't get any sleep that night, so I 19 20 was extremely tired and fell asleep behind the wheel, 21 unfortunately.

#### MR. SOJKA:

23 There was a subsequent arrest which you 24 indicated, ---

25 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

6

11

15

22

### MR. SOJKA:

--- the retail theft. There was a dollar amount associated with that. Could you expand on that?

A. Approximately \$40.

# MR. SOJKA:

Yes.

A. \$39.

# MR. SOJKA:

What was it about? What happened?

A. I went in to buy a pair of shoes, and I had to be at work in like five minutes and was trying to buy a pair of shoes real quick. And I tried on a pair of shoes and then walked to try them on and then grabbed another pair --- or saw another pair of shoes for \$40, so at that time I grabbed the shoes and walked out with the shoes on. And I actually came back to the store a little bit later and talked to the person, and I was caught then.

# MR. SOJKA:

You went back to the store --- you were going back to tell them you had the extra pair of shoes or you forgot you had them on?

A. I didn't even know I had them. I was actually --25 I live close to the store. I went to the food court

1 to buy something to eat, and I ran into one of the

2 employees and she said, come back to the store. And I

3 assumed she meant to come back to my store, there was

 $4\mid$  no issue there, and I went back and then I saw my

5 shoes sitting on the counter, and I knew what

6 happened.

# MR. SOJKA:

8 So you're saying it was retail theft, but

9 it wasn't premeditated? It was an accidental retail

10 theft?

12

23

11 A. Right. I didn't really think about it.

# MR. SOJKA:

13 And all three of these disclosed

14 difficulties, the DUI, the accident resulting in death

15 and the retail theft all occurred within a few years

16 of each other. What was the situation in your life at

- 17 that point?
- 18 A. For the DUI, I was just making a lot of mistakes
- 19 at the time, and the same thing for ---.

# MR. SOJKA:

21 Were you in school then?

22 A. I was. I was at Penn State, Abington, and ---.

### MR. SOJKA:

Living on campus or living at home?

25 A. I was living at my parents' house at the time.

23

# MR. SOJKA:

And so you were making numerous mistakes,

3 not just ---?

1

6

7

9

10

20

21

24

25

A. I wasn't doing --- the only thing I was doing right was actually going to school and ---.

# MR. SOJKA:

And did you complete your education?

8 A. I did.

# MR. SOJKA:

You have a baccalaureate degree?

11 A. After the accident where there was a death, I

12 actually missed an entire semester. And my dad is a

13 professor at Penn State, Abington, and he actually

14 called me the week before finals and asked me what

15 finals I had coming up. And at that point in time I

16 realized I did miss the entire semester because of the

17 accident, and I actually had to drop the next

18 semester. And then I actually came back and completed

19 it on the Dean's List.

# MR. SOJKA:

Were there any civil actions taken as a

22 result of the death in that accident?

23 A. Yes.

# MR. SOJKA:

Were you sued for wrongful death?

24

A. I was.

2

8

9

10

12

23

1

### MR. SOJKA:

You were. Were you found guilty of that?

4 A. I believe the insurance company settled. I wasn't really --- I wasn't involved in that. I know there

6 was a settlement, that's all.

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you.

**CHAIRMAN**:

Other questions, comments? Commissioner

11 | Ginty?

# MR. GINTY:

What was the background with respect to your suspended license?

A. I had I believe it was a speeding ticket, and I don't --- it was a while back, but I would say it was a \$120 fine. I was making installments of \$25. And I made the first four and I assumed it was done, and I had a balance of \$20, whatever it was. And I received a notice in the mail the following day, after the

21 accident, that it was suspended. And then I paid it

22 immediately.

#### MR. GINTY:

24 At the time you didn't realize your

25 license had been suspended?

25 No, I did not. Should have, but I did not. 1 Α. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Commissioner McCabe? MR. MCCABE: 4 5 How old were you when this all occurred? 6 All these incidents happened between 2001 and 2002, all three of them. 8 MR. MCCABE: 9 And how old were you? 10 I was between 21 and 23. 11 MR. MCCABE: 12 And how old are you now? I am 30, and I'll be 31 March 10th. 13 Α. 14 MR. MCCABE: 15 Where are you living now? I live in Hampton, New Jersey. 16 17 MR. MCCABE: 18 With your parent or --- I'm getting at, are you still living with your parents or are you out 19 20 on your own? I live with my girlfriend that I've been with for 21 22 three years. We've lived together for two years now. 23 MR. MCCABE: 24 Have you been in any trouble since this 25 incident?

26

The only thing that's happened since all of this 1 stuff was I --- the IRS just informed me on December 2 3 24th that there were winnings on a horseracing that I did not report, so I paid it immediately. 5 MR. MCCABE: 6 What have you been doing for employment for the past six, seven years? Basically sales. I'm the director of business development now, and I've been basically a sales rep 10 for the past two years. 11 MR. MCCABE: 12 Thank you. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Commissioner Coy? 15 MR. COY: Let me see if we can get the bottom line 16 17 here. Do you really think you have things straightened out? 18 19 Yes, sir. Α. 20 MR. COY: 21 And you have a job opportunity at 22 Harrah's? 23 Α. Yes. 24 MR. COY: 25 And do they know about the various

problems you've had?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# MR. COY:

A. I completely disclosed everything about that to them, and I even disclosed to my current employer everything about this, the fact that I may be going to another employer. So you can contact the employer,

And you've completely disclosed them?

# MR. COY:

and they know everything.

So Harrah's is ready to give you a job pending the Board's approval?

13 A. Yes, sir.

# MR. COY:

What assurance can you give the Board that things have turned around? I mean, the Board in the past has taken chances on folks to give them a new opportunity. And whether there's an inclination or not to do that now, the question I have for you is what assurance do you give us that things are different in your life now?

A. Since the events have happened, I totally agree with you and the prosecutor that, you know, back then I was out of line. There was no way, you know, that I should have ever been given a license back in that

time. But since then I have been employed and I've
been doing everything I'm supposed to be doing. I
have not been in trouble since then. And I believe
that since 2002 or 2003, so seven or eight years, you
know, I haven't run into any incidents. And as you've
seen in the report, I've been totally honest about
everything that was in the report.

#### MR. COY:

Thank you.

10 A. Thank you.

# CHAIRMAN:

Other questions? Enforcement Counsel, do you have any comments?

### ATTORNEY MATELEVICH-HOANG:

Just briefly. Thank you, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. I am Billie Jo Matelevich—Hoang, M-A-T-E-L-E-V-I-C-H, hyphen, H-O-A-N-G, on behalf of the OEC. It wasn't mentioned, but the OEC did file exceptions to the Report and Recommendation and initially filed briefs. And we still stand behind that exception filed with the briefs, and we do feel that Mr. Smeltzer is not eligible to receive a gaming permit because a third-degree felony conviction in New Jersey would be equal to a felony conviction in Pennsylvania, therefore barring him from receiving a

Gaming Permit.

2

1

3

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Chief Counsel, can you address that for us?

CHAIRMAN:

### ATTORNEY COOK:

We have looked at that issue as well and we believe that, without getting into a lot of New Jersey legal detail, that the offenses are different. Had Mr. Smeltzer committed this offense in Pennsylvania, he would have been charged likely with a crime that involves --- or requires criminal intent. 12 Whereas, in New Jersey the offense is strict liability, and there's no showing of intent required. So, since the elements of the offense would be different, the conviction --- the convictions are not equivalent, and effectively, it would not be a --there's no showing on record of him having been

### CHAIRMAN:

required to meet a felony in Pennsylvania.

I see. I just have one comment. Smeltzer had mentioned about the horseracing liens. And I know I'm catching you off guard here, but just for his edification, there are rules about casino employees and betting at their specific casinos and even some of the other casinos. Could you just give us a thumbnail sketch of what those rules are?

# 2 <u>ATTORNEY SHERMAN</u>:

A gaming employee of a casino certainly cannot engage in any type of wagering, whether on table games, slot machines or horses at the casino at which they are employed. Different rules farther up, Licensees, Key Employees that apply for his level certainly are barred from any type of gaming activity at the casino if he were to be approved for the permit.

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any other questions,

13 comments?

#### MR. SOJKA:

Again, I'm sort of where Commissioner Coy is. Our first obligation, unquestionably, is to protect the citizenry of Pennsylvania. You want to be a table game dealer. Clearly, none of these events in your past indicate that you're a violent predator or anything that's going to jump over the table and attack somebody. But I have to tell you I'm a little troubled by your responses and explanations, if you will, to each of these things. You were involved in retail theft because you were unaware you had a pair of shoes on. Somebody died in an accident when you

were the designated driver. You fell asleep and you 1 2 somehow weren't aware that your license was suspended. You had an issue with the IRS, and somehow you didn't 3 know that until, you know --- the lack of awareness and the lack of stepping up to it seems to be different than simply acknowledging these things happened and they're part of the record. You need to know that's why, at least in my case, I'm struggling with this issue. I don't know if there's going to be more commentary or not. I'm impressed by the fact 10 that you stayed out of trouble, but I don't --- I want 11 to know how remorseful you truly are that, for 12 13 example, someone is dead because you fell asleep 14 driving without a license at a time when your life was 15 messed up because issues can happen in a gaming facility. We need to know something about character. 16 17 Is there anything you can do to help me with that? 18 Again, I don't know if there's much I can say Α. about, you know, how remorseful I am, but certainly 19 20 --- especially after that incident, I was so troubled 21 by what did happen that I didn't even know a semester 22 happened. I mean, that was always on my mind that entire semester. And I was entirely checked out of 23 24 life because I was so focused on what happened and so 25 disturbed by what my actions caused. You know, other

1 than telling you that, you know, my life has changed, I do a lot of things differently ---. You know, you 3 did an entire background check, and since these events happened, you know, check my credit, you know, I'm not in trouble there. You know, other than the issue I handled immediately when I just got informed by the IRS. I am keeping on top of things, and I haven't had significant issues with anything. And you know, if you would look back to what I was doing then and what I'm doing now, I think you could see a totally 10 different person. And if you look at my report, 11 credit report and everything else that you're looking 12 13 at right now, since 2003 every single think you looked 14 at that looks good now looked horrible then. I think 15 we can all agree that, you know, what you're seeing now in my entire report now is satisfactory. 16

### MR. SOJKA:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Can I just finish, and then I'll be quiet. We've had similar situations in the past where things come down to issues where we ultimately are making a character decision, and people often come well papered with statements from members of the community, members of their church, various persons attesting to the good character of the person. It's a little unfair for me to jump in and ask for

that now because I think your whole focus on this issue has been about whether or not that fatal accident was a felony or not, and you weren't focusing 3 on the character thing. Do you think you would be able to produce such documentation, other than the fact that your credit report is now better and that you don't have ---

Absolutely. Α.

9

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

### MR. SOJKA:

10 --- a criminal record? There would be people to stand up for you? 11

There are people that work at Harrah's that --and I guess the month or so that I worked there that 14 have offered to write a letter of recommendation. I'm sure people at my current work and my pastor would be willing to.

### CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner McCabe?

# MR. MCCABE:

This is hard for me, too, but I know somebody just like you who at that age never thought about the consequences of their actions, didn't think about their future and seven, eight years later is a 24 model citizen and serving his country, and I'm going to take a chance on you.

34 Thank you. 1 Α. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Thank you. Any other questions? Commissioner Ginty, do you have a question? 4 5 MR. GINTY: 6 The recommendation here from the Hearing Examiner was to grant the license? 8 ATTORNEY COOK: 9 What we need for this gentleman to be 10 licensed would be a motion to adopt the Report and Recommendation approved by a qualified majority of the 11 12 Board. 13 MR. GINTY: 14 And if you don't get a qualified 15 majority? 16 ATTORNEY COOK: 17 He would effectively be denied licensure. 18 MR. GINTY: 19 Would he have an opportunity to come 20 back? 21 ATTORNEY COOK: 22 Yes. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Okay. No other questions. Motion, 25 please.

### MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I'm going to move that the Board issue an order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Robert Smeltzer, as described by the OCC.

### CHAIRMAN:

Second?

# MR. COY:

I'll second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TRUJILLO:

 $N \circ .$ 

### 16 CHAIRMAN:

Any other nos? One no from ---. Opposed was one. Motion passes. Commissioner Trujillo voted no.

Mr. Smeltzer, let me just say to you what you've heard up here before. We're taking a chance and a leap of faith. And you know, the fact that you did disclose these past crimes was helpful. The fact that you appeared here today was helpful. But we expect you to continue doing what you're doing, and we

wish you luck.

1.3

A. Thank you very much, all of you, for your time.

# MR. SOJKA:

Please don't disappoint us.

A. I won't, sir.

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Next.

#### ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to a request to be removed from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List.

On September 12th, 2010, DBS entered

Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs and filed a Request for

Voluntary Self-Exclusion from Gaming Activities Form,

thereby placing herself on the Self-Exclusion List for
a one-year period. On that date, a Casino Compliance
representative met with DBS and conducted a mandatory
interview with her and completed all the required
procedures. At that time, the Casino Compliance
representative informed DBS that one may request
removal from the Self-Exclusion List only upon the
expiration of the term selected, which as I indicated,
was one year. Notwithstanding that fact,
approximately two months later DBS requested to be
removed from the Self-Exclusion List, although one

year has not passed.

A hearing in this matter was held before the OHA on December 28th, 2010, with both the OEC and DBS appearing and offering testimony and exhibits.

The Report and Recommendation that was subsequently issued found that, under the Board's regulations, DBS is not eligible for removal from the list as the one-year term had not yet run. And that Report and Recommendation is presently before the Board.

## CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Is DBS here, the individual?

Okay. Any questions or comments from the Board? Can

I have a motion, please?

### MR. COY:

I want to be certain. The recommendation

17 is?

1

2

3

5

10

11

15

16

18

21

22

23

24

## ATTORNEY COOK:

To deny the petition, and she would remain on the Self-Exclusion List.

# MR. COY:

So in order to deny the petition, the motion would be to approve the recommendation?

# ATTORNEY COOK:

25 Correct.

## MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the petition of DBS for removal from the List of Self-Excluded Persons, as described by the OCC.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Second?

# MR. GINTY:

Second.

# CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 13

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

#### CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes. Opposed?

# ATTORNEY COOK:

The final Report and Recommendation 18 before the Board today is also a request to be removed from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List. On June 3rd, 2007, JRM entered Parx Casino and filed a Request for 21 Voluntary Self-Exclusion from Gaming Activities, 22 thereby placing himself on the Self-Exclusion List for life. On that date, a Casino Compliance 23 24 representative met with JRM and conducted a mandatory 25 interview with him and completed all related

procedures. During the interview, Casino Compliance 1 2 representative did not notice any indication that JRM 3 was unable to understand the ramifications of what he was doing or any degree of intoxication. This Casino Compliance representative informed JRM that he could not seek removal from the list because he selected lifetime self-exclusion. JRM is now requesting to be removed from the Self-Exclusion List, claiming that he was in the midst of a panic attack at the time he 10 entered onto the list, and as a result of that panic attack, he did not have all of his faculties. 11

A hearing in this matter was held December 7th, 2010. Both the OEC and JRM appeared and offered testimony.

In the Report and Recommendation subsequently issued, the Hearing Officer found that, under the Board's regulations, JRM was not eligible to be removed from the Self-Exclusion List, having selected lifetime self-exclusion and failed to put on adequate evidence to show his incompetence at the time 21 he entered the list. That Report and Recommendation is presently before the Board.

#### CHAIRMAN:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Is JRM here? Okay. questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none,

40

can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

8

9

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board issue an order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the petition of JRM for removal from the List of Self-Excluded Persons, as described by the OCC.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

10 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

14 Opposed?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I want to be reported as
voting present. I have a very brief statement, if I
can make it.

19 CHAIRMAN:

20 Certainly.

MR. GINTY:

22 I'm troubled here primarily by our

23 regulation. I would agree with my colleagues that JRM

24 has not met the very rigid requirements of our

25 regulation. To put this into context, a person walks

into our office in one of the casinos, goes through a process with one of our officers there, and puts 3 himself on a list to be excluded for one year, five years, or in Mr. --- in JRM's case, for life. after that, he basically has --- under our regulations, there's no recourse. Life means life, and our regulation does not permit him the opportunity to petition to basically get off that life exclusion. I realize that gaming is a privilege, not a right, but in my view this process doesn't come close to meeting 10 any minimum standard of due process. And I don't 11 12 think it would be acceptable in any context, except 13 possibly in gaming. I think we ought to revisit the regulation at some point. 14

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman?

# CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner Trujillo.

# MR. TRUJILLO:

I wish to say I disagree completely with my colleague on this issue. It's hardly a death sentence for gaming for this individual or for any other. There are at least, I think, 20 other states

in the United States that this individual can game, if he wishes to do so. So, it's not that far away. 3 so as far as I see this, an individual who comes in and who takes the dramatic step of excluding himself for life probably has reason to do so and good reason to do so, and I'm pleased that our regulations allow that. I've noted in the past that casinos that are close by or in neighborhoods or are not resort casinos are materially different than resort casinos, and so I 10 think that we're doing and our regulation is doing the responsible thing by having us not be part of 11 12 continuing to create issues when somebody recognizes 13 that they've got an issue. So, I understand 14 Commissioner Ginty's view, but I disagree 15 wholeheartedly and I'm pleased that we have the restrictions that we do. 16

## CHAIRMAN:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any other questions or comments? Motion, please. I'm sorry, we did. We all voted present and not yes or no, and the motion does pass. Thank you. Next.

#### ATTORNEY COOK:

Next for the Board's consideration is an Emergency Suspension. Rachel Bachmann was issued a Gaming Employee Permit on July 13th, 2010, and was employed as a count room attendant at Parx Casino.

On December 1st, 2010, Ms. Bachman was

charged with theft related criminal offenses

consisting of two first-degree misdemeanors, two

second-degree misdemeanors and 40 third-degree

misdemeanors for allegedly stealing money while

performing her duties as a count room attendant. As a

result of these charges, the OEC filed a Request for

an Emergency Order of Suspension of Ms. Bachmann's

Gaming Employee Permit. The order was signed by the

Executive Director this week, on February 7th.

Board Regulations require that a temporary Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full evidentiary hearing or that the matter be referred to the OHA for that hearing. In this case, the OCC recommends that the matter be referred to Hearings and Appeals to conduct the full evidentiary hearing to issue a Report and Recommendation which will come before the Board at a subsequent hearing.

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Is Ms. Bachmann here? Okay. Any questions or comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please?

## MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the

44 Board issue an order to extend the Emergency 1 2 Suspension of Rachel Bachmann's Gaming Employee Permit 3 and that the matter then be referred to the OHA for a hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency Suspension Order. 6 MR. COY: 7 Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 AYES RESPOND 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? Motion passes. 13 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 14 Mr. Chairman, that concludes the matters 15 of the OCC. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Thank you, Doug. Thank you, Steve. Next 18 up is our Director of Licensing, Susan Hensel. 19 Welcome. 20 MS. HENSEL: 21 Thank you. Thank you, Chairman Fajt and 22 members of the Board. I am joined today by Sean 23 | Hannon, Manager of the Enterprise Licensing Unit, 24 which oversees the licensing of entities, including 25 table game manufacturers and suppliers. Sean is here

today to share with the Board the Bureau of
Licensing's proposal regarding a policy to make
recommendations for reduced licensing fees for table
game manufacturers and suppliers.

As you know, 2010 amendments to the Gaming Act provided for a modification in the licensing fee for table game manufacturers and suppliers if the Board determines that the fees will unreasonably limit the availability of table game devices or associated equipment in the Commonwealth. The licensing fee for a manufacturer's initial license is \$50,000 while the fee for a supplier is \$25,000. The Board has previously waived the manufacturer licensing fee on two occasions and currently has three petitions pending requesting a reduction in the licensing fee.

After hearings on two of these petitions, the Board tabled the petitions and asked staff to develop a global approach to respond to the fee reduction requests. The Bureau of Licensing has developed a fee reduction policy that aims to ensure that Pennsylvania will have adequate access to certain table game products while also encouraging smaller businesses to participate in the state's gaming industry. And Sean is going to explain the proposed

policy to you. I'm also going to put a slide up on the screen to assist with that explanation.

# MR. HANNON:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt.

### CHAIRMAN:

Do you have a hard copy?

# MS. HENSEL:

There was a hard copy in your packet.

# MR. HANNON:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. The Gaming Act states that, notwithstanding the fees established, the Board may modify the fee upon the Board's determination that the fee will unreasonably limit the availability of table game devices or associated equipment used in connection with table games or table game devices in this Commonwealth.

The Bureau of Licensing proposes a policy that would allow an applicant or a table game manufacturer or a table game supplier license to request a modified license fee so long as the following conditions are met. One, the manufacturer/supplier completes a request for a reduced licensee fee form with its application submission and provide all supplemental documentation

to substantiate a request. Two, the request is not objected to by the OEC or the Bureau of Licensing. And Three, the Board approves the recommended fee 3 modification. Any reduction in licensing would have no impact on the required application or investigatory fees. This fee structure will be used by the Bureau of Licensing in making a recommendation for a reduced licensing fee to the Board. Under this policy the Bureau of Licensing and the OEC would take into 10 account the number of other manufacturers or suppliers providing a particular product or service and the 11 manufacturer or supplier projected gross sales revenue 12 for the duration of its license term. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

each set of criteria. In terms of the number of manufacturers or suppliers providing the product, we will look at whether there was a single seller of a particular product, between two and ten sellers or more than ten sellers. The policy also looks at three gross revenue thresholds, less than \$100,000, between \$100,000 and \$250,000 and more than \$250,000. For example, if a company is the only seller of a particular table game product and projects gross revenue of under \$100,000, the company would pay the licensing fee. If a company is one of several sellers

of a product with more than \$250,000 in projected gross revenue, the company would pay the full \$50,000 license fee. Should an entity, during its licensing term, surpass the projected dollar threshold, it would be required to pay the next highest license fee as outlined on the fee structure. The Bureau of Licensing will monitor a company's actual sales to determine any additional required payments. This proposed policy would apply to both initial and renewal applications.

## MS. HENSEL:

And under the proposed policy, once the Bureau of Licensing has determined the recommended fee, it will check with the OEC to assure there are no objections to that recommendation. The Bureau of Licensing will then present the recommended fee to the Board as part of the overall initial or renewal licensing of an applicant.

asking for any Board action but would like to invite comments on this proposal. To that end, we would like to open a two-week window to accept public comment and post the proposed fee schedule on the Board's web page by the end of the week. Comments could be submitted to the Bureau of Licensing or through the Agency's

website. And we'd be happy to take any questions on the proposal.

### CHAIRMAN:

2.0

Commissioner Ginty?

### MR. GINTY:

You know, it was helpful to me the other day when going through these you put this in context of other states who don't have to wrestle with this. And can you just explain why we have to wrestle with it and they don't?

## MS. HENSEL:

We have a substantially higher
manufacturing and supplier fee than other states. The
average in the United States is about \$5,000 for a
manufacturer fee. So, you can see that we have a
significantly higher fee structure. And some of these
smaller companies --- for instance, you saw the Pai
Gow tile producer, they stand to make little money
and, if it had to pay that \$50,000 fee, would not
offer their product in Pennsylvania. By allowing some
accommodation in the fee, as the legislature
recommends in the amendments to the Act, we're
encouraging those companies to come in and, in the
long run, allowing the Commonwealth to make more
revenue because a game is being offered that otherwise

might not be offered.

# CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

15

16

19

Yeah, Commissioner Sojka? Thank you.

# MR. SOJKA:

Again, because there is going to be a public comment period, even though you and I have briefly discussed the issue I'm going to bring up, I think we ought to put it on the table here maybe to --- so others could expand upon it or maybe feel that we've had adequate answers.

First of all, I echo Commissioner Ginty's 12 comments. I think it's most appropriate to develop this, if you will, global approach so that we don't 14 have to deal with this on an ad hoc basis time after time. But what we've come up with, and I can't think of any better answer, is something that's somewhat 17 akin to marginal tax breaks, if you will. And we know 18 that those tax rates affect behaviors of taxpayers. And I look at the system, which I think is in an 20 effort to be fair, but it concerns me that it may, in 21 fact, affect behavior. And as these suppliers adopt 22 these behaviors for their own benefit, it may, in 23 fact, work to the detriment of the industry that we're trying to help here. Let me give you an example. 24 25 there are these steps up, would it not be to the

advantage of a supplier to sell right up to the threshold, particularly if they realize that they might gain just a little bit more by an additional 3 sell or two or supplying a little bit more, and they'll simply tell the end user that our licensee is sorry, we're back ordered, sorry, we're out of production, sorry, it will have to be next year, something of that sort, creating, if you will, a temporary but real shortage in supply, which 10 essentially isn't to anybody's advantage. Is there any way that you can try to address that issue that 11 you can share with us here so that others might be 12 able to weigh in on it? 13

## MS. HENSEL:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sure. We have built a number of protections into the policy. One is that we are going to look at the average monthly sales during the duration of the license term in calculating what the yearly gross income is. So, that should even out some of the spikes or low points in sales. And secondly, even if someone were to delay a sale on initial licensure, if they want to make it during their renewal term, it's ultimately going to catch up with them. We also have other protections built into this policy in that we are going to be requiring quarterly

sales, gross sales figures to be reported to the
Bureau of Licensing. We are also going to require
audited financials in terms of the sales in
Pennsylvania. And we will be reviewing disbursement
reports from the casinos that will indicate what the
actual sales are. At the end of the day it will be
within the Board's discretion to accept or not accept
a recommendation. So, if there were some gamesmanship
occurring, the Board certainly has the discretion to
deal with that.

## MR. SOJKA:

And one problem that we might have if we were to make a decision at that time might be complaints from the industry that suddenly, for reasons they don't understand, a product is not available.

## MS. HENSEL:

Correct.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

### MR. SOJKA:

We can connect the dots.

# MS. HENSEL:

And I believe that the market would respond to that. Companies have competitors that could step in.

# MR. SOJKA:

And of course, just to expand on that, this whole thing that I'm concerned with only would apply in a case where there, indeed, aren't competitors, where this is a single-source supplier.

In a free market, where there are competitors, obviously this would not be a problem. It's that case where if we had Pai Gow tiles when there's one supplier.

# MS. HENSEL:

Correct.

## CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions or comments? One thing occurred to me on how we can get the word out about this, I mean, does it help to send a letter out to the industry, to the operator themselves, to say, you know, this is a proposal we're going to take up at our next meeting or whatever, just to kind of put them on notice? I mean, obviously, they deal with these entities much more than --- and we know who they are much more than we do.

# MS. HENSEL:

We can certainly do that. We can also reach out to our existing list of manufacturers and suppliers.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Whatever you think makes the most sense. 1 2 But I do worry that if we just put it up on our 3 website, people are going to claim that they didn't understand the policy or didn't know it existed. 5 MS. HENSEL: 6 Sure. We'd be happy to do that. 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. All right. 8 Thank you very much. 10 MS. HENSEL: Okay. Moving on, the next matter for 11 12 your consideration is the approval of Key Employee 13 Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of 14 Licensing provided you with a proposed order for one 15 Key Employee for Presque Isle Downs, Inc. I ask that the Board consider the order approving this license. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Thank you. Any questions or comments 19 from Enforcement Counsel? 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 We have no objection to the request. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Thank you. Questions or comments from 24 the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 25 MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board 1 2 approve the issuance of a Key Employee License, as 3 described by the Bureau of Licensing. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Second? 6 MR. SOJKA: Second. CHAIRMAN: 8 9 All in favor? 10 AYES RESPOND 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? Motion passes. 13 MS. HENSEL: 14 Next for your consideration is the 15 issuance of Temporary Principal and Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of 16 Licensing provided you with an order regarding the 17 18 issuance of Temporary Licenses for one Principal and 19 17 Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the 20 order approving these credentials. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Enforcement Counsel, comments or 23 questions? 24 ATTORNEY PITRE: 25 No objection.

56

# 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Thank you. Questions from the Board? 3 Can I have a motion, please? 4 MR. SOJKA: 5 So moved. 6 MR. COY: Second. CHAIRMAN: 8 9 All in favor? 10 AYES RESPOND 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? Motion passes. MS. HENSEL: 13 14 We also have Gaming Permits and 15 Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 367 16 17 individuals who the Bureau has granted Occupation Permits to and 114 individuals who the Bureau has 18 19 granted Registrations to, under the authority 20 delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the 21 Board adopt a motion approving the order. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any questions from Enforcement Counsel? 24 ATTORNEY PITRE: 25 No questions. One comment with regard to

```
1 Mr. Malcolm McCann from Greenwood Gaming &
2 Entertainment. If the Board may remember, this was
3
  the individual that came before the Board in the past
  with the taser incident in the car, and the Board
  asked that a condition be placed on his license. I
  just want to reiterate the condition, and that
   condition, for the record, is that within six months
  that he register his Driver's License for the State of
  Pennsylvania. His license number is 47956-1. I just
10 want to put that on the record so the Bureau of
  Licensing is aware of that.
11
12
                CHAIRMAN:
13
                Thank you.
14
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
15
                Other than that, no objections.
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                Questions or comments from the Board?
18
   Commissioner Sojka?
19
                MR. SOJKA:
20
                Does that need to be redacted now?
21
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
22
                No, it doesn't.
23
                MR. SOJKA:
                Driver's License's numbers are okay.
24
25
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
```

Everything is fine. We're just going to 1 keep track of him and make sure he does that. 2 3 MR. SOJKA: I just want to make sure we weren't 4 revealing something we should not. 5 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Can I have a motion, please? 8 MR. COY: 9 So moved. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Second? 12 MR. GINTY: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? 16 AYES RESPOND 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? Motion passes. 19 MS. HENSEL: 20 We also have Recommendations of Denial 21 for nine gaming and three non-gaming employees. 22 to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you 23 with orders addressing these applicants who the Bureau 24 of Investigations and Enforcement (BIE) has 25 recommended for denial. In each of these the

applicant failed to request a hearing in the specified time period. I ask that the Board consider the orders 2 3 denying the gaming and non-gaming applicants. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions from Enforcement Counsel? 6 ATTORNEY PITRE: 7 Enforcement Counsel would recommend denial of each one of those incidents. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Thank you. Questions from the Board? Seeing none, could I have a motion, please? 11 12 MR. SOJKA: So moved. 13 14 MR. COY: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? Motion passes. 21 MS. HENSEL: 22 Next, we have withdrawal requests for Key 23 Employees. In each case, the license is no longer 24 required due to such circumstances as the employee 25 failing to report to work or the job offer being

```
60
  rescinded. For today's meeting, I have provided the
1
2 Board with a list of 24 Key Employees, and I ask that
3
  the Board consider the order approving this list of
   withdrawals.
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Thank you. Questions from Enforcement
6
   Counsel?
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
8
9
                 No objection.
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Thank you. Any questions from the Board?
   Can I have a motion, please?
12
13
                 MR. COY:
14
                 So moved.
15
                 MR. GINTY:
                 Second.
16
17
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
18
19
  AYES RESPOND
20
                 CHAIRMAN:
21
                 Opposed? Motion passes.
22
                 MS. HENSEL:
23
                 Finally, we have an order to certify the
24
   following gaming service providers: Absolute
25 Electrical Contracting, Inc., Genesis Associates,
```

```
61
1 McPhee Electric, Limited, Philip M. Casciano
  Associates, Inc. and R.W. Sidley, Inc. I ask that the
3
  board consider the order approving these gaming
   service providers for certification.
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
6
                 Thank you. Any comments from Enforcement
   Counsel?
8
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
9
                 Enforcement Counsel recommends approval
10
   in each one of these.
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Thank you. Questions from the Board?
   Can I have a motion?
13
14
                 MR. GINTY:
15
                 So moved.
                 MR. MCCABE:
16
17
                 Second.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 All in favor?
20
   AYES RESPOND
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 Opposed?
                           Motion passes.
23
                 MS. HENSEL:
24
                 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's
25
   presentation.
```

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Susan. Thank you,
Sean. Next up is our Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus
Pitre. I see the first matter under OEC is proposed
Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington
Trotting Association. And welcome, Counselor. And
when you're ready, Enforcement Counsel, you may begin.

#### ATTORNEY POWERS:

Thank you, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. We do, in fact, have a Consent Agreement between the OEC and ---.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Counselor, I'm sorry, could you say your name for the stenographer?

## ATTORNEY POWERS:

Sorry. Melissa Powers, P-O-W-E-R-S, from the OEC. We do have a Consent Agreement between OEC and the Meadows Racetrack and Casino. The Agreement involves one incident of underage gaming and two incidents of underage access to the gaming floor.

On June 25th, 2010, a 20-year-old patron entered the Meadows gaming floor and placed wagers in a slot machine for approximately one hour. On July 24th, 2010, two children, ages 9 and 11, walked past two security officers and entered the gaming floor

with their parent. And on November 27th a three-old-2 child, with their parent, entered the gaming floor, walking past two security officers. Following a 3 period of discussions between the Meadows, the Bureau of Casino Compliance and the OEC, Meadows has taken multiple steps to address these issues, including additional training for its staff and discipline for the employees involved in these incidents. addition, the parties have agreed that within five 10 days of the Board's order, Meadows shall pay a fine in the amount of \$30,000. The fine is consistent with 11 fines levied against other licensed facilities in the 12 past, and if approved, would be the second fine levied 13 14 against the Meadows for incidents involving underage 15 violations. The OEC recommends that the Board approve 16 this agreement.

## CHAIRMAN:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Counsel. Any questions or comments from the Board? I have one. I saw in the information that we had reviewed, Ms. Jones, that at least one of the women made a reference to a child's party, that she was attending a child's party. We couldn't get confirmation whether that was accurate or not. And she also made a comment that she was going to the Folio Room for this party. I am aware --- the

Board's aware that the Meadows does have a bowling alley, which we understand, you know, may be the site of children's parties and other parties, but we weren't sure, number one, whether that was an accurate statement, and number two, whether there is another area where children's parties are held, this Folio Room. Could you expound on that, please?

## ATTORNEY JONES:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

The issue, she was trying to cross the floor to go to the bowling alley. She was getting a little confused as to where the party was and was actually a little belligerent with the guards. So, the misunderstanding was that she was providing information to them. As far as my understanding, the bowling alley tried to direct her. It was --- there was an issue there.

# CHAIRMAN:

So you were not aware that this Folio Room is the site of children's parties?

# ATTORNEY JONES:

No, not to my knowledge.

#### CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you. Any other questions?

24 Can I have a motion, please?

# MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move the Board issue 1 2 an order to approve the Consent Agreement between the 3 OEC and Washington Trotting Association, as described by Enforcement Counsel. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Second? MR. SOJKA: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? 11 AYES RESPOND 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Opposed? Motion passes. 14 ATTORNEY POWERS: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Thank you very much. The second matter 18 is also a proposed Consent Agreement. This one is 19 between an OEC and Holding Acquisition Company, which 20 operates the Rivers Casino. I see Rivers is 21 represented by Counsel. And Enforcement Counsel, you 22 may begin. 23 ATTORNEY POWERS: 24 Thank you. This agreement involves seven 25 | incidents where underage patrons entered the gaming

1 floor and placed wagers at Rivers Casino. On June 3rd, June 13th, August 21st, September 11th and September 26 in 2010 and on January 1st, 2011 six 3 different patrons under the age of 21 entered the Rivers gaming floor and placed wagers with slot machines. On August 28th, 2010, a 20-year-old patron entered the gaming floor and gamed at two of the Blackjack tables. Following a series of discussions between Rivers, Casino Compliance and the OEC, Rivers has taken multiple steps to address these incidents, 10 including additional training for employees and 11 disciplinary action against the employees involved in 12 these incidents. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

At the Board meeting of January 6th of 2011, the OEC and Rivers presented a Consent Agreement involving six of these incidents, wherein Rivers was going to build a partial wall --- two partial walls at two different entrances in order to narrow the access to the gaming floor. The Board did not approve that agreement. Therefore, we're here today to present an agreement wherein Rivers will pay a monetary fine. The parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's order, Rivers shall pay a fine in the amount of \$105,000 for all seven incidents. The fine is consistent with fines levied against other licensed

facilities, and if approved, will be the second fine levied against Rivers for incidents involving underage violations. The OEC recommends approval of the agreement.

#### CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Mr. Sklar, do you have any comments?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

I would just refer back to during the January 6th hearing the testimony of Rhonda Gilchrist, who is the Vice-President of Compliance. She went through some of the measures that were instituted since some of these incidents, and they included training with security guards analyzing identifications to flag to what to look for to determine if there's a fake ID and the --- during high-volume days, the Rivers has instituted measures to try to capture people outside before they come into the facility. Otherwise, they were to take this very seriously and were attempting to put measures in to prevent this from happening in the future. But I will say just for the record I don't know --- no matter what measures you put in place, nothing I think is going to be foolproof. And the policy that's in place now is a strict liability, and I think in certain

instances there has to be a recognition that these 1 2 fines are escalating and they're starting to get --you know, there's a dramatic impact on operations. 3 But at a certain point there has to be a recognition that there's only so much that the operators can do and right now, on the flipside, the punishment that's levied typically is a trespass violation, which I don't think has too much teeth. I don't know that that would necessarily prevent underage individuals 10 from trying to gain access. And maybe if there was a little bit more teeth to the punishment --- for 11 example, I believe the policy in New Jersey the loss 12 of Driver's License. I think that would certainly be 1.3 14 helpful to the operator, you know, in terms of trying 15 to minimize and ultimately prevent these types of incidents in the future. 16

## CHAIRMAN:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Any comments from the Board?

### MR. GINTY:

You realize we don't have the authority to take away someone's license, so what are you going to go about it?

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

There's nothing that we can do either in 25 terms of confiscating or taking away a license.

would hope that some of the district attorneys would take that step and, from our perspective, you know, with the underage individuals who are coming in by themselves, we can only --- there's really not much that we can do to punish them. If they come in with their parents, then, you know, possibly take --- we, as the operator, civilly could potentially try to go against the parent.

## MR. GINTY:

I think it's a great idea. But you know, it's one thing to sit there and say it, but I mean, you have the whole industry out there. If they got behind it, you might get some legislation and you might get some local laws changed to do it. But their saying it doesn't get it done.

# MR. SOJKA:

It seems to me that if you look at the size of these fines, this last one is \$15,000 per incident. And I think you're absolutely correct. If you want to start charting these fees, you can probably count on the fact that they're going to go up. That means that those people have materially damaged your entity. Don't you have some recourse individually against them?

# ATTORNEY SKLAR:

I don't know --- against the minors, I don't know if we would have recourse or not. I'm not sure what we could do. I think certainly the parents who are bringing in an underage --- I think that that would certainly be a --- something to look at. I think that would send a message.

#### MR. SOJKA:

But there's a --- again, there's a kind of unusual situation here. Some parents --- this example with the other operator, where a mother has children and she's moving across the floor to do something. I don't think there's any intent to break the law there, and yet you say, well, there's one that we could jump in, whereas if you have a 20-year-old who looks 35 and has a false ID and tries to sneak by you, there's intent there to beat you and to beat us. And I don't know, it seems to me that's the one you go for.

## ATTORNEY SKLAR:

I agree. Personally, the

three-month-old --- I don't think --- that's the type

of incident where I don't think a fine is warranted in

those types of circumstances, you know, if someone

made a mistake and went the wrong direction. You

know, I think that those kinds of things, I mean, it's

difficult for the operators to absolutely positively ensure that, you know, someone with a three-month-old doesn't wander onto the floor.

#### CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

23

24

Back to Commissioner Ginty's point, then 5 I'll get off this. I mean, this is a legislative remedy. And certainly, you all have lobbyists and lawyers working for each of the individual operators. And you know, if this is an issue that, you know, you 10 thought was worth pursuing, I would suggest you talk Just as a Board, we also have talked about, 11 to them. 12 you know, increasing somehow the penalties that exist 13 today for trespass, and we're not sure that that's 14 being done. The fines that can be levied are levied. 15 The prosecution that can be taken against these kids are being taken. And we will attempt to do what we 16 17 can to make sure that's happening. As far as changing the law to, you know, take somebody's license, 18 obviously, as Commissioner Ginty said, that's beyond 19 20 our pay rate, and it's a legislative problem. 21 having said that, if there are no other questions, 22 could I have a motion, please?

## MR. SOJKA:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the 25 Board issue an order to approve the Consent Agreement

72 between the Office of Enforcement Counsel and Holdings 1 2 Acquisition, as described by Enforcement Counsel. 3 MR. MCCABE: Second. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, 10 Counsel. Cyrus? 11 ATTORNEY PITRE: 12 The next matter will be presented by Dustin Miller. These are two individuals that are 13 14 seeking to be placed on the Exclusion List. 15 CHAIRMAN: Good morning, Dustin. 16 17 ATTORNEY MILLER: Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of 18 19 the board. Dustin Miller on behalf of the OEC. 20 next matter is a request for placement on the Board's 21 Excluded Persons List today involving Sharon Balek. 22 If she's here today, she can come forward. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Is Sharon Balek here? Okay. 25 ATTORNEY MILLER:

The OEC filed a petition to place Ms. 1 2 Balek on the Exclusion List for leaving her child and 3 her child's half sister inside her car for five hours while she went into the casino and played slot machines at Parx Casino. The petition was filed on October 21st, 2010. The petition was properly served upon Ms. Balek to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against her by both certified and first-class mail. Ms. Balek did not respond to the 10 filing in any way. Due to Ms. Balek's failure to 11 respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to 12 be admitted as fact and her right to a hearing has been waived. 13

On January 11th, 2010, the OEC filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Ms. Balek on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

### CHAIRMAN:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Could I have a motion, please?

# MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board issue an order to approve the addition of Sharon Balek to the PGCB Exclusion List, as described by the OEC.

## MR. COY:

74 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 3 AYES RESPOND 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Opposed? Motion passes. ATTORNEY MILLER: 8 The final request for placement on the Board's Excluded Persons List today involves Ryan 10 McCauley. And I do believe Mr. McCauley is here today. He should come forward at this time. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Mr. McCauley, ---14 MR. MCCAULEY: 15 Yeah. 16 CHAIRMAN: --- could you just stand for a second and 17 18 we'll swear you in? 19 20 RYAN MCCAULEY, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED 21 AS FOLLOWS: 22 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Thank you. Please have a seat. OEC, you 25 may begin.

### ATTORNEY MILLER:

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The OEC filed a petition to 2 Thank you. 3 place Mr. McCauley on the Excluded List for bet packing while he was playing roulette at Harrah's Chester Casino. A petition was filed on November 22nd, 2010. The petition was properly served upon Mr. McCauley to the address listed on the criminal complaint filed against him by both certified and first-class mail. Mr. McCauley did not respond to the 10 filing in any way. Due to Mr. McCauley's failure to respond, the averments in the petition are deemed to 11 be admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing has 12 been waived. 13

On January 27th, 2011, the OEC filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the placement of Mr. McCauley on the Board's Excluded Persons List.

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Mr. McCauley, do you have any statement or comments for the Board?

A. Yes. I was in Miami. I'm a salesman, and we just bought a company out down there, so I was down there for a month-and-a-half. And the mailing address for the documents is my parents' house, and I live in Manayunk, which is part of Philadelphia. So, I didn't

receive the letters. And as soon as I got it, I called --- I forget the name.

### CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Cook.

A. Mr. Cook. I called Mr. Cook to let him know everything. He said to appear here. I went to trial. What happened was I won like \$12,000 at Harrah's, and I paid 517 spins. And they found in one hand I post bet on black. But I had the dealer and the pit bosses took my defense. I wasn't stealing. I'm not a thief, you know. My only wrong action was being at a casino in the middle of the night on a Monday, and I learned my lesson. It was a one-time thing. I'm not like some criminal that was trying to withdraw or steal from a casino. You know, that's what I have to say.

### CHAIRMAN:

Counsel?

### ATTORNEY MILLER:

I mean, the documents were properly served. According to our reports, this actually occurred on two occasions, the same night he post bet --- bet packed four \$500 chips on two occasions after the dealer had said no more bets, which netted in an additional winning of \$4,000. He was charged with a felony theft offense. And according to the

criminal records I reviewed, he entered the ARD program on that offense on January 19th, and is in that program for 12 months and must perform community service.

### CHAIRMAN:

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

21

22

24

25

Any questions, comments from the Board? Commissioner McCabe?

### MR. MCCABE:

Have you had a hearing yet?

Mr. Chairman, I think it would be

### ATTORNEY COOK:

12 appropriate to remand this matter to the OHA. A. One thing I was going to say, with the felony ---13 14 I had two felony charges. The one was withdrawn, was 15 dropped. And the only reason I entered the ARD program was I didn't want to have a record if it went 17 to trial. And with that ARD program, you know, you 18 don't have a record. And I have a pretty good job I'm not trying to lose. So, my future depends on the 19 20 majority so I'll be able to pay for the fine.

### CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Mr. McCauley, what I think 23 we're going to do here is to remand this to our OHA. I would like to ask, if the Board agrees to do that, that you make sure we have a current address, current

78

phone number.

Α. Yes.

2.

3

4

# CHAIRMAN:

And if anything changes --- it's up to you to notify us if either that address or that phone 6 number changes until you appear before the OHA and also back before this Board at some subsequent point. So the burden is on you to give them that information today and also to update that information if it changes before this matter is finally determined.

Okay? 11

10

20

23

12 Α. Okay.

#### 13 CHAIRMAN:

14 Having said all that, ---.

15 I'm moving to Miami in two months for my job, so

is that trial going to be sometime ---? 16

#### 17 CHAIRMAN:

18 That's up to them, so ---

19 Α. Okay.

# CHAIRMAN:

21 --- we're not going to get into that here

22 today. Can I have a motion?

### MR. COY:

24 Yes. Mr. Chairman, I'll move the Board

25 issue an order to remand this matter to the OHA for a full evidentiary hearing on OEC's petition to place Ryan McCauley on the PGCB Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

15

16

18

19

20

22

# CHAIRMAN:

11 Opposed? Motion passes. And Mr.

12 McCauley, again, just make sure we have all your

13 updated information.

14 Thank you. Α.

### CHAIRMAN:

Our last order of business today is to 17 have Commissioner Coy's replacement on the Board, my friend, Keith McCall, sworn in to become the newest member of the Gaming Control Board. Before we actually do that, I want to formally welcome Keith to 21 the Board. And I think I speak for the entire Board when I say that we look forward to working with you 23 and having you as a member of this body. Having known 24 you for a number of years, I'm quite sure that your 25 intellect and wealth of knowledge not only of the

gaming industry and the law and also on how the whole legislative process works will serve the Board well, and I welcome you. Before you do come up, I'd just like to recognize Commissioner Coy.

### MR. COY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I think most people know that I gave some farewell remarks at the last meeting, so I will not repeat those. But the purpose of me to say a few words is to say a few words about Speaker McCall, Representative McCall, my friend, Keith McCall, upon this event.

Very candidly, I have read one or two reports recently in the news media which, in sum, may have questioned an appointment or not, but let me say as someone who served with Representative McCall for 22 years in the State House of Representatives, and I think the Chairman served for part of that time with both of us also, I just want to say that before anybody rushes to judgment on whether or not Speaker McCall is qualified, I want to point out a couple facts for the record.

Fact number one, Keith McCall was elected 14 times by the people of Carbon County by wide margins to be their representative. He was unanimously elected Speaker of the House of

1 Representatives by his 202 other colleagues. No one voted no. And after the death of Lieutenant Governor Catherine Baker Knoll, Speaker McCall was third in 3 line of succession to be the Governor of Pennsylvania. Now, I think that should set anybody's record straight about qualifications, if necessary, to serve on this Board. And I aver to all of you, my colleagues, and to all of you who are here in this company that Representative Keith McCall will be a sterling member 10 of this Board and will serve continually, as he has in the past, the best interests of the people of 11 Pennsylvania. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. 12

### CHAIRMAN:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Commissioner Coy. And with that, Keith, if you could please some up in front here of Susan Clock (phonetic), our able administrator, Susan, and Mickey Kane. The floor is yours.

OATH ADMINISTERED

# CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Jeffrey, thank you. Okay.

# MR. MCCALL:

First of all, let me say thank you to
Representative Coy for those touching remarks. You're
kind of calloused to a lot of the things that get said
about you or things that you read about when you serve

in public life, but I do appreciate the fact that Jeff came to my defense, so to speak. But I just want to say that I am honored for the privilege to serve on 3 this Board. And I can only really echo the remarks by Commissioner McCabe when he talked about this Board at its infancy, he and many of these members as charter members, but this Board has been the gold standard throughout the country. And I think that is something to say about the character of the men and women who 10 serve on it. And again, I feel honored for the privilege and hope that I can rise to that gold 11 standard with my knowledge and ability and my service 12 13 in public life in bringing that expertise to this 14 Board to maintain that level of integrity and to 15 maintain that level of regulation that you have established in this Commonwealth that has been the 16 gold standard for the entire country when it comes to 17 gaming. So, with that being said, it is certainly my 18 honor and privilege to join all of you and my hope 19 20 that I can live up to the tradition that this Board 21 has set in its infancy.

### CHAIRMAN:

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Commissioner McCall, again, having known you for a number of years, I have no doubt that you will be able to live up to that

standard, and thank you and welcome.

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before concluding today's business, I'd also be remiss if I didn't publicly recognize a soon-to-be added another Commissioner, Tony Moscato. Tony, if you would please stand to be recognized.

Tony has been recently appointed to replace Commissioner McCabe and will be seated at our next meeting. Actually, I think Tony will be unofficially sworn in on Monday and then officially sworn in at our next meeting. And I know you bring years of experience as a County Commissioner and also somebody who has walked the halls of Harrisburg, and we look forward to your experience and insight on the 14 Board.

That concludes today's business. closing, our next scheduled public meeting will be held Thursday, February 24th, in the North Office Building. And that meeting will also start at 9:30 a.m. And I believe, Commissioner Sojka, you may have one last comment.

### MR. SOJKA:

Very briefly. But everyone in this room is deeply interested one way or another in gaming, either as a member of government, as a member of the staff, a member of the media, the general public,

```
1 members of the industry. And I think you probably all
  realize that this is a watershed moment for this
  agency because when Commissioners Coy and McCabe leave
3
  the dais that will be the termination, if you will, of
5 the founding members of the original Board, the people
  who put this in motion. So, I would simply remind
   everyone that though this moment may be the end of the
  beginning, it definitely is not the beginning of the
   end.
10
                CHAIRMAN:
11
                Well said. May I have a motion to
12
   adjourn?
13
                MR. COY:
14
                So moved.
15
                CHAIRMAN:
16
                Thank you.
                             Second?
17
                MR. GINTY:
                Second.
18
19
20
               MEETING CONCLUDED AT 12:20 P.M.
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before the PA Gaming Control Board, was reported by me on 02/10/2011 and that I Cynthia Piro-Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Zoart Reporter