COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

PUBLIC MEETING

BEFORE: Gregory C. Fajt, Chairman

Raymond S. Angeli, Jeffrey W. Coy,

James B. Ginty, Kenneth T. McCabe,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth Trujillo,

Ex-Officio Members

David Barasch, Deputy Secretary of the

Department of Revenue, Representative;

Daniel Tufano, representing Russell

Redding, Secretary of Agriculture;

Aviv Bliwas, Esquire, representing the

Honorable Robert McCord, State Treasurer

HEARING: November 18, 2010

1:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Temple University

234 Strawberry Square

Walnut Street & North 4th Street

Harrisburg, PA 17101

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
3
                    APPEARANCES
 1
 2
                         (Continued)
 3
4 F. WARREN JACOBY, ESQUIRE
5 Cozen O'Connor
 6 1900 Market Street
7 Philadelphia, PA 19103
8
      REPRESENTING FOXWOODS
9
10 ROBERT A. GRACI, ESQUIRE
11 Eckert, Seamans, Cherin & Mellott, LLC
12 213 Market Street
13 P.O. Box 1248
14 Harrisburg, PA 17108
15
     REPRESENTING FOXWOODS
16
17 WILLIAM J. DOWNEY, III, ESQUIRE
18 Fox Rothschild, LLP
19 Midtown Building
20 Suite 400
21 1301 Atlantic Avenue
22 Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212
23
      REPRESENTING HARRAH'S CHESTER DOWNS
24
25
```

		4
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairperson Fajt 5	
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney Downey 8 - 10	
7	PRESENTATION	
8	By Attorney Jacoby 11 - 12	
9	By Mr. Rhen 13	
10	By Ms. Knavel 14	
11	By Attorney Yocum 15 - 18	
12	By Attorney Sherman 18 - 25	
13	By Attorney Jacoby 27	
14	QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD 27 - 54	
15	PRESENTATION	
16	By Attorney Cook 66 - 81	
17	By Ms. Hensel 82 - 91	
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

CHAIRMAN:

Good afternoon, everybody. I'm Greg
Fajt, Chairman of the Gaming Control Board. And as is
our normal practice, I'd just like to ask everybody to
turn off their PDAs. They tend to interfere with our
communication system here.

Joining us today is David Barasch,
ex-officio designee representing Revenue Secretary Dan
Hassell. Dan Tufano is joining us. He is
representing Secretary of Agriculture Russell Redding.
And Aviv Bliwas is here in the audience, representing
State Treasurer Rob McCord. Thank you all for being
here today.

All members being present, I'd like to call this meeting to order. And as the first order of business, please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED.

CHAIRMAN:

Before we get into the official agenda today and the official order of business, it's my understanding that PEDP Foxwoods has made a filing, I believe, with our Office of Hearing and Appeals late yesterday or today, and we would appreciate an update

on that filing.

1

2

25

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

3 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My name is Fred Jacoby. I'm with Cozen O'Connor. I have with me Mr. Graci from Eckert Seamans and Bill Downey from Fox Rothschild, who's Counsel for Harris. I'll make this 6 7 quick. I know our time is limited. Hopefully, just being here is limited. At the conclusion of the last hearing, the Board said it would take under advisement ruling on the motions for summary judgment, and we 10 know that's the reason we're here today. We took your 11 instructions seriously. We have been working 24/7 12 through the weekends in trying to get this deal 13 papered with five law firms, and it's just a work in 14 progress. This is just an example of the documents 15 that we're having to deal with. We have every 16 17 expectation to have it done sooner rather than later. It's just taking more time than we envisioned. 18 At the hearing also, we were directed to 19 20 pay \$362,000 in sanctions. They were paid on time. 21 And I want to report that so far we have paid \$662,000 22 in sanctions to date, which I hope is some evidence of our ability to raise money when we have to. 23 Following the meeting, we conferred with 24

the Board and Staff and with BIE and we discussed

various issues with them, and we tried to have a 1 2 commitment to get everything to you by today --- or before today. I'm sorry to say we can't. This deal is a complicated deal. It involves restructuring financing. It involves multiple partnership levels. It involves capital structures that require tax issues, which have been challenging. I think we've solved all of these at this point. We're just having to pay for them and substantial due diligence by Harrah's, obviously. At the end of the day, we're 10 trying to raise \$75 million in equity and \$200 million 11 in project debt to build the casino, and we believe 12 that we're there. We had hoped to have everything 13 signed by this morning, but we can't. We do expect, 14 however, to have the papers into the Board, including 15 applications for change of control, an application for 16 an extension of time, to the extent necessary, an 17 application for a change in design. I can't tell you 18 how many thousands of hours have been spent since the 19 20 27th. I know you're not here to hear that from us today, but that's the truth. 21

With me today is Bill Downey, who is a regulatory counselor for Harrah's, who will provide you with our present status and future plans. We had sent to the Board, and I believe you have copies in

22

23

24

25

front of you, up some renderings and plans, and Mr. Downey will speak to them and answer your questions about them to the extent you have them. Bill?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Thanks, Fred. Good afternoon, Mr.

Chairman, members of the Board. For the record, I'm

Bill Downey. I represent Bally's Casino --- Bally's

Midwest Casino, Inc. and a series of subsidiaries that

have been formed by Harrah's operating company for the

purposes hopefully of engaging in the transaction that

I know you were updated on at the last meeting.

As Fred indicated, we have moved heaven and earth to try to get this deal papered and in front of you for today's meeting, and unfortunately we were just not able to hit the deadline. But I thought what might be helpful is if I give you a quick flavor of what we have either ready to go or very close to ready to go just to give you some sense of the effort that we've been engaged in over the last three weeks.

In final form or in close to final form we have the following materials, a Notice of Intent to Transfer, which we know we owe to the Bureau of Licensing. We have four petitions prepared. They are --- they seek to change control, modify the facility, extend the time within which to make slots

available to December of 2012 and for approval of a management agreement and the addition of Harrah's as a manager of the project. We have License Applications sitting in boxes in my office and the offices of Duane Morris for 13 Harrah's, Apollo or TPG entities that are implied for licensure by these transactions and 31 individuals from Harrah's, Apollo and TPG who were implied for licensure.

In addition, before you today, you have a series of renderings and site plans for what we will propose to you as Phase 1A and 1B of the project. And I want to point out that these represent not a rectangle on a piece of paper but a process that is quite far down the line in our development and construction consideration. We have construction timelines ready to go for Phase 1A and 1B. 1A has a high degree of granularity. 1B is a sliding timeline, which would be based upon our go date.

And finally, we have in our possession two highly confident letters from well-known and respected lending institutions speaking to the financability of the construction financing, the construction obligations. In addition to all of that, which is there, we have a series of approximately 30 agreements. Fred has, for dramatic effect, provided a

pile for us. Most of these agreements, frankly, in all respects, are ready to go. What we have remaining in process, we've got to finish up before we're prepared to submit our full package to you. agreements that we must include include a Partnership Purchase Agreement, a Limited Partnership Agreement and a Management Agreement. They are the --- I guess, effectively, the Holy Trinity of this deal, and we remain --- we remain convinced that we can get this done.

Just to reiterate what Fred pointed out, we've got an awful lot of businesspeople and an awful lot of lawyers exerting significant effort and --- to address the remaining issues. And for Harrah's part, I want to represent the following to you, that we have come an awfully far way down the road that was set for us both by the term sheet that we established on the 22nd, but frankly, by your willingness on the 27th of September --- or October rather, to provide time to get this deal done. We need more time to get to the finish line. What we can promise you is that if you determine to give us that time, we will continue over the next 30 days to commit the resources that are necessary to give the transaction its best chances of happening. With that, I hand it back over to Fred.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

1

2

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Just briefly, then I'll conclude, what we're asking for today is for the Board to continue to 3 defer ruling on the motions. We believe that that's Armageddon. All that does is throw this whole thing in two or three years of litigation. We're very close 6 to having what we think is an exciting and attractive casino, as outlined in those plans that you're looking at in the drawings. We have been working 24/7 through weekends, and we'll continue to do so until this thing 10 is papered. Obviously, if before the 16th, we have 11 everything finalized, we'll submit it to the Board and BIE at that time and meet with the Board and BIE, whatever we have to do. We've been in constant 14 contact with Cyrus and his team. 15

All I can tell you is that I know you've been patient. I know I've heard the word frustrated a great deal. I know you, Mr. Chairman, have said we need to get your attention. We don't have your attention. I think certainly our conduct, at least with respect to the term sheet and Harrah's, has shown that you have our attention. We responded immediately and paid a substantial assessment. We've always done that. And we ask you to recognize our efforts, albeit later than you would have liked to have seen them. Wе

1 think that the citizens of the state and the city and 2 the people who work in Philadelphia will reap substantial bounty by the jobs to construct and 3 operate the casino, and we ask you to give us that time to get this done. We are just about there. Thank you for your consideration and patience. 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Office of Enforcement 8 Counsel, Mr. Pitre, Mr. Miller, any comments? 9 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 Nothing, other than we stand by our 12 request regarding the summary judgment motion. 13 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Thank you both. 14 ATTORNEY MILLER: 15 Thank you. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 By way of announcements, the Board held an executive session today, just prior to this 19 20 meeting. The purpose of today's executive session was 21 to discuss personnel matters and to discuss pending 22 litigation with its Counsel, as well as to conduct quasi judicial deliberations relating to matters 23 coming before the Board today. 24 25 Our first order of business is an agency

financial report by our budget manager, Dave Rhen. Dave?

MR. RHEN:

1

2.

3

4

22

25

Good afternoon. My report today focuses on the results through the end of October. Spending 6 to date this fiscal year totaled \$10.5 million. By category, expenses break down of \$8.5 million, or 81 percent, for personnel, and \$2 million, or 19 percent, for operations.

10 During the first third of the fiscal year, the Board has expended 29 percent of its 11 12 appropriation. For the month of October, spending totaled \$4.1 million, including \$3.3 million for three 13 payrolls recorded during the month and \$790,000 for 14 operations. The Board's largest operating 15 expenditures for the year include \$776,000 for rentals 16 and leases, \$426,000 for professionals, specialized 17 and legal services, \$305,000 for investigative 18 database searches and other operating expenses, 19 20 \$213,000 for telecommunications and \$157,000 for 21 travel.

CHAIRMAN:

23 Thank you, Dave. Any questions from the 24 Board? Thank you very much.

MR. RHEN:

Thanks.

1

3

5

6

16

20

24

25

2 CHAIRMAN:

Next is Stacey Knavel to present our Local Law Enforcement Grants. Welcome, Stacey.

MS. KNAVEL:

Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. I have two applications for Local Law Enforcement Grants totaling \$177,488 to present to you today. They are the Armstrong County 10 District Attorney for \$33,488 and the Washington County District Attorney for \$144,000. These 11 12 applications have been reviewed by the appropriate 13 staff, have been determined to be in compliance with 14 the grant program, and are recommended for your approval. 15

CHAIRMAN:

17 Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none, could I have a motion, 18 please? 19

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 21 22 approve the Local Law Enforcement Grants as described by our Budget Manager, Stacey Knavel. 23

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes. Thank you, Stacey. Next up is our Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good afternoon, Chairman and members of the Board. Our first two agenda matters relate to a temporary regulation and a proposed final regulation, which Assistant Counsel Susan Yocum will present.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Chairman Fajt, Commissioners, you have before you today Temporary Regulation 125-136. This rulemaking amends the chapters on Mini-Baccarat and Midi-Baccarat, adding the Dragon Bonus wager. Also added is the six-card bonus wager and three-card poker. These side bets were requested by the operators and are currently offered in other gaming jurisdictions. I'd be happy to answer any questions you have.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

Could I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt

Temporary Regulation Number 125-136, that the Board establish a public comment period of 30 days for this temporary regulation and that the regulation be posted on the Board's website.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

12 AYES RESPOND

13 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

The next rulemaking is 125-137. With the passage of table game legislation in January, the Board, as you know, was given authority to promulgate temporary regulations. However, those regulations expire two years from the date they're published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin. We've, therefore, begun the process of transitioning our temporary table games into proposed and final-form rulemakings. This is the first regulation package to go proposed. It addresses the design, storage and inventory requirements for all

```
cards, dice, gaming chips, shufflers, shakers and
1
2
  tiles utilized in the licensed facility.
  publication as a final-form rulemaking, this
3
  regulation will supersede the temporary regulation on
   table game equipment found in Chapter 523. I'd be
  happy to answer any questions.
6
 7
                CHAIRMAN:
                Thank you, Susan. Any questions from the
8
  Board?
10
                MR. GINTY:
                A quick question, Susan.
11
                                           These are
  proposed regulations, so they go off to comment?
12
                ATTORNEY YOCUM:
13
                That's right.
14
15
                MR. GINTY:
                We get them back again, and then we issue
16
17
   final-form regulations?
                ATTORNEY YOCUM:
18
19
                       We make any changes that we feel
                Yeah.
20
  are necessary to the proposed, and then it goes into
21 final-form.
22
                MR. GINTY:
                Final-form, okay. Mr. Chairman, I move
23
   that the Board adopt Proposed Regulation Number
24
25 \mid 125-137, that the Board establish a public comment
```

period of 30 days for the proposed regulation and that this regulation be posted on the Board's website.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

9 AYES RESPOND

10 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

11 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,

12 Susan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

24

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

14 Today there are three petitions or motions before the Board for its consideration. 15 two motions are the motions for summary judgment, 17 which have been pending related to the Philadelphia 18 | Entertainment and Development Partners' project and the OEC Motion for Summary Judgment, seeking 19 20 revocation of that license, as well as the PEDP Motion 21 for Summary Judgment to deny the complaint and 22 revocation. In addition, the Board has before it a petition by Mount Airy seeking approval of Anthony 23

Ceddia to serve on Mount Airy's Independent Audit

25 | Committee. All of these matters are considered upon

1 the documentary records, as well as the argument and 2 materials previously considered by the Board with respect to the PEDP issues.

3

20

21

22

23

24

First, as to the pending petition, Mount Airy has filed a petition to approve Anthony Ceddia to 6 serve on the Independent Audit Committee. By way of background, on October 2nd, 2007, the Board adopted criteria to be followed by licensees required to have an Audit Committee. Among other things, it 10 established certain requirements necessary to be met in order for a person to serve on an Independent Audit 11 Committee of a licensed facility. In this matter, 12 Mount Airy petitioned to appoint Doctor Ceddia as a 13 14 member of that committee. Doctor Ceddia was formerly appointed back in February of 2008 to serve as the 15 16 Board's appointed trustee to safeguard the 17 Commonwealth's interest in overseeing the operation of 18 | Mount Airy during a period of suspension of the principal. 19

The Office of Enforcement Counsel has responded and raised three issues for the Board's consideration relative to this appointment, first, whether or not Doctor Ceddia has a material relationship with Mount Airy, as that term is defined 25 in the Board's criteria. Second, whether the

20

```
1 appointment of Doctor Ceddia would be construed as
2
   impacting his ability to be independent in the
  function. And third, whether or not the
3
  post-employment restrictions which were formulated as
5 a two-year post employment restriction under the
6 current version of the Act would apply to bar Doctor
  Ceddia from this position. Again, there are no
8 factual issues in dispute. It's a matter of
  interpretation for this Board, and it's appropriate
10 for your consideration.
11
                CHAIRMAN:
12
                Thank you. Any questions or comments
   from the Board? Commissioner Sojka?
13
                MR. SOJKA:
14
15
                      Just to touch that last point, when
                Yes.
  precisely did Doctor Ceddia cease to be our trustee?
16
17
                ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
18
                His final report to the Board was
  November 3rd, 2009, so over a year ago.
19
20
                MR. SOJKA:
21
                Thank you.
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                Any other questions or comments?
   Commissioner Trujillo?
24
25
                MR. TRUJILLO:
```

Thank you. My understanding, the Audit Committee members are not --- do they owe a fiduciary duty to Mount Airy or are they providing purely an audit function?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

22

They are independent auditors to assure that Mount Airy is performing its functions in accordance with the standards and guidelines set up by this Board and by law.

MR. TRUJILLO:

They're not acting, as I understand it, as the typical Board of Director might be with respect to a company.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

I don't think that would make them 15 independent, as we require, so you're correct. 16

MR. TRUJILLO:

Exactly. The other question I had with respect to the role, are there other audit committee 20 members other than the one proposed here, Doctor 21 Ceddia?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The Audit Committee of Mount Airy is 23 formed and it's chartered at three members. So, there 24 25 are two other individuals as well.

MR. TRUJILLO:

So, if for any reason one Audit Committee member could not act on a particular matter, there are two others to act on it?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

There are two other individuals determined to be also independent.

MR. TRUJILLO:

That's all I have, Mr. Chairman. Thank

10 you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. GINTY:

I have a question.

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner Ginty?

MR. GINTY:

Cyrus, I don't want to put words in your 17 mouth, but these objections really, as I understand them, emanate from your reading of the --- the strict reading of the statute, as you interpret it?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct. The Board has to interpret the statute, and it's up to the Board to waive parts of the Board Order with regard to the 24 Audit Committee. And so what my argument does is put 25 all the facts before the Board so they can make an

educated decision on the matter.

2 MR. GINTY:

1

3

5

6

7

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

It does not and you're not suggesting any issues with respect to suitability?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Absolutely not.

MR. GINTY:

When the Board appointed --- this 8 Okay. is directed to Doug or Steve. When the Board 10 appointed Doctor Ceddia as our trustee, my 11 recollection is he was thoroughly vetted by the State Police? 12

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That's correct. He was vetted and licensed as a principle.

MR. GINTY:

And I will just --- from my point of view just mention that I think we were very fortunate to 19 have Doctor Ceddia during that period of time in that I know him myself and relied very heavily on him. quite frankly, I can't think of a better person to be putting on the Audit Committee. That's my question, I guess, or statement.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any other questions or

comments? Could I have a motion, please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board grant Mount Airy's petition as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 10

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next item would be the Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners' Motion for Summary Judgment on the complaint and revocation, which had been filed by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. Again, this matter was heard by the Board on October 27th, 2010. If the Board were to grant the PEDP Motion for Summary Judgment, it would effectively 21 dismiss the complaint and revocation that is currently pending. A motion to deny the PEDP Motion for Summary Judgment would have the --- yeah, to deny --- to grant the PEDP motion would dismiss the complaint and revocation. To deny it would allow the complaint to

25

continue. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none, could I have a motion, please? 6 MR. TRUJILLO: 7 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Philadelphia 8 Entertainment and Development Partners, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 10 11 MR. ANGELI: Second. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 14 AYES RESPOND 15 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? Motion passes. ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 18 And the corollary motion would be the 19 20 Motion for Summary Judgment of the Office of 21 Enforcement Counsel. A motion to grant --- or a 22 decision to grant the Enforcement Counsel motion would 23 have the effect of revoking the license. A motion to deny the Enforcement Counsel's motion would have the 24

25 effect of sending the entire matter to an evidentiary

26 hearing. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 May I have a motion, please? 4 MR. SOJKA: Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board 5 deny --- I'm sorry. I move that the Board grant the 6 Office of Enforcement Counsel's Motion for Summary Judgment regarding the revocation of Philadelphia Entertainment & Development Partners, LP a Category 2 10 License, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 11 CHAIRMAN: Second? 12 MR. ANGELI: 13 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN: Could we have discussion on the motion? 16 17 MR. MCCABE: 18 I'd like to know how many jobs, potential jobs, if we pull this license are we affecting? 19 20 don't remember, a thousand construction jobs, casino 21 jobs? 22 CHAIRMAN: Hold on one second. We'll have Mr. 23 Jacoby come up. 24 25 ATTORNEY JACOBY:

Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

19

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Jacoby, could you sit over here and take the microphone, please, so everybody can hear you?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

7 Thank you. Fred Jacoby. We believe that it will create thousands of construction jobs to do 8 this project. It's estimated to be a project of approximately 145,000 to 160,000 square feet in 10 addition to substantial foundation work. I don't have 11 all the numbers right now, but it will create 12 substantial jobs on par with what SugarHouse has 13 regarding operating the casino, plus the potentiality 14 for expansion, as discussed by Mr. Downey previously. 15 We think we could be in the ground pretty quickly if 16 17 we could submit our applications and have the Board rule on them. 18

MR. MCCABE:

20 Do you know how much revenue will be lost if we pull your license? 21

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

I don't have that data. I don't know if 24 Mr. Downey has that data. It will be substantial. 25 With the concurrent delay, I would suspect that, given

```
the appeal structure, as Mr. Pitre said a while ago, I
1
2
   think it's going to be a number of years where this
   license will be tied up. Meanwhile, nothing will
3
  advance on it. We understand the Board's frustration.
   I don't have that number in front of me as something I
  can provide to you. I think it's been provided in
6
  prior documentation with the Motion for Summary
   Judgment.
9
                MR. GINTY:
                I have one.
10
11
                CHAIRMAN:
```

Yes. Commissioner Ginty?

MR. GINTY:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Downey, could you join us, please?
You know, I think we're all frustrated here, but you know, you have evidence and testified to a substantial amount of work that has been done over the last few weeks, and yet, Mr. Downey, I was disappointed to hear that the Holy Trinity is still apparently in negotiation. Can you give us any timeline on when those documents can be executed?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Commissioner, I'm going to be very careful here because I don't want to over promise and under deliver. But I can tell you this. If the

1 measure of the effort and expense gives us any indication, the parties to this transaction have every indication, and frankly, every incentive to get this done and get it done guickly.

Everybody is going full tilt, and it is the belief of all the parties, and in particular, my clients, that this deal can be put together before we're talking about a December 16 meeting, or frankly, I think that they may have taken another course at this point. That's as close as I'd like to come to giving you a date certain, if that's acceptable?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

May I respond, Commissioner? Regretfully, I've been actively involved in the negotiation of all these documents. While the core documents are the Purchase Agreement, the Partnership Agreement and the Management Agreement, as an example we had a call last night for three hours with Harrah's, and I think we've refined most of the issues on the management agreement. Many of the issues with respect to the other two documents or nuances, it's a complicated document. And every time somebody --it's like the Dutch boy, they remove something, there's a ripple effect, and we have to get all the lawyers and everybody else involved, including

1 Harrah's in-house staff. I would be foolhardy at this point since I've made so many assurances to the Board of our commitment. And I know we have that commitment. We just haven't been able to deliver. Ι believe that the message I got last night and the 6 message I got this morning on the way up here is, Fred, will you come back, assuming their license hasn't been revoked, plan on starting up again. those of you who are familiar with transaction 10 negotiations, we intend Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday to do what's called a page turn, which is basically to 11 12 have all the lawyers and all the business people in the room with all the documents just go through every 13 document and get it done, because it's a ripple 14 It takes too much time. 15 effect. In response again to the question about 16 17 revenues, I apologize, I don't have the number handy. I think it's in their filings. And I guess another 18 example might be to look and get an idea what 19 20 SugarHouse is doing on a current basis both from slots 21 and table games. And we're contemplating 22 approximately 1,500 slots and about 80 table games. 23 And I think it's on par with what SugarHouse has, too,

MR. GINTY:

24

25

at this stage.

I don't have any more questions, but I will have a motion.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Yes, Commissioner Coy?

MR. COY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good afternoon. As you know, the Board's had a couple long days, and so we may not be at our sharpest level, but we think we're still under some degree of composure. Did that sound right?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

From the Board it's welcome.

MR. COY:

I guess I feel like déjà vu all over again. And I'm concerned about further delay because it seems like it's almost delaying the inevitable. I'm intrigued, especially by the drawings and by the association with the company as strong as Harrah's, but I'm wondering, Mr. Jacoby, what's different this time? What are we experiencing that's different than what we've heard many times before?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

I think the only parallel would be the Wynn transaction, where we also had signed definitive documents. I could only tell you that this is a

recognized industry leader, Harrah's, who's already 1 2 licensed with you, who is highly incented to do business in this area, who's highly incented because of its Rewards Program, to have this program as well as part of their network. And I think as opposed to possibly the more detached approach by the Wynn folks, 7 I can't speak for them, these folks have rolled up their sleeves and are in the middle of the whole process with us. And we certainly have learned --- we didn't learn a lot of lessons from Wynn other than to 10 11 be careful about escape clauses. But I can only assure you, Commissioner, and I appreciate your 12 cynicism, this is different. The proposed partner is 13 different. These people are very much committed. 14 And I think your folks have indicated your acknowledgment 15 of that by having them run the Chester Casino. 16 17 assume only you know how they've run that and the quality they've run that and whether they've done what 18 they promised to do. They've been very active in this 19 20 process. We're not leading this process. Harrah's is leading the process with us. 21

MR. COY:

22

23

24

25

Well, I apologize if you mistook my sincerity for cynicism, but I guess I have to ask Mr. Downey, how will this --- how can the Board expect

that takeover of this operation will affect the operation in Chester?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

1

2

3

4

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Mr. Commissioner, I'm not sure that I could quantify in any sense what the relationship 6 would be like, but Harrah's has determined through their own processes that this makes sense and, in fact, would lead to a cumulative plus, as opposed to cannibalizing. You know, we've heard the 10 over-the-river discussions in '05 and '06 and we've 11 had all those considerations. We've heard the same 12 type of discussion with respect to their project in Chester and the notion that they've got to be aware of the product there. You know, I can't quantify that for you. I can tell you it's been in the calculus and that they've come out on the end of the tunnel and said, let's do this deal.

MR. COY:

Let me ask you this way. Are you saying that they have determined that this project at this location --- a casino at this location is what makes sense or another operation in Pennsylvania anywhere makes sense for them? I mean, what are we talking about here?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

I can't tell you that they considered the second at all. What I can tell you is that they considered becoming a part of this project and, in fact, becoming a controlling part of this project and have determined that it makes sense.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

May I supplement his answer?

MR. COY:

Certainly.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

By the way, my apologies. I didn't want to suggest you were cynical.

MR. COY:

I didn't think you did.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

I appreciate the Board's frustration is maybe a more appropriate word. Harrah's --- I don't know if Bill Downey can speak to it. Harrah's has always been interested, even before and during Wynn, in partnering with us. It just never --- it never came to pass. Fortuitously, the Wynn blowup led us to really look into the situation and realize that they were the best partner at the end of the day anyway. I can tell you that. I was involved with that.

MR. COY:

Two more major points, the first being --- I believe I'm correct on this, and you correct me if I'm wrong, that most of the drive-time analysis, the potential for business at this location was done probably a year ago or so. Since then, SugarHouse has opened. Now, how does that change things? Harrah's looked at that?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

1

2

3

6

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

We have, Commissioner. In fact, what I can tell you is that if --- should we live to fight 10 another day, we will be bringing to you a new impact 11 study that will take into consideration the 12 developments that have occurred. And we'll also 13 assess what everybody who has looked at this with 14 boots on the ground acknowledges is a change in 15 traffic and a change in the whole dynamic even ---. 16

MR. COY:

For the better or for the worse?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

I think they would argue for the better in some sense. And I think that with a sort of a cleaner look at the traffic, and I have the pleasure of the memory of the wrestle over the traffic issues and, in fact, the traffic studies at the time of the licensing. I think we're going to clean some of that 25

up for you.

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

MR. COY:

3 I quess, Mr. Jacoby, one last one from me to you, and we may even have to take a good guess here, but assuming you live to fight another day or petition another day or argue another day, under the best set of circumstances, if there was an approval from this Board to have Harrah's proceed with this project, and maybe Mr. Downey needs to help answer it or you can both answer it, how soon --- what is the 10 absolute quickest time that you think you could get 11 all the approvals, build a casino, even if it's a 12 temporary situation, and have revenues flowing to 13 reduce taxes in Pennsylvania? What would that date 14 be? How long are we talking? 15

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

Do you want to take the first stab or do you want me to?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

I can tell you what our timeline reflects right now as --- with the following understanding that this is your request, was for our absolute best case. Our timeline right now reflects that we could be open as early as June or July of 2011. I think what we would probably say in the safety range is that ---.

```
37
                  ATTORNEY JACOBY:
 1
                  112?
 2
 3
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
                 Of '12, sorry.
 4
                  ATTORNEY JACOBY:
 5
 6
                  I'd like the mic back, please.
 7
                 ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
                  2012.
 8
                 MR. COY:
 9
10
                  So, it's not six months?
                 ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
11
                 Correct. Correct.
12
                 MR. COY:
13
14
                  Okay.
15
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
                 But we'd probably say third quarter is
16
17
   what we're looking at.
18
                 MR. COY:
19
                 Of '12?
20
                  ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
21
                 Correct.
22
                  ATTORNEY JACOBY:
                  '12, yes.
23
24
                 MR. COY:
25
                  So you're talking almost two years?
```

38 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 1 2 Twenty (20) to 22 I think is exactly what we've got on it. 3 ATTORNEY JACOBY: 4 Twenty (20) to 22 months, yes. 5 MR. COY: 6 7 Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I probably have some more, but those are the ones I could come up with 8 at this point that I thought were important for 10 everybody to hear and to be on the record about. Thank you. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner McCabe, why don't you just 13 state that --- your question again that you just 14 15 asked. 16 MR. MCCABE: 17 My question was who is going to be doing the building, who's the construction company, and it's 18 Dan Keating, ---19 20 ATTORNEY JACOBY: 21 Keating Building Corporation. 22 MR. MCCABE: --- who's built five or six casinos 23

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. $(814) \quad 536 - 8908$

within Pennsylvania and has delivered on time as

24

25 promised.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

He has been very actively involved since

MR. MCCABE:

We're very familiar with Mr. Keating.

He's an excellent company.

25 like that?

May.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

Yeah, I just want to make it clear that I have a motion in front of this Board that's been duly seconded that, if it passes, this becomes moot essentially. But there are never any forgone conclusions, and I know that, so I have additional concerns that I --- should that motion not pass today, and they have to do with what was just handed out.

Interestingly, I'm more concerned about the less fine-grained part of the proposal than I am the more fleshed-out part, namely Phase 1B. And my question there would be, in your opinion, probably Mr. Jacoby, how closely would that structure and that operation be to the proposal that appeared originally in the application that this Board granted some many years ago? Would it look like that? Would it perform

Would it be of that size? Would it

essentially be that project?

1

2

25

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

3 That's a fair and regular question that I get from this Board, and I anticipated it. Hopefully, I can answer it. Just because --- without being impertinent, just because there's a 1A and a 1B 6 doesn't mean there isn't a 2. 1A is basically an initial interim facility which is a construct of approximately 1,500 slots and 80 table games and onsite parking. Two envisions --- not much dissimilar 10 to what HSP is doing. But as soon as commercially 11 12 practicable, given the industry and financing, they would then have a parking structure, increase the 13 slots, as you see here, to 25. I think it's 2,500 14 slots, was it, or 2,000 slots or whatever it is in 15 that other space, but it's not to suggest --- and as 16 17 we've talked to the staff and we understand that at the end of the day you folks expect, you know, if and 18 when commercially practicable, that we end up with 19 20 something that's substantially similar to that for which you issued our license, subject to if we come 21 22 back to you with reasonable requests for design 23 changes that make sense to you and/or dictated by financial conditions. We understand that fully. 24

MR. SOJKA:

With all things being favorable, every imaginable break going the right way, I know it was difficult to take a guess at when you might have a functional 1A, could you give me just a --- I know there are business considerations and everything else in here, but do you have any idea how long it might be before we would see that structure that substantially fulfills the initial promise?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

You're asking me to play with Harrah's chips at this point.

MR. SOJKA:

Then I will ask Mr. Downey.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

What I can tell you is that the timeline that we have for Phase 2 is a floating timeline. We don't have a start date on Phase 2. And I can tell you that that reflects far less granularity than we have on 1A. With Keating we've worked a real live construction schematic and we understand sort of --- we're able to do start and stop dates. On Phase 1B, we are reflecting currently a 21 to 24-month start to finish period.

MR. SOJKA:

Once you start; correct?

42 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 1 Yeah, that's ---. 2 MR. SOJKA: 3 And that's what's floating? 4 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: 5 Exactly. Exactly. 6 7 MR. SOJKA: And that would depend primarily on the 8 business environment, how well 1A would be performing? 9 10 ATTORNEY DOWNEY: I think that's a fair --- that and the 11 markets, yeah. I think that's a fair assessment. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: Commissioner Trujillo? 14 15 MR. TRUJILLO: First, Mr. Downey, I welcome your 16 17 participation. I think that you have a great deal of 18 credibility, so I'm happy to see you here, and I've got a few questions for you. But before I ask Mr. 19 20 Downey any questions, just in follow up to 21 Commissioner Sojka, Mr. Jacoby, you're aware that Mr. 22 Armentrout, from Foxwoods, testified that, A, there 23 was no intention to build any temporary facility, and 24 secondly, that a temporary facility would not work. 25 Are you familiar with that testimony?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

1

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 And I don't think that anything I've said today is in difference with that. 3 talking about interim facility versus a temporary facility, whether it's a freestanding building. is something that's --- in my definition of interim, 6 and I'm not trying to be fast and loose with this Commission, it's something to build upon as a foundation. It's a permanent structure that contemplates permanent expansion. Temporary would be 10 a spun building or something like that, or God help 11 us, a boat or something like that, and so I'm not 12 playing fast and loose with you, Commissioner. I 13 understood what Mr. Armentrout said, and I think 14 that's true. We're not contemplating a temporary 15 facility. And we know we need permission to do a 16 17 temporary facility.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Downey, beyond the physical structure, the fundamental concern that I have is, in fact, being true to the original adjudication, both with respect to the structure, the financials, and the other characteristics of the original proposal, and so I would be interested in hearing from you on how the proposed Holy Triumvirate foresees dealing with the

--- and very specifically, with the charitable contributions component and minority ownership component of the original transaction.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

1

2

3

4

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You hit on, in the first instance, a 5 subject of what I will call extensive negotiation. 6 7 And I'll just sort of come to the punch. The initial setup provided for a share of profits, as defined in the constituent documents, that would ultimately run 10 to the benefit of charities to be designated. And the short story is that we've revised that concept in the 11 12 deal as we've contemplated it. And at this point, without getting into the numbers, because we're not 13 fully baked at this point, but the concept has changed 14 so that the charitable contribution number is going to 15 flow from what amounts to our gross revenue. 17 gross less --- basically it's the same way you guys calculate the tax. 18

MR. TRUJILLO:

Off the top.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

Exactly. It will come from the top. So on a rate basis, it will be a lower rate. But bluntly, we think it is better reflective of our commitment to make the contribution in the first

instance and also does not allow the vagaries of profit and all the games that we can play in defining profit to play a role in coming to those dollars.

MR. TRUJILLO:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Mr. Jacoby, it looks like you had --- did you want to ---?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

If I could expand on something near and dear to my heart. Paragraph 57 of the Statement of Conditions contemplates that the owners of WPCC, which are the Rubin Trust, the Silver Trust and Ed Snider, 12 commit all the proceeds from this venture to charity, as set forth in the case of the two trusts and the trust documents. Those partners, to the extent they continue, still have that commitment. That hasn't changed for them. What has changed, however, is what Bill Downey has said, is that we've added an up-front payment, first of all, that's very substantial to charity at the time of --- beginning of operations, and then we've added this percentage of --- I guess 21 net operating revenues on an annual basis. So not only will the amount be more substantial, frankly, given the timing of where these things play out, but it will be immediate. So, on a net present value 25 basis, the charities will realize the proceeds more

immediately than they would have in waiting for there to be equity distributions to the trust. I just want to amplify that because there's been a lot of time on that.

MR. TRUJILLO:

1

2

3

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

And with respect to one of the other elements that was included in there, it was the participation by Foxwoods was part of your minority ownership component. And if what was described to us at an earlier transaction is anywhere close to what happens, it appears that Foxwoods may end up exiting 12 the transaction at some point, and so I'd be interested in hearing what, if any, we're going to hear with respect to future participation by any minority groups and the ownership of any revised proposal that you may submit.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

I think at this juncture what we can 18 fairly say is that while Foxwoods is going to be 19 20 significantly diminished, they will still be involved in the partnership as it's currently contemplated. 21 22 However, there is a component of the transaction that we have in process here that envisions an equity 23 raise, and I think it is fair to suggest --- actually, 24 as many members of the Board may recall, I was 25

involved with another potential Licensee in the city.

We are aware that there are parties out there who

would be interested potentially in getting involved in

the Philadelphia market. At this point, given where

we are in the equity raise and the development of

documents related to the equity raise, I'd be hard

pressed to give you a level of commitment about what

we can and can't do. I'm not a securities guy, and I

know that there are all kinds of issues that arise out

of those types of things, but ---.

MR. TRUJILLO:

11

12

13

14

15

18

I understand. And I guess my question is simply --- is whether that issue is being contemplated and looked at by the parties?

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

It's an issue that is --- yes is the short answer, it is.

MR. TRUJILLO:

And I think, almost finally, with respect to the Holy Triumvirate, I would like to hear, Mr.

Downey, from you --- I believe Mr. Jacoby

characterized it as --- that those documents were simply needing to be papered. What that suggests to me is that the material terms of the transaction have been agreed on. And I would like to hear from you,

1 Mr. Downey, whether that, in fact, is an accurate
2 description of where the transaction --- the proposed
3 transaction stands.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

We have issues that remain open.

MR. TRUJILLO:

That's all I have, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. OEC, any comments from you

10 guys?

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

ATTORNEY PITRE:

None other than we have met with Mr. 12 Downey and Mr. Jacoby, and I believe Counsel from 13 Dechert Rhoads, who --- it was Dechert who was present 14 also, and discussed the terms of the whole deal. 15 However, we have not received any petitions, any 16 17 definitive documents. We received some renderings earlier. These appeared to be a little bit more added 18 on to. It shows a Phase 1B and a rear side of the 19 20 casino. Outside of that, we haven't received anything

21 else. We're basically --- I think renderings were due

22 almost a year ago, December 1, if I remember

23 correctly, when the first extension was requested.

24 So, we're at a point now where our motion is being

25 taken up by the Board. And without influencing the

Board one way or another on this, I'll leave it up to 1 you.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

Thank you.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

Mr. Chairman, just one more second, ---

CHAIRMAN:

Sure.

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

--- only because I had the benefit of spending so much time inside the deal itself, Commissioner Trujillo. The material terms are set forth in the term sheet that the parties signed. 14 | What's happening is not about material terms. about, as tends to happen when you're negotiating complex documents, all the various vagaries of the collateral issues. That would be my answer to the question if you posed it to me.

ATTORNEY DOWNEY:

I'm sorry. And let me just elaborate for 21 a minute. I didn't mean to be abrupt in my response. The fact is that we've got a term sheet that goes into great detail. This is not a five-page transactional term sheet. This is a 20-page detailed term sheet. 25 As we progressed through the documents and as we went

through these issues, there are significant tax issues that, while we had contemplated, bluntly, we had not contemplated the complexity of what had to happen to address those issues. We're getting through that.

Similarly, regulatory matters relating to some of our parties, who are prepared, but not as we sit here, to get through the process. We're working on a way to get their elements of the transaction closed and get them in a position where they can do what they need to do with respect to this body.

There are a series of issues in the push and pull of a --- effectively, a transfer of control from one constituency to another that you encounter in the ordinary course. We are --- we're getting through them. I don't want to leave the impression that we're at a stonewall at some point.

MR. TRUJILLO:

I understand, Mr. Downey. I guess the point of fact is, and I have been involved in lots of transactions and in litigations involving a lot bigger sums of money, and frankly, the financial bailout took much less time than this has taken. General Motors today, I think, has gone public at \$30 a share, after having been bailed out. So multi-trillion-dollar complex transactions have taken place and companies

```
1 have already been resuscitated in the time that this
2
  deal has been out there. So, while I appreciate
   complex deals, I also appreciate that if there is a
3
  willingness to get them done, they get done. So, I'm
  mindful of those, and I think the Board is mindful of
  our context, but I appreciate your comments. Thank
6
  you.
7
8
                CHAIRMAN:
                Commissioner Coy?
9
10
                MR. COY:
                Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
11
                                           Just two more.
   In this artist rendering, where it shows --- I guess
12
   it's the Delaware River is the water that we see?
13
                ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
14
                It is.
15
16
                MR. COY:
17
                Well, what's the actual distance between
   the river and the building? Because it looks, to me,
18
   like during periods of ebb tide, it might be a little
19
20
   soggy.
21
                ATTORNEY DOWNEY:
22
                I don't know.
23
                MR. COY:
                I mean, it just looks pretty close.
24
25
                ATTORNEY JACOBY:
```

Mr. Friedmutter obviously hasn't been down the river at high tide, Mr. Coy.

MR. COY:

1

2

3

25

All right. Let me say one other thing. 4 There's two of us here at this table --- for better or 6 for worse, there are two of us here at this table that voted for this project in the beginning. Three of us. I told you we've had a couple long days. I will tell you that the charitable component of this application and the inclusion of those charitable 10 11 contributions were a key to the approval of this 12 project. And if I were serious about this project, as I take it Harrah's is, I'd be thinking in terms of 13 either through contributions of ownership equity or 14 whatever it is, to start making charitable 15 contributions now and not wait for two years until you 16 17 finally commence operations. The community groups have been waiting a long time, too, and I just think 18 that you ought to think seriously about, as serious as 19 20 the Board felt at the time of licensure, about these 21 charitable contributions, because they were key to it. 22 And I'd be looking for ways, if you have more time, I'm not sure, to find elements to effectuate some of 23 these contributions as soon as possible. 24

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

53

```
We're sensitive to the issues of special
1
2 services and community outreach, and it's something
  that we intend to deal with. We realize --- I can
3
  speak, even though I'm a late comer, that it's
  something that wasn't paid attention to a long time
6 ago and should have been. And for that we apologize,
  but we hear you loud and clear. We're sensitive to
  it.
8
9
                MR. COY:
10
                If there's another time, I'd sure like to
11
  hear more about it.
12
                CHAIRMAN:
                Commissioner McCabe --- or Commissioner
13
14 Sojka?
15
                MR. SOJKA:
                I'd like to call the question.
16
17
                MR. COY:
18
                I'd object to that.
19
                MR. MCCABE:
20
                Mr. Chair, after listening to Mr.
   Downey's, especially, testimony, because of his
21
22
   outstanding reputation and track record with us and
  who he represents, I would like to make a motion to
23
  table Commissioner Sojka's motion to grant the OEC
24
25 | Motion for Summary Judgment regarding revocation of
```

1 Philadelphia Entertainment. I'd also like to move
2 that they are required, by December 10th, to submit
3 all signed documents to OEC and that this matter reach
4 a final decision on December 16th.

CHAIRMAN:

Could I ask a question? And maybe I'll reach out to our Chief Counsel and Enforcement Counsel. What documents would we be talking about, just so we're as specific, if this motion is granted, as we can be? Do we have a second?

MR. TRUJILLO:

12 Second.

5

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Second. Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The OEC has been dealing with the document process and would probably be in the best position to answer this.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Cyrus?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

One of the first things we would need were applications submitted to Licensing, with Notice of Intent to transfer the Petition for Change of Control, all the documents that would support that

Those would be the tentative financing petition. 1 2 documents. Those would be any structural changes within the organization, a totally --- Mr. Downey is 3 well familiar with what we need because we've met several times on this. We also need a petition to modify the facility. There would have to be a public 6 input hearing before the Board can consider that. They have to have all their agreements signed and delivered, their management agreements and any other agreements that they have. Based on the testimony 10 today and the timeline that's been set up previously, 11 we'd need a Petition for Extension of Time. But that 12 would have to come later, after the Board approves the 13 Petition for Modifying the Facility and the Petition 14 for Change of Control. And these are very 15 time-consuming things, and it's not going to take ---16 17 you know, we're not going to be able to get through this in 30 days. It may be 60, 90 days to go through 18 all these documents and to see how this all comes 19 20 together. I mean, we went through the same thing with 21 other licensees in Pittsburgh, as the Board well 22 knows. It didn't happen overnight. We started in May and finished up sometime in August. So it's going to 23 be time consuming for staff. And so if we go in that 24 25 direction, we need those things filed right away so

56 that we can start reviewing in order to put them all 1 before the Board for Board consideration. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Hold on one second. Commissioner Coy? 4 5 MR. COY: 6 Point of parliamentary inquiry, does the 7 vote on the motion to table require a qualified majority? 8 9 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 10 Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Commissioner Trujillo? MR. TRUJILLO: 13 I understand all of the documentation 14 that you're saying that would ultimately be needed. 15 What documents would Enforcement Counsel need to reach 17 a level of comfort that there's actually a viable transaction? 18 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 Signed agreements between the parties and definitive financing documents laid out and executed, 21 22 or at least final draft documents that we could review and ask questions about prior to closing. 23 MR. TRUJILLO: 24 25 Thank you.

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

Just a point of clarification.

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, you may. Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes. If a qualified majority is required, it would be necessary for me to vote to table my own motion in order for this extension to December 12th to occur; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN:

That is correct.

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Angeli?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Jacoby, you know --- and you've heard it from this Board, and we've been taken to the 11th hour many, many times now. And I really don't get a 20 warm sense of --- when you talk about listening to 21 what Mr. Pitre had to say, when you're talking about in a couple days we can pull all this stuff together, and then listening to what he has to say, you can pull it together, but it's going to take three, six --- or 25 three to six months or something possibly to pull all

this together. In the short time period of the date,

I heard December 10th, I heard that date, is this a

possibility to be able to do what you think you have

to do to bring the comfort level to their division

that would make this viable? And then are you

prepared, if that doesn't work by December 10th, is

Harrah's prepared to say, okay, the deal is dead, I'm

out of here?

ATTORNEY JACOBY:

9

10 I'll let Harrah's answer the last I'll answer the first one. The documents 11 question. 12 are primarily a Purchase Agreement, which basically incorporate all the other documents. The Purchase 13 Agreement is among all the current partners of ---14 PEDP, WPI and FDC are the partners. Also, Citizens 15 Bank, as well as Harrah's and their nominees. 16 17 every expectation --- I've been reading the chart for PEDP and the negotiations, and I really have every 18 expectation that the issues that we're dealing with 19 20 can be resolved. There was a call set up for this 21 morning that had to be canceled because of this 22 hearing to deal with the issues involving our advisor, Blackstone and Apollo and other people involved. 23 have every expectation --- the Purchase Agreement 24 25 includes a restructured, unlimited partnership

```
agreement. They're all attached as exhibits.
1
2
  Trademark License Agreement and Management Agreement.
  I can give Cyrus a list of whatever those documents
  are. But those are the documents that we will have.
  And if your question is do I have an expectation, I
6 have a very real expectation that that will be done.
7
  We're highly incented. We were highly incented,
  frankly, and I'm glad that Bill is here because he
8
  apparently has a huge amount of credibility, and I
  hope to build to that one day, but we were highly
10
  incented on October 27th, when you folks gave us a
11
  little more breathing room. And we worked --- we
12
  thought we would get this done by the 15th,
13
  Commissioner, and I personally apologize. There was
14
  no more hours in the day to get this done. We tried.
15
  And it wasn't that we disagreed. It just --- it's
16
17
  just getting it done. And I know what Commissioner
  Trujillo says about the government lending and
18
  everything. I can't explain it. It's just taken a
19
20
  lot of time. There's a lot of people. There's a lot
  of lawyers. And you know what Shakespeare said about
21
22
   the lawyers, the first thing you do is kill the
   lawyers. And regretfully --- and Commissioner, that's
23
   the setup for you, and hopefully you won't take it.
24
25
                CHAIRMAN:
```

Go ahead. Commissioner Angeli?
ATTORNEY DOWNEY

1

2

Commissioner Angeli, you asked is it 3 The answer is it's absolutely possible. possible. Every document that Cyrus just listed --- and I want to remind Cyrus on one point. The application package 6 7 that I listed when we spoke earlier, every individual but one in the pile of 31 applications that we have is presently qualified by this Board. So we've got one person coming in with a new application. 10 Five --four entities that have been created for this purpose 11 12 are brand-spanking new, with no history. Okay. There's no --- there's nothing there other than 13 organizational documents and an initiation of their 14 structure. And the balance of those entities are also 15 qualified by this Board. So effectively, the new 16 17 material we have coming in on the application side, which I recognize is painstaking, is either entities 18 or persons that are currently qualified by this Board, 19 20 brand-spanking new, with no history, or in the case of one individual, a new guy in the Harrah's mix who I'm 21 22 not --- we're not at all concerned, given who he is That, I hope, allays a lot of the and where he is. 23 concern about the time that's going to be involved 24 grinding through. Now, I do not give short shrift to 25

1 the notion that somebody is going to be chewing through these transaction documents. And having done so, as has Mr. Jacoby, it's dense stuff. But as Mr. Trujillo correctly points out, it's happened before, and you know, we're going to get through it.

2

25

6 Every other document indicated by Cyrus 7 as being required of us is in very close to final form awaiting any tweaks in the transaction that come. the Change of Control Petition, the Change of Facility 10 Petition, the Petition for Extension of Time, the Notice of Intent, are all in the can. They're waiting 11 12 for some blanks to be filled in. And you know, the baseline has to be wrapped up. Once that's done, this 13 stuff comes into you not in the form. In fact, Mr. 14 Jacoby and I were talking about this earlier this 15 morning. This is not going to be the case where we 16 17 drop transaction documents on Cyrus and say, see you in a couple weeks with our petition package. When the 18 transaction docs are done, they're coming in under 19 20 cover of petitions. So this whole thing is going to 21 move simultaneously. And frankly, our vision of this 22 process is that it's all coming in, including the Extension of Time Petition right behind the other 23 24 petition package.

CHAIRMAN:

Cyrus? I'm sorry.

MR. ANGELI:

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

18

19

20

21

22

23

Just a question to the Board. The motion that's on the table to table this to December 10th _____

CHAIRMAN:

Submission of the documents to December 10th and then to take it up at the December 16th meeting.

MR. ANGELI:

And in the event that the documents and 12 that timeline is not met, do we automatically defer to the previous motion for revocation?

CHAIRMAN:

15 That motion would be ripe for consideration at the December 16th meeting. 16 would take it up again. 17

MR. COY:

Or said another way, the motion to table is ended on that date.

CHAIRMAN:

Correct.

MR. COY:

We would require either a new motion or 24 25 action on that one, but I think ---.

There's no way that could be done No. 1 2 prior to the 10th. I'm just saying after all the filings were done, just because everything that's 3 filed, it might not be ripe for Board consideration for some time with regard to these petitions that they anticipate filing. 6

MR. MCCABE:

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

22

23

I think we just want to determine can they build a casino? Can they finance and build a casino? That other stuff I think we realize comes afterwards.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I've seen the term sheet. I'm waiting to see --- I mean, the devil is in the details.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any other questions? Commissioner 17 McCabe, do you want to restate your motion?

MR. MCCABE:

I hope I can remember it. Yes, Mr. Chair. And I'll try to do the whole thing. Based on 21 | hearing Mr. Downey's testimony and his reputation and track record before us and the company that he represents, I move that we table Commissioner Sojka's 24 motion to grant the OEC Motion for Summary Judgment 25 regarding revocation of Philadelphia Entertainment and

```
Development Partners and that we require them to turn
1
   in by December 10th --- to submit all documents to OEC
2
   and that this matter be then heard on December 16th.
3
4
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Is there a second to that motion?
5
                MR. TRUJILLO:
 6
                 Second.
 7
8
                 CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
9
10 AYES RESPOND
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Opposed? That motion to table passes.
   Counselor?
13
                 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
14
15
                 That concludes the matter of Philadelphia
16 | Entertainment and Development Partners. The Motion to
17 Revoke is tabled, and they have the obligation, by
   December 10th, to file the pertinent documents.
18
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 Okay.
21
                 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
22
                Next, presenting Withdrawals and Reports
  and Recommendations is Deputy Chief Counsel Steve
23
   Cook.
24
25
                 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
```

That's what this is about.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Ma'am, I'm going to ask if anybody wants to leave the room, they can leave the room, but there will be order in this room. And we will not tolerate outbursts. You will be removed from the building and the room. Go ahead, please. Counselor?

ATTORNEY COOK:

Good afternoon. The Board has three unopposed Petitions to Withdraw Applications of nine 10 individuals or businesses. The persons or entities subject to these petitions are as follows, NRT Technology Corp, Universal Entertainment Corporation, 14 | Kazuo Okada, Tomohiroi Okada, Hajime Tokuda, Mitsuhiro Kitabatake, Hiroyuki Sawada, Yoshiyuki Shoji and Whitebriar Financial Corp.

CHAIRMAN:

We will provide the stenographer with the correct spelling of those names.

ATTORNEY COOK:

I would like the record to reflect that was Mr. Sherman's duty today, and he asked me to do it instead, so ---. The OEC has no objections to these withdrawals. As such, the OCC submits these 25 withdrawals to the Board for consideration of a motion

67 that each withdrawal be granted without prejudice. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Any questions or comments from the Board? Could I have a motion, please? 5 MR. ANGELI: 6 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 7 Orders to approve the Withdrawals and Surrenders as described by the OCC. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Second? 11 MR. COY: Second. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 15 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? Motion passes. ATTORNEY COOK: 18 19 Next before the Board for consideration 20 are four Reports and Recommendations received from the 21 Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) relative to two 22 Non-Gaming Employee Registrations, one Gaming Employee Permit and one Request to be Removed from the 23 24 Prohibited Vendors List. In each case, the Report and 25 Recommendation, along with the evidentiary record, has

been supplied to the Board in advance of this meeting.
Additionally, the person who is the subject of the
Report and Recommendation has been notified that the
matter was being heard by the Board today and that
they have the right to come before the Board and
briefly address the Board.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board today is Jimmie Brown. On May 24th, 8 2010, Mr. Brown submitted a Non-Gaming Employee Application to work as an Operations Attendant at the 10 Parx Casino. The OEC issued a Notice of 11 12 Recommendation of Denial based upon the failure of Mr. Brown to disclose his entire criminal history, 13 including felony convictions and the nature --- as 14 well as the nature of that history. 15 Specifically, in his application Mr. Brown did not report --- he did 16 17 report that he had been arrested for a variety of traffic offenses. However, he failed to disclose ten 18 other arrests, eight of which resulted in convictions. 19 20 Mr. Brown requested a hearing, which was held on September 15th, 2010. Both the OEC and Mr. Brown, who 21 22 is present today, appeared and offered testimony and documentary evidence. Mr. Brown testified that he did 23 not disclose the entirety of his criminal history 24 25 because he wasn't able to remember all of it and did

not want to make a mistake and be accused of being 1 2 dishonest. Subsequent to the hearing, a Report and Recommendation was issued by the OHA recommending the 3 denial of Mr. Brown's Non-Gaming Registration Application. This Report and Recommendation is 5 presently before the Board. And as I indicated, Mr. 6 7 Brown is also present.

CHAIRMAN:

8

9

11

12

13

14

Thank you. Counselor, Mr. Cook, do you 10 have the non-disclosed infractions in front of you? And take your time, just so we can read those into the record.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The non-disclosed infractions include a February 10th, 1984 arrest in Trenton, New Jersey, 15 resulting in a charge of larceny, which was a felony 16 17 and a conviction; a March 30th, 1985 arrest, which resulted in dismissal of certain charges; a June 23rd, 18 1986 arrest in Trenton for various drug offenses, 19 20 which resulted in a guilty conviction as to one drug offense and a fine of \$225; an April 21st, 1989 arrest 21 22 in Trenton, New Jersey for possession of marijuana, which resulted in a conviction and a sentencing of 60 23 days confinement and one-year probation; an October 24 25 11th, 1989 conviction --- or arrest in Trenton, New

Jersey for possession of cocaine, again, a conviction, 1 2 60 days confinement, one-year probation; a February 7th, 1990 arrest by the New York City Port Authority for burglary and unauthorized use of a vehicle. Bench Warrant for that offense was issued on April 6th, 1990, but no further information was found. A 6 March 27th, 1990 arrest by the Trenton, New Jersey Police for obstruction of justice and possession of cocaine, a guilty plea to possession of cocaine and a sentence of 30 days confinement and one-year 10 probation; a March 12th, 1994 arrest in Myrtle Beach, 11 South Carolina for disorderly conduct, which resulted 12 in a conviction and a fine of \$95; a July 6th, 2001 13 arrest by the New Jersey State Police for possession 14 of a controlled substance, which resulted in six 15 months suspension of a driver's license and payment of 16 17 a \$955 fine. There was subsequently a re-sentencing relative to that, which resulted in 15 days of 18 confinement; and then finally, a May 24th, 2002 19 20 Trenton, New Jersey Police Department arrest for simple assault and threatening to kill, with a 21 22 conviction for simple assault and a one-year probation 23 sentence.

CHAIRMAN:

24

25

Thank you. Mr. Brown, if you want to

72 1 now? 2 A. Yeah. I work part time here and there doing handyman work. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: And when's the last time you had a 5 full-time job? 6 7 It's been like close to a year. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 A year? 10 Α. Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN: Okay. Commissioner Coy? 12 MR. COY: 13 And when's the last time you abused drugs 14 or alcohol? 15 A. It's been approximately a year now, about six 16 17 months. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Any further questions from the Board. Okay. Seeing none, could I have a motion, please? 20 21 MR. COY: 22 I just want to make sure we're clear. The Recommendation of the OHA was ---? 23 ATTORNEY COOK: 24 25 The Report and Recommendation is to deny

```
the application. So, a vote to adopt the Report and
1
2
   Recommendation will deny the application or reject the
   application.
3
4
                MR. COY:
                 I'm ready, Mr. Chairman.
5
                 CHAIRMAN:
 6
 7
                 Go ahead, Commissioner Coy.
8
                MR. COY:
                 Chairman, I move that the Board issue an
9
   Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the
10
   OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of
11
   Jimmie Brown, as described by the OCC.
12
                 MR. GINTY:
13
                 Second.
14
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
16
17
   AYES RESPOND
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 Opposed? Motion passes.
20
                 ATTORNEY COOK:
                Next for the Board's consideration is a
21
22
  Report and Recommendation relating to Lisa Kastle.
23 | Ms. Kastle submitted a Non-Gaming Employee Application
   seeking work as a bartender at Presque Isle Downs.
24
25 The OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial
```

```
1 based on the fact that Ms. Kastle had previously been
2
   convicted of conspiracy to deliver a controlled
   substance, a felony. Ms. Kastle requested a hearing
3
  on the recommended denial, and despite receiving
  proper notice, she failed to attend the August 11,
6
   2010 hearing. At the conclusion of that hearing, the
  Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation,
  recommending that Ms. Kastle's application be denied.
   This Report and Recommendation is presently before the
10 Board.
11
                CHAIRMAN:
12
                Is Ms. Kastle present? Any questions
   from the Board? Could I have a motion, please?
13
                MR. GINTY:
14
15
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
   an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the
16
17
   OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of
  Lisa Kastle, as described by the OCC.
18
19
                CHAIRMAN:
20
                Second?
21
                MR. MCCABE:
                Second.
22
23
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
24
25 AYES RESPOND
```

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation before 4 the Board today pertains to Tyler Lepley. Mr. Lepley 5 6 submitted an application for a Gaming Employee Permit 7 on May 13th, 2010 seeking work as a Table Games In his application Mr. Lepley disclosed an Dealer. October 2007 arrest for simple assault. However, during BIE'S investigation, it was found that Mr. 10 Lepley was also charged in May 2009 with robbery, 11 criminal conspiracy, simple assault, receiving stolen 12 property, theft and misdemeanor conspiracy. 13 result of these charges was a guilty plea for simple 14 assault, receiving stolen property and theft. 15 upon his failure to disclose his May 2009 charges, as 16 17 well as the nature of those charges, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial. Mr. Lepley 18 requested a hearing, which was held on September 14th, 19 20 2010. Both the OEC and Mr. Lepley were present at the 21 hearing and offered testimony and exhibits. 22 hearing Mr. Lepley testified that he entered his complete criminal history on the SLOTSlink computer 23 application. But because he was fatigued from the 24 lengthy application process, he failed to click the 25

save button for the page outlining his more recent 1 2 offense, which resulted in that information not being included. Additionally, by way of character evidence, 3 Mr. Lepley also testified that after serving 53 days incarceration for his 2009 conviction, he returned to college and graduated in May 2010. He also provided 6 the Hearing Officer with Letters of Recommendation from a former roommate, a strength coach at Kutztown University, as well as one of his professors, who I 10 believe was also from Kutztown. Presently, Mr. Lepley 11 is on parole, and his parole --- actually, his parole 12 has ended. I guess it ended on August 11th. Report --- Recommendation issued by the Hearing 13 Officer ultimately recommended that Mr. Lepley's 14 application be denied for the nondisclosure, as well 15 as the nature of the underlying offenses. And that 16 17 l Recommendation and Report is before the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Is Mr. Lepley here. questions or comments from the Board? If there are 21 none, could I have a motion, please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of

Tyler Lepley, as described by the OCC.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The final Report and Recommendation 11 before the Board today is a request to be removed from 12 the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List. On June 29th, 2008 an individual with the initials JJR entered the 13 14 | Mohegan Sun Casino and requested that he be placed on the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List, specifically 15 requesting that he be placed on the Self-Exclusion 16 17 List for life. On that date, the Casino Compliance Representative on duty met with JJR and conducted an 18 interview with him to determine if he was sober and 19 20 coherent and aware of what he was requesting. 21 determination was made that, in fact, the individual 22 was sober and coherent. During the interview, the Casino Compliance Representative reviewed each 23 24 provision of the self-exclusion checklist and asked 25 JJR if he needed an interpreter to fully understand

1 the self-exclusion program. The need for an 2 interpreter was denied or declined. At that time, JJR was advised that once he chose to be on the list for 3 life, he was prohibited from requesting removal for some period of time. I believe it's a five-year period at the very least. However, approximately two years later, on August 3rd, 2010, JJR sent a letter to the Board requesting he be removed from the Self-Exclusion List, stating that he was in diminished capacity after having several alcoholic drinks and was 10 unclear what entry on the list actually meant. 11 Office of Enforcement Counsel filed an Answer to the 12 Petition and a hearing was scheduled for September 13 23rd, 2010. Despite receiving notice, JJR failed to 14 attend that hearing. The outcome of the hearing was a 15 Report and Recommendation recommending that the 16 Petition to be removed from the list be denied, and 17 18 that is presently before the Board for consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board. Could I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the petition of JRR, as described

79 by the OCC. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: Second? 3 MR. TRUJILLO: 4 Second. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 All in favor? 7 AYES RESPOND 8 9 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? Motion passes. 10 11 ATTORNEY COOK: Next for the Board's consideration is an 12 Emergency Suspension. Scott Ferguson was issued a 13 Non-Gaming Employee Registration on June 10th, 2009 14 and was employed as a bartender at the Rivers Casino. 15 On Memorial Day 2010, Mr. Ferguson was charged with 16 17 three criminal offenses stemming from an alleged burglary. As a result of these charges, the OEC filed 18 a request for an Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. 19 20 Ferguson's Non-Gaming Employee Registration. 21 Order was signed by the Executive Director on November 22 2nd, 2010. Board Regulations require that a Temporary Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full 23 evidentiary hearing, or in the alternative, be 24 25 referred to the OHA to conduct that hearing. In this

case, the OCC recommends that the matter be referred 1 2 to OHA for the full evidentiary hearing and that in the interim, the Emergency Suspension Order remain in 3 place. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Thank you. Any questions or comments? 7 Could I have a motion, please? 8 MR. TRUJILLO: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 9 10 an Order to extend the Emergency Suspension of Scott Ferguson's Non-Gaming Employee Registration and that 11 12 the matter be referred to the OHA for a hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency Suspension 13 14 Order. MR. ANGELI: 15 Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 AYES RESPOND 19 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? The motion passes. 22 ATTORNEY COOK: The last OCC matter before the Board 23 today is another Emergency Suspension relative to Mark 24 Wherley, who was issued a Gaming Employee Permit on 25

1 March 2nd, 2010 and was employed with the GTECH Corporation. On October 20th, 2010, Mr. Wherley was 2 charged with criminal attempt to possess with intent 3 to deliver a controlled substance, possession with intent to deliver a controlled substance and conspiracy to commit possession with intent to deliver 6 a controlled substance, each of which were felony charges. As a result of these charges, the OEC filed a request for Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Wherley's Gaming Employee Permit. The Order was 10 signed by the Executive Director on November 8th, 11 12 2010. And on that date, representatives of GTECH were also notified of this Emergency Suspension. 13 As with the last matter, Board Regulations require that a full 14 evidentiary hearing be held. We would recommend that 15 the matter be referred to OHA to conduct that hearing 16 17 and that, in the interim, the Emergency Suspension Order remain in place. 18

CHAIRMAN:

19

20

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board? Could I have a motion, please? 21

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to extend the Emergency Suspension of Mark Wherley's Gaming Employee Permit and that the matter

```
be referred to the OHA for a hearing to determine the
1
2
   validity of the Emergency Suspension Order.
                MR. ANGELI:
3
                 Second.
4
5
                CHAIRMAN:
6
                All in favor?
  AYES RESPOND
7
8
                CHAIRMAN:
9
                Opposed?
                          Motion passes.
                ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
10
                And that concludes the matters of the
11
  OCC.
12
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Thank you very much, Doug.
                                             Thank you,
15
   Steve.
                Next up is our Director of Licensing,
16
17
   Susan Hensel. Welcome, Susan.
                MS. HENSEL:
18
                 Thank you, Chairman Fajt and members of
19
20
  the Board. The first matter for your consideration is
21 the renewal of a Slot Machine Manufacturer License for
22
  IGT. The BIE has completed its investigation and the
23 Bureau of Licensing has provided you with the renewal
24 background investigation and suitability report for
25 this Licensee. I have provided you with the draft
```

order approving the renewal of IGT's license, and I ask that the Board consider the Order.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. GINTY:

I have a question. I noticed in reading the report that IGT has had a high number of violations, relatively small violations, over the past year or so. And I also recognize that IGT is a very big organization. But they all seem to kind of have a common theme to them, and they're sending things out 14 improperly or without, you know, safeguards or they're sending the wrong things out. Did we get anything in terms of --- have they reviewed their processes or procedures to tighten up their practices?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The internal control process sometimes 21 breaks down, as with a lot of big companies. regard to IGT, we have not had any specific issues in our jurisdiction, so no, we did not get anything from any of the other jurisdictions relating to what IGT did to correct that matter. We do know that IGT has

1 thus far followed the protocols with dealing with our 2 lab. We've only had one manufacturer that did something by mistake, and the Board fined that 3 manufacturer. So we haven't had a breakdown of our internal controls during this jurisdiction.

MR. GINTY:

6

7

8

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I also notice it's had a pretty substantial changeover in their management as well. Mr. Chairman, I don't know quite what the right 10 process would be, but I would be a lot more comfortable if we deferred this, and I would ask Cyrus 12 if he could just simply get a letter from IGT detailing what measures they've taken in response to 14 these violations.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I'd like to check with Susan to make sure deferment would not cause expiration of the actual license.

MS. HENSEL:

The license is set to expire December 2nd. However, if there is further investigation required, we do have the ability to toll that expiration, pending the outcome of the further investigation.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

And I can document that as an investigation that can be conducted, if that's what this Board chooses to do.

MR. GINTY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

13

15

16

17

18

20

I don't know if you need anything formal or just ---.

CHAIRMAN:

I think that if we defer the motion, No. we defer the motion. And I think, Cyrus, if you want 10 to reach out to them and tell them that the Commission does have --- or the Board does have concerns about 12 their apparent lack of attention to detail on some of these issues and we would like a letter from them or a personal appearance, I'll leave that up to you, at our next Board meeting.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

19 Thank you. Next matter, Susan?

MS. HENSEL:

21 Okay. The next matter for your 22 consideration is the approval of a Principal License for Edson Robert Arneault. Prior to this meeting, the 23 Bureau of Licensing provided you with a proposed order 24 25 for this principal license for Presque Isle Downs,

```
1 with an Order regarding the issuance of Temporary
2 Licenses for seven Key Employees. I ask that the
  Board consider the Order approving these licenses.
3
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
4
                 No objection.
5
6
                 CHAIRMAN:
 7
                 Thank you. Questions or comments from
   the Board?
               Seeing none, could I have a motion,
8
   please?
10
                 MR. GINTY:
11
                 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   approve the issuance of Temporary Principle and Key
12
   Employee credentials, as described by the Bureau of
13
14 Licensing.
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Second?
16
17
                 MR. MCCABE:
18
                 Second.
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 All in favor?
  AYES RESPOND
21
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
23
                 Opposed?
                           The motion passes.
24
                 MS. HENSEL:
25
                 Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming
```

```
1 Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of
2 Licensing provided you with a list of 393 individuals,
  including 281 initial and 112 G2 Temporary Permits who
3
  the Bureau has granted Occupation Permits to, and 117
5 individuals who the Bureau has granted Registrations
6 to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of
7
  Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion
  approving this Order.
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Any questions from Enforcement Counsel?
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
11
                We have no objection.
12
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Thank you. Questions from the Board?
15
   Could I have a motion?
                MR. MCCABE:
16
17
                 So moved.
18
                MR. SOJKA:
19
                 Second.
20
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
21
22
  AYES RESPOND
23
                CHAIRMAN:
                Opposed? Motion passes.
24
25
                MS. HENSEL:
```

```
We also have withdrawal requests for Key
1
  Employees and G2 Employees. In each case, the license
2
3
   is no longer required due to --- the license or permit
  are no longer required due to such circumstances as
  the employee failing to report to work or the job
6 offer being rescinded. For today's meeting, I have
  provided the Board with a list of 69 Key and G2
  Employee Withdrawals for approval. I ask that the
  Board consider the Order approving this list of
10 | withdrawals.
11
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                No objection.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Questions from the Board? Could I have a
  motion, please?
15
16
                MR. SOJKA:
17
                 So moved.
18
                CHAIRMAN:
19
                Second?
20
                MR. TRUJILLO:
                Second.
21
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                All in favor?
   AYES RESPOND
24
25
                 CHAIRMAN:
```

```
90
                 Opposed? Motion passes.
1
2
                 MS. HENSEL:
3
                 Finally, we have an Order regarding
   Gaming Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of
  Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached
  list of ten Registered Gaming Service Providers. I
6
   ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the Order
   registering these gaming service providers.
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
9
10
                 No objection.
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Questions from the Board? Could I have a
  motion?
13
14
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
15
                 So moved.
                 MR. SOJKA:
16
17
                 Second.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
19
20
   AYES RESPOND
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 Opposed?
                           Motion passes.
23
                 MS. HENSEL:
                 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's
24
25
   presentation.
```

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Susan. That will conclude today's meeting. Just in closing, our next scheduled public meeting will be held on Thursday, December 16th, 2010, in the State Museum Auditorium. That meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. Any final comments from the Board? Could I have a motion to adjourn?

MR. ANGELI:

10 So moved.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

* * * * * * *

MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:30 P.M.

* * * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before the Pennsylvania

Gaming Control Board, was reported by me on 11/18/2010 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

25

1

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Loart Reporter