COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

Raymond S. Angeli, Jeffrey W. Coy,

Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth T. McCabe (via

telephone), James B. Ginty (via telephone),

Kenneth I. Trujillo (via telephone)

David Barasch, Representing

C. Daniel Hassell, Secretary of

Revenue, Ex-Officio Member; Jorge Augusto,

representing Russell Redding, Secretary of

Agriculture, Ex-Officio Member

HEARING: Thursday, August 19, 2010, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: North Office Building, Hearing Room 1

401 North Street

Harrisburg, PA 17120

Reporter: Kenneth D. O'Hearn

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
4
                APPEARANCES (cont.)
 1
 2
3 ALAN C. KOHLER, ESQUIRE
 4 Eckert Seamans
5 213 Market Street
6 8th Floor
  Harrisburg, PA 17101
8
       Counsel for Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

| | | 5 |
|----|------------------------------------|---|
| 1 | I N D E X | |
| 2 | | |
| 3 | OPENING REMARKS | |
| 4 | By Chairman 6 - 8 | |
| 5 | PRESENTATION | |
| 6 | By Ms. Lanza 8 - 14 | |
| 7 | By Ms. Yantis 14 - 17 | |
| 8 | By Mr. Rhen 17 - 19 | |
| 9 | By Attorney Yocum 19 - 31 | |
| 10 | By Attorney Cook 32 - 39 | |
| 11 | By Attorney Miller 39 - 48 | |
| 12 | TESTIMONY | |
| 13 | By Ms. Rendon 48 - 58 | |
| 14 | PRESENTATION | |
| 15 | By Attorney Cook 58 - 60 | |
| 16 | TESTIMONY | |
| 17 | By Mr. Ringwood 60 - 74 | |
| 18 | PRESENTATION | |
| 19 | By Attorney Cook 75 - 81 | |
| 20 | By Ms. Hensel 81 - 89 | |
| 21 | By Attorney Jones 89 - 91 | |
| 22 | By Attorney Miller 92 - 94 | |
| 23 | By Attorney Buterbaugh 94 - 96 | |
| 24 | By Attorney Bonner 97 - 119 | |
| 25 | DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 119 - 120 | |

PROCEEDINGS

2 ------

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Good morning, everyone. I'm Greg Fajt,

Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board.

And I'd like to ask everyone as is our normal practice

to please turn off your cell phones, Blackberries,

PDAs as they tend to interfere with our communication

system. Joining us today is David Barasch

representing the Secretary of Revenue. C. Dan Hassell

and Jorge Augusto representing Secretary of

Agriculture Russell Redding.

Thank you both for being here. Also I'd like to note for the record that Commissioners Ginty, McCabe and Trujillo are participating in these proceedings by phone. Can you guys just let me know that you're there?

MR. MCCABE:

McCabe here.

MR. GINTY:

Ginty here.

MR. TRUJILLO:

And Ken Trujillo here.

CHAIRMAN:

Great. Thank you. Full Board being

present I'll call today's meeting to order. The first order of business, please join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

By way of announcements the Board held an Executive Session on August 18th, the purpose of the Executive Session was to discuss personnel matters, pending litigation and to conduct quasi judicial deliberations relating to matters pending before the Board.

Additionally I would like to again, announce that there will be public input hearings relating to the four applications for the remaining Category III license coming up in a few weeks.

Specifically the Penn Harris project public hearing will be held on August 30th. The Mason-Dixon project hearing will be held on August 31st. The Bushkill public hearing will be held on September 2nd. And the Nemacolin public hearing will be held on September the 8th. As required by the Gaming Act each of these hearings will be held in the municipality where the proposed project is situated. The exact sites of the hearing as well as the start times are posted on the Board's website.

Also posted on the website is detailed information as to how a person wishing to speak at any of the public input hearings can register to do just that. In the event you don't have internet access you can also get this information by calling our Board Secretary Mickey Kane at 717-346-8300. Having made all the announcements our next item of business is the Executive Director's Report, Kevin?

MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. With me this morning is Acting Director Liz Lanza of the Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. Liz will provide an update on the work of the Department this morning.

CHAIRMAN:

Welcome, Liz.

MS. LANZA:

Thank you. Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. Thank you for allowing me this opportunity to update you on the Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling.

First I'd like to give you a little background information on the Board's self-exclusion program and an update on a number of self-exclusion

statistics. As you know, self exclusion is a process that allows a person to voluntarily request to be excluded from legalized gaming activities in Pennsylvania. Self exclusion is a tool to assist problem gamblers in avoiding the temptation to gamble.

As of this morning there have been a total of 1,609 requests made for self exclusion. Self exclusions do not simply expire, in order for an individual to be removed from the self-exclusion list he or she has to submit in person a request for removal upon the conclusion of the period of self exclusion. Individuals who sign up for lifetime bans may not remove themselves from the list. There have been 191 requests made for removal from self exclusion.

Although this program has been a success in Pennsylvania, there are some individuals who violate the terms of self exclusion. The majority of the individuals who violated were visually identified, while others have been identified when a self-excluded individual attempted to complete a transaction that requires proof of identification such as obtaining a players club card, receiving a jackpot, cashing a check or simply by being carded.

To date there have been 205 known

violations of self exclusion. During the months of

May and June program coordinator Elizabeth Burch and I

traveled to each of the casinos to meet with the

Casino Compliance Supervisors and Representatives. In

these meetings we discussed the self exclusion and

exclusion lists, the facility's Compulsive and Problem

Gambling Plans or the CPG plans and underage gambling.

These visits allowed us to see how the procedures in

each facility's CPG plan are put into practice.

In June and July we worked diligently to ensure that every CPG plan was reviewed and approved by our office. Each facility had to amend their plans to address stipulations mandated by Act I. Additions to the plans include check cashing, signage and underage gambling issues, the addition of sections for table games and credit departments and reference to the voluntary credit suspension program.

Currently the OCPG is developing new problem gambling awareness brochures that include information on problem gambling in family members, older adults and youth to name a few. These newly created brochures will be offered to the public on the OCPG webpage and at public awareness events in which the OCPG participates.

Finally, I would like to take this

opportunity to give my appreciation to all of our CCSs 1 and CCRs at each facility and also to the PGCB 2 3 employees at our four offices who conduct selfexclusion intake and removal interviews. These individuals are often the first line of contact for individuals with gambling problems. These employees conduct self-exclusion intake and removal interviews on a daily basis and often lend support to problem gamblers. Our office has received letters and calls 10 from those who have self excluded in Pennsylvania to thank the OCPG and the Gaming Control Board employees 11 who gave them the guidance that they needed. 12

As always the Office of Compulsive and 14 Problem Gambling remains dedicated to protecting the public by striving to understand and lessen the effects of compulsive and problem gambling. you.

CHAIRMAN:

13

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. COY:

Yeah, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner Coy?

MR. COY:

A couple of questions, Liz? The Notice of Violations that were issued, are they issued by the State Police that are on the scene inside of the casino or who actually does that?

MS. LANZA:

The citations?

MR. COY:

Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. LANZA:

The Pennsylvania State Police at each facility will cite the individuals for trespass.

MR. COY:

And of the notice --- and maybe we're just talking about a difference in words here, but of the Notice of Violations that you said 160 or 70 something like that. How many actually resulted in arrest or is the Notice of Violation in and of itself an arrest?

MS. LANZA:

No, not all of them result in arrests. I'm not sure specifically the number, I can get that for you, however, it is a majority of them.

MR. COY:

I'd be interested to know how many actually resulted in an arrest and what the average

fine or penalty was for those. If you could get that to us, I'd appreciate it.

MS. LANZA:

Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. COY:

And it is --- I wanted to clarify, it is the State Police Force assigned to the casino that handles these arrests?

MS. LANZA:

Yes, that is correct.

MR. COY:

And the last question, in terms of compulsive gaming and reports of violations and identification of problems, are you receiving support from all of our facilities, all of the casinos, are they supportive of this?

MS. LANZA:

Yes, absolutely.

MR. COY:

Some more than others or are they all doing a good job?

MS. LANZA:

They all are doing a good job, they must report any violations to our office within 24 hours of that violation and they all have been keeping up with

14

1 that.

2

MR. COY:

3 Thank you.

4

CHAIRMAN:

5

Thank you, any other questions? Okay.

6

Kevin, Liz, thank you very much.

7

MS. LANZA:

8

Thank you.

9

CHAIRMAN:

10

Next up is Claire Yantis, our Director of Human Resources. Welcome, Claire.

12

11

MS. YANTIS:

13

Good morning, Chairman and Board members.

14

The Office of Human Resources has two Motions before

15

you today relevant to the hiring of PGCB staff.

16

we ask that you consider a Motion to hire three

17

individuals. Randolph Bonchack and Colin McNamara

18

have been selected for Casino Compliance

19

Representative positions in the Bureau of Casino

20

Compliance. And Kelsey Bugaile has been selected for

21

the position of Compliance Examiner in the Bureau of

22

Gaming Operations. Mr. Bonchack, Mr. McNamara and Ms.

23 Bugaile have completed the PGCB interview process,

24 background investigation and drug screening and are

25 being recommended for hire by their respective Bureau

Directors. As such, I ask you that you consider a motion to hire these individuals as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Yes, Mr. Chairman I move the Board approve the applicants as proposed on the condition that they have completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

20 MS. YANTIS:

Next pursuant to the Board Order of July 29th, 2010 the Personnel Committee was authorized to 23 hire individuals as they successfully completed their 24 background investigation in an effort to ensure adequate staffing and training for the anticipated

opening of the SugarHouse Casino.

1

2

3

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Since that Board meeting there were four individuals that have been approved to commence employment under this Motion. Aaron Zylo has been approved by the Personnel Committee to begin employment as a Technical Field Representative in the Bureau of Gaming Laboratory Operations. Additionally Dolores Cameron, Steve Bennett, Jr., and Bruce Price have been approved by the Personnel Committee to begin employment as Casino Compliance Representatives at SugarHouse. All four individuals were recommended for 12 hire by their respective Bureau Directors and have completed the necessary background screening and drug testing. We would therefore ask the Board to ratify the hiring of these individuals as required by the July 29th, 2010 Order of the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none, can I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman I move that the Board ratify the previous hiring of applicants as just described by the Director of Human Resources.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you very much, Claire. Next up is our Budget Manager, Dave Rhen. Welcome, Dave.

MR. RHEN:

Good morning. Today's budget report includes the results for July the 1st, fiscal year 2010/11. It's worth noting that July expenses were lower than for a typical month. Being the first month of the fiscal year, there was only one payroll to post to July. And operating activity that we would normally see in a monthly report is occurring as we will see in August, one month after it occurs. For the month expenditures totaled \$1,563,000. Personnel expenses were \$1.2 million and operating expenses totaled \$375,000. Of the \$375,000 operating expenses the largest by type were \$282,000 July and August real estate and office equipment uses, \$48,000 for utilities, \$16,000 for travel, and \$13,000 for professional and specialized services. concludes my remarks.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions from the Board? Commissioner Coy?

MR. COY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. David, can you give the Board, and for that matter everybody else, any idea of the expenses that you just read, what percentage of those on a monthly basis are reimbursable by casinos or people or companies that are being investigated, do you have any idea? I would imagine a lot of the travel expenses.

MR. RHEN:

A lot of the travel expenses. We also get reimbursed for our database searches and PSP fingerprinting charges and we bill back for direct time related to investigations and proceedings. That typically works out to be 20 to 25 percent of our total budget.

MR. COY:

So you can assume the 20 or 25 of the numbers you just read would be reimbursable?

MR. RHEN:

Right. Our budget is appropriated so that we get all that is reimbursable, but the way it's appropriated is that a portion is drawn down from escrow accounts that the casinos fund. And then

another portion of approximately 25 percent is funded directly by billings for investigation proceedings and also the operations of the gaming lab goes back to manufacturers.

MR. COY:

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

And those billings are not included in the accounts that are developed by the casinos?

MR. RHEN:

They are to be billed separately.

MR. COY:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

13 Any other questions? Thank you very 14 much, Dave. Next up is our Chief Counsel, Doug 15 Sherman.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. Our first four agenda matters relate to regulations today. They are a Proposed Regulation, a Temporary Regulation, a Final-Form Regulation, and a 21 Final Omitted Regulation which Assistant Chief Counsel Susan Yocum will present.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

24 Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members 25 of the Board. You have today before you four

1 Regulation packages for your consideration, the first being Regulation number 125-129. This is a proposed 3 rulemaking, which amends Chapter 439 on junkets. the passage of Act I in January junket enterprises are now required to obtain a license. And those that organize a junket to a licensed facility are now required to obtain an occupation permit from the Board. Those changes were made in this regulation package and are in conformity with the statute. 10 Additionally an internal review was done to determine what level of licensure was actually necessary for the 11 12 junket enterprise. The proposed regulation has removed officers, directors and owners from the 13 14 principal licensing requirements which is where they 15 were before and put them in line with the Gaming Service Providers. It was determined that junkets 16 17 provide a service just like any service providers do 18 to the Licensees. It was more appropriate to 19 credential them at the same level. I'd be 20 happy to answer any questions you may have. If there 21 are no questions I would ask for a motion to adopt 125-129? 22 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Commissioner Sojka, question?

MR. SOJKA:

25

21 Yes, Susan one quick question, do we have 1 2 any junket providers at the moment that this would 3 affect? ATTORNEY YOCUM: 4 5 We currently do not. There has been some 6 interest from several junkets now that table games are operational. 8 MR. SOJKA: 9 Okay. So if we take action on this then 10 once we set the standard and everything will move from 11 that point? 12 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 13 Correct, yeah. 14 MR. SOJKA: 15 Good. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Any other questions? Yeah, Commissioner 18 Angeli? 19 MR. ANGELI: 20 An occupational permit and a Gaming 21 Service Provider, is this the same thing? 22 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 23 The Gaming Service Provider level, if a 24 person is going to be on the gaming floor they're 25 required to obtain an occupation permit. It's

1 essentially the same type of thing that would happen 2 with the junkets.

MR. ANGELI:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions? If not, can I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the Proposed Regulation # 125-129 and that the Board establish a public comment period of 30 days for this Proposed Regulation and that the Proposed Regulation be posted on the Board's website.

MR. COY:

15 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

17 All in favor?

18 ALL SAY AYE

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

The next regulation is 125-131. This is a temporary rulemaking which amends several of the Table Game's Regulations in response to operator comments received during the 30 day public comment

period established by the Board. One of the issues brought to our attention was our current regulation requires that when a damaged card or cards is found 3 during the course of play that an entire deck be replaced. This regulation amends that, so just the card or cards can be replaced with a replacement deck that's controlled by the pit manager.

Additionally this regulation offers certificate holders an additional side wager. the 20 point bonus wager also known as the Lucky Ladies bonus wager in the game of Blackjack. If there are no questions I would ask for a motion to adopt temporary rulemaking 125-131.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. ANGELI:

Just one because it's like following the bouncing ball here. If a card is damaged, okay, they go and get another deck and take the card out of that deck and put it ---.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

What happens is they put it --- the 23 regulation is going to require that they put it face up on the table so surveillance can get a shot of it. They put the new card into the discard rack. They cut the old one in half and stick it back in face up into
the deck. And that replacement deck gets put back
into the pit stand. So they can continue to take
replacement cards on an as needed basis. And at the
end of the gaming day that entire replacement deck is
taken with the rest of the decks.

MR. ANGELI:

Okay. So that one replacement deck is there all day long and if there's another problem they just keep taking it out of that replacement deck?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Correct.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

MR. ANGELI:

Rather than just replacing the entire deck, which I didn't understand?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Correct.

MR. ANGELI:

Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

And just to follow-up on Commissioner

Angeli's point, that deck as you mentioned in your

comments is controlled by the pit manager, pit boss;

is that correct?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

There are inventory control Yes. procedures that we've written into the regulation to assure that that deck is maintained by the pit manager.

MR. SOJKA:

And then if by chance the rare possibility that the same card is damaged twice then they simply dump that deck and bring in a new?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

10 Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. SOJKA:

And it's all done under a surveillance camera so everybody sees it and there can't be any putting in an extra card or something in?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

Absolutely.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions or comments? If not can I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

23 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt Temporary Regulation 125-131, that the Board establish 24 25 a public comment period of 30 days for the Temporary

Regulation. And that the Temporary Regulation be posted on the Board's website.

CHAIRMAN:

Can I have a second?

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

24 requiring that general partners of limited

We also have a Final-Form Regulation 125-108; this will amend Chapter 433 on principal licensure. Most of the current provisions were not deleted from this amendment package, they were simply reorganized for clarity and for consistency between the sections. We did delete several of the requirements, institutional investors are no longer required to file an application but simply a notice form. We also deleted junkets from the principal regulations as I mentioned on 125-129.

There are two new provisions. We are

partnerships that are our licensees are to be licensed

as principals. This was done because general partners typically have --- although their ownership interest 3 is very small, they typically have the authority to manage the limited partner, they carry the liability for the decks and they have a right to bind the LP in contracts, limited partner in contracts. Additionally lenders to principal affiliates and slot machine licensees are now going to be required to be licensed if the lender is not in the business of providing debt 10 or equity or the loan is not in order in course of a lender's business. This regulation is not referring 11 to banks or licensed lending institutions. It's a 12 13 very small segment of lenders. This regulation 14 actually codifies an internal policy. We did receive 15 comments from both the industry and from IRRC and have included most of their suggestions into this 16 17 Final-Form Rulemaking. I'd be happy to answer any questions you may have. If not I'd ask for a motion 18 to adopt 125-108. 19

CHAIRMAN:

20

21

22

23

24

Any questions or comments from the Board, Commissioner Angeli?

MR. ANGELI:

So, if it's a lender who's not a bank or 25 normal lending institution they are required to be

28 licensed as a ---? 1 2 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 3 As a principal, correct. 4 MR. ANGELI: 5 There's no dollar amount --- is there a dollar amount or a percentage tied to this? 6 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 8 The principal license, there would No. be the application fee and the investigation costs, 10 but ---. 11 MR. ANGELI: 12 It doesn't matter how much they lend. 13 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 14 No. 15 MR. ANGELI: So they're still going to have to be 16 17 licensed as a principal? 18 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 19 Yes, if they're a lender not in the 20 ordinary course and their loan is not --- they've 21 never lent before. 22 MR. ANGELI: 23 You mentioned it was in our current 24 policy, is this a change to what we've done or have we

25 always done it?

29

1 ATTORNEY YOCUM: Well, this is a codification of what we 2 3 have already done. Currently of the number of years we've been looking at lenders, this is the first year we've had two lenders that have applied for principal 6 licensure and their applications are currently pending. 8 MR. ANGELI: 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 And these were lenders out of the 12 ordinary course of banking? 13 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 14 That's correct. 15 CHAIRMAN: And what was the magnitude of the loans 16 17 just generically? 18 ATTORNEY YOCUM: 19 I don't have the dollar figure for you, 20 but I can get it. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Okay. Tens of millions, 2 million, 20 23 million, any idea?

ATTORNEY YOCUM:

I'm not exactly sure.

24

25

30

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

All right. You can get that to us.

Okay. Any other questions?

MR. SOJKA:

No.

CHAIRMAN:

Can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt the Final-Form Regulation 125-108 and that the Regulation be posted on the Board's website.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

16 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

19 ATTORNEY YOCUM:

And the last regulation for you today, is
125-130. This is a Final-Omit rulemaking which does
two things. It changes the license renewal term from
one year to three years for all manufacturers,
manufacturer designees, suppliers and slot machine

25 licensee. It also renames vendors to gaming service

providers. These changes were statutorily mandated 1 2 with the passage of Act One. If there are no 3 questions I'd ask for a motion to adopt 125-130.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? Can I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt Final-Omitted Regulation 125-130 and the Regulation be posted on the Board's website.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

14 All in favor?

15 ALL SAY AYE

16 CHAIRMAN:

17 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,

18 Susan.

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

19

2.0

21

22

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Chairman, we have no substantive contested petitions to present today as we normally do. So I'll be turning now to withdrawals, Reports 23 and Recommendations, Emergency Suspensions and a 24 Procedural Petition requesting reconsideration of a 25 prior Board Order. Deputy Chief Counsel Steve Cook will present each of these matters.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

Okay. Welcome, Steve.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Good morning. With respect to withdrawals, the Board has received petitions seeking the withdrawal of the applications of the following five individuals or businesses. The Boyle Family Trust, Bernard Boyle, Scott D. Gunn and the 10 manufacturer related applications of Keystone Gaming Technologies, Inc. and Thomas Malek.

The Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) has no objections to these petitions, therefore, if 14 the Board were to grant same they would be doing it, granting the withdrawals without prejudice. We'd ask for a motion to the effect.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue 22 Orders to approve the withdrawals as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC). 23

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

are six Report and Recommendations received in the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) relative to two Non-Gaming Employee Registrations, three Gaming Employee Permits and one Petition for Removal from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List. These Reports and Recommendations, along with the evidentiary record for each hearing, have been filed with the Board.

Next before the Board for consideration

Additionally, each of the persons involved have been notified that the matter was being called before the Board today and have the right to be present to briefly address the Board. I would ask that any of these individuals, if they're present today, and wish to address the Board that they should come forward when their matter is announced.

The first Report and Recommendation
before the Board pertains to David Clemens. Mr.

Clemens submitted an application for a non-gaming ---.

CHAIRMAN:

He is here. Please come up here, Mr. 4 Clemens.

ATTORNEY COOK:

While Mr. Clemens makes his way up I'll provide the Board with some background. Mr. Clemens submitted an application for a Non-Gaming Employee Registration on May 13, 2010, seeking employment as a carpenter at the Mt. Airy Casino.

On his application, Mr. Clemens disclosed that in 1988, he was convicted of third degree murder stemming from an incident in which his home was broken into and he shot and killed one of the individual intruders. As a result of this conviction, Mr. Clemens was sentenced to prison for 6-15 years. He was paroled after six years and released from parole in 2004.

On May 27th, 2010 the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based on Mr. Clemens felony conviction. Mr. Clemens requested a hearing in which both he and OEC appeared and offered testimony and documentary evidence. Mr. Clemens presented uncontradicted evidence that the 22-year-old conviction in guestion was the sole extent of his

criminal history; that he was an exemplary prisoner 1 while incarcerated and that he has been continuously 3 employed since his parole and that he's also involved in community activities. Based upon the evidence presented, including a character reference by a Pennsylvania State Trooper, as well as the Non-Gaming related nature of the employment that he seeks, the Report and Recommendation of the Hearing Officer was that Mr. Clemens be granted Non-Gaming Status. That 10 matter is presently before the Board for consideration. I see Mr. Clemens is present as well 11 12 as Katie Higgins from the OEC. I ask Mr. Clemens if 13 he has anything to say to the Board that he go right 14 ahead.

MR. CLEMENS:

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Yes, I just hope you read over the Report and Recommendation and grant me the license. And I appreciate your time.

CHAIRMAN:

Katie, any comments from you?

ATTORNEY HIGGINS:

The OEC has no objection to Hearing Officer's Report and Recommendation.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, any questions or comments from

1 the Board?

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOJKA:

I think it might be worth a comment, and that is due to the gravity of the issue I think you should know that we spent considerable time carefully going over all of the materials that you submitted having to do with what I guess, we would have to call rehabilitation and reentry into the community. And obviously you've done an excellent job of putting all that together and we appreciate that.

MR. CLEMENS:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions or comments? Seeing none can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of David Clemens as described by the OCC.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

37

ALL SAY AYE 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Opposed? Motion passes. 4 MR. CLEMENS: Thank you very much. 5 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. ATTORNEY COOK: 8 9 Next for the Board's consideration is a 10 Report and Recommendation related to Anthony Incollingo, who may also be present. 11 12 CHAIRMAN:

Is Mr. Incollingo here?

ATTORNEY COOK:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

No, perhaps not. Mr. Incollingo submitted a Non-Gaming Employee Registration application to work as a valet parker at the Parx Casino. On August 19, 2008, OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based on Mr. Incollingo's failure to disclose a 2007 arrest for violation of the 21 Drug Act which is a felony offense, as well as due to the nature of the offense itself, which involved the sale of a small amount of marijuana to an undercover police officer.

Mr. Incollingo requested a hearing;

1 however, the parties agreed to hold the matter in abeyance until the criminal charges were resolved. 3 The matter was subsequently scheduled and heard before a Hearing Officer on April 15th, 2010. At that hearing both the OEC and Mr. Incollingo offered testimony and documentary evidence. The OEC offered evidence indicating that Mr. Incollingo did not disclose the arrest on his application as well as the fact that he was subsequently convicted of a felony 10 offense. Mr. Incollingo testified that he did not disclose the arrest because the matter was pending at 11 the time and he didn't think he was obligated to do 12 13 so.

At the conclusion of the hearing the Hearing Officer issued a Report and Recommendation to the Board, recommending that Mr. Incollingo's application be denied due to his non-disclosure of a pending criminal charge, as well as his subsequent conviction of a felony drug offense.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Board may recall this matter was previously called before the Board on June 21st, 2010, and the Board at that time heard from Mr. Incollingo. Thereafter, the matter was tabled and the OEC was requested to reach out to Mr. Incollingo's probation officer, his still being on probation, and get a

report. I believe Dustin may be able to speak to that.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2.

3

4

5

Welcome, Dustin.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

6 Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members of the Board. Yes, as Steve indicated at the conclusion of that Board meeting in June, you had asked for some more information regarding Mr. 10 Incollingo's probation and parole and also some more information regarding charges. We contacted the BIE 11 and they were able to provide us with that 12 information. The BIE contacted Gaile Livingston, who 1.3 14 is Mr. Incollingo's probation officer in Philadelphia. 15 She told the investigator that she had Mr. Incollingo's case since March 30th of this year. 16 17 that was transferred from Berks County where these 18 charges were filed. There are no restrictions against Mr. Incollingo regarding his probation. He is 19 20 required to make payments to the Court regarding his fines and costs and visit her one time per month. 21 22 has not missed any appointments and is current on all 23 his payments as ordered. She had no derogatory information to report regarding Mr. Incollingo's 24 25 probation. And also BIE did retrieve the arrests

documents from Berks County. I do have a copy of those here, I didn't know if the Board would be interested in a copy of those and I have a copy for Mr. Incollingo as well, if he appears. Or I could read into the record what the basis for the charges were.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

Why don't you read into the record what the basis was?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

According to the Affidavit of Probable 11 12 Cause for Mr. Incollingo's arrest, on Thursday, 13 October 11th, 2007 a Berks County Detective was 14 working in an undercover capacity for the purpose of 15 attempting to purchase marijuana from a suspect known as Antonio S. Incollingo. The drug transaction was 16 17 anticipated to take place at the suspect, Mr. Incollingo's home in Kutztown, Berks County. 18 approximately 11:16 p.m. the detective responded to 19 20 Mr. Incollingo's apartment with a confidential police 21 informant. Once in the apartment the detective met 22 with the suspect, Mr. Incollingo. Mr. Incollingo said 23 he had a quarter, the detective said we would take it. 24 Mr. Incollingo went back in a hallway while the 25 detective remained in the kitchen, Mr. Incollingo

returned. Mr. Incollingo handed a clear plastic bag 1 2 containing suspected marijuana to the confidential police informant. The detective immediately retrieved 3 the clear plastic bag containing suspected marijuana and asked Mr. Incollingo, how much do you want? Mr. Incollingo replied \$80. The detective handed Mr. Incollingo \$80 pre-recorded U.S. currency. confidential police informant and the detective left the apartment. Later the material that was in the 10 clear plastic baggie was tested and tested positive 11 for marijuana. At that time the police filed charges 12 against Mr. Incollingo. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Thank you. Any questions or comments 15 from the Board? 16 MR. MCCABE: 17 Yes, I have a question. 18 CHAIRMAN: Yes, Commissioner McCabe. 19 20 MR. MCCABE: 21 How long is his probation period and how 22 much time does he have left on that probation period? 23 ATTORNEY MILLER: 24 Mr. Incollingo was sentenced to five 25 years probation so that was in March of this year. So

we're very early into the probationary period.

MR. MCCABE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

17

18

19

20

21

22

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, I want to make sure that I'm understanding this correctly because I believe Mr. 8 Incollingo came into this room, was sworn and gave an 10 account substantially different from what you've just read to us out of that arrest record. There was 11 12 reference to a large fraternity party, a random 13 contact with a person who turned out to be a police 14 woman, a sale of a quote, joint. And it sounds like a 15 very different scenario from what you've just read. Am I understanding that correctly? 16

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Now, that's my recollection as well.

MR. SOJKA:

Thank you.

MR. ANGELI:

And I would agree with that, you know. 23 Having heard Mr. Incollingo's comments the last time I 24 would agree with Commissioner Sojka that it has 25 changed dramatically from what you read just now.

appears from what you just read right now that 1 2 obviously his apartment was targeted as a place where 3 there might have been a sale of drugs. 4 ATTORNEY MILLER: Yeah, that's correct. 5 6 MR. ANGELI: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Okay. Any other ---? 10 MR. GINTY: 11 This is Ginty. He pled quilty to these 12 charges? 13 ATTORNEY MILLER: 14 Excuse me. I didn't hear the question. 15 MR. GINTY: And he pled guilty in these charges? 16 17 ATTORNEY MILLER: 18 Oh, that's correct. He did plead guilty. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Any other questions or comments? Yep, 21 I'm going to ask what is the Recommendation before the 22 Board? 23 ATTORNEY COOK: 24 A vote to adopt the Report and 25 Recommendation would result in Mr. Ingollingo's being

44 denied a permit. A vote against the Report and 1 2 Recommendation would grant him the Non-Gaming 3 Registration. 4 MR. COY: 5 And his intent is to be a valet parking 6 attendant. ATTORNEY COOK: He was previously employed as a valet 8 parker at the Parx Casino. 10 MR. COY: And not in the casino itself? 11 12 ATTORNEY COOK: 13 Not in the casino itself, no. He would 14 be outside parking cars. 15 CHAIRMAN: Any other questions or comments from the 16 Seeing none, could I have a motion, please? 17 Board? 18 MR. ANGELI: 19 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 20 issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation 21 of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee 22 Registration of Anthony Incollingo as described by the 23 OEC. 24 MR. COY: 25 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Victor Giddins is the next Report and Recommendation before the Board for consideration. Mr. Giddins submitted an application for a Gaming Employee Permit on April 14, 2010, seeking work as a bench technician for Micro First Associates, a manufacturer of slot machines.

On May 3, 2010, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based upon the fact that Mr. Giddins was convicted of a felony within the last 15 years. Specifically, on February 4th, 2002 Mr. Giddins was convicted in New Jersey of attempting to elude police, which in that state is characterized as a felony.

The OHA issued a Report and 21 Recommendation in this matter recommending that Mr. Giddins' application for a Gaming Employee Permit be denied based on his felony conviction and the Gaming Act's prohibition against granting a gaming permit to anybody convicted of a felony within the last 15

years. The OCC recommends that under the 1 circumstances the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation. 3 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions, or comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 6 MR. COY: 8 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order adopting the Report and Recommendation of the 10 OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Victor 11 Giddins as described by the OCC. 12 CHAIRMAN: Second? 13 14 MR. SOJKA: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? Motion passes. 21 ATTORNEY COOK: The next matter before the Board relates 22 23 to Heather Rendon. Ms. Rendon submitted an application on May 17th, 2010 to work as a table games 24

dealer at the Parx Casino and Racetrack. I see Ms.

25

1 Rendon is present. Subsequently, on June 17th, 2010, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial based on Ms. Rendon's failure to disclose a July 2007 arrest, and ultimate conviction on a charge of theft by deception, a misdemeanor.

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Rendon requested a hearing which was held on July 13th, 2010. Both the OEC and Ms. Rendon offered testimony and documentary evidence. At the hearing, Ms. Rendon testified that, because she was in the process of getting her record expunged, she stated on her application that she had never been arrested or charged with any crime.

Thereafter, a Report and Recommendation was issued, where in the Hearing Officer recommended that Ms. Rendon be found unsuitable to hold a Gaming Employee Permit.

After issuance of the Report and Recommendation, on August 2nd, 2010, Ms. Rendon filed Exceptions to the Hearing Officer's Report and Recommendation. In her Exceptions, Ms. Rendon details her rehabilitation and actions since her 2007 arrest and conviction. Specifically, Ms. Rendon states that she has been trained to be a dealer over a nine week period of time; has learned game protection; the rules and regulation of dealing card games and table games

1 in the casino; and she's also purchased all of her uniforms for that activity. She further indicated that she has paid her criminal fine in full; completed 3 all of her community service; and, was indeed in the process of having her record expunged.

This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration. I've indicated Ms. Rendon is present.

CHAIRMAN:

Great. Ms. Rendon, will you please stand 10 to be sworn in?

11

HEATHER RENDON, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, 12

TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 13

6

8

9

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

14

CHAIRMAN:

Do you have any comments to the Board?

MS. RENDON:

No, I just drove five hours and what was said in my letter, I feel that I'm fully qualified and 19 20 that's it.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, from the Board? I have a question. You know, and we've had this issue come to us repeatedly and that is when people do not fill out their applications correctly. It is my understanding

and you can correct me if I'm wrong.

MS. RENDON:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

That it says pretty clearly, on the application that an expunged record, whether it's in the process or completed, is still a record that must be disclosed.

MS. RENDON:

You're correct.

CHAIRMAN:

And so my next question, is why then whether you were in the process of expungement or not did you not disclose your record?

MS. RENDON:

Just being irresponsible and trying to --- I quess, lack of responsibility pretty much.

MR. COY:

What's the motion being recommended?

CHAIRMAN:

What is the recommendation again?

ATTORNEY COOK:

The recommendation of the Hearing Officer is that her application be denied. So a vote to uphold the Report and Recommendation would deny her

50 the application. 2 MR. COY: 3 I'll try one more time. Anything else you want to say to us about this other than you were simply irresponsible? 6 MS. RENDON: 7 You know, I mean, just lack of --- it was a very juvenile decision. I should have been truthful. It was just one of those things that I just 10 want to put in the past. And that's pretty much it. 11 MR. GINTY: 12 This is Ginty. What's the status of the 13 expungement attempt? 14 MS. RENDON: 15 Is that for me? 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Yes. 18 MS. RENDON: 19 The first and second step is complete. 20 I'm just waiting to hear back from them for a court date to see them and ---. 21 22 CHAIRMAN:

Jim, did you hear that?

MR. GINTY:

23

24

25

What I heard was they are waiting for a

court date? 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Correct. Commissioner Sojka? 4 MR. SOJKA: 5 This whole thing then strikes me that it's a little bit preliminary in that there's that 6 issue as well as the failure to truthfully fill out the application. Even your argument having to do with expungement is not yet complete. 10 MS. RENDON: 11 Not yet. It's a very lengthy process. 12 MR. TRUJILLO: 13 This is Ken Trujillo. Just following up 14 on Commission Coy's question, I just want to be clear 15 that when you filled out the application you understood at that time you were supposed to disclose 16 17 the facts of the arrest? 18 MS. RENDON: 19 I was. 20 MR. MCCABE: 21 This is McCabe, can I ask a question? 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Certainly.

Were you given the opportunity to amend

MR. MCCABE:

24

25

52 your application when you were re-interviewed by BIE 1 about this matter? 3 MS. RENDON: I was and I did. 4 5 MR. MCCABE: 6 And you did? MS. RENDON: Yes. 8 9 MR. MCCABE: 10 Did you amend it or did you not amend it? 11 MS. RENDON: 12 I did amend it. 13 MR. COY: She said that she did. 14 15 MR. MCCABE: She did, but our records show --- says 16 17 that she did not amend her application to reflect these discrepancies. 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 You are correct that --- Counselor, do 21 you want to weigh in? Ken, that's my recollection 22 also that she did not amend the application. And her counsel, if you could please state your name for the 23 24 record? 25 ATTORNEY COOK:

That's Mark Buterbaugh from the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you.

ATTORNEY BUTERBAUGH:

Mr. Chairman, she did amend the criminal history part of her application but after several attempts to have her amend her employment application, in which she did not disclose the employer in which her offense came from, she failed to do so.

CHAIRMAN:

I'm not sure I understand that. Can you say that again?

ATTORNEY BUTERBAUGH:

Yeah, there were two non-disclosures.

She failed to disclose the crime and she failed to disclose the employer she worked at where the crime occurred. After being requested to render an application she did amend the criminal part and listed the offense, but she failed to amend the employment part to put the employer where the criminal offense occurred.

MR. MCCABE:

Who was the employer?

MS. RENDON:

Well, I didn't hear, I'm sorry.

| | 54 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 2 | Who was the employer? |
| 3 | MS. RENDON: |
| 4 | The Student Grill. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 6 | I'm sorry? |
| 7 | MS. RENDON: |
| 8 | The Student Grill, it's a restaurant. I |
| 9 | thought I had put that in the first amendment of, you |
| 10 | know, the restaurant. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 12 | Mr. Angeli? |
| 13 | MR. ANGELI: |
| 14 | Is the only objection I here is the |
| 15 | failure to disclose, is that the objection here? |
| 16 | ATTORNEY BUTERBAUGH: |
| 17 | No, there's two objections. The |
| 18 | objection is the failure to disclose and the nature of |
| 19 | the crime. |
| 20 | MR. ANGELI: |
| 21 | Could you kind of go over the nature of |
| 22 | the crime? |
| 23 | MS. RENDON: |
| 24 | Yes. |
| 25 | MR. ANGELI: |
| | |

Bring that microphone a little closer please.

MS. RENDON:

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I was just influenced by someone else. Ι mean, it was a stupid decision. I used somebody's credit card number that was given to me but nothing was purchased and nothing was used or anything, everything was put back on the card. And I took full responsibility for it. I didn't give anybody else's name or anything.

MR. ANGELI:

You used the credit card?

MS. RENDON:

Well, it was used but nothing was purchased.

MR. ANGELI:

Okay. Tell me about that. I mean, how do you use it and not purchase?

MS. RENDON:

I'm saying like it --- when the credit card number was given, thereafter everything was put back onto the card. Nothing was ---.

CHAIRMAN:

So purchases were made, but restitution 25 was made, is that what you're going to say?

MS. RENDON:

It all went back. The company actually called me and I talked to them, you know, told them and everything. I went to the police department and gave them a recorded statement and everything.

MR. ANGELI:

So you did use a credit card to purchase items and the card was not yours?

MS. RENDON:

10 I did.

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOJKA:

Who brought the charges? Did the proper owner of the card complain, or did the credit card complain?

MS. RENDON:

That I don't know. It never went as far as to --- it never got that in depth, I'm not sure.

MR. SOJKA:

So you just ---.

MS. RENDON:

It was in the matter of like a day and a half. It was kind of like what was done was done and I just took ---.

MR. SOJKA:

But how did the police find out, I mean?

MS. RENDON:

I guess, they had called me actually, I talked to them on the phone.

MR. SOJKA:

So they did know about it. Somebody must have reported it.

MS. RENDON:

Maybe the credit card company, I'm not exactly sure. And that's when everything --- you know, I just took full responsibility and everything was put back on the card and I went to the police station and gave my fines and ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions or comments?

MR. COY:

So a vote to adopt is to deny the permit

17 for license?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY COOK:

That's correct.

CHAIRMAN:

Correct. Can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yeah, I'll do that and if it's seconded that opens additional discussion if people want; right? So I'm going to say, Mr. Chairman, I will move

that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Heather Rendon and deny the 3 Exceptions filed by Ms. Rendon as described to the OCC. 6 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? 8 MR. ANGELI: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All in favor? 12 ALL SAY AYE 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? Motion passes. 15 ATTORNEY COOK:

The next Report and Recommendation before
the Board pertains to Scott Ringwood. On April 16th,
Ringwood submitted his application for a
Gaming Employee Permit to work as a dealer at

21 a July 1999 and a December 1999 arrest for misdemeanor

Harrah's. In his application, Mr. Ringwood disclosed

22 assault as well an August 2005 arrest for promoting

23 prostitution. I believe Mr. Ringwood is present as

24 | well.

20

25

The OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation

of Denial on June 8, 2010, due to the nature of Mr. Ringwood's criminal history.

Mr. Ringwood requested a hearing, which
was held on July 22nd, 2010. Both the OEC and Mr.
Ringwood appeared and offered testimony at the
hearing. During the hearing, Mr. Ringwood presented a
variety of evidence in support of his suitability,
including a statement from the victim of his two
assault convictions indicating that she falsely
reported the matter. And I would note that his victim
I believe was Mr. Ringwood's ex-wife.

2.4

With regard to the prostitution related charges, Mr. Ringwood testified that he and his wife at the time were owners of a personal fitness facility and unbeknownst to him, some of the masseuse contractors, which were retained by his business, were involved in prostitution. Mr. Ringwood testified that despite being innocent of the crimes charged, he pled no contest to each of these crimes to the lesser offenses that he was charged with to avoid trial and the risk of a felony conviction in each situation.

The Report and Recommendation issued by the Hearing Officer recommends that Mr. Ringwood be granted Gaming Employee status based in part on the Hearing Officer's belief that the applicant had, in

1 all cases, adequately explained why he entered no contest pleas to the offenses for which he was claiming, in fact, to be innocent. 3 4

This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration. Mr. Ringwood is present and if he'd 6 like to address the Board, now would be the appropriate time.

CHAIRMAN:

9 Mr. Ringwood, before you do that, could 10 you please stand and be sworn in?

11

SCOTT RINGWOOD, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, 12

TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS: 13

8

15

16

18

19

22

25

14

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, have a seat. Do you have a 17 statement or a comment to make before the Board?

MR. RINGWOOD:

I would just like to thank the Board for 20 hearing me today. And the situation that is presented 21 before you.

CHAIRMAN:

23 Thank you, questions or comments from the 24 Board? Commissioner Angeli?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Ringwood, what are you currently doing? What's your current job?

MR. RINGWOOD:

I am a bartender at Harrah's Casino in Chester.

CHAIRMAN:

Other questions? Mr. Ringwood, I --- Mr.

Sojka? 8

1

2

3

4

6

7

9

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. SOJKA:

10 Well, again I'm concerned about the no contest plea and the technical aspect of that. 11 12 Because you were charged and then you --- when you essentially --- even though you say you're innocent, 13 14 when you take that no contest position, you 15 essentially are accepting the charge of what you did. And that leaves us in a difficult situation. I mean, 16 17 you tell us you're innocent, but the record suggests that you didn't contest the charge. 18

MR. RINGWOOD:

Yes, sir, as I stated prior in the Conshohocken video conference to the Judge --- when I had talked to my attorney what I was afraid of was --he had talked to the Judge, and if I may, the Judge was not happy at the fact that I had an establishment; 25 I should have had better control over my employees.

In other words, known what was going on. I did not
have the opportunity to talk to those employees. I
had actually recruited employees --- I had worked --when I was down in Dallas, Texas, I had done the same
thing, I was a personnel trainer, and I had done
pretty much the same endeavors. It was successful. I
also bartended down there as well. The two employees
in question, the one I had got from reference from
Gold's Gym, they also had chiropractors in reference

---. I had everything from a reflexologist. I had
sold nutritional supplements.

The people that I had underneath me that were in question were subcontracted, as was everybody else. Dustin had even asked me in video conference, had you received any money or noticed any profitable gain from that. Obviously if I would've --- I did not know what these employees were doing. Apparently these employees were meeting clientele inside the establishment for meetings later outside. When I had talked to my attorney, the biggest thing that he had said to me is, he said, Scott, if this goes through the Judge, this is going to a press list. I was nervous due to the fact of a felony charge coming through if I did fight it and things that would occur with that. He had told me to take no contest it would

be a misdemeanor, that's a lot easier on the record, and he would take care of that later.

When I had gone through the first time

with my Non-Gaming License I was concerned about that because of the promoting prostitution charge, because it's an ugly word. I'd even asked the Judge about that and he was very adamant about pursuing it. As to why I do not know. I think things happen for a I don't really know why that happened. But I 10 don't know how much of the record you've seen. Basically if I was going to do --- and I do understand 11 what the Gaming Control Board is concerned about in 12 13 the matter. And you have every right. But if I was 14 going to do what was being charged, I had more of an 15 opportunity as a bartender than I would as a dealer.

MR. COY:

1

2

3

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That is not hidden to us.

MR. RINGWOOD:

No, I mean no disrespect when I say that. I do not. I know that the gaming license is a heavier gaming license, with a lot of --- more credential and responsibility. When I had gone to the casino --- ever since that time it's left such scars, and like I said I've always prided myself in the gym, now the only thing that I do as far as the gym, is myself. I

train myself; I've let all that go. Because I'm --
it left such a --- I really did not see that coming.

I really remember thinking that, you know, they would

reprimand me, maybe I would, you know --- I didn't

even think I'd get a fine to be honest, worst case

scenario. When all this transpired and being in fear,

and that's really what it was, when you hear felony,

you know, what that can do to you. And listening to

the advice of my attorney, who was a good attorney,

telling me to go no contest and saying that it was a

lot worded down, that is why I went that route.

If you look over the letters of recommendations or if you would call anybody at the casino, I just got done with leadership courses. I consider myself a professional in the field. The reason that I crossed over into the casino as I stated was for a professional career, this is where I plan to make my living, to move up through the company. If I don't get the gaming license then that's going to put a stop to a lot of things. As a matter of fact, since this had transpired I've interviewed for a supervisor position that has been put on hold. Even though it only requires a non-gaming, for example, in the food and beverage, the food and beverage director --- and I'm very candid. I tell them this is --- I didn't say

oh, I have charges. I let them know what the charges are. Everything on the table because I don't feel I have anything to hide and I know that my intentions weren't that.

There's a lot I guess is what I'm trying to say is, hanging on the hinge, of getting this gaming license, going to the table games to deal. But my ultimate goal is to have a long and prosperous career with the gaming industry. And I do feel this is a situation of a grave misunderstanding. If I had to go back and do it again, knowing what I know now, I don't know. I mean, not with the business because I really did not know that that was going on. But if you said would you do the charges again, I don't know. When you hear felony, that scared the heck out of me, it really did.

MR. SOJKA:

Could I ask you --- and I don't want to get into retrying your whole case, but I want to make sure I understand a couple things. These supposed acts of prostitution, did they occur on the premises that you owned?

MR. RINGWOOD:

Sir, I really I hate to be vague, and I'm not trying to do that. I wasn't allowed to have any

contact with the individuals. I don't know what was stated. I know that I was going under the advice of my attorney. I do know that --- what I do know is that they were apparently getting these clients, setting up meetings on the outside.

MR. SOJKA:

2.4

And so that's why you were charged --you had to be caught up in it somehow? Was the charge
that they were making their contacts from the work in
which you were employing them?

MR. RINGWOOD:

Yes, sir. But like I said, I had credible reflexologists. I've had nutritional therapists. I mean, people would come to me and see a nutritionalist, chiropractors. And what would happen if I was --- just as if you would have a --- and let me remind you, if I may, that the one came from a recommendation. I remember someone said that this one particular individual she had cards all over the gym. And that kind of disturbed me because I thought well, if she was going through my establishment, was she going with Golds, why are you not attacking Golds or ---. But again, this is like an iron curtain goes up and that's not your concern or --- so I don't know, maybe they did talk to someone at Golds. I don't

know. But I just feel --- I remember thinking it was unfair, I even said to my attorney, I said what if I owned a McDonalds and I had employees in the back doing drugs, are you going to go after the owner of the McDonalds.

3

20

21

22

23

24

25

6 And the Judge, like I said, he was very I don't know what his reason was. adamant. look over my record there's nothing in relation to do this. He was very adamant in filing the charges and, quoting my attorney, the biggest thing with the Judge 10 was he should have taken more responsibility, but I 11 don't know how you can do that if sometimes you're at 12 13 the gym, sometimes you're in the facility. I can't go 14 into the room. I can't, you know, go ahead and meet 15 with the --- I don't know, maybe there were proper steps I could have taken, but I don't see how other 16 17 than --- you know. And even with the chiropractor, I don't know how you can control that. Maybe I'm naïve, 18 but like I said it opened my eyes. 19

MR. MCCABE:

Mr. Chair, may I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner McCabe?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, when did this offense happen?

MR. RINGWOOD:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

I believe it was '05, sir.

MR. MCCABE:

And you pled no contest or nolo contendere and what were you sentenced to?

MR. RINGWOOD:

Eighteen (18) months probation.

MR. MCCABE:

Okay. So that's not the --- between when that happened in '05 and now, have there been any other incidents or involvement with law enforcement?

MR. RINGWOOD:

No. No, sir.

MR. MCCABE:

How big of a facility did you have where you had this gym, chiropractor business?

MR. RINGWOOD:

To answer your question, it was more or less like a --- if you could imagine a medical facility, it was similar to that with nutritional products based throughout the front of the club and exercise equipment. A place for the chiropractor in 23 his room whenever he'd get called in and a reception area with employees in the front.

MR. MCCABE:

69 And the alleged prostitutes were the 1 2 chiropractor? 3 MR. RINGWOOD: No, sir. They were certified ---4 and that's another thing, they were certified masseuse. MR. MCCABE: 8 So they were a masseuse not chiropractors? 10 MR. RINGWOOD: 11 Yes, sir. 12 MR. MCCABE: Where did they perform their massages? 13 14 MR. RINGWOOD: 15 On the facility, onsite. And they were through appointment. 16 17 MR. MCCABE: Behind a closed door or behind a curtain? 18 19 MR. RINGWOOD: 20 No, like I said, it was like a doctor's office. 21 22 MR. MCCABE: 23 So was it behind a closed door or behind 24 a curtain? 25 MR. RINGWOOD:

Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

23

24

25

MR. MCCABE:

Out in the open?

MR. RINGWOOD:

5 No, it was behind a closed door. But I can't stress enough the credentials --- first of all and again, I don't mean to sound naive if you'd see the facility you'd understand. It was very professional in nature, from the appointments and 10 everything that was extracted down. Everybody was subcontracted. It was an extension of a --- and I had 11 12 done this even before in Dallas. I even had doctors 13 that I would subcontract out, too. Texas is a lot 14 more lenient as far as that area, such as with Botox 15 injections and things of that nature. We didn't get 16 into that up here. But that was the furthest thing from my mind. When this came down I was shocked. I 17 18 could see if it was, oh, I didn't know the background. But these were, quote, unquote, professionals that 19 2.0 were certified. So when this had transpired it --and I know I sound like a broken record, I was in 21 22 shock.

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Coy?

MR. COY:

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

A couple quick points. Number one, you didn't happen to have surveillance equipment in these rooms on your own, did you?

MR. RINGWOOD:

No. No, to be honest with you, I would assume that that would be interfering with their privacy. And that's another thing I talked to my attorney about, how do you do that as far as ---.

MR. COY:

I want to tell you something regardless of how this does turn out for you. But when you made a comparison to being an employee of McDonalds compared to a gaming industry, the truth is the gaming industry is much more regulated and it's ---.

MR. RINGWOOD:

No, I didn't ---.

MR. COY:

Excuse me. It is much more regulated in 21 Pennsylvania than in other places, especially compared to a restaurant employee or something like that. that's --- without trying to explain away your statement I just wanted you to know how the Board does feel about that. I guess I want somebody, Mr.

Sherman, to comment on why there is a difference between a Recommendation of the Hearing Examiner and your office?

ATTORNEY COOK:

Well, I can speak to that.

MR. COY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

For the record?

ATTORNEY COOK:

Yeah, I believe the OCC believes that we really should not get into looking beyond the conviction. The conviction is a conviction. A nolo plea or a no contest plea under a statute is a conviction. So I think from a legal perspective perhaps this gentleman had plenty of good reasons to plea to this offense, but at the end of the day he pled guilty or pled nolo and a conviction was entered against this offense. So we have to look at that on its face. But whether he pled guilty or pled nolo to a prostitution related offense, less than five years ago or five years ago and we just have to look at that on its face and not look at excuses as to why.

MR. COY:

Is there anything in the record which 24 would indicate why the Hearing Examiner came to a 25 different conclusion?

ATTORNEY COOK:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I think the Hearing Examiner was diametrically opposed to what I just explained. He thought there was a rational explanation as to why the guilty plea or nolo was entered.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions or comments? Could you summarize where they are on the motion, please?

ATTORNEY COOK:

The Report of Recommendation would be to grant Mr. Ringwood his license. So a vote in favor of the Report and Recommendation would grant his license, oppose the report or to deny the Report and Recommendation would result in his not receiving it.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. May I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board be willing to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Scott Ringwood as described by the OCC.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

74 ALL SAY AYE 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Opposed? I'm opposed. 4 MR. SOJKA: 5 You're opposed? 6 CHAIRMAN: I am opposed. 8 MR. SOJKA: 9 Okay. 10 MR. TRUJILLO: 11 I'm opposed as well. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 And Mr. Trujillo. Can you please explain 14 for the record what happens ---? 15 ATTORNEY COOK: 16 A majority would be needed to adopt the 17 Report and Recommendation, which would be the four 18 legislative appointees and at least one gubernatorial 19 appointee. Since two gubernatorial --- or the two 20 opposition are gubernatorial appointees, the qualified 21 majority has been met and the Report and 22 Recommendation would be adopted. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Thank you. Therefore, the motion passes. 25 Thank you.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The final Report and Recommendation before the Board today is a request to be removed from the Voluntary Self-Exclusion List.

On February 10, 2010, an individual with the initials S.A.H. entered the Mohegan Sun Casino and filed a Request for Voluntary Self-Exclusion from Gaming Activities Form; thereby placing herself on the self-exclusion list for a one year period. On that date, a Casino Compliance Representative met with S.A.H. for a period of 30 minutes, during which time S.A.H. indicated that she believed she had a gambling problem. The Casino Compliance Representative informed S.A.H. that one may request removal from the self-exclusion list only upon expiration of the term selected.

S.A.H. in now requesting to be removed from the self-exclusion list indicating that she has made a mistake in requesting placement on the list and she only placed herself on the list as a support mechanism for a friend who had also completed the Self-Exclusion Form on the same day.

A hearing in this matter was held on June 23rd, 2010. Both S.A.H. and the OEC attended and offered testimony at that hearing. In the Report and

1 Recommendation subsequently issued, the Hearing Officer found that, under the Board's regulations, 3 S.A.H. is not eligible for removal from the list until the termination of the one year exclusion has expired. As a result, the Hearing Officer concluded that the petition should be denied. The OCC concurs in that position. This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Any questions or comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 11 12 MR. COY: Mr. Chairman I move the Board issue an 13 14 Order to resolve the Report and Recommendation of the 15 OHA regarding the petition of S.A.H. as described by the OCC. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: Second? 18 19

MR. SOJKA:

20 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next for the Board's consideration is

Emergency Suspension. Orlando Sims was issued a

Gaming Employee Permit on October 30th, 2009, and was

employed as a dealer at the Rivers Casino.

On March 23, 2010, Mr. Sims was charged with forgery, insurance fraud and perjury, all of which are categorized as felonies. On July 16, 2010, Mr. Sims entered a guilty plea to all of these offenses. As a result, Mr. Sims is prohibited, by statute, from possessing a Gaming Employee Permit.

As a result of these charges, the OEC filed a Request for an Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Sims'

Gaming Employee Permit. The Order was signed by the Executive Director on July 27th, 2010.

Board Regulations require that a temporary Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full evidentiary hearing or, in the alternative, the matter be referred to the OHA to conduct such a hearing. In this case the OCC recommends that the Emergency Suspension remain in place and the matter be referred to the OHA for the evidentiary hearing.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

25 Can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the Board issue an Order to extend the Emergency Suspension of Orlando Sims Gaming Employment Permit and that the matter be referred to the OHA for a hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency Suspension Order.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

12 ALL SAID AYE

1

2

3

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The next matter before the Board is a second Emergency Suspension. This suspension pertains to Raymond Ball. Mr. Ball was issued a Non-Gaming Registration on January 23rd, 2008, and was employed by a certified Gaming Service Provider, Debo Moving and Storage, Inc.

On July 28th, 2010, Mr. Ball was charged with criminal homicide, a felony. As a result of these charges, OEC filed a Request for an Emergency 24 Order of Suspension of Mr. Ball's Non-Gaming

Registration. The Order was signed by the Executive Director on August 9th, 2010.

As with the last matter Board Regulations now require the Board to either have an evidentiary hearing on the matter or refer the matter to the OHA for that hearing. Once again, we would recommend the Emergency Suspension remain in place and that the matter be referred to OHA for a hearing.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

Can I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to extend the Emergency Suspension of Raymond Ball's Non-Gaming Employee Registration, that the matter be referred to OHA for a hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency Suspension Order.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

22 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The final OCC matter before the Board 1 2 pertains to a Petition for Reconsideration filed by XPEDX. The Board will recall that at its last 3 meeting, it granted XPEDX's Petition to be removed from the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List contingent upon the payment of a \$1,500 civil penalty. XPEDX seeks reconsideration of the civil penalty imposed and avers that it was involved in no wrongdoing deserving of such a penalty. The OCC 10 recommends that a Petition for Reconsideration be granted and that the matter be referred to OHA for a 11 12 hearing on the matter. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Any questions or comments from the Board? 15 If none, could I have a motion, please? 16 MR. COY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board 17 18 issue an Order to grant XPEDX Petition and that the 19 matter be referred to the OHA for a hearing as 20 described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

25 CHAIRMAN:

21

22

23

24

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 2

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That concludes the matters of Chief

Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Doug and Steve. And I'd like to have Susan Hensel our Director of Bureau of Licensing. And I also see our Enforcement Counsel Cyrus Pitre. Good morning, Susan.

MS. HENSEL:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members of the Board. The first matter for your consideration is a re-affirmation of the Delegation of Authority to the Bureau of Licensing to grant various temporary licenses, permits and registrations.

As in the past delegation enables employees to be approved to begin working provided 21 neither the Bureau of Licensing nor the BIE determine that there is any reason to deny the approval. always the delegation is subject to the requirement that the Bureau of Licensing present to the Board at periodic intervals a summary of the approvals granted. I am requesting re-affirmation to consolidate previous delegations and to update the delegation language. have provided you with a draft of the Delegation Order and ask that the Board consider its approval.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, any questions or comments from the Board? Could I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman I move that the Board approve the Delegation of Authority to the Director of the Bureau of Licensing for the approval and issuance of licenses, permits, registrations and credentials as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

17 All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 18

1

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

The next item on the agenda is the approval of Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this 24 meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a Proposed Order for two Key Employee Licenses for

83 Holdings Acquisitions Co. I ask that the Board 1 2 consider the Order approving these licenses. 3 ATTORNEY PITRE: No objection. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion, please? 8 MR. COY: 9 So moved. 10 CHAIRMAN: Second? 11 12 MR. SOJKA: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? 16 ALL SAY AYE 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? Motion passes. 19 MS. HENSEL: 20 Next for your consideration is the 21 issuance of Temporary Key Employee Licenses. Prior to 22 this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided me with 23 an Order regarding the issuance of Temporary Licenses 24 for 13 employees. I ask that the Board consider the 25 Order of approving these licenses?

84 1 ATTORNEY PITRE: 2 No objection. 3 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, questions from the Board? 4 Seeing none can I have a motion, please? 6 MR. SOJKA: So moved. 8 MR. ANGELI: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 All in favor? 12 ALL SAY AYE 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? Motion passes. 15 MS. HENSEL: 16 Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming 17 Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of 18 Licensing provided you with a list of 452 individuals 19 including 321 initial and 131 G-2 Temporary Permits 20 who the Bureau has granted Occupation Permits to. And 21 185 individuals who the Bureau has granted 22 registrations to under the authority delegated to the 23 Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a 24 motion approving the Order? 25 ATTORNEY PITRE:

85 1 No objection. 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Thank you, questions from the Board? I have a motion please? 4 5 MR. ANGELI: 6 So moved. MR. COY: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Opposed? Motion passes. 14 MS. HENSEL: 15 We also have withdrawal requests of Key 16 Employees. In each case the license is no longer 17 required due to such circumstances as the employee 18 failing to report to work. For today's meeting I have

provided the Board with a list of 24 Key Employee

withdrawals for approval and I ask that the Board

consider the Order approving the list of withdrawals.

22 ATTORNEY PITRE:

19

20

21

23

24

25

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions from the Board? Can I have a

86 motion please? 1 2 MR. COY: 3 So moved. MR. SOJKA: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? Motion passes. 11 MS. HENSEL: 12 Finally we have a Board Order regarding 13 Gaming Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of 14 Licensing provided you with an Order and an attached 15 list of 17 Registered Gaming Service Providers. I ask 16 that the Board adopt a motion approving the Order 17 registering these Gaming Service Providers. 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 No objection. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Questions from the Board? Can I have a 22 motion, please? 23 MR. SOJKA: 24 So moved. 25 MR. ANGELI:

87 Second. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Opposed? Motion passes. MS. HENSEL: 8 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's 9 presentation. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Thank you very much, Susan. 12 MR. COY: Mr. Chairman? 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Yes, Commissioner Coy? 16 MR. COY: 17 I have a question for the Director of the 18 Licensing. Susan, with regard to the application for 19 Licensure for Principal or Key Employees of the 20 Foxwood project, what is the status of applications 21 for licensure for those employees? 22 MS. HENSEL: 23 If you're referring to recent requests 24 for principal applications --- at Foxwoods? 25 MR. COY:

You go ahead and assume that's what I asking.

MS. HENSEL:

Okay. We have recently requested applications for a couple of individuals at Foxwoods for principal licensure. That would Ron Ruben and Melissa Silver and Lewis Katz. We have received applications for both Ron Ruben and Melissa Silver. And a request for application for Lewis Katz has been appealed to the OHA.

MR. COY:

When do we expect the first two applications to be completed by your Bureau?

MS. HENSEL:

We are currently in the process of curing deficiencies on those applications, that's the normal process that we go through with respect to any application that comes into the Bureau. With respect to Melissa Silver's application, we're just about complete and we still have a ways to go on the other application.

MR. COY:

And was there a reason given for the appeal on the request on the last one?

MS. HENSEL:

The Petition lays out several reasons why 1 2 the determination by the Bureau of Licensing is being 3 appealed. Fundamentally they disagree with our determination. 5 MR. COY: 6 Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Susan. Next up is our 8 Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre. 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 We have three matters for the Board to 12 consider this morning. The first matter involves a 13 Consent Agreement between OEC and Atronic Americas. 14 | Marie Jones is here representing Atronics and Melissa 15 Powers will present the matter for OEC. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Welcome ladies. 18 ATTORNEY POWERS: 19 Good morning. 20 ATTORNEY JONES: Good morning, Chairman and Board members, 21 22 Marie Jones from Fox Rothschild here on behalf of ---. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Can you speak up just a little bit and 25 make sure your microphones on?

ATTORNEY JONES:

1

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members 3 of the Board. The agreement between the OEC and Atronic Americas, LLC, involves an incident that occurred in October of 2009, wherein Atronic distributed a progressive controller containing unapproved software to the Meadows Race Track and Casino, in violation of Board Regulations 461-8.4A and 461-8.4C5. The unapproved software was discovered by 10 the Board's Bureau of Gaming Laboratory Operations during a routine inspection on October 28th. 11 12 unapproved software was confiscated immediately and an 13 investigation was conducted. It was determined that 14 Atronic failed to verify that the equipment being 15 shipped to the Meadows had been approved by the Board. Following a series of discussions between 16 17 Atronic, the Bureau of Gaming Laboratory Operations 18 and OEC, Atronic has amended its procedures regarding 19 signoff and physical inspection of associated slot

Atronic, the Bureau of Gaming Laboratory Operations and OEC, Atronic has amended its procedures regarding signoff and physical inspection of associated slot machine equipment and peripheral software offered for use in the Commonwealth. In addition the parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order Atronic shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000. If approved this will be the first fine levied against Atronic and also the first fine of its

91 1 kind levied against a Slot Machine Manufacturer 2 Licensee. The OEC recommends that the Board approve 3 the Consent Agreement as presented. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Thank you, Melissa. Any questions from the Board? If not, can I have a motion, please? MR. ANGELI: 8 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and 10 Atronic Americas as described by the OCC. 11 MR. COY: 12 Second. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 All in favor? 15 ALL SAY AYE 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Opposed? Motion passes. 18 ATTORNEY JONES: 19 Thank you. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Thank you. 22 ATTORNEY PITRE: 23 The next two matters are default judgment 24 requests. Dustin Miller and Mark Buterbaugh from the 25 OEC will present the matters.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Good morning. There are two matters related to revocation of a casino employee before the Board today. The records pertinent to these matters have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. Upon notification of complaint filed to revoke the registrations of the individuals named in that complaint, the individuals chose not to respond to that as set forth in the complaints. In addition, the individuals have been notified that the Board is considering their revocation today and they have a right to be present to address the Board. If any of the individuals are present today they should come forward when their name is announced.

The first request for revocation to this day involves GM. M. Alam. Mr. Alam had been employed as a grab and go attendant at Parx Casino and registered as a Non-Gaming Employee. The OEC filed an enforcement complaint to remove Mr. Alam's Non-Gaming Employee Registration for failing to abide by his continual duty to inform the Board of an incident that would render him unsuitable to hold a Non-Gaming Employee Registration and for failure to abide by his agreed upon statement of conditions. And we filed that on May 6th, 2010.

93

The Enforcement Complaint was properly 1 2 served upon Mr. Alam to the address listed on his 3 application by both certified and First Class mail. Mr. Alam did not respond to the filing in any way. 5 Due to Mr. Alam's failure to respond the averments in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived. July 21st, 2010 the OEC filed a request to enter judgment upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider revocation of Mr. Alam's Non-Gaming 10 Employee Registration. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Thank you. Is Mr. Alam here today? 14 Okay. Any questions or comments from the Board? 15 Can I have a motion, please? 16 MR. COY: 17 I just want to make sure I understand, 18 the recommendation is that we approve ---. 19 ATTORNEY MILLER: 20 Revoke his license. 21 MR. SOJKA: 22 But that's an approval. 23 MR. COY: 24 That means that we would approve the 25 recommendation?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

22

24

MR. COY:

I just want to make sure. Okay, I understand. Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an order to approve the revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of GM M. Alam as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

10 Second?

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

15 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Next?

18 ATTORNEY BUTERBAUGH:

The second request for revocation today involves Anil Bhasin. Mr. Bhasin has been employed as 21 an armed courier with ATM Acquisition, Incorporated, d/b/a Pendum, Incorporated, and registered as a 23 Non-Gaming Employee. The OEC filed an Enforcement Complaint to revoke Mr. Bhasin's Non-Gaming Employee 25 Registration for failing to abide by his continual

duty to inform the Board of an incident. The incident involves six third degree felony accounts and two second degree felony accounts, all involving in the 3 incident that included theft of over \$250,000 that would render him unsuitable to hold a Non-Gaming Employee Registration. The Complaint was filed on May 18th, 2010. The Enforcement Complaint was properly served upon Mr. Bhasin to the address listed on his application by both certified and First Class mail. 10 Mr. Bhasin failed to respond to the filing in any manner. Due to Mr. Bhasin's failure to respond the 11 12 averment in the Enforcement Complaint are deemed to be 13 admitted as fact, and his right to a hearing was 14 waived. On June 22nd, 2010 the OEC filed a Request to 15 enter a judgement upon default. The matter is now before the Board to consider the revocation of Mr. 16 17 Bhasin's Non-Gaming Employee Registration.

CHAIRMAN:

18

19

20

22

23

Thank you, Mark. Is Mr. Bhasin here? Not seeing him, any questions or comments from the 21 Board? Can I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I'll move that the 24 Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Anil Bhasin as

described by the OEC.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. 8 Thank you, gentlemen. The last item on our agenda today pertains 10 to Greenwood Gaming. If I could have the representatives from Greenwood, please come forward. 11 Just for the record we have asked Greenwood to come 12 13 here today and they've agreed to be here, to discuss a recurring and troubling situation that has occurred at 14 15 their facility.

Specifically there have been several circumstances over the past few months where patrons of the Parx Casino have left children unattended in the parking lot or some other non-gaming floor portion of the facility. As recently as last week there was an incident reported in the media where a young teenager and a second younger child were left in a car, in the parking lot of the casino for hours. I think I speak for the Board when I say that I find these instances incredibly troubling. And while none

of us believes that Greenwood Management condones this behavior, we know better, it is nonetheless something that we as a Board want to see take steps to deal with because under the wrong circumstances it could truly be a matter of life and death.

3

6

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

2.4

25

With that by way of background, I would like to ask Counsel and the Representative from Greenwood to open the Board meeting. And what I would like to do is to start specifically with a detailing of each of the incidents. Start with the date, with the first date being first, and the most recent date being last, including the number of unattended children and the duration of the time that they were left unattended. And then after you go through those incidents if you could then, please, talk to the Board about steps that you're taking and other comments you have and then we'll open it up for Board questions. So Mr. Bonner, if you could start with a detailed explanation of the incidents starting with the first incident?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I'll do that, Mr. Chairman. Good 23 morning, Mr. Chairman and Board members. With me at the table is Alan Kohler of Eckert and Seamans, who represents us, as you know, in many matters.

I'll just say initially Mr. Chairmanan, and it goes without saying we share the concern that you've just expressed. We view it as a most serious matter and we've taken significant and aggressive steps we think are appropriate to address the issue. I'll go over that after I detail the incidents as you've requested.

I provided to the Board members a list of five incidents that have occurred since June 15th.

This information was provided to us by the Bensalem Township Police Department. So this is data that the Enforcing Authority, Bensalem Police, has in its records and has provided to us at my request, pursuant to your request.

On June 15th, of this year there was an incident involving one child, a 15-month-old child who was left in a vehicle for a duration of approximately one hour. That incident led to an arrest and charges by the Bensalem Police Department. I don't know the disposition of that case. I believe all these cases are still pending because they're relatively new. On June 27th, there was an incident involving three children, a 14-year-old and two toddlers of unspecified age. They were left in a vehicle for a half hour. That did not result in charges at the

discretion of the Bensalem Police Department.

1.3

The third incident July 14, an 11-yearold was left in a vehicle for half an hour, that
incident led to an arrest and charges by the Bensalem
Police Department. The fourth incident was on July
30, it involved three children of unknown ages and
were left in the car for an unknown duration because
after the information was reported to the police, and
before they responded to the scene, the vehicle had
left our property. The most recent incident, which is
the fifth, occurred on August 2nd, this involved two
children a 15-year-old and an 8-year-old. They were
left in the vehicle for six hours. And that incident
resulted in both an arrest and charges filed by
Bensalem Police Department.

In connection with these occurrences, Mr. Chairman, as you know, Mr. O'Toole reached out to me on Friday. We had a brief conversation about this and he indicated that the Board wanted us to advise what we do in these incidents. And I submitted that letter to Mr. O'Toole on Tuesday. Mr. O'Toole then indicated yesterday that the Board wanted us to be here today to present it and we're pleased to be here to do so.

I think as I indicated it's important to note that we do take these matters seriously and we

are proceeding aggressively with respect to these incidents. I can speak a little more plainly here than I did in my letter to you, but I do want to note some of these items in our letter in the record.

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We don't want these people at our property. We don't want their business. We don't want their money. We don't want their presence. don't want them on our property and to make it clear that we don't want them on our property we take aggressive action against them in full cooperation with the local police authorities. If they have a player card, we cancel it. We remove them from our customer contact and mailing lists, and we permanently evict them from our property. The result being, if they reappear at our property they are subject to arrest for criminal trespass by the State Police who are on our property and who routinely handle those enforcement matters for us. So we think we've made it clear, certainly to the individuals involved. like to make it clear through this public proceeding that that's what's going to happen to people who act irresponsibly with respect to the care of children in their custody.

As I noted in my letter there are things that we have been doing, there are things that we are

now doing in response to these occurrences. We have had security officers assigned on a 24/7 basis who staff a security vehicle that roves through our many parking lots. We have 6,000 parking spaces at Parx Casino. We have acres and acres of parking. So we have a vehicle assigned on full-time basis to rove the parking lots for all security purposes.

In light of these experiences we've done two things. We are adding a second vehicle to patrol the parking lots during our busiest weekend times. Clearly Friday, Saturday, Sunday, they're our busiest periods. We're going to beef up our security patrols by adding a second vehicle on those times. And we've also retrained our security officers to make them aware that their most important priority when they're doing these vehicle patrols is to look for people in cars. And when they see people in the cars their instructions are to report it immediately to their managers who then contact Bensalem Police for immediate response.

I mentioned earlier we fully support the efforts of Bensalem Police in pursuing these individuals and then in assisting them with witnesses and with documentation through the criminal process.

I think that if you were to check with Bensalem, they

will tell you we have been fully cooperative with them in efforts to take these people through the proper procedures.

The third item we've done, we have recently met with the Bensalem Police Department in light of these occurrences and they've agreed to increase their presence in our parking lots. I wouldn't want to create the impression that they're going to provide routine patrols, but they are increasing their presence in the parking lot. Cars will be there more frequently than they have been. When officers have to write reports they'll go to our parking lot and write them to establish the police presence and have an opportunity to be there more frequently than they had been in the past.

And the fourth item is what I had mentioned to you, preliminary, the sanctions that we take against these customers who commit these violations. And again, we make it clear that we don't want you there and that there will be consequences if in fact they do return.

Other than that I think that fully states what we've been doing. We will continue to look for ways to improve the procedure that we can have in place to identify these situations if they occur and

eliminate them immediately.

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

One additional point, but related to all this, our security department has recently --- this week, written a new standard operating procedure with respect to the parking lot patrols, with respect to the procedures that we will follow in the event that unattended children come to our attention. We are in the process of disseminating that new training material to the full security staff in an effort to make sure that everyone is fully informed on how we need to handle these matters.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Mr. Bonner.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I'd be happy to answer any questions any of the Board members may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Coy?

MR. COY:

Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mr. Bonner, thanks very much for coming up and I appreciate the fact that you believe these situations to be very serious and that your response is likewise serious. I have two comments in particular because we are in a public situation that needs to be reported.

Police Department is being helpful although, it sounds like you may believe that there could be additional patrols. For the record, municipalities that host casinos in our state and for that matter, other municipalities in the area, do receive literally millions of tax dollars from casino profits and so on, which are given to these municipalities because of extra costs that might be incurred to them. I think this is an excellent example of an area where extra costs may need to be spent by the local police department.

And I would encourage our folks and our staff to be in contact with the local police departments, also to certify to them that we expect the revenues that they are getting from casino profits and so on are utilized in any manner that they can be to help protect the public, especially children from this sort of, what is in my opinion really abuse. I don't think there's any question that that's what you'd --- this could be called, child abuse. And so I would encourage our folks to be in touch with the local police departments that are in municipalities which contain casinos to certify --- recertify with them, that we would expect that they would continue to

utilize these dollars in a way to protect the public.

1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 And secondly, I would hope we could also 3 be in touch with the State Police. While their primary purpose is to handle problems of a criminal nature inside the casino, I think as they may have time or ability they could help with this problem also. Again, knowing full well that their primary presence is for criminal activity inside the casino, the fact that they are there may be able to be 10 helpful. I think this problem was exacerbated especially in warmer months. I'm not saying it's 11 right to do it in a cold month but the fact of the 12 13 matter is this is exacerbated, I think especially 14 because of weather conditions and ---.

Once again, I appreciate the gravity of the situation which you have demonstrated by your presence and what you've already done. But I hope that you would continue to realize that the Board feels very strongly about this matter and that you'll continue to find ways to deal with it.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Mr. Chairman, may I respond?

CHAIRMAN:

Certainly.

25 ATTORNEY BONNER:

We certainly do appreciate it and we will continue to respond appropriately and aggressively.

With respect to the two law enforcement agencies you mentioned, which are the two we interact with on a regular basis, I understand the comment and we know full well the contribution that we make to Bensalem.

I just want the record to be clear that we ---.

MR. COY:

For the record, can you tell people what that is this last year?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Exactly, it's \$10 million. But I want the record to be clear Chairman and Commissioners that we are fully appreciative of what Bensalem PD does for us and the entire township. We have an excellent working relationship with the township, not only the police department but the emergency medical services unit of the township. They spend more time on our property then we'd like them to and they'd like to, quite frankly. But I just want the record to be clear we're very pleased with the work that they do for us and we think they do a fine job, more could be done, to be sure.

Second, with respect to the State Police
25 I just wanted you to know, in one of these instances

the State Police actually were involved. Although,
technically you could say, well maybe it's not their
jurisdiction, but it came to their attention before it
came to township police. And they interacted and
kicked everything off so we got the township police
involved. So both agencies have been involved but I
certainly hear your comments and I think they're well
stated.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Angeli?

MR. ANGELI:

Just one question, do you send out with your normal mail outs and your customer things that you put out there, do you send out any notification that this could be a problem and then notify people that this is a serious thing and they should be aware that not only that they shouldn't do it but also they should be aware of --- in the parking lots if they recognize any of this, they should report it to you. Is that something you do or could you do?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We have not done that, Commissioner. There's no reason that we can't. I'll take that suggestion back to our management team.

MR. ANGELI:

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

MR. MCCABE:

Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, Commissioner McCabe?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, first I wanted a second, and I agree wholeheartedly with what Commissioner Coy said. said it eloquently and perfectly. I think that's the feeling of all of us. I do have a question though, or a concern or interest, Mr. Bonner. You say you're going to increase your patrols to a second vehicle during your busiest hours, did you take a look at the incidents and see when they occurred to make sure that you're actually addressing the appropriate time? may not be during the busiest hours when these occurrences have happened. But maybe when it's not as busy right after work, after they get picked up from maybe a sports program, or after school program parents go there for a little while and it's not your 21 busiest days on Friday or Saturday.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We have looked at them, Commissioner 24 McCabe, and in the three cases that led to arrests two of those three incidents occurred late, 10:00, 11:00

at night. The third incident occurred around 5:00

p.m. in the afternoon. And they would be the hours

typically where we would be beefing up the coverage on

Friday and Saturday evenings in particular from 5:00

or 6:00 until 2:00 or 3:00 in the morning.

MR. MCCABE:

Thank you and I also appreciate everything you've been doing to try to help with this problem.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

Yeah, and again I'd like to follow on Commissioner Coy and also what Commissioner McCabe has just said. And that is I don't want to lose sight of the fact, that this is a horrific societal problem. We are well aware of the fact that this happens in restaurants. It happens in shopping centers. It happens on city streets. It's a shocking act when it occurs. And I think we have some opportunity here and I'm pleased that you're taking these steps to attack the problem in a variety of ways. One of which is to very clearly state to the public, that you don't want their business. I think that's a wonderful thing. I'm not sure that's always done otherwise, to deal

with this problem. Your ability to patrol and your ability to involve the police because of the extra revenues provides an additional opportunity.

And so I would hope that this industry, and this is a hope, that sometimes is given a black eye for exactly this kind of situation, could work toward becoming an exemplarily industry where you would out perform shopping centers, supermarkets, city streets and other places where irresponsible people can abuse children in the way that Commissioner Coy described.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We hope to be able to do that,

Commissioner.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you other comments from other Commissioners, Commissioner Trujillo or Ginty?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, it's Ken Trujillo.

Mr. Bonner, I think you're well aware that our --under the Legislation, the Gaming Legislation the very
first of the primary objectives that the general
assembly recognized, and to which all other objectives
and purposes are secondary, is for our Board to
protect the public through the regulation and policing

of all activities involving gaming. So we've got, I think, a unique responsibility to do that.

3 I will tell you that my concern and what you've described, I appreciate that there's some additional patrolling and some additional training, but in my view, quite frankly, it's really inadequate. The point here is not to react to irresponsible behavior by the parents, it's to prevent them. And so what I have not heard from you, and it's clear to me that, one incident and perhaps two, in a period of 10 time are incidents that perhaps could be explained. 11 But in the course of less than three month to have 12 five incidents like this occur to me is really 1.3 14 shocking. And what I am not hearing is what you are 15 doing proactively, or what Parx is doing proactively, to prevent it, not to respond to them, it's to prevent 16 17 And it seems to me that you stated that you're making it clear to players that you don't want there 18 business. I don't know how you're doing that. 19 20 you're not sending that information out with gaming 21 cards, with your mailing --- or you're trying to 22 attract customers to the facility. I can't imagine 23 --- it's a difficult thing for you to do to publicize that fact within your facility to make it very clear 24 25 what the consequences are.

There's one facility, I believe it's the one --- I can't remember which one it was but, put up a billboard with respect to underage gaming. And it seems to me that, you know, an additional one, two or three cars, I don't --- in some respects it almost doesn't matter because by the time the additional patrol finds those children, it's out in the parking lot, it's already happened.

Parx will do in order to prevent these incidents from happening. The only other point I was going to make, is the reason I'm so concerned about this is, you know that as recently as in the last year there was a child who was left by a grandfather in a parking lot and the child died. And so I do think this is quite literally a matter of life and death. And I, at this point --- and really the belief that the response that I'm hearing is inadequate to prevent these incidents from occurring.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Commissioner, I understand your comments.

I will take your concerns and actually inherent in
your comments are some suggestions about proactive
measures that we would take in notifying our customer
base of the existence of this problem. And I will

take that back to management for consideration.

Keeping in mind, of course, Commissioner Sojka's

comment that it's a societal problem and we maybe

aren't going to change conduct but we can perhaps

change it at Parx. So I appreciate your comments and

we will take them back and see if there are ways we

can implement some preventative measures as well as

the measures we've designed to try to identify if the

problem has arisen.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Ginty, any comments?

MR. GINTY:

No, I don't have any comments.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. I have a couple. As to the security vehicles, what other duties do they embark on at your facility? I thought I heard you say, Mr. Bonner, that patrolling the parking lots is all they do, but I've been around the block long enough to know that often times when people are available to do other things and somebody's not available inside the facility, you know, you send the security vehicle, you know, down to the police department or somewhere else

to run errands. And so I want to know specifically what that security vehicle, in the past, has done other than patrol parking lots?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

1

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In the past, Mr. Chairman, the security vehicle --- the officers have been assigned to security vehicle detail for half of an eight hour shift. They would work four hours on that and then be relieved and go inside the building and bring a fresh officer out to do the security patrol. Our security patrol posts are not mandated posts per Gaming Board regs, like the posts inside the building. So in all candor, if somebody calls off sick for an inside mandated post we may have brought a guy in from the parking lot to staff that post until we could back fill it with somebody we would call in. That changed as of Tuesday this week after I met with the CEO. Tuesday afternoon the CEO told our security director, treat them as mandated posts now, and you may not pull somebody from the parking lot and move them inside to the building, the parking lot guy has to stay. that's what we used to do, that's what we're doing now, Mr. Chairman, with respect to the significance of the staffing for those vehicles.

do, when they're in the vehicles all they're doing is patrolling the parking lots. If a customer is stuck with a car, needs to have somebody called for a jump 3 or a tow truck or whatever, they would facilitate that. But their main function is to rove through the parking lots, not be stationary and look for people in cars.

CHAIRMAN:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Why didn't they catch the first five incidents?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We did catch some of these incidents. Αt least one of the incidents, I don't know which one, Mr. Chairman, we caught, we notified. I think it was the one where they didn't know because by the time the police got here the car had taken off. In two of the incidents where the children were 14 and 15 they went into the casino seeking assistance and we provided assistance in locating the parent or the guardian. Wе obviously need to do a better job. And that's part of the retraining we talked about of the officers who are on these patrols to be more vigilant.

CHAIRMAN:

I know that you had mentioned about 25 Bensalem EMTs and the police doing a great job, but as

a follow up to Commissioner Coy's comment they do 1 receive \$10 million a year. And in my opinion, and we 3 will make this known to the Bensalem Police Department, I think they could do a better job of patrolling that parking lot also. \$10 million a year, \$34.5 million that that township has received over the last three and a half years, is a lot of money. again, I understand your relationship and, you know, you feel it's a good relationship and I certainly 10 respect that, I'm sure it is. But we will make our 11 thoughts known to the Bensalem Police Department that 12 for that kind of money we expect them to step up a little bit also. 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As to my personal opinion, I appreciate you being here and the timeliness of that, but I would like to see more done also. And if it means adding a second vehicle or adding a --- not a guard station per se, but a hut at your --- I don't know how many entry points you have into the casino parking lot. If you, you know, have huts at those entry points and you see a car come in with children in it, we certainly understand that children are allowed to go on to the backside and participate and watch the horse racing. But, you know, maybe a notification to somebody on the backside, you just saw a white Acura come in, two kids

inside, keep an eye on them. Those are the types of, 1 2 I think, proactive steps that could be taken to prevent something like this. But it is only through 3 the grace of God, I think, that one of these children were not harmed. And as Commissioner Coy said when it gets hot out we all know of incidents where kids are left in cars, buses and so forth and die because they don't have proper ventilation. So again, I thank you for being here. I appreciate your candor, but this is 10 an issue that we are going to stay on top of. 11 appreciate you taking our comments because, again, my personal belief, not on behalf of the Board, but my 12 personal belief is that more could be done and I would 13 14 like to see you take our comments and hopefully more 15 will be done.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

I hear you loud and clear, Mr. Chairman. And I would just comment that with respect to the Bensalem Police Department we would be appreciative of any contact you may have with them because we would fully support whatever you might be able to convince them to do, in terms of a greater presence in our parking lot. We think that would make a significant contribution to alleviate the problems.

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, just not to continue ad 1 2 infinitum, but to simply say that those dollars were 3 never meant to be an economic boom to the municipality. They were meant to cover additional costs that these municipalities have because that's where the casino is. And it was never meant to be a new savings account for a local government. meant to do things just like this. And I wouldn't mind even seeing a vehicle that had a sign on it, 10 child abuse prevention, or something very proactive like that. Or signs that say patrons who leave 11 children in cars will be prosecuted. 12

MR. SOJKA:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

I just made that sign.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

These are the types of suggestions I've heard today that I will take back.

MR. COY:

And it would not be unreasonable to expect a follow-up report from you at our future 21 meeting.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We'll be happy to provide it.

MR. COY:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Thank you again, Chairman and Board members.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you very much. That concludes today's business. In closing our next scheduled public meeting will be held on Thursday, September 16th in the North Office Building here in room one, where we are today. The meeting will begin at 10:00 a.m. And to end on a positive note, I would like to congratulate our Gaming Control Board softball team, 12 The One Arm Bandits, although we lost in the playoffs last night, it was a great season. The first time 14 that we had qualified for the playoffs. I know that there are many members here who play on the team and again, from the Board congratulations.

Any final comments from the Board?

MR. COY:

Maybe if the Chairman would play the next game it would be helpful.

CHAIRMAN:

Maybe, I don't know. You've never seen me play softball that may hurt us. Can I have a motion to adjourn, please?

MR. ANGELI: