COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

James B. Ginty; Raymond S. Angeli; Jeffrey

W. Coy; Kenneth T. McCabe; Gary A. Sojka;

Kenneth I. Trujillo

David Barasch, Deputy Secretary of the

Department of Revenue, Representative;

Michael Dillon, representing Russell

Redding, Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, April 7, 2010

1:32 p.m.

LOCATION: Temple University, Harrisburg Campus

Room 246/248 Lecture Hall

Harrisburg, PA 17101

WITNESSES: Sean Sullivan, Marie Jones,

Reporter: Alicia R. Brant

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

| 1 | I N D E X | 3 |
|----|--------------------------|---------|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | OPENING REMARKS | |
| 4 | By Chairman Fajt | 4 – 5 |
| 5 | PRESENTATION | |
| 6 | By Mr. O'Toole | 6 – 7 |
| 7 | By Ms. Yantis | 7 – 8 |
| 8 | By Mr. Sandusky | 9 - 10 |
| 9 | By Attorney Sherman | 11 - 19 |
| 10 | By Attorney Jones | 20 - 21 |
| 11 | By Mr. Sullivan | 21 - 25 |
| 12 | QUESTIONS FROM OEC | 25 - 32 |
| 13 | QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD | 32 - 35 |
| 14 | PRESENTATION | |
| 15 | By Attorney Sherman | 36 - 38 |
| 16 | By Attorney Cook | 38 - 41 |
| 17 | By Ms. Hensel | 41 - 55 |
| 18 | By Attorney Powers | 55 - 56 |
| 19 | QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD | 56 - 62 |
| 20 | PRESENTATION | |
| 21 | By Attorney Pitre | 62 - 64 |
| 22 | QUESTIONS FROM THE BOARD | 64 - 69 |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| | | |

PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

CHAIRMAN:

We'll get started with our regular scheduled public meeting. Thank you. First order of business is old business and announcements. By way of announcements the Board held an executive session on April 6th, and again just prior to this meeting in accordance with the Sunshine Act. The purpose of the executive session held yesterday was to discuss personnel matters, pending litigation, and to conduct quasi judicial deliberations relating to matters pending before the Board. Today's executive session was held to conduct quasi judicial deliberations relative to the hearings that we held earlier today.

I'd also like to announce that the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board will continue to hold additional table games-related public hearings. Each casino must petition the Board requesting authorization to conduct table games. And related to those petitions there are public input hearings as well as public hearings held before the Board such as we had earlier today. Our next public input hearing will be held on April 26th, regarding a Petition for Authorization to Conduct Table Games filed by Holdings

Acquisitions, Inc., operators of the Rivers Casino.

2 Information pertaining to previous 3 hearings held and any future hearings can be viewed by logging onto the Gaming Control Board website at www.pgcb.state.pa.us or you may contact our Board

secretary, Micky King at 717-346-8325. 6

Having made all the announcements, our next item of business is approval of the minutes and transcript of the March 3rd Board meeting. May I have a motion please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the minutes and the transcript of the March 3rd, 2010 14 meeting.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor? 18

19 ALL SAY AYE

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Moving on to new business, I'd like to have Kevin O'Toole, our executive director please provide his report. Welcome, Kevin.

MR. O'TOOLE:

Good afternoon, Chairman, members of the Board. I'll be brief this afternoon, but I would like to report that slot machine generated revenue with the nine operating casinos in Pennsylvania increased by over 29 percent during March of 2010 compared to March of 2009. This increase in revenue from March signals a good outlook for the casinos as we approach the spring and the summer months.

1.3

2.4

In addition, the casinos as well as the Staff of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board are moving forward toward the implementation of table games. Today's agenda reflects some of those steps with three more Petitions for Table Game Operation Certificates being considered and temporary regulations for table game equipment standards will be presented in a few minutes.

Suffice it to say various members of our staff are making regular visits to the casinos to check on the progress that is being made in renovating the casino floor layouts to accommodate table games alongside slot machines. Training of table game dealers have commenced at six of the casinos, and we are continuing our efforts to draft accounting and internal controls for table game operations.

I'm looking forward to our meeting in

three weeks when I will give further update on table game implementation process. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

8

25

Thank you, Kevin. Any questions from the Board? Thank you very much. Next up is Claire

Yantis, our human resource director. Claire, I know you and your staff have been busy lately.

MS. YANTIS:

9 Yes. Good afternoon, Chairman, Board 10 members. We have before you today a motion to consider the hiring of the following individuals, 11 12 Billie Jo Matelevih-Hoang as an Assistant Enforcement Counsel in the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC), 13 Claudia Williams as a Senior Counsel in the Office of 14 15 Chief Counsel (OCC), Koneda Jackson as a casino 16 compliance representative at Parks Casino, Jeffrey 17 Kocsis as a casino compliance representative at Mount Airy, Jill Paukovich and Kala Marie Schnur as a casino 18 19 compliance representative, both at the Rivers, Michael 20 Sando as a casino compliance representative at the Sands, Lane Williamson and Melissa Lucas as casino 21 22 compliance representatives at the Meadows, and Anthony 23 Caldonetti as a casino compliance representative at 2.4 Penn National.

All ten individuals have completed the

PGCB interview process, background investigation, and drug screening, and they're being recommended for hire by their respective Bureau directors for the areas mentioned. As such I ask that you consider a motion to hire these individuals as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions from the Board? If not, can I have a motion please?

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the applicants as proposed on the condition that all applicants have completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

17 All in favor?

18 ALL SAY AYE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

23

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,
Claire. Next up we have our Chief Counsel, Doug
Sherman, and I believe Richard Sandusky. Welcome.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good afternoon, Chairman, members of the
Board. Our first agenda matter relates to temporary

regulation which director of regulatory review, Richard Sandusky, will address.

MR. SANDUSKY:

2.0

Good afternoon. We have one package for
Board consideration today which is temporary
Regulation 125-116. This rule-making package contains
the equipment standards related to various items that
are used for gaming such as gaming chips, which
include the value chips, non-gaming or non-value
chips, tournament chips, and poker-rate chips,
plaques, which are the high denomination instruments
that are used in lieu of chips, dice, sic bo shakers,
cards, and Pai Gow Tiles.

Within these various sections in this chapter we have minimum design specifications and procedures that will be used to approve those designs, provisions governing how these items are to be used, and requirements for the receipt, storage, distribution, inventory and destruction of these items.

If the Board members have any questions
I'd be happy to try and answer them at this point, and
if not we'd ask for a motion for adoption of this
temporary rule making.

CHAIRMAN:

10 Any questions from the Board? 1 Richard, what's a sic bo shaker? 2 3 MR. SANDUSKY: The sic bo shaker is the shaker that the 4 dice for the sic bo game are kept in. They come in various models. I've got pictures if you want. CHAIRMAN: I'll wait for the video. Thank you. 8 Can I have a motion from the Board please? 10 MR. GINTY: 11 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt temporary Regulation Number 125-116, and that the 12 Board establish a public comment period of 30 days for 13 14 this temporary regulation and that the temporary 15 regulation be posted on the Board's website. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Second? 18 MR. MCCABE: 19 Second. 20 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 21

22 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,

25 Richard.

23

24

MR. SANDUSKY:

Thank you.

MR. SHERMAN:

Chairman, today the Board has six

petitions before it for consideration. Three of these

matters were heard by the Board earlier this morning

during public hearings, and they would be Mount

Airy's, Mountainview's and Sands Bethworks' Petition

for Approval of Authorization to Conduct Table Games

and issuance of a Table Game Operation certificate.

But to be clear, if the Board approves any of these petitions or all of them, it will not be authorizing the Licensees to commence the operation of table games at this time. Nor will it be adopting the specific proposal contained in the appendices to the various Petitions for the Table Game Operation

Certificates. Rather, the Board will be approving the issuance of a certificate which is the first step for the Licensee to move toward operation.

Prior to operations being permitted the Licensee must obtain a number of other approvals from the Board including of its internal controls, staffing levels, training programs, and must satisfy all Board regulatory requirements as well as any conditions placed on the Licensee prior to commencing operations.

The other three petitions which you will hear are matters that come before the Board in the normal course. Each will be considered primarily based upon the documentary records, and representatives of those parties may be present should the Board have any questions.

2.0

2.4

Briefly summarizing the petitions, the first is that of Mount Airy. The Board earlier heard Mount Airy's Petition to Conduct Table Games and for the Issuance of the Table Game Certificate. The OEC did not oppose the granting of the petition subject to no fewer than 26 conditions as outlined in their Answer being placed upon the approval.

Generally those conditions break into two categories and that is items that must be addressed by the Licensee prior to the commencement of table games operations and conditions which must be followed after the initiation of table game operations and must continue throughout that period of operation. The OCC has reviewed those conditions and supports the imposition of the conditions in this case.

In addition, Mount Airy has also filed with their petition the Motion to Keep Certain

Information Confidential. The OEC has not lodged an objection to that request. And at this point we would

1 recommend that the Board consider a motion to grant
2 Mount Airy's Petition to Conduct Table Games as well
3 as to maintain the confidentiality.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

If not can I have a motion please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board grant the Petition of Mount Airy #1, LLC as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

12 Second?

MR. SOJKA:

14 Second.

15 CHAIRMAN:

16 All in favor?

17 ALL SAY AYE

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

18 CHAIRMAN:

19 Opposed? Motion passes.

20 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next matter before the Board is

22 | Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association's

23 Petition to Conduct Table Games and Seeking Issuance

24 of a Table Game Operation Certificate. This matter

25 also was heard by the Board earlier today during the

1 public hearing, and as with Mount Airy's Table Game 2 Petition just discussed, the OEC does not oppose the granting of the petition provided Mountainview abide by 22 conditions listed in their Answer.

These conditions are very similar to those which were recommended for Mount Airy. Again, Mountainview has filed a Motion Requesting Confidentiality of Information contained in certain appendices to its petition as permitted in Section 1206 of the Gaming Act. The OEC has not objected to granting that request. That matter is --- both matters are now ready for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board on Mountainview? Can I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Next are matters related to Sands Bethworks. Sands Bethworks' Petition for Approval of Authorization to Conduct Table Games was the third petition heard this morning by the Board. During the course of that hearing the Board also took up the petition of Bethworks Now, LLC seeking intervention in the matter. Although the intervention request was 12 filed less than 48 hours ago and the OEC and Sands Bethworks had not had the opportunity to file a response, the Board has heard the position of Bethworks Now and it would be appropriate for the Board to consider disposing of that matter prior to consideration of the Sands Petition.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments on that issue? If not can I have a motion please?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny the petition of Bethworks Now, LLC to intervene in the proceedings related to Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC's 25 Petition for Authorization to Conduct Table Games.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Moving on to the Petition Seeking Approval to Conduct Table Games, again, similar to both Mount Airy and Mountainview, the OEC does not 12 object to the granting of Sands' request provided that the pre-imposed operational conditions listed in the 14 Answer are met. The OCC recommends that the Petition to Conduct Table Games be granted pursuant to those conditions.

Again, Sands has filed a Motion to Protect the Confidentiality of certain information contained in the appendices to its petition. This is information which is deemed confidential under Section 1206(f) of the Act, and the OCC again would concur with the OEC's position and recommend the Board grant both motions.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions from the Board? A motion

please?

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC as described the OCC.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Next to be considered is Greenwood Gaming's Petition for Approval of Expansion of its Existing Gaming Floor. Greenwood is requesting to increase the space on the gaming floor by 8,460 square feet and to increase the number of slot machines by 72 to bring the total to 3,366. In its request Greenwood also asks for approval at the commencement of table game operations to transition certain slot machine 22 positions into 23 electronic table games. Greenwood 23 avers that this expansion is necessary to satisfy 24 patron demand and to begin making accommodations for 25 table games.

The OEC did not object to the relief provided that 14 conditions are met before they are permitted to begin operations in the modified gaming floor area. The conditions have been provided to the Board prior to today's meeting.

Greenwood has also filed a Motion to

Protect Exhibit One of its petition which depicts

architectural floor plans detailing the secured areas

of its revised gaming floor. The OEC has not objected

to that request. Based upon those two motions the OCC

recommends that the Board grant both petitions.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none, can I have a motion please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of Greenwood Gaming Entertainment, Inc., as described by the OCC.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next matter before the Board is WTA's Petition for Approval of a Revised Gaming Floor Plan. In the petition, WTA is requesting permission to reduce the number of slot machines to 3,505 and reduce its gaming floor to 130,114 square feet. WTA alleges that the request for the reductions is to accommodate its plan to reconfigure its current high-limit slots area to a VIP lounge as a result of patron demand, and that the request is not related to the anticipated addition of table games to their facility.

OEC filed an Answer stating that pursuant to the Gaming Act the Licensee is not permitted to reduce the number of machines at their facility in order to accommodate table games. OEC points out that WTA filed the request less than one week prior to their filing for Board approval to conduct table games, and as a result suggest that WTA should answer questions of the Board to demonstrate that, in fact, its proposal is not to make room for table games.

Representatives of WTA are present.

Marie Jones and Sean Sullivan are here to answer any questions the Board has.

CHAIRMAN:

Before we begin, Mr. Sullivan, could you

1 please stand and be sworn in?

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

23

24

25

2 | -----

SEAN SULLIVAN, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:

MR. SULLIVAN:

Sean Sullivan. First name, S-E-A-N.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Can you briefly give us your position and be subject to some questions? And I see OEC also may have some questions.

ATTORNEY JONES:

13 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, Board 14 members. Marie Jones from Fox Rothchild here on 15 behalf of WTA. As indicated, with me today is Mr. 16 Sullivan, general manager and vice-president of WTA. 17 As Mr. Sherman so eloquently put it we are here today with another petition before you to reduce slot 18 machines. When I was here in February I indicated 19 20 that WTA was still looking at efficiencies and looking 21 at different patron requests and what the patrons 22 liked for their casino floor.

After reviewing it further they have decided to make changes to the high-limit area and to include a VIP lounge. And Mr. Sullivan will go into

detail. But overall this is a reduction of an 1,100 1 2 --- 111 machines, and we will still have the most slot machines in the Commonwealth at 3,505 if this petition 3 is granted. As Mr. Sullivan will explain in a few minutes, these moves are for efficiency purposes and again, unrelated to anything we're doing with table games. And you'll see the floor plan on that as soon as he's done.

I'd ask you to please, you know, go through the moves and where the different areas are.

MR. SULLIVAN:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

Sure. Thank you, Mr. Chairman and Board members. Just quickly, each and every day we're at the Meadows we're worrying about all of our partnerships. We're worried about our racetrack relationship. We're worried about the Commonwealth. We're worried about our owners. We're trying to make decisions that benefit everybody in as equitable manner as possible.

And a little teeny bit about a history. 21 | We've been in our new building one year. That's it. Our anniversary's coming up in April. The Meadows has faced competition with the Rivers. Those are two 24 milestones, if you will, that have added to this decision and this discussion today. And it is our

intention to go as Marie mentioned from 3,616 machines to 3,505, a reduction of 111 machines which would still, as mentioned, put us at the largest casino in the Commonwealth, in the State of Pennsylvania.

1

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

With those in mind, in addition to our customers telling us that they enjoy the Rivers' new VIP lounge experience, they've got a beautiful experience there that is a bar that has food and other things. Our better --- betters, which are instrumental in our success, have said to us, we prefer, we desire to have that same experience. we've listened. We've reacted. And we've created a floor plan here that includes that component. And we believe that component will not only allow us to be competitive, but again, will benefit all related parties in increasing slot revenues. Although we won't have as many machines we believe wholeheartedly that our win per unit will go up and we will all benefit that much more from this behavior.

The VIP lounge, just a quick one. It's a 21 non-gaming experience. It has sports viewing. It has food. It has a bar. It has private restrooms. a place where a customer can get off the field of play --- off the casino floor, relax, enjoy themselves, maybe conduct some minor business transaction, fax

machines, those types of things. And they can do that with a peaceful experience, a non-interrupted experience.

We also believe a byproduct of creating this room which has been requested by our players and will help us in our competitive positioning, we believe that we're going to further maximize the energy level in our casino with less machines and more bodies playing those machines. It creates a feverish environment. It creates an enjoyable environment. People can be in a different level, a more experience. People sitting next to you playing, lots more energy, lots more hooting and hollering. So we believe that we're going to benefit the overall performance of our floor.

At this time we rarely get over 70 to 75 percent occupancy. There's always machines available. With this reduction we may start to approach on a busy holiday weekend 80 percent, maybe at an unusually busy weekend 85 percent. So we'll still have plenty of capacity available, plenty of variety available. And as mentioned, we're still the largest in the state.

We believe it's beneficial to all partners involved and we request that you allow us to move forward with this improvement to our facility.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Sullivan, before I turn it over to OEC could you just show us on the graphic there? I think the clicker isn't working, but if you'd do it manual.

MR. SULLIVAN:

Happy to do that. What we've done, this is our current high denom gaming area where we have 62 machines. We're going to make this a VIP lounge. We're going to have those amenities I mentioned. We're going to recreate the VIP high denom slot experience just to the right of this area. What happens is we go from 62 to 69 games, but because this is a much more densely populated area, we're taking the space that currently has 141 machines. So we're removing 62 and placing 69 where there's 141.

A couple of other minor adjustments here in the category of four games here, five games there. And that net decrease is 111 games. And it's directly related to this, a competitive advantage that we want to create.

On the next drawing if asked I could show you table games. They really have nothing to do with table games. As we move to table games, which are indicated here, nothing changes here. We just add

some shapes to the ends of the base and make it a 1 little more congested. So, 3,505 today, 3,505 when we add table games.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And smoking/nonsmoking I assume is delineated by the tan and the blue. Which is which?

MR. SULLIVAN:

Blue is nonsmoking and the tan is smoking, 50/50-ish.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Mr. Creany, questions?

ATTORNEY CREANY:

Barry Creany from the OEC. Mr. Sullivan, when they opened in April of 2009, you were not at the facility; were you?

MR. SULLIVAN:

That's right.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

And we opened, I believe, with 3,749 games from the temporary into the new facility last April. At that time do you think that WTA had too many games on the floor? Do you have any reference to how things were at that time?

MR. SULLIVAN:

I do think that. And it's been echoed by

our owners and the marketing team and the management team of the Meadows. They built a large floor anticipating table games. They filled the floor 3 because they indeed don't want to have dead spots or areas that are suspiciously empty. So they populated the floor actually going too far. 3,750, we've never needed it. We don't need it today. We haven't needed it, and this is an adjustment, a correction if you will to that opening. And a lot of it has to do with simply the fact that we do have a competitor now, and 10 we're one years old. We understand our business 11 trends better if you will. 12

ATTORNEY CREANY:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, in February of this year, February 17th, the Board allowed a reduction to 3,616 games. At that point in time, you know, what did you see as the differences in terms of the crowd on the floor? You took down about 120-some games I guess. You know, was it fit at that time?

MR. SULLIVAN:

You know, it's a constant optimization.

And I have to tell you as you all know, the Rivers has done an admirable job of improving their business, increasing their contribution to the state, their gross terminal revenues. We're adjusting to the

playing field if you will. We're adjusting to the trends in the business. And it behooves us all. We want to make sure it's --- you know, we've talked a 3 little bit about the restaurant expansion. You go in and if there's empty tables people say this isn't a great experience. Something might be wrong with the food.

We never want that in our business. $W \in$ want all machines busy. We want energy. We want excitement. So we will continually adjust as needed to business trends, and we believe that benefits everybody, the Commonwealth, our major partner in this endeavor.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

With that last change, the reduction to 3,616, what do you think your peaks were at this point in time? What is the peak usage in terms of percentage?

MR. SULLIVAN:

Since I've been there and looking in 21 history, in this new building, again, one year old, we 22 haven't exceeded, if I'm not mistaken, 70 percent. Maybe 75 percent on some busy times. And admittedly I 23 don't have the numbers memorized, but we're in that 70 24 25 to 75 percent. Maybe 4th of July got a little higher

than that. But again, we still have an abundance of capacity availability and do not believe that this would adversely affect that.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

Well, from your experience in the industry and particularly with the Meadows, what do you think the optimal percentage is for the peak?

What kind of a zone do you want to be in the range of the usage?

MR. SULLIVAN:

We believe 70 to 80 percent. And it also correlates to service. It's harder to service more machines, harder to get drinks out there, harder to fix the machine malfunction. So having machines unnecessarily, although many of them may not be played, spreads out our service zones if you will. We have people going into areas where there's one or two players versus more of a consolidated approach. So 70, 80 percent is probably the right idea, closer to 70 percent.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

And that's from your standpoint in terms of servicing, but I think you're talking about the energy and exciting atmosphere. At one point maybe not today but we had talked before, you were talking

about the buzz of the facility. Can you expand upon that a little bit and explain what it is that you're shooting for from that customer vantage point?

MR. SULLIVAN:

Yeah. And it indeed is based on energy, excitement. It's other people winning. Not everybody expects to win, but they want to know somebody's winning. They want to see the energy in the air.

They want to feel it. It's okay. They're basically buying a ticket to a concert, a ticket to a football game. If you look at the spending patterns it's in that same range. And if there's a full house, people are going away incanted. They're having great times, great memories. They're walking away excited. They may or may not have won, but they've accomplished their entertainment needs. And we believe that this benefits to that matter.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

And do you believe that a reduction to 3,505 is going to, you know, hit that proper range of what you'll see on peak --- the peak times?

MR. SULLIVAN:

Yes, I do. And we've even increased our slot revenues in the 2010 budget accordingly because we're confident that we'll reach that energy level,

that service level and so on, and we'll see an increase in revenues at the end of the day.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

2

3

4

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And one more. With respect to the coming of table games, with the synergy of table games and the slots, if you see an expansion --- do you think down the road that there will be a time when you might want to be adding more games and will you have the capacity in terms of floor space?

MR. SULLIVAN:

I think there will be a time when we want 12 to add more games. Not sure exactly when that is, but you can see on that drawing some small lounge areas where people can sit and relax. You know, we have the opportunity to put some more machines onto this floor if needed. And of course, if we expand and we get into things like hotel and entertainment venues and those kind of things, it may even require an expansion of the envelope of the building, but that's premature. I have not heard of any specifics on that.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

At the current rate what do you think your win per unit is now?

MR. SULLIVAN:

You know, coming into the spring we're

doing much better. To be honest with you I'm not 100 percent sure, but I'm saying it's 180s, in that range right now just in the last couple of weeks coming out of a horrible everywhere winter.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

You know, there's a lot of things that go on at the management end of the casino that I don't see. I think maybe the casino representatives are more familiar with it and certainly our gaming lab people, but is this sort of an evolving process of trying to hit that peak and trying to hit the right product out on the floor for the customer? You know, how often are changes occurring in the casino?

MR. SULLIVAN:

It's interesting. We probably make more changes than most properties and it's because we believe that's part of the entertainment experience.

Like a retail, like an entrepreneurial restaurant, anything else you see out there, change is good in a lot of ways. You don't want to confuse them. You don't want to make it difficult. But they do want to see new and exciting experiences. They do want to see new product. And we're committed to that and we believe that everybody benefits from that and that it keeps people coming back again and again and it

doesn't become stale or stagnant.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. MCCABE:

I have a couple.

CHAIRMAN:

Go ahead, Commissioner McCabe.

MR. MCCABE:

With this remodeling do you plan on hiring any more people for that space back there, bartenders, hostess, hostesses, chef?

MR. SULLIVAN:

15 You know, we do have more folks coming on and part of it is the adjustment to spring. But yeah, 16 I think it will become more --- it will become more 17 host centric. There will be a host at the entrance 18 greeting folks. There will be a concierge experience. 19 20 I don't think it's tons of people, but it's a few 21 people overall. Unrelated to table games, we do see 22 our employment growing to be the number one employment 23 center for Washington County, up towards 1,600, 1,700 24 people here. You know, we're at 1,110 give or take 25 now. We see at least 500 with table games, another 40

to backfill some of the positions of in-house 2 transfer. So, we do see a few. But it's not a huge 3 opportunity to grow employment in that particular lounge.

MR. MCCABE:

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

And my final question is, I didn't hear, are you going to put TVs in there too?

MR. SULLIVAN:

There's going to be great viewing opportunities. People can enjoy all the big games and you'll see 100-inch plasma screen up there or whatever comes out between now and when we build it.

MR. MCCABE:

I see. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

Just one quickie. I assume some of your table game players will be your VIP gamers. Is having a lounge of that kind critical or at least helpful as you introduce table games?

MR. SULLIVAN:

Absolutely. And one thing I may not have 24 mentioned is there is a cage in there now in our 25 | high-denom area. And this will help facilitate some

of those table games-related issues. And absolutely this will benefit every aspect of our business, table games included.

MR. SOJKA:

Surely.

CHAIRMAN:

Other questions? Commissioner Ginty?

MR. GINTY:

I assume the machines you're taking out are leased machines?

MR. SULLIVAN:

A lot of them will be leased. We're one of the highest leased properties in the state, and as we're dialing in every experience we will reduce our lease games. They're difficult games. They're a fixed fee. So when it's snowing out you're still paying \$100 a day, \$75 a day. So you've got to finesse that into being the right level of lease games. And you'll still have the same amount of --- you'll still have Wizard of Oz, for example. Instead of 12 you'll have 8. So, you will dial that in. And yes, some of those are but it's not the lion's share of it.

MR. GINTY:

And I think you answered my next

question. The range, the price, the lease rate is \$75, \$100?

MR. SULLIVAN:

It's \$50 with valleys. In a couple of them you get up to \$75 with a lot of the IGT, and Williams' is usually the leader at \$100. And we're continuing to work with them to lower those fees. And then you have a couple of action games now, video-poker related that are only \$15 a day for the software. So they're expensive and you have to be careful by not having too many.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions? Can I have a motion please?

MR. COY:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board grant the Petition of Washington Trotting Association as described by the OCC.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The final petition before the Board today is that of Isaac Newborn. It's a Petition, nunc pro tunc seeking Reconsideration of the Board's Order Denying their renewal of his occupational permit. Newborn was employed as a security officer at Chester Downs in Marina. In August of 2009, he submitted an application for renewal of his gaming employee permit.

During the renewal process it was determined that Mr. Newborn had outstanding tax issues with the Department of Revenue. The OEC recommended that he be denied the renewal due to those tax delinquencies. The Board did issue an Order when Mr. Newborn did not respond to the OEC notice and the Board did deny the renewal on the basis of those tax issues.

After the issuance of that Order Mr. Newborn corrected the issues, came into compliance with his tax obligations, and filed the current motion which seeks the Board to renew the license. The OEC 25 has withdrawn its objection based upon the correction

37 of his tax issues. The OCC recommends that the Board 1 rescind the January 27th, 2010 Order and order that 3 Mr. Newborn's gaming permit be reissued to him. In addition, attached to his petition 4 were certain tax documents which are confidential in nature and therefore we also recommend that they remain sealed. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Thank you. Any questions from the Board? 10 Can I have a motion please? 11 MR. GINTY: 12 Was there a question? 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 No questions. 15 MR. GINTY: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant 16 17 the request to rescind the Board's Order of January 18 27th, 2010, and renew Mr. Newborn's Gaming Employee 19 Permit as described by the OCC and grant the 20 confidentiality that you mentioned. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Second? 23 MR. MCCABE: 24 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

25

All in favor?

2 ALL SAY AYE

1

3

4

5

6

8

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Deputy Chief Counsel Steve Cook will be presenting the remaining issues.

ATTORNEY COOK:

9 Good afternoon. The Board has received 10 two unopposed Petitions To Withdraw Applications which include ten individuals or businesses. The parties 11 subject to these petitions are as follows, the 12 Weeks-Lerman Group, LLC, Victoria Benalloul 1999 13 14 Family Trust, The Lerman Company, Victoria Benalloul, 15 Judith Connolly, Sidney M. Lerman, Abraham Benalloul, Irene S. Shotland, Joe Lashinger Company, LLC, and 16 17 Joseph A. Lashinger, Jr. 18 The OEC has no objections to these 19 withdrawals. Therefore if the Board grants them they

CHAIRMAN:

would be granted without prejudice.

Any questions from the Board? Can I have a motion please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board

issue Orders to approve the withdrawals or surrenders as described by the OCC.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor.

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next for the Board's consideration is an Emergency Suspension. Clark Allen Kern was issued a Non-Gaming Employee Registration on April 23rd, 2009, and was employed as a cleaner in the Public Areas Department at Sands Bethworks.

On March 24th, 2010, the Bureau of Casino Compliance was notified by the Sands Security Department that Mr. Kern was accused of harassing a female patron on the gaming floor following her to the woman's restroom, inappropriately touching her without 21 her consent, and exposing himself. As a result of these accusations and a subsequent investigation the Pennsylvania State Police charged Mr. Kern with various criminal offenses.

As a result of these charges the OEC

filed a Request for an Emergency Order of Suspension of Mr. Kern's Non-Gaming Employee Registration. And that Order was signed by the Executive Director on March 31st, 2010.

Board regulations require that the temporary Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full evidentiary hearing or in the alternative that the matter be referred to the Office of Hearings and Appeals to conduct such a hearing. The OCC would recommend that this matter be referred to the Office of Hearings and Appeals for the purposes of conducting a full evidentiary hearing and that the temporary Order of Suspension remain in place pending the outcome of that hearing.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from Enforcement Counsel or

17 comments?

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY CREANY:

No.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? If not, could I have a motion please?

MR. MCCABE:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to extend the Order of Suspension of Clark

1 Allen Kern's Non-Gaming Employee Registration, and that the matter be referred to the Office of Hearings 3 and Appeals for a hearing to determine the validity of the Emergency Suspension Order.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

15

19

20

22

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

And that concludes the matters of the Office of Chief Counsel. 14

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Steve. Thank you, Doug. 16 17 up is Susan Hensel, our Director of Licensing. 18 Welcome, Susan.

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you, Chairman Fajt and members of 21 the Board. The first matter for your consideration is the renewal of the Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC 23 Category II License. The renewal hearing for this 24 entity has been held and their license renewal is now ready for your consideration. As has been indicated

If not

1 no issues regarding Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, have 2 been identified by either the Bureau of Investigations 3 and Enforcement or Licensing that would preclude renewal of the Category II License. I have provided you with a draft order for this entity, and ask that the Board consider the order to renew the Category II License for Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Thank you. Any questions from 10 Enforcement Counsel? 11 ATTORNEY CREANY: 12 No objection. 13 CHAIRMAN:

MR. SOJKA:

can I have a motion please?

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board approve the renewal of the Category II slot machine license of Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

Okay. Questions from the Board?

CHAIRMAN:

22 Second?

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

25

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

2 ALL SAY AYE

1

3

4

5

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

6 The next matter for your consideration is the issuance of a Table Game Manufacturer License to IGT. IGT is currently licensed as a slot machine manufacturer. Under the Gaming Act the Board may use 10 an abbreviated process to consider the application of an already licensed slot machine manufacturer provided 11 the manufacturer was issued a license within 36 months 12 1.3 of submitting its table game application and provided 14 that there have been no material changes in 15 circumstances related to the Licensee. As indicated in the report provided to you prior to this meeting, 16 17 IGT satisfies these conditions. The OEC, the BIE, 18 Gaming Laboratory Operations, and the Bureau of 19 Licensing have indicated that they do not object to 2.0 Table Game Manufacturer License for this company. I 21 have provided you with a draft order and ask that the 22 Board consider the approval of a Table Game Manufacture License for IGT. 23

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from

Enforcement Counsel?

2

1

ATTORNEY CREANY:

3

Again, we have no objection.

4

CHAIRMAN:

5

6

Thank you. Questions from the Board?

Susan, just a quick question on my part. IGT, do you have any idea of what percentage of slot machines that they provide to the Commonwealth?

8

9

MS. HENSEL:

10

I don't. We can certainly find out that

11

information for you, but off the top of my head, I

12

don't. They are a major provider of slot machines and

13

I would expect that that percentage would be large.

14

CHAIRMAN:

15

Okay. If you could find that out for me

16

I'd appreciate it.

17

MS. HENSEL:

18

Sure.

19

CHAIRMAN:

20

Can I have a motion please?

21

MR. TRUJILLO:

22

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

23 a

approve the Table Game Manufacturer License of IGT as

24

described by the Bureau of Licensing?

25

MR. ANGELI:

45

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

Next is the issuance of a conditional

9 Table Game Manufacturer License to Gemaco, Inc.

10 Pursuant to the Board's regulations the Board may

11 issue a conditional Table Game Device License provided

12 the Applicant satisfies certain criteria including

13 submitting a completed application, being licensed in

14 good standing in a gaming jurisdiction whose

15 manufacturer standards are recognized by the Board,

16 passing a preliminary criminal history investigation,

17 and paying the licensing fee prior to license

18 issuance. The Bureau of Licensing has provided you

19 with a conditional background investigation and

20 suitability report for this Applicant. No issues were

21 identified by either the BIE or Licensing that would

22 preclude approving a conditional Table Game

23 Manufacturer License for Gemaco, Inc. I have provided

24 you with a draft order and ask that the Board consider

25 the approval of this license.

| | 46 |
|----|--|
| 1 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 2 | Questions from Enforcement Counsel? |
| 3 | ATTORNEY CREANY: |
| 4 | No objection subject to the conditions. |
| 5 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 6 | Thank you. Questions from the Board? |
| 7 | Can I have a motion please? |
| 8 | MR. ANGELI: |
| 9 | Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board |
| 10 | approve the conditional Table Game Manufacturing |
| 11 | License of Gemaco, Inc. as described by the Bureau of |
| 12 | Licensing. |
| 13 | MR. COY: |
| 14 | Second. |
| 15 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 16 | All in favor? |
| 17 | ALL SAY AYE |
| 18 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 19 | Opposed? Motion passes. |
| 20 | MS. HENSEL: |
| 21 | In addition we have the approval of Key |
| 22 | Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting the Bureau |
| 23 | of Licensing provided you with a proposed order for 24 |

24 Key Employee Licenses for Chester Downs and Marina,

25 Downs Racing, Washington Trotting Association, Mount

1 Licensing provided you with an order regarding the issuance of Temporary Licenses for five Principals and 2 3 42 Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the order approving these licenses.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Questions from the Board?

Can I have a motion?

10 MR. GINTY:

So moved.

12 MR. MCCABE:

Second. 13

14 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 16

5

6

8

11

15

20

22

23

24

17 CHAIRMAN:

18 Opposed? Motion passes.

19 MS. HENSEL:

Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming 21 Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 73 individuals including 64 initial and 9 renewals who the Bureau has granted occupation permits to and 141 individuals who 25 the Bureau has granted registrations to under the

authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the order.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions from the Board? Could I have a motion please?

MR. MCCABE:

So moved.

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

14 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

We also have withdrawal requests for Gaming and Non-Gaming employees. In each case the occupation permit or registration is no longer 21 required due to such circumstances as the employee failing to report to work or the job offer being 23 rescinded. For today's meeting I have provided the 24 Board with a list of 28 withdrawals for approval. ask that the Board consider the order approving this

| | 50 |
|----|---|
| 1 | list of withdrawals. |
| 2 | ATTORNEY CREANY: |
| 3 | No objection. |
| 4 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 5 | Questions from the Board? Can I have a |
| 6 | motion? |
| 7 | MR. SOJKO: |
| 8 | So moved. |
| 9 | MR. TRUJILLO: |
| 10 | Second. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 12 | All in favor? |
| 13 | ALL SAY AYE |
| 14 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 15 | Opposed? Motion passes. |
| 16 | MS. HENSEL: |
| 17 | We also have withdrawal requests for Key |
| 18 | Employees. As with the Gaming and Non-Gaming |
| 19 | Employees in each case the Key Employee License is no |
| 20 | longer required. For today's meeting I have provided |
| 21 | the Board with a list of seven withdrawals for |
| 22 | approval, and I ask that you consider the order |
| 23 | approving these withdrawals. |
| 24 | ATTORNEY CREANY: |
| 25 | No objection. |
| | |

51 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Questions from the Board? Can I have a 3 motion please? 4 MR. TRUJILLO: 5 So moved. 6 MR. ANGELI: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 ALL SAY AYE 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? Motion passes. 13 MS. HENSEL: 14 In addition we have four orders regarding 15 Gaming Service Providers. The first is to certify the following four Gaming Service Providers. AV Lottomus 16 17 (phonetic) Communications, Inc., Dale Construction 18 Company, Inc., Fountain Guys, LLC, and Greenscape 19 Landscape Contractors, Inc. I ask that the Board 20 consider the order approving the Gaming Service 21 Providers for certification. 22 ATTORNEY CREANY: 23 Certification is recommended for each. 24 CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Questions from the Board?

25

| | 52 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Can I have a motion? |
| 2 | MR. ANGELI: |
| 3 | So moved. |
| 4 | MR. COY: |
| 5 | Second. |
| 6 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 7 | All in favor? |
| 8 | ALL SAY AYE |
| 9 | <u>CHAIRMAN:</u> |
| 10 | Opposed? Motion passes. |
| 11 | MS. HENSEL: |
| 12 | Next we have an order regarding Gaming |
| 13 | Service Provider Registrations. The Bureau of |
| 14 | Licensing provided you with an order and an attached |
| 15 | list of 17 registered Gaming Service Providers. I ask |
| 16 | that the Board adopt a motion approving the order |
| 17 | registering these Gaming Service Providers. |
| 18 | ATTORNEY CREANY: |
| 19 | Registration is recommended for each. |
| 20 | CHAIRMAN: |
| 21 | Thank you. Questions from the Board? |
| 22 | Motion please? |
| 23 | MR. COY: |
| 24 | So moved. |
| 25 | CHAIRMAN: |
| | |

53 Second? 1 2 MR. GINTY: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? Motion passes. 8 9 MS. HENSEL: 10 The Bureau of Licensing also provided you with an order, the approval of which would result in 11 12 Samuel and Sons Seafood Company, Inc. being added to 13 the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List. This 14 vendor has done business with the slot machine 15 operator licensee or applicant but has failed to submit or complete its application. I ask that the 16 17 Board consider the order adding Samuel and Sons 18 Seafood Company to the Prohibited Gaming Service Provider List. 19 20 ATTORNEY CREANY: 21 No objection. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Questions from the Board? Do I have a 24 motion please? 25 MR. GINTY:

So moved.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

Finally there are orders to declare the following Gaming Service Provider Applications abandoned. PC Connection Sales Corp and Cuebeca (phonetic) AMF Worldwide, LLC. Records indicate that these Gaming Service Providers filed applications even though they received compensation below the threshold that required them to file. The Gaming Service Providers failed to submit required documentation after being given notice of the deficiencies and an opportunity to correct them. Under our regulations 20 the Board has the authority to declare an application 21 abandoned if the applicant fails to provide information necessary to cure deficiencies. that the Board consider the order abandoning these applications.

ATTORNEY CREANY:

55 No objection. 1 2 CHAIRMAN: 3 Questions from the Board? Can I have a motion please? 5 MR. MCCABE: 6 So moved. MR. SOJKA: 8 Second. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Opposed? Motion passes. 14 MS. HENSEL: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Susan. Next up is Enforcement 17 Counsel Cyrus Pitre. 18 19 ATTORNEY PITRE: 20 We have one matter for the Board's 21 consideration today. Melissa Powers will present the 22 matter for OEC and Marie Jones is here for WTA. 23 ATTORNEY POWERS: Good afternoon. Today we have for the 24 25 Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between OEC

and Washington Trotting Association doing business as
the Meadows Racetrack and Casino. The Consent
Agreement involves two incidents wherein self-excluded
patrons placed wagers and cashed checks at Meadows.
On November 26th, 2009, a self-excluded patron was
paid a jackpot in the amount of \$1,254. On December
14th, 2009 a self-excluded patron cashed three checks
at Meadows.

Following a series of discussions between 10 Meadows, the Bureau of Casino Compliance and OEC regarding both of these incidents, the Meadows has 11 disciplined all of the employees involved in both 12 incidents. They've also reviewed and revised its 13 14 policies and procedures relating to self-excluded 15 patrons and both parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's order the Meadows shall pay a 16 17 civil penalty in the amount of \$20,000.

The OEC asks that the Board approve this Consent Agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

Ms. Jones, any comments?

ATTORNEY JONES:

No, we just urge you to adopt the Consent

24 Agreement. Thank you.

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Questions from the Board?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

1

2

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

25

I have one question. Did you try to get the money back, the jackpot back from the first person?

ATTORNEY JONES:

In both instances the money was actually never given to them, I believe. They got it back before --- they stopped it before it actually got paid.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Okay. So it was really the ---.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Yes. It was in process.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

I see. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka.

MR. SOJKA:

Yes. I have no problem with the Consent 21 Agreement but I am concerned that --- and maybe steps 22 have been taken but we have now several people here 23 that have taken a difficult step of excluding themselves from a casino and now we have clear evidence that they have, in fact, violated their own

effort to be excluded. What sort of outreach if any does the Meadows do to those people to really enforce the fact that they not come back? Is there any additional step taken? Do the State Police get involved? What do you do?

ATTORNEY JONES:

1

3

6

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I will let Mr. Pitre answer with respect to the State Police and the Board involvement. But with respect to Meadows once they're on the excluded 10 list we make sure we check it and make sure that they're not paid and not in the facility. But we 11 12 wouldn't want to outreach to them to say, oh, here, 13 don't come to the Meadows because that again gets 14 information regarding the Meadows to them. So we need 15 to, you know, stop any type of mailing to them. of course, we do have all of the proper information on 16 17 problem and compulsive gambling out there to the 18 public.

MR. SOJKA:

So you stop mailings, but you don't, for example, take a proactive step and send a mailing saying, you know, you better really address your problem or something?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Not at this time, and that is something

we can look into if it's something that the Office of Compulsive Gambling would like to see. We'd be happy to discuss it. But the policy at this point is to stop the problem gamblers, to stop short of a contact.

MR. SOJKA:

And I'm not finding fault with what you're doing. I think this is just --- I think we need to all reach out to this major problem which is a blight on the industry and one which we have to try everything we can to correct.

ATTORNEY JONES:

I agree with that.

CHAIRMAN:

I have one question. What is it that wasn't done that allowed somebody to be paid and what are you doing now to prevent somebody from being paid? In other words, what change did you specifically make?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Well, they made several changes at the facility. One is actually going back to the nester situation, they had had some changes and training to all of the employees in the different cage and accounting areas, went through all the policies again, described everything, made sure the supervisors knew the steps. What happened here was the employees had

1 been through the training, especially on the second instance, and just did not do their job. And they were immediately terminated upon finding this out.

On the first situation it was sort of in 4 an interim time before the remedial training had occurred. And since then they were all suspended. All of the training has occurred. They are very proactive in ensuring that this does not happen again. They've continually talked to the managers and the 10 supervisors, and in fact, the slot department in general and the casino floor in general continues to 11 have ongoing training on I believe it is every quarter 12 13 at this point.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Commissioner McCabe?

MR. MCCABE:

Were any of the supervisors disciplined?

ATTORNEY JONES:

They were. All of the individuals Yes. involved were disciplined. The cage supervisor received a written warning. There was various suspensions and on the one instance with the two employees' termination.

MR. MCCABE:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

1

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 Again, just an, you know, anecdotal 3 In the age of computers, I mean, if you're paying somebody out I assume above a certain level you have to take the name, Social Security number for tax records. You know, I can't understand why it couldn't be a simple cross check to your --- to the self-excluded list when you put in a name or Social Security number that a red screen doesn't appear. 10 mean, it really sounds pretty simple to me in this age of computers. And this is the kind of thing that, you 11 12 know, should not happen.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Agree, it should not happen, and we can look into some type of cross checking. At this point it seemed to be more human error. At one point when they put the one check on deposit it was simply the gentleman didn't say --- didn't have ID. And then when he came back he showed ID and that's when certain items were picked up. But that is a very good point that there should be some type of I guess alert into the computer system when a name or Social Security number is entered.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Other questions? Can I have

a motion please? 1

2

3

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board issue an order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as described by the OEC.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Closing down on the I think last item of the day, Cyrus, I recall that pursuant to an Order of the Board Pennsylvania Entertainment and Development Partners also known as Foxwoods was to make certain 21 filings by March 31st. Could you please update us on that situation? Thank you, Melissa.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We've received financial documents.

25 | We've received renderings. We've received the

timeline. Now, I'll preface all of that in terms of
we received those documents, but they're all dependent
upon several things occurring. So, basically as it
stands today PDPR Licensing, I have no confidence that
they can deliver a casino by May 2011. I have no
confidence that as they stand today they can deliver
any type of casino to this Board that the Board
licensed.

What's going to be --- what all of this is dependent upon is in filing a Petition for Change of Control, Modification of Facility, and an Extension of Time in which to get the casino up and running that it could extend to December 2012. So, we're basically at that stage where they have to file, if they're going to do this, they're going to have to file the petition. And this Board's going to have to make a decision on that, on their petition.

The documents that we received are all dependent upon the Board approving that petition. So, basically as they stand today I don't have any confidence that they could deliver anything that they sent to us unless that change of control and everything else, the modification and the extension is approved by the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

1.3

64 1 Okay. Thank you. Any comments from the 2 Board? 3 MR. SOJKA: One quick question. 4 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Mr. Sojka. MR. SOJKA: I understand that and I certainly can 8 find no fault with anything you said but it does raise 10 the question about what happens to the sanction. They're being sanctioned to the tune of \$2,000 per day 11 if I remember correctly. Even though your judgment is 12 13 that what they've submitted is not likely to carry 14 them through, does it meet the requirement for having 15 made a submission and therefore bring the sanctions to an end at least temporarily? 16 17 ATTORNEY PITRE: 18 Yes. 19 MR. SOJKA: 2.0 And that occurred on what day? 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 We received everything timely as far as the financing documents. We received them before the 23 24 end of the month. They were executed subsequently and 25 they were resubmitted. And the renderings --- and the

timeline I received yesterday, well ahead of schedule.

MR. SOJKA:

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

19

22

23

24

25

And they've been billed then for however, whatever the accumulated sanction was?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We have not billed them for them because that amount was accumulating. So, ---.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

I think, Commissioner, in the original Order, the last Order that you made in writing, you specifically stated an amount that they should pay and 12 actually bring to the hearing which they did. And the last Order you did not. You just continued the amount per day so it continues until you end it, and then an amount would be fixed.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

17 And we can say that as of March 30th or March 31st, they've complied. 18

MR. SOJKA:

20 Okay. Do we need to take that action 21 then, Mr. Miller?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

You should.

MR. SOJKA:

All right.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

When you're satisfied that, in fact, they no longer need to be fined \$2,000 a day.

MR. SOJKA:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

Well, just for the purpose of discussion then I'm going to propose that the sanctions come to an end coincident with the date at which you received those filings. I might even say temporarily or whatever. I mean, it's not forever but they have met that requirement and I'd like to see the sanctions stop.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

They have, and as Mr. Pitre said, they submitted renderings which were not actually due until April 26th. They, in fact, submitted those I believe yesterday.

CHAIRMAN:

Yeah, let me do a little housekeeping. Dale, could you introduce yourself for the 20 stenographer?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

I'm sorry. I'm Dale Miller, M-I-L-E-R, the Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel of the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

Now, back to the matter at hand. I asked 1 Doug to come up. I don't want to get too far ahead of ourselves. I mean, we have a sanction that's ongoing. 3 I'm thinking and I'm thinking out loud here that we may want to continue that. We at some point down the road, whether it's the, you know, late April Board meeting, we can stop that sanction retroactively. I'm just a little concerned if we do it as of today without, you know, a formal hearing.

MR. SOJKA:

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We're both trying to go the same way. was suggesting stopping the sanction possibly 12 temporarily. You're saying let it go and we can terminate it retroactively. I think we're --- it's 14 two sides and precisely the same issue. I would yield to that.

CHAIRMAN:

I agree, okay.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

And a hearing's actually scheduled for April 29th on the whole matter. Am I right?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Right.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

24 Commissioner, the prior Order was that 25 the sanction would continue to accumulate until

further order of the Board and the compliance with the 1 requirements meeting those various conditions. I 3 think Enforcement Counsel has said that, in fact, that date has occurred. I would suggest it would probably be appropriate to address it in a more formal setting when the PEDP Counsel is also present in the event that there's anything for them to answer.

MR. SOJKA:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

That would be fine with me. My only concern was that this could have possibly slipped by and that we, you know, may have been inappropriately accumulating a sanction. I'm certainly for the sanction at the time.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I don't have any reason to think that Foxwoods Counsel would let it slip back.

MR. SOJKA:

18 I'm sure you're right. But we've looked 19 at it.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Commissioner Sojka. you, Cyrus, Dale. That concludes today's business. In closing, our next scheduled public meeting will be held on Thursday, April 29th, at the North Office 25 Building Hearing Room One here in Harrisburg.

| 09 |
|--|
| final comments from the Board? Can I have a motion to |
| adjourn? |
| MR. TRUJILLO: |
| So moved. |
| CHAIRMAN: |
| Second? |
| MR. ANGELI: |
| Second. |
| CHAIRMAN: |
| Thank you. Meeting is adjourned. |
| |
| * * * * * |
| MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:32 P.M. |
| * * * * * |
| |
| CERTIFICATE |
| I hereby certify that the foregoing |
| proceedings, meeting held before the Pennsylvania |
| Gaming Control Board, was reported by me on 04/07/2010 |
| and that I Alicia R. Brant read this transcript and |
| that I attest that this transcript is a true and |
| accurate record of the proceeding. |
| duia Bont |
| Court Reporter |
| |
| |