COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

Raymond S. Angeli; Jeffrey W. Coy; James B.

Ginty; Gary A. Sojka; Kenneth T. McCabe

(via telephone); Kenneth Trujillo;

David Barasch, Deputy Secretary of the

Department of Revenue, Representative;

Frank Jurbala, representing Russell

Redding, Secretary of Agriculture; Keith

Welks, Deputy State Treasurer for Fiscal

Operations, Ex-Officio Designee

HEARING: Wednesday, February 17, 2010

1:05 p.m.

LOCATION: State Building

Capitol Building - East Wing, Room 8E-B

Harrisburg, PA 17120

WITNESSES: Kevin O'Toole, Claire Yantis, David Rhen,

Richard Sandusky, R. Douglas Sherman, Steve

Cook, Susan Hensel, Melissa Powers, Katie

Higgins, Barry Creany,

Reporter: Cynthia Piro-Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

2 APPEARANCES 1 CHIEF COUNSEL: 2 3 R. DOUGLAS SHERMAN, ESQUIRE DEPUTY CHIEF COUNSEL: STEPHEN S. COOK, ESQUIRE 5 CHIEF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL: CYRUS PITRE, ESQUIRE DEPUTY CHIEF ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL: 9 E. BARRY CREANY, ESQUIRE 10 ASSISTANT ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL: 11 MELISSA POWERS, ESQUIRE 12 ASSISTANT ENFORCEMENT COUNSEL: KATIE HIGGINS, ESQUIRE 13 14 PA Gaming Control Board 15 P.O. Box 69060 16 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060 17 COUNSEL FOR PENNSYLVANIA GAMING CONTROL BOARD 18 19 MARIE JONES, ESQUIRE 20 Fox Rothschild, L.L.P. 21 Midtown Building 22 Suite 400 23 1301 Atlantic Avenue 24 Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212 25 COUNSEL FOR WASHINGTON TROTTING ASSOCIATION

				3
1	I N D E X			
2				
3	OPENING REMARKS			
4	By Chairperson Fajt	4	_	7
5	PRESENTATION			
6	By Mr. O'Toole	7	_	9
7	By Ms. Yantis	10	_	12
8	By Mr. Rhen	13	_	14
9	By Attorney Sherman	14	_	18
10	By Mr. Sandusky	18	_	29
11	By Attorney Sherman	30	_	35
12	By Attorney Cook	35	_	52
13	By Ms. Hensel	52	_	6 4
14	By Attorney Powers	6 4	-	73
15	By Attorney Creany	73	-	76
16	By Attorney Higgins	76	-	78
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

I'm Greg Fajt, Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. And just as a housekeeping matter, as we always do, if everybody could please turn off their cell phones, Blackberries and other PDAs. They tend to interfere with our communication system.

Joining us today, we have Dave Barasch, the Executive Deputy Secretary of the Department of Revenue, representing Acting Secretary C. Daniel Hassell, and Keith Welks, Deputy State Treasurer from Fiscal Operations, representing Treasurer Rob McCord. Thank you, guys. I don't know if Frank Jurbala is here.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

He is here.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Frank, welcome, Director of Bureau of Markets, representing Russell Redding as the Secretary of Agriculture.

A quorum of the Board is present. 24 like to call today's proceedings to order. As first order of business, please stand for the Pledge of

Allegiance.

1

2.

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

We'll now commence with our scheduled public meeting. The first order of business is old business and announcements. By way of announcements, the Board held an Executive Session this morning in accordance with the Sunshine Act. The purpose of the Executive Session was to discuss personnel matters, pending litigation and to conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters pending before the Board.

I'd also like to announce that the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board will hold two public hearings in the coming weeks. The first will be on Wednesday, February 24th for the purpose of gathering evidence, including public comment, on the petition submitted by Downs Racing, L.P., operator of the slot machine casino at Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs. They've submitted a Petition Requesting Authorization to Conduct Table Games. The hearing will be at 10:00 a.m. at the Fox Hill Firehouse, 52nd Street in Plains, 23 Pennsylvania. The deadline for registration and receipt of written comments for this hearing is noon on Monday, February 22nd.

The second hearing will be held on 1 2 Thursday, February 25th for the purpose of gathering 3 evidence, including public comment, on a petition submitted by Mount Airy #1, LLC, operator of the Mount Airy Casino Resort in Monroe County, which has also requested authorization to conduct table games. hearing will begin at 10:00 a.m. at the Paradise Township Municipal Building located at the intersection of Routes 191 and 940 in Cresco, 10 Pennsylvania. The deadline for registration and the receipt of written comments for that hearing is noon, 11 Tuesday, February 23rd. 12 All comments can be mailed to the 13 14 Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, P.O. Box 69060, 15 Harrisburg, PA, 17106, attention Board Secretary, or the comments can be faxed to 717-346-8350. 16 17 wishing to present oral or written testimony at one or 18 both of the hearings, which will become part of the 19 evidentiary record in the matter, can register on the 20 PGCB website at www.pgcb.state.pa.us.

Having made all the announcements, our first item of business is the minutes and the transcript. May I have a motion, please?

COMMMISSIONER ANGELI:

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

Gerry has proven to be a knowledgeable and dependable employee of the Board. For the past two and a half years, Gerry has served the Board as Senior Supervisor in the Bureau of Casino Compliance.

With the departure of Tom Sturgeon at the end of 2009, Gerry has seamlessly assumed the responsibilities of leading the Bureau of Casino Compliance, providing the Executive Director and staff the necessary continuity of support. Gerry's dedication, determination and keen understanding of the casino industry are evident in everything he does.

Gerry spent 28 or 29 years as a trooper in the State of New Jersey. Many of those years he was assigned to the Division of Gaming Enforcement. Our outstanding relationship with the Pennsylvania State Police at each of the operating casinos is due certainly in large measure to the ability of Gerry and his experience in criminal law enforcement on the casino arena.

It is for those reasons that we are recommending a motion be granted to formally appoint Gerry Stoll to be this agency's Director of Casino Compliance. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

9 If not, can I have a motion, please? 1 2 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: You certainly can, Mr. Chairman. 3 recognition of his past service to the Board and his ongoing commitment to the role and function of the Board as a Senior Supervisor with the Bureau of Casino Compliance, I would like to make a motion that we appoint Gerry Stoll as Director of the Bureau of Casino Compliance. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Second? 12 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: Second. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Opposed? 19 NO RESPONSE 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Motion passes. Thank you, Kevin. 22 Gerry, thank you for your dedication to the 23 enterprise. And you do a great job for us. 24 MR. STOLL: 25 Thank you very much, sir.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Okay. Thank you, Kevin. Next up is Claire Yantis, our Human Resources Director.

MS. YANTIS:

Good afternoon, Chairman and Board members. We have before you today two motions for consideration. First, we ask that you consider a motion to hire Beau Livesay. Mr. Livesay is being recommended for hire as an Application Support Specialist in our Office of Information Technology. Mr. Livesay has completed the PGCB interview process, 12 background investigation and drug screening, and is being recommended for hire by Director of IT, Jim Buck. As such, I ask that you consider a motion to hire Mr. Livesay as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the applicant as proposed on the condition that the applicant completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

MS. YANTIS:

Second, in order to assure full and adequate staffing and training in the Bureau of Casino Compliance to support the regulation of table games, we are in the process of hiring additional staff at each casino location. To date, the Office of Human Resources, in conjunction with staff from the Bureau of Casino Compliance, have conducted numerous interviews and have begun to present recommendations to fill these positions.

Understanding the length of time

necessary for selected candidates to be fully vetted

through the background investigation process, we are

asking the Board to consider a motion delegating

authority to the Personnel Committee to effectuate the

hiring of these individuals as they complete the

background process. This delegation of authority is

with the understanding that approval of hire would be

contingent upon the candidate's successful completion
of the background investigation and with the
understanding that the Board will ratify those hires
at future public Board meetings. We believe this
delegation of authority will allow us to make the most
efficient use of time in order to ensure that the
Bureau of Casino Compliance is in the best possible
position to support the regulation of table games.

CHAIRMAN:

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board?

If not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, to assure the full and adequate staffing and training of Board personnel in casinos prior to the advent of table games, I move that the Board delegate authority to the Board's Personnel Committee to effectuate the hiring of Casino Compliance representatives upon completion of their investigation and subject to ratification by the full Board at the first meeting following their hiring.

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

3 Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes. Thank you, Claire. We'll now have our Budget Director, Dave Rhen, do his presentation.

MR. RHEN:

Good afternoon. I'm here to report on budget results through the end of January. Through this period, Board expenditures totaled \$15.9 million. This was divided between personnel with \$13.1 million in expenses and operating with \$2.8 million.

January expenditures totaled \$2.3 million, including personnel expenses of \$1.9 million and operating expenditures of \$403,000. The largest operating expenditure by category for the month was \$196,000 for rentals and leases of real estate, vehicles and office equipment and \$112,000 for services.

The largest operating expenditures to date include \$1,249,000 for rentals and leases, \$465,000 for services, \$375,000 for other operating expenses and \$313,000 for telecommunications. That

concludes my remarks.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

Okay. Any questions or comments from the Thank you very much, Dave. Board?

MR. RHEN:

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Moving right along, next up is our Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good afternoon, Chairman and members of the Board. The first matter to be presented to the Board relates to an authorization to approve ---.

CHAIRMAN:

Doug, is your mic --- the green light should come on. There you go.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The first matter to be presented to the Board relates to authorization to approve a temporary reduction in the number of slot machines. The Gaming Act, as recently amended, to authorize tables games to be operated at the Commonwealth's licensed facilities, contemplates that renovations, remodeling and changes to the floor plans will be required by the licensed 24 facilities in order to make room for the anticipated

table games on the existing gaming floors.

Section 1207 of the Act explicitly provides at Subsection 20 that the Board shall have the power and its duty shall be to approve or authorize an employee of the Board to approve a temporary reduction in the number of slot machines in operation at the licensed facility for the duration of any renovation, remodeling or modification in an area of the licensed facility where slot machines are located, and in addition, to enable the licensed facility to respond to any emergencies which may arise.

To this extent, the Board has already received one such request to temporarily reduce the number of machines, and that's by Mohegan Sun at Pocono Downs. In the case of the Mohegan request, the Licensee has proposed temporarily removing 325 machines from the floor during the first stage while it renovates and remodels one area of the main floor and its high limit area. They are also seeking to remove some machines in the trackside portion of the casino.

Most important about these requests and any that will follow is that the Licensee will be required to reinstall those machines upon the

completion of the remodeling or other modifications

such that the number of slot machines at the licensed

facility when table games commence will be the same as

that as of October 1st, 2009. In other words, if the

slot machines are moved at this point in time to make

space for table games, they must be reinstalled within

the facility at a subsequent date so that there's not

a net loss of machines.

A component of the review by Board staff would be to assure that the removal is indeed temporary, that appropriate security of the machines is provided for while not in use and that a plan is in place to reinstall the machines to assure the availability and continued revenues.

To this end, I suggest that a motion would be appropriate for the Board to authorize the Executive Director to receive and approve the request for the temporary reductions in the number of slot machines at a licensed facility, either for the duration of any renovation, remodeling or modification or in order to respond to an emergency.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

I've got one quick question. I just want to make sure --- it's a detail I wasn't fully aware of, and that is, did you just say that this will be temporary as defined by they can't start table games until all those slot machines are back; is that right, because you said it was on the day of?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

There may be some overlap. If I led you to that impression, it's --- we need to make sure that they will be reinstalled in a finite time period.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Finite, but not necessary absolutely on the day on which table games would start?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

That's what I thought, but that's not what I thought I heard. Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

And I apologize if I misspoke.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions? Could I have a 25 motion, please?

1 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 2 Mr. Chairman, with that clarification, 3 then I move that the Board approve the delegation of authority to the Executive Director to approve temporary modifications to a facility's gaming floor 6 for the purpose of accommodating table games, related construction activities as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC). 9 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 16

17 CHAIRMAN:

19

23

18 Motion passes. Thank you, Doug.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Our next matter relates to temporary

21 regulations, which Director of Regulatory Review

22 Richard Sandusky will address.

CHAIRMAN:

Welcome, Richard.

MR. SANDUSKY:

Good afternoon. What we have before the Board today is Temporary Regulation Number 125-112. And rather than read all 214 pages for the Board today, I'll just simply hit a couple of highlights of what's in this package.

CHAIRMAN:

1.3

Richard, in this age of transparency, I think you ought to read all 212 pages.

MR. SANDUSKY:

What this regulation does is add a couple of new provisions to Chapter 521, which was in one of the earlier chapters adopted at the last meeting.

These two provisions in 521.4 will add a process whereby slot machine Licensees who become certificate holders will have a mechanism that they can file a request with the Board with the Executive Director for the introduction of a new game or new feature to an existing game that is covered in our regulations.

We realize that gaming is becoming a very competitive environment here on the east coast, and as they want to respond to the desires and popularity of certain games, there needs to be a process whereby they can bring these games on board prior to the Board actually developing regulations. So, under this mechanism, they'll be able to make that written filing

with the Executive Director, provide information related to the rules and where else those games are being used or those features are being used, and the Executive Director would have the authority to authorize the use of that.

Additionally and similar to that, for the games that we have developed regulations and as we roll out regulations for internal controls, which are the operating procedures that the casinos must follow, we are also adding a provision, Section 521.5, which would allow them to request waivers of particular requirements. These provisions are virtually identical to existing waiver provisions that we have in Chapters 465(a) and 461(a), which allow the Board to review petitions from a slot machine Licensee where they may decide, through the implementation of new technology, there may be a better way of doing what we've required them to do in the regulations.

Also, important for the Licensees in this rulemaking is Chapter 527, which has the training requirements related to dealers. In essence, dealers will have to receive training either at a gaming school or at one of the licensed facilities or will have to show that they have at least six months' experience within the last five years in another

gaming jurisdiction. Also as part of this chapter, we have specified the minimum hours of training that need to be provided for each specific game and we have also included a list of items that each slot machine

Licensee will have to include in their in-house training programs related to procedures such as opening tables, how wagers are handled, transportation of chips and cards to tables and back to the cage and whatnot.

The rest of the rulemaking consists of Chapter 553 related to poker. And I'm using poker in the terms of the non-banked games, which are the games where individuals will play against each other and the house will simply take a rake to cover its costs.

Included in our regulations for poker, we have five of the most popular games: seven card stud poker, hold 'em poker, Omaha poker, five card draw and five card stud. Also included in this rulemaking in the six additional chapters are the regulations which cover the banked poker games. These are the ones where the players will be playing against the house. And included in this batch are Caribbean stud poker, four card poker, let it ride poker, Pai Gow poker, Texas hold 'em bonus poker and three card poker.

Through the publication and adoption of

these, we believe the slot machine Licensees will be 1 able to solidify their training programs and begin the 3 actual training of individuals on the games that they will be offering to the public. If there are any questions, I'll be happy to respond to them. Otherwise, we'd ask for a motion to adopt this temporary regulation.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, just a point of

clarification. 12

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Make sure your light's on.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Oh. Point of clarification I guess not so much on the regulation itself, but the philosophy that you've been using in terms of the games themselves. I think there was some discussion as to for the patron, what is it that the patron can expect with these games as it relates to other jurisdictions?

MR. SANDUSKY:

What we've tried to do --- and we've 24 looked particularly at neighboring jurisdictions, such as New Jersey, West Virginia and New York. We've

looked at the rules that they use governing the play of their games. And what we have done is made the rules for Pennsylvania identical in some cases and with one of two maybe small changes that we've made to address things to make the games a little less complicated.

So, from a player's perspective, when you walk into a casino in Pennsylvania, you're not going to be confronted with a totally different version of a game that you're used to playing in New Jersey or perhaps in West Virginia. The rules will be very, very similar. Additionally, in future rulemakings, we will be doing chapters that will require that all of the casinos make the rules for their games available in brochures for the players, but also posted electronically so that before you go to the casino, you're going to be able to look at the rules for Texas hold 'em poker at the Parx Casino and know exactly what it is before you walk in the door.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Sojka?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Just a quick couple of questions. At the

last meeting, we approved a very large volume of proposed rules and approaches. This is also a very large section. Do you anticipate that this continues for a while, or are we near the end of this?

MR. SANDUSKY:

You will be seeing more temporary regulations at practically every meeting for the next few months.

Most importantly, the next things you will be seeing are regulations related to equipment requirements, related to cards, chips and whatnot. And very, very importantly, what we're deep into right now are the regulations for the internal controls.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Good.

MR. SANDUSKY:

And those are essential.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

What I'm really getting at is, in your opinion, do you think there's any likelihood that failure to get all the regulations promulgated and passed is going be a bottleneck in getting table games up and running, or will you stay ahead of other things?

MR. SANDUSKY:

That's our hope and intention, to try and stay ahead of things. We've sequenced what we're bringing to the Board in a manner that we think best matches the casinos' needs in terms of what do I need to know this month, what do I need to know next month, what do I need to know, you know, further down. We've tried to coordinate how we've rolled out the regulations to match their needs so that, you know, they will be in a good position to implement table games as quickly as they desire.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Thank you.

2.4

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Coy?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I just want to make a point that it's good that the legislature, in the passage of the Act, saw the wisdom in granting the Board the authority to promulgate temporary regulations.

Otherwise, we'd be waiting for permanent regs to do all of this, and certainly the industry would be stymied by that procrastination. So, I think the temporary rulemaking authority delegated by the legislature to the Board is now proven to be a good thing.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Ginty?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

And I'd like to make another point. The staff has, in fact, been talking with the industry as you've been going through this process.

MR. SANDUSKY:

And we expect that dialogue to be ongoing. I would note today we have just received comments on the temporary regulation packages that we did at the last public meeting. Our intent is to be taking a look at those, and you may see some tweaks to the earlier rulemakings based on those comments.

CHAIRMAN:

Richard, can you --- I have a couple questions, but before I get to those, can you give us an overview on what the interaction has been with Labor and Industry and the Department of Education on the regulation of table game dealer instruction?

MR. SANDUSKY:

Right. As most people are probably aware, but I'll state for the record, Act 1 does have some requirements in it that give a role to the Department of Education and L&I in terms of developing minimum training requirements. We have been working

closely with them over the last several weeks to help
develop a coordinated set of minimum requirements.

What are contained in our regulations today are things
that we have discussed and shared with L&I and the

Department of Education and which they are in
agreement with. Additionally, we do expect quite soon
after these regulations are adopted, you will see L&I
come out with their minimum guidelines that they're
required to do under the Act.

CHAIRMAN:

2.0

Two quick questions. You had mentioned that instructions are going to be required on the website and also in booklet forms as to the operation of table games in the Pennsylvania casinos. Is that requirement necessary before any casino opens for table games, or is that left unstated as of now?

MR. SANDUSKY:

We haven't written that regulation yet.

It will probably be in either the general provisions or the internal control chapters. It's our intention to require that those rules and publications be available prior to. This way people will be able to look at that. We're not going to give them a fixed time period, at least 30 days prior or 90 days prior, because that would be unfair for us to constrain, you

know, their opening date based on that. But that is something that we believe the public should have available to it prior to table games starting at any facility.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. And my last question, say for the sake of argument that table games get up and running in Pennsylvania in the July, August time frame. At that time, how many traditional table games and poker games will we have provided temporary regulations for?

MR. SANDUSKY:

I haven't counted, but we've probably got about 16 games. We were careful in looking at the jurisdictions surrounding us to make sure that our casinos would be on a good competitive footing with what's offered in neighboring states. However, we are looking at --- as the slot machine Licensees file their petitions to become a certificate holder, we're looking at the games they want to offer. For example, one of the initial petitions we got had a request for Spanish 21, which is a form of blackjack. We were not initially going to include that in the first rollout, but in light of the request from the casino, we are working on those regs and you'll probably see those at the next meeting.

Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Richard.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Okay. Next, the Board has two petitions before it for consideration. They're both scheduled to be considered upon the documentary record. In each case, the Board has been provided with the petition, the responsive pleading of Enforcement Counsel, any additional pleadings or briefs as well as the supporting evidentiary materials. All parties have 12 been notified that the Board is considering their matter today, and both parties, I see in the back, do have representatives present to answer any questions which the Board may have.

The first petition is that of Greenwood Gaming, and it's a Petition for Waiver of the Cashiers' Main Cage, Circulation and Count Room Area Access Requirements. Greenwood is requesting a waiver of the current regulatory requirement which requires that all cashier cage double door entry and exit systems must have the second door controlled by the cashiers' cage personnel. Greenwood is requesting that the second door be controlled by Greenwood's surveillance department. And they submit that this is

a safer and more secure method of controlling the 1 2 second door. Additionally, the Board currently has a regulation in the proposed stage which would permit 3 exactly what Greenwood is proposing now. 5 The Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) has not objected to the relief requested provided that Greenwood submits and receives approval of any required amendments to its internal controls and any physical changes requested be approved by the Bureau 10 of Operations and the Board. 11 Based upon the documentary presented, it 12 would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion to grant the waiver. 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Any questions or comments from the Board?

If not, could I have a motion, please? 16

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

18 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant 19 Greenwood Gaming's Petition as described by the OCC.

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 2.4

17

20

21

22

23

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

2 NO RESPONSE

1

3

4

5

6

19

21

22

23

2.4

25

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The second petition before the Board is that of Washington Trotting Association's Petition for Approval of a Revised Gaming Floor Plan. After seven months of operation in their permanent facility, WTA 10 has averred that market conditions dictate a reduction in the number of machines on their gaming floor. 11 They've indicated that they believe the gaming floor 12 13 will operate more efficiently with the reduced number of slot machines and that the removal of several 14 15 leased machines will also significantly reduce operating expenses. In total, it is requested that 16 17 WTA be allowed a 2.98 percent reduction in machines, 18 which is 111 machines in their case. Additionally, the gaming floor would be reduced by 523 square feet 20 or .39 percent.

The second portion of the WTA request is that the Board seal the submitted gaming floor plans because they contain confidential security information.

OEC has no objection to the relief

requested by WTA, but does recommend that certain

conditions be put in place requiring the submission

and approval of internal control amendments and a

revised slot machine master list, written confirmation

from the Department of Revenue that all machines are

connected to the central control computer system, and

confirmation of adequate video surveillance as well as

approval of any amendments to the Compulsive and

Problem Gambling Plan and confirmation that all fire,

panic and building code requirements have been met.

With that explanation, Counsel is present if there are any questions. Otherwise, the matter is ready for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Any questions or comments from the Board? COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

One quick one and it's just from memory, but it strikes me that this particular casino is one of the ones that's had fairly high levels of play per machine. To drop the number of machines, what will this do to that, and will it in any way inconvenience customers that are interested?

ATTORNEY JONES:

First, good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and Board members. Marie Jones from Fox Rothschild here on behalf of Washington Trotting Association.

2 In answer to your question, currently, at 3 the peak time, there is only approximately 60 percent of the machines being utilized. Since opening the permanent facility, their maximum amount that has been utilized has been 85 percent. In fact, the win per day per unit since July of '09 has decreased by about \$100. WTA is looking to do this to make their facility more in line with the other properties. They 10 do have the highest number of machines in the 11 Commonwealth at this point. They are looking at other 12 ways, too, to revise their floor. Again, this is 13 unrelated to table games. I may be back before you 14 with respect to some revisions to the high limit areas 15 and some additional reductions. But again, this is to 16 save them money and to better utilize the space on 17 their floors.

CHAIRMAN:

Other questions? Could I have a motion,

20 please?

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of the Washington Trotting Association as described by the OCC.

COMMISSIONER COY:

35

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

3 All in favor?

AYES RESPOND 4

1

2

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Deputy Chief Counsel Steve Cook will be presenting the remainder of the OCC's portion.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. First would be Withdrawals. The Board has received one unopposed Petition to Withdraw Vendor 21 Application for the Kehm Oil Company. As indicated, this petition was filed and is unopposed to by the 23 OEC, and as a result, if the Board is inclined to grant the Withdrawal, it would be without prejudice. 24 25 This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue Orders approving the Withdrawal or Surrender of the license as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

14 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

21

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

17 NO RESPONSE

18 CHAIRMAN:

19 Motion passes.

20 ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration are four Reports and Recommendations received by the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) relative to 24 | Non-Gaming Employee Registrations. These reports and 25 recommendations, along with the evidentiary record for each hearing, have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. Additionally, in each case, the person involved has been notified that the Board is considering their Report and Recommendation today and that they have the right to be present to briefly address the Board. If any of these individuals are present today, I would ask that they come forward when their name is announced.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Peter Clark. Mr. Clark submitted an application to work as an EVS attendant, basically a janitorial position, at Harrah's Chester Casino. On November 23rd, 2009, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial upon Mr. Clark for failure to disclose his entire criminal history as well as the nature of that history.

Mr. Clark requested a hearing, and both he and the OEC appeared and presented testimony and evidence before a Hearing Officer of the Board on December 29th, 2009. Part of the presentation in the matter by Mr. Clark provided mitigating evidence explaining Mr. Clark's past criminal conduct, while additional evidence was also provided by Mr. Clark going to the unlikelihood that he would be involved in criminal conduct going forward.

The OEC presented evidence as to the
nature and recency of Mr. Clark's criminal
convictions, including the fact that his last arrest
occurred as recently as July 2008. Upon hearing all
of the evidence presented in this matter, the Hearing
Officer issued a Report and Recommendation
recommending that Mr. Clark be approved for a NonGaming Registration.

This matter is now ripe for the Board's consideration, having had an opportunity to review the Report and Recommendation and the underlying evidence.

CHAIRMAN:

Is Mr. Clark present? Okay. Questions and comments from the Board, please?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I'm going to move that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Peter Clark as described by the OCC, and I would like to set forth my reasons for doing that. I'm not sure what the procedure is here. Probably needs a second.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

I would be willing to second that, Mr. Ginty, with the understanding that this will lead then to further open discussion on this issue.

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

1

2

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Let me make a couple of points here. 3 First of all, the Hearing Examiner, you know, did recommend that Mr. Clark's application be granted. Unlike a number of applicants that we deal with here, Mr. Clark not only appeared before the Hearing Examiner, but he also brought with him a character witness, his case manager, who testified in support of his application.

I make my remarks in the context of a serious problem that in my hometown of Philadelphia 12 has been identified by our Mayor as an issue that we have to overcome, and that's one of recidivism. Wе have a number of people that have had problems with the law for one reason or another, and if they have trouble getting work when they come out and have served their time, paid their debt to society, and they can't find work, the percentage of them that go right back to criminal behavior is very high. think particularly as we get closer to bringing two 21 Philadelphia casinos online, it's an issue that we have to understand and deal with. Under the Act, we do have an obligation to provide and encourage employment in this state. My position would be employment for everybody.

Mr. Clark had some chemical dependency 1 2 issues as well as a --- some traumatic injury that 3 occurred back in 2002, not treated until January of '09, which may explain some of his criminal conduct. I am relying very heavily on the Hearing Examiner's Opinion, and I would like to read portions of that. And Steve, it's not strictly in accordance with what Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement's (BIE) case may have been. And so if the Hearing Examiner was 10 wrong on his view of what BIE was arguing, we should correct the record. 11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Examiner's report. Based upon Applicant's testimony and my close observations of Applicant's demeanor during the course of the hearing, I find that Applicant has been truthful and forthright with the Board. OEC concurs that this case does not present an issue of nondisclosure. The Hearing Examiner goes on to state, as to the merits of OEC's claim, we must review OEC's denial recommendation, which is based solely on Applicant's three criminal convictions. Specifically, Applicant has three convictions occurring approximately over nine and four years respectively.

I won't continue with this, but he does

point out that during that time, Applicant was
suffering from the effects of a traumatic brain
injury. He finds that there was clear and convincing
testimony of Applicant concerning his commitment to a
successful rehabilitation, present qualifications and
suitability, and that these convictions do not
represent the current character traits of Applicant.
He concludes, Applicant presented compelling evidence
of rehabilitation.

There is a letter in here from the psychologist who is treating Mr. Clark that asks, please consider Mr. Clark as stable, motivated and committed to his rehabilitation and healthy lifestyle, which includes gainful employment. He has a commitment to a clean and sober lifestyle and has participated fully with all aspects of his treatment program. I would also point out that he has been licensed by the Pennsylvania State Racing Commission. And this is a janitorial job. As the Hearing Examiner finds, Mr. Clark will not be coming in contact, you know, closely with the people who frequent the casino.

And again, I go back to, you know, at some point, we are going to have to address, you know, the issue of recidivism. I think the record here and the findings of the Hearing Examiner, you know,

support the basis that Mr. Clark is rehabilitated and that he's entitled to an opportunity to work as anybody else.

CHAIRMAN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Commissioner. Commissioner Sojka?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

I would first of all like to assure Yes. Mr. Ginty that the concern of recidivism does not fall on deaf ears. This is a problem that I'm aware we have. I'm also fully aware that one of the reasons that gaming has come into this state was to provide work opportunities. I'm also very sympathetic with Mr. Clark's efforts at rehabilitation. You know, I think that's probably --- and I will emphasize that, probably a step in the right direction.

I'm not in a position to comment about whether or not his alleged, you know, brain injury resulted in his previous criminal behavior. But I come back to the straightforward point that this is a 21 highly regulated and highly scrutinized industry, and we as a Board are charged specifically with protecting the interests of the citizens of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. And I would disagree, Mr. Ginty, with the comment that because Mr. Clark is seeking a

janitorial position, that he is in some way not in 1 contact with that public that we are charged to protect. We have cases in our own records of persons 3 in janitorial jobs who've been accused of theft and deception of one kind or another. They are in physical contact or could be in physical contact as they work around the gaming floor with patrons going on and off the floor. They empty ashtrays, things of that sort.

10 So, in this case, because of the nature of this industry, if we're going to err, I would err 11 12 on the side of protecting the public, wishing Mr. 13 Clark no disadvantage, encouraging him to continue to, 14 you know, find a new lifestyle, but perhaps get 15 employment in an industry less carefully regulated at least for a long enough period of time that we could 16 17 be a bit more certain about the nature of his rehabilitation. 18

CHAIRMAN:

19

22

23

20 Any other questions or comments? 21 Commissioner Trujillo?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Two issues. One is I also certainly 24 believe that we need to give due deference to the 25 findings --- the factual findings of the Hearing

1 Examiner, and I have no doubt about the sincerity of Mr. Clark, and so I don't doubt --- or I don't really quibble with the factual findings.

I, like Commissioner Sojka, am troubled by the notion that somebody who has this number of convictions --- we have an individual who has much more of a history of theft and of being convicted than of good work history. And so for me, it's relatively easy --- even though I, too, would like to see him employed, and I applaud all of his efforts. Because the economic development components of the Act are secondary to our primary goal, which is to protect the public in the implementation of gaming, I think that it's incumbent on us to deny him the ability to work in a casino.

CHAIRMAN:

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any other comments from Commissioners? Given that there's an apparent disagreement on the Board, I'll ask our Board Secretary, Mickey Kane, to do a roll call. And just to be clear, the motion on the floor and the motion that was seconded was to move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation, i.e., allow the licensure of Mr. Clark as recommended by the OHA. So, a yes vote will be to allow Mr. Clark to be hired. A no vote will deny that

			45
1	ability. Die	d I state that correctly, Counsel?	
2		ATTORNEY COOK:	
3		That's correct.	
4		CHAIRMAN:	
5		Please proceed with the roll call vote.	
6		MS. KANE:	
7		Commissioner Angeli?	
8		COMMISSIONER ANGELI:	
9		No.	
10		MS. KANE:	
11		Commissioner Coy?	
12		COMMISSIONER COY:	
13		No.	
14		MS. KANE:	
15		Commissioner Ginty?	
16		COMMISSIONER GINTY:	
17		Yes.	
18		MS. KANE:	
19		Commissioner McCabe?	
20		ATTORNEY COOK:	
21		Ken?	
22		COMMISSIONER MCCABE:	
23		I couldn't hear her call me.	
24		ATTORNEY COOK:	
25		You're being called right now for your	

vote. A yes vote would approve his licensure and a no vote would be against his licensure.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

I listened to the arguments, and I have to say nay.

MS. KANE:

Commissioner Sojka?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MS. KANE:

Commissioner Trujillo?

COMMISSION TRUJILLO:

No.

MS. KANE:

Chairman Fajt?

CHAIRMAN:

The qualified majority has voted to reject the Report and Recommendation, and the motion fails. Next up.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration is a Report and Recommendation pertaining to Marybeth 23 Ehrhart. Ms. Ehrhart was issued a Non-Gaming Employee 24 Registration on June 16th, 2009 and was employed as a 25 sous chef at The Rivers Casino.

The BIE was notified by the Pennsylvania State Police that Ms. Ehrhart was charged on September 29th, 2009 with felony theft by unlawful taking and receiving stolen property. In the charging documents, it is alleged that Ms. Ehrhart, during her former employment as a manager of a Golden Corral restaurant, stole \$2,500 in cash from the restaurant's safe.

1.3

As a result of these charges, the OEC filed a request for an Emergency Order of Suspension of Ms. Ehrhart's Non-Gaming Employee Registration. Or October 30th, 2009, the Order was signed by the Executive Director. On November 4th, 2009, the Board referred this matter to the OHA to conduct a full evidentiary hearing and submit a Report and Recommendation on the Order of Emergency Suspension. Ms. Ehrhart attended the November 13th hearing and presented testimony.

After the hearing before a Hearing
Officer of the Board, Ms. Ehrhart had her preliminary
hearing, at which time felony charges were reduced to
misdemeanors and she was given the opportunity to
enter the Accelerated Rehabilitative Disposition or
ARD Program in Fayette County. Once she has completed
the ARD Program, the criminal charges will be
withdrawn and she will not have a criminal record.

As a result of this outcome, Ms. Ehrhart requested that the record in her matter before the PGCB be reopened and that she be allowed to provide additional testimony. This was done on December 21st, 2009. The Hearing Officer thereafter issued a Report and Recommendation recommending that her Emergency Suspension be rescinded.

The OEC has filed exceptions to the Report and Recommendation, arguing that Ms. Ehrhart's conduct, admissions to that conduct and the pending disposition of her charges render her unsuitable to hold Non-Gaming Registration.

Based upon the record and the nature of the crimes alleged as well as the fact that Ms.

Ehrhart has not yet completed her ARD, the OCC recommends that the Board consider a motion denying the Report and Recommendation and continuing the suspension of Ms. Ehrhart's Registration.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to reject the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration

of Marybeth Ehrhart as described by the OCC.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Kyle Haisch is the subject of the next Report and Recommendation before the Board. Mr.

15 Haisch was issued a Non-Gaming Employee Registration

16 on April 14th, 2008 to work as a busser at the Mount

17 Airy Casino Resort. Mount Airy terminated Mr. Haisch

18 on July 30th, 2008.

Subsequently, on November 30th, 2008, Mr.

20 Haisch, who was 18 years old at the time, was arrested

21 on 12 felony counts and 6 misdemeanors related to an

22 alleged sexual assault upon a minor female. After his

23 preliminary hearing on January 29th, 2009, five felony

24 and four misdemeanor charges were bound over for trial

25 with the remaining charges dismissed.

As a result of these charges, 1 2 notwithstanding that Mr. Haisch had not been employed 3 by a Pennsylvania casino since July 30th, 2008, the OEC filed an enforcement action seeking revocation of his registration based upon the nature of Mr. Haisch's charges and his failure to inform the Board of same. The OHA scheduled a hearing for this matter on December 22nd, 2009. Despite being served with notice, neither Mr. Haisch nor his attorney appeared 10 at the hearing, which was conducted in his absence. 11 After hearing the evidence, the Hearing Officer concluded that due to Mr. Haisch's arrest and 12 pending charges and his failure to notify the Board of 13 14 same, that his registration should be revoked. 15 OCC concurs with the Hearing Officer and recommends that the Board adopt the Report and Recommendation and 16 17 revoke Mr. Haisch's Gaming --- or I'm sorry, Non-Gaming Registration. 18 19 This matter is now ripe for the Board's 2.0 consideration. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Thank you. Any questions or comments 23 from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, 24 please?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

25

51

```
Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board
1
2
   issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation
3
   of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee
   Registration of Kyle Haisch as just described by the
   OCC.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Second?
8
                 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:
9
                 Second.
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 All in favor?
11
  AYES RESPOND
12
13
                 CHAIRMAN:
14
                 Opposed?
15
  NO RESPONSE
16
                 CHAIRMAN:
17
                 The motion passes.
18
                 ATTORNEY COOK:
19
                 The final Report and Recommendation which
20
  was to be heard by the Board today is that of Jason
21 Schwab. However, in preparing for this meeting, the
```

20 was to be heard by the Board today is that of Jason
21 Schwab. However, in preparing for this meeting, the
22 OCC came into some information which appears to
23 indicate that Mr. Schwab has been arrested on a
24 criminal charge, and in fact, may have entered a
25 guilty plea at this point. As a result of that

information, we think it would be appropriate that the matter be referred back to the OHA to reopen the record.

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Steve. So noted. Thank you, Doug.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

That concludes our report.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Next up is Susan Hensel, our Director of Licensing. Welcome, Susan.

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you, Chairman Fajt and members of the Board. The first matters for your consideration are renewals of Manufacturer Licenses for Ainsworth Game Technology Limited, Aruze Corporation, which is now doing business as Universal Entertainment Corporation, and Atlantic City Coin and Slots Service Company.

The BIE has completed its investigation 21 and the Bureau of Licensing has provided you with renewal background investigation and suitability reports for each of these Licensees. No issues were identified by either the BIE or Licensing that would preclude renewal of these Manufacturer Licenses.

53 1 have provided you with draft Orders and ask that the Board consider the renewal of each license separately, 3 beginning with Ainsworth Game Technology Limited. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Any questions or comments from Enforcement Counsel? ATTORNEY PITRE: No comments. We join in the approval of 8 these licenses. 10 CHAIRMAN: Make sure that mic's on. 11 12 ATTORNEY PITRE: 13 No comments, but we join in the request 14 for the approval of these applications. 15 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any questions or comments 16 17 from the Board? Could I have a motion, please? 18 COMMISSIONER ANGELI: 19 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve 20 the Manufacturer License of Ainsworth Game Technology 21 Limited as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 22 COMMISSIONER COY: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 All in favor?

		54	
1	AYES RESPOND		
2	CHAIRMAN:		
3	Opposed?		
4	NO RESPONSE		
5	CHAIRMAN:		
6	Motion passes.		
7	MS. HENSEL:		
8	Next would be Aruze Corporation, also		
9	known as Universal Entertainment Corporation.		
10	CHAIRMAN:		
11	Any questions or comments from		
12	Enforcement Counsel?		
13	ATTORNEY PITRE:		
14	Request approval.		
15	<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>		
16	Thank you. Comments from the Board?		
17	Could I have a motion, please?		
18	COMMISSIONER COY:		
19	Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve		
20	the Manufacturer License of Aruze Corporation as		
21	described by the Bureau of Licensing.		
22	CHAIRMAN:		
23	Second?		
24	COMMISSIONER GINTY:		
25	Second.		
			ĺ

	55
1	CHAIRMAN:
2	All in favor?
3	AYES RESPOND
4	CHAIRMAN:
5	Opposed?
6	NO RESPONSE
7	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
8	Motion passes.
9	MS. HENSEL:
10	And finally, Atlantic City Coin and Slot
11	Service Company.
12	ATTORNEY PITRE:
13	And we'd also recommend approval of that
14	application.
15	CHAIRMAN:
16	Thank you. Questions from the Board?
17	Could I have a motion, please?
18	COMMISSIONER GINTY:
19	Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
20	approve the Manufacturer License of Atlantic City Coin
21	and Service Company as described by the Bureau of
22	Licensing.
23	COMMISSIONER COY:
24	Second.
25	CHAIRMAN:

56 All in favor? 1 AYES RESPOND 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 4 NO RESPONSE 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Motion passes. 8 MS. HENSEL: 9 The next matter for your consideration is 10 the issuance of temporary licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an 11 Order regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for 12 13 2 Principals and 47 Key Employees. I ask that the 14 Board consider the Order approving these licenses. 15 ATTORNEY PITRE: No objection. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: 18 Thank you. Questions from the Board? 19 Could I have a motion, please? 20 COMMISSIONER COY: 21 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an 22 Order approving the issuance of the Temporary 23 Principal and Key Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 24

CHAIRMAN:

25

57 Second? 1 2 COMMISIONER SOJKA: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 6 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? 8 NO RESPONSE 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Motion passes. 12 MS. HENSEL: 13 Next are Gaming and Non-Gaming Permits 14 and Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau 15 of Licensing provided you with a list of 126 individuals, including 55 initial and 71 renewals, who 16 17 the Bureau has granted occupation permits to, and 76 18 individuals who the Bureau has granted registrations 19 to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of 20 Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion 21 approving the Order. 22 ATTORNEY PITRE: 23 No objection. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Thank you. Comments from the Board?

Could I have a motion, please?

2 COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Issuance of Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

8 Second?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

10 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

13 AYES RESPOND

1

3

6

9

11

12

25

14 CHAIRMAN:

15 Opposed?

16 NO RESPONSE

17 CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

In addition, we have recommendations of
denial for two Gaming and one Non-Gaming Employees.

Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing
provided you with Orders addressing these applicants
who the BIE has recommended for denial. In each case,

the applicant failed to request a hearing within the

59 1 specified time period. I ask that the Board consider the Order denying the Gaming and Non-Gaming 3 applicants. ATTORNEY PITRE: 4 5 We'd recommend that the applicants be denied also. CHAIRMAN: 8 Questions from the Board? Could I have a motion, please? 10 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 11 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 12 Orders to deny the Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of 13 14 Licensing. 15 COMMISSIONER ANGELI: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 19 AYES RESPOND 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? 22 NO RESPONSE 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 Motion passes. 25 MS. HENSEL:

We also have a Proposed Order denying the 1 2 Gaming Service Provider Certification Application of PMT Contracting Company, Inc. and Maurice Abdalla, a 3 qualifier of PMT Contracting. I should point out that Gaming Service Provider is the new designation under the amended Gaming Act for what was known as vendors. PMT Contracting Company, Inc. and Mr. Abdalla failed to request a hearing despite being advised by the OEC that their applications would be recommended for 10 denial. I ask that the Board consider the Order denying the applications of PMT Contracting Company, 11 Inc. and Maurice Abdalla. 12 13 ATTORNEY PITRE: 14 OEC would recommend denial of those 15 applications. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Questions from the Board? Could I have a motion, please? 18 19 COMMISSIONER ANGELI: 20 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the denial of the Vendor 21 22 Application as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 23 COMMISSIONER COY: 24 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN:

61

All in favor?
2 AYES RESPOND

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

Next we have Withdrawal Requests for Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case, the Occupation Permit Registration is no longer required due to such circumstances as the employee accepting a job with a different employer or the job offer being rescinded. For today's meeting, I have provided the Board with a list of 11 Withdrawals for approval and I ask that the Board consider the Order approving these Withdrawals.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Comments from the Board? If not, a

22 motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue

25 Orders approving the withdrawal of Gaming Permits and

Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the 1 2 Bureau of Licensing. 3 CHAIRMAN: Second? Is there a second? 4 5 COMMISSIONER GINTY: 6 Second. CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 8 AYES RESPOND 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 Opposed? 12 NO RESPONSE 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Motion passes. 15 MS. HENSEL: 16 Finally, there is an Order to declare as 17 abandoned Upper Room, Inc., which is doing business as 18 G-Zus Wear's, Gaming Service Provider Registration Application. Records indicate that this Gaming 19 20 Service Provider ---. That's G, dash, Z-U-S, Wear. 21 Records indicate that this Gaming Service Provider 22 | filed an application even though it received 23 compensation below the threshold requiring it to file. 24 After filing its application, the Gaming Service 25 Provider failed to submit required documentation after

1 being given notice of the deficiencies and an 2 opportunity to cure the deficiencies. Under our 3 regulations, the Board has the authority to declare an application abandoned if the applicant fails to provide information necessary to cure application deficiencies. I ask that the Board consider the Order declaring Upper Room, Inc.'s application abandoned. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Enforcement Counsel? 10 ATTORNEY PITRE: 11 I'm fine with it. 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Okay. Commissioner Coy notes that this 14 is Ash Wednesday, so how appropriate that we entertain 15 this or not. 16 COMMISSIONER COY: 17 Not necessarily. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Right. Any questions from the Board? 20 Could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

21

22

24

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 23 an Order to approve the abandonment of Upper Room, Inc.'s Vendor Registration Application as described by 25 the Bureau of Licensing.

			64
1	<u>(</u>	CHAIRMAN:	
2	2	Second?	
3	<u>(</u>	COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:	
4	3	Second.	
5	<u>(</u>	CHAIRMAN:	
6		All in favor?	
7	AYES RESPOND		
8	<u>(</u>	CHAIRMAN:	
9		Opposed?	
10	NO RESPONSE		
11	<u>(</u>	CHAIRMAN:	
12	1	Motion passes.	
13	<u>1</u>	MS. HENSEL:	
14		Thank you. That concludes the Bureau of	f
15	Licensing's pr	resentation.	
16	<u>(</u>	CHAIRMAN:	
17		Thank you, Susan. Next up is our	
18	Enforcement Co	ounsel, Cyrus Pitre, and his staff.	
19	<u> </u>	ATTORNEY PITRE:	
20	Ţ	We have three matters for the Board's	
21	consideration	this afternoon. The first matter	
22	involves a Cor	nsent Agreement between Washington	
23	Trotting and t	the OEC. I ask that Counsel for	
24	Washington Tro	otting please come to the table, and we	
25	can begin.		

ATTORNEY POWERS:

2.0

Chairman Fajt, members of the Board, my name is Melissa, Assistant Enforcement Counsel.

Today we have for the Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association, Incorporated, doing business as the Meadows Racetrack and Casino. The agreement pertains to a series of events that occurred between June 22nd and August 31st, 2009 wherein three patrons of the Meadows manipulated slot machines in order to claim false jackpots.

Meadows failed to follow its approved internal controls in that it improperly enabled a double up soft option on a slot machine, failed to investigate multiple jackpot variances on 15 different gaming days and paid a jackpot to a patron other than the winning patron.

Following a series of discussions between Meadows, Bureau of Casino Compliance and OEC, Meadows terminated the slot technician and the slot attendant involved in each incident. They also issued written warnings to the revenue analysts and a final written warning to the revenue audit manager involved.

Meadows' internal audit department performed a Slot Risk Assessment audit to determine

ways to improve its procedures and to ensure a similar situation does not occur in the future. They also implemented new training and procedures for the accounting, surveillance and slot departments. They also held advanced training for the revenue audit department. In addition, the parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order, Meadows shall pay civil penalty in the amount of \$48,900.

OEC recommends that the Board approve the Consent Agreement as presented today.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Commissioner Sojka?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Well, again, this is an issue that comes up over and over again. I have no quibble at all with this kind of Consent Agreement, but we seem regularly to come down to the issue of struggling with the amount that's to be paid as a kind of fine.

And I realize that there are several issues on the table here. First of all, I understand that the --- again, the citizens of Pennsylvania did not lose any tax money that was due them in this process. So, that's point number one. Two, it's clear that actions have been taken to try to eliminate

this sort of thing happening again in the future.

Penalties have been meted out to those who may have

been responsible. And I understand that this casino

has been very cooperative throughout the

investigation, but I think it might be worthwhile if

you took just a moment to tell us all just why you

came up with that number, because I could imagine

either a small or a bigger number. And I'd like to

get this to the point where these things become more

or less regularized.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

When we first started this whole regulatory facet of the Board's job, we looked at, at that time, the fines that New Jersey alleviated over its 25-plus-year history. We then took into consideration the tax rate that our Licensees would pay and adopted --- I wouldn't say a fine structure, but adopted somewhat of a formula that would alleviate the tax rate that our Licensees are paying in comparison to the tax rate the New Jersey's casinos were paying, and based upon that, came with an approximate of how much the fines should be.

Now, granted, most --- all of New Jersey's fines are pretty much on the record. Here we're just getting started. We're starting out. A

lot of the fines may be something new that's never occurred in New Jersey, but we always take into consideration --- especially with underage gaming. 3 For example, across the board in New Jersey, it's usually a \$10,000 fine, okay, for an underage gamer. But that's an underage gamer who New Jersey --- the regulators fine on the floor where there's no self-reporting and there's no, I got to say, duty to self-report those things. Here our casinos self-10 report virtually --- I always tell them it's better for you to tell us than for us to find out and you 11 didn't tell us, because if you don't tell us and we 12 13 find out, then obviously, we're going to take that 14 into consideration when coming up with a fine. But if 15 you tell us and you're forthright with it and you basically work with us every step of the way, then we 16 17 take all those mitigating circumstances into consideration when we come up with a fine. 18 19

In this instance, once it was discovered, Meadows worked with us hand in hand. They did the audit assessment. They sent us a copy of it. Okay. They provided us every piece of surveillance we requested. When we pointed certain things out to them, they were quick to take action against those employees. There were some things that they were not

20

21

22

23

24

25

even aware that occurred, but after we reviewed the information, we brought it up to them and then they reviewed it and said, you're right. And then there were some things that we thought might have occurred that they said, no, this didn't occur, this is the explanation for this, and we agreed with them.

So, this has been a back and forth situation. And I can tell you that I know it's a new jurisdiction, so we are struggling to find a happy medium with all of this, but usually if there's a lot of cooperation, there's no hiding the ball, and they're willing to make the necessary corrective ——take the necessary corrective measures, we take all that into consideration when coming up with a fine.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Well, that is helpful. And I think the issue --- I think we're both agreeing that this is a regulatory environment that is in the process of maturing and evolving. And every instance is going to be, to some extent, anecdotal. It's going to have its own special twists and turns.

But again, my hope would be --- I heard you mention, for example, a formula that you have sort of in place because, for example, taxation's lower in New Jersey, higher here. That's the first I've heard

that come into play as we talk about assessing these
fines. I guess what I would hope for eventually would
be enough, if you will, case history that if a
violation occurs, an operator might ahead of time have
a pretty good notion of just about what they're going
to owe, in other words, that it would be a
regularized, well understood process and not one where
every time we sort of come in and scratch our heads
and wonder where the number came from.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Again, we're a young jurisdiction, so that's the hope over time. I don't think we're ever going to be in a position where they're going to know the exact dollar amount, but it's not ---. I mean, we enter into these conversations with them early on, so they pretty much know what to expect. And again, these are Consent Agreements where they agree to pay this.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

They agree.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

I mean, if they disagree, then obviously, we're at a hearing.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Uh-huh (yes). Okay. Well, that's fine.

Thank you.

2

3

4

5

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

2.4

25

1

CHAIRMAN:

Any other questions?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, just following up on Commissioner Sojka's point. My view on these issues is that you really have to have not just a comparative analysis, but really a philosophy of --- kind of like a philosophy of compensation. What is it you're 10 trying to accomplish with the fines? Because as Enforcement Counsel, it's about conduct and it's about not necessarily conduct of individuals, but of entities. And so in developing --- and you're right. 14 One of the disadvantages is that we're young as a regulatory organization, but it's also an advantage, and that is that we can make our own history. And so 17 I would --- as this develops, I guess I would ask that you also give some thought --- and I know you do, but I think it's important really to be able to articulate beyond the comparative component of it the philosophy of what it is that we're trying to accomplish with the 21 22 fines. And I know it's going to take some time to do 23 that, but that's something that I think would be very useful.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The number one goal is to bring the 1 2 Licensee into compliance. That's the number one goal. 3 The fine is really an afterthought. The number one goal in the initial response is to bring the Licensee into compliance and to make sure they understand how they're not in compliance, because if they're not in compliance ---. I mean, I could fine them every day of the week, but if they don't understand what they're doing wrong or where the shortfall is, it's no way 10 that they can correct it. So, the number one goal is to bring them into compliance. 11 12 And we do that in various ways. I mean, 1.3 we also issue warning letters on a constant basis. $W \in$ 14 have compliance conferences with them. But when 15 there's something that rises to the level where I say they should know better, then the fine comes into 16 17 play. But the number one goal is to always bring them 18 into compliance. 19 ATTORNEY TRUJILLO: 20 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

21

22

23

24

25

Any other questions or comments? Could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Sure. Mr. Chairman, I move the Board

73 issue an Order approving the Consent Agreement between 1 the OEC and Washington Trotting Association as 3 described by the OEC. COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 8 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? 11 NO RESPONSE 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Motion passes. 14 ATTORNEY POWERS: 15 Thank you. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Thank you. 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 Next we have a Consent Agreement with 20 Gaming Service Provider Applicant Unity Foods. I 21 don't believe that Counsel for Unity Foods is here 22 today, but the Consent Agreement is before the Board 23 for the Board's consideration. We have Deputy Chief Barry Creany to present OEC's portion. 24 25 ATTORNEY CREANY:

Good afternoon, Chairman, Commissioners.

I spoke with Counsel for Unity Foods yesterday when I
was coming. Andrews Jenkins of Thorp, Reed &
Armstrong has represented them since September of last
year and was, for the purpose of conserving some
resources to the client, unable to make it today. But
they're in accord with the proposed Consent Agreement
we have with this vendor. It's a vending machine
company that did business with one of our Licensees in
Erie and had a very small amount of business.

In August of this year, they filed a prose withdrawal petition, and at the same time, filed to become a Registered Vendor. At that point, we filed an objection based upon two positions. One, there was costs due. Roughly \$7,300 was due as well as we had an objection regarding a concern that had not been resolved with the applicant in the background.

At that point in time, Thorp, Reed & Armstrong came in to represent Unity Foods and its three Principals, and they filed an Amended Petition which had a request for declaratory relief. In response to that, Enforcement Counsel filed a preliminarily motion relative to that request for declaratory relief, and it became a protracted type of case. We had a preliminarily conference, and at that

point in time, off the record from the Hearing

Officer, we started to talk about each party's

objective. Enforcement Counsel wanted this company

not to do business in Pennsylvania with the PGCB

Licensees, and they were willing to go that route.

But to get there, we had a proposal where they're

going to have the same sanctions as if they would be

under a restriction without having to go through

litigation to determine their suitability or, you

know, deny the application.

So, in essence, we have that agreement before you today. It has a restriction of this Licensee doing business for five years with the PGCB Licensees. They also are not allowed to file any applications for registration or for a certification, and they'll pay the costs of \$7,439. They've already wound down business with the only Licensee they did business with in the state. And upon Board's approval of the consent, they'll withdraw their pleadings, in effect, abandon their application. And at that point, we'd ask the Board to consider approval of this Consent Agreement and Stipulation of Settlement. Any questions I'd be glad to entertain as well.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

76 1 If none, could I have a motion, please? 2 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 3 I'll try. I'm going to try to understand what that was. Mr. Chairman, I will move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Unity Foods as Mr. Creany just 6 described. 8 COMMISSIONER ANGELI: 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 11 AYES RESPOND 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? 15 NO RESPONSE 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Motion passes. 18 ATTORNEY PITRE: 19 Next for the Board's consideration is a 20 Revocation of a Non-Gaming Employee Registration. 21 This is Steven Torres. If Mr. Torres is present, I 22 ask that he come forward. Katie Higgins will present OEC's portion. 23 24 CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Torres present? Please proceed.

25

ATTORNEY HIGGINS:

1

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last matter before the Board today is that of Steven Torres. On December 8th, 2009, the OEC filed a Complaint for Revocation of Mr. Torres'

Non-Gaming Registration due to his failure to maintain suitability. Mr. Torres did not respond to the complaint within 30 days, and therefore, pursuant to Board regulations, all facts alleged in the complaint are deemed admitted.

The OEC filed a request for default judgment on January 22nd, 2010, and at this time, the OEC would ask that the Board revoke Mr. Torres' Non-Gaming Registration.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

16 Could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Steven Torres as described by the OCC.

COMMISSIONER COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

	78
1	AYES RESPOND
2	CHAIRMAN:
3	Opposed?
4	NO RESPONSE
5	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
6	Motion passes. Thank you, Cyrus.
7	ATTORNEY PITRE:
8	Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN:
10	Melissa, Barry and Katie, thank you.
11	This concludes today's business. In closing, our next
12	scheduled public meeting will be held on Wednesday,
13	March 3rd in the PUC Keystone Building, Hearing Room
14	One. Any final comments from the Board? If not,
15	could I have a motion to adjourn?
16	COMMISSIONER ANGELI:
17	So moved.
18	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
19	Second?
20	COMMISSIONER COY:
21	Second.
22	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
23	Thank you very much. Thank you,
24	Commissioner McCabe. Meeting is adjourned.
25	* * * MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:20 P.M. * * *

* * * * * * *

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Fajt, was reported by me on 02/17/2010 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Court Reporter