COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

Jeffrey W. Coy, James B. Ginty, Kenneth T.

McCabe, Gary A. Sojka, Kenneth Trujillo,

Raymond S. Angeli (Via telephone),

Russell Redding, Secretary of Agriculture,

Ex-Officio Member, David Barasch Deputy

Secretary of the Department of Revenue,

Representative, Keith Welks Deputy State

Treasurer for Fiscal Operation, Ex-Officio

Designee

HEARING: Wednesday, January 27, 2010, 1:20 p.m.

LOCATION: PUC - Keystone Building, Hearing Room 1

400 North Street, Plaza Level

Harrisburg, PA 17105

WITNESSES: Kevin O'Toole, Mike Cruz, Claire Yantis,

Stacey Knavel, Richard Sandusky, Steve

Cook, Susan Hensel, Mike Roland, Wanda

Gilchrist, Barry Creany, Nan Davenport

Reporter: Cynthia Piro-Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited

without authorization by the certifying agency.

2 APPEARANCES 1 2 3 R. DOUGLAS SHERMAN, ESQUIRE CYRUS R. PITRE, ESQUIRE 5 MELISSA POWERS, ESQUIRE 6 Office of Enforcement Counsel 7 15 Mercer Hill Road Ambler, PA 19002 9 Counsel for the PA Gaming Control Board 10 11 MARIE J. JONES, ESQUIRE 12 Fox Rothschild, LLP 13 Midtown Building, Suite 400 14 1301 Atlantic Avenue 15 Atlantic City, NJ 08401-7212 Counsel for WTA 16 17 18 MICHAEL T. KILLION, ESQUIRE 19 Buchanan, Ingersoll & Rooney, PC 20 One South Market Square 21 213 Market Street, Third Floor 22 Harrisburg, PA 17101 23 Counsel for Brabender Cox 24 25

		3
1	I N D E X	5
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman Fajt	4
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney Sherman	4 - 11
7	By Mr. O'Toole	11 - 13
8	By Mr. Cruz	13 - 22
9	By Mr. Yantis	22 - 24
10	By Ms. Knavel	2 4
11	By Mr. Sandusky	25 - 31
12	By Mr. Cook	31 - 36
13	By Ms. Hensel	36 - 46
14	By Mr. Roland	46 - 51
15	By Attorney Powers	50 - 52
16	TESTIMONY	
17	By Ms. Gilchrist	52 - 57
18	PRESENTATION	
19	By Mr. Creany	57 - 58
20	By Mr. Davenport	59 - 62
21	By Attorney Powers	62 - 68
22	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	6 9
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRMAN:

We'll convene the meeting. We'll now commence with our scheduled public meeting. We're going to go a little bit out of Order from the posted agenda. We're going to start with the Petitions and run through --- I believe there's four Petitions, and then we'll come back to old business and start from the beginning of the agenda. So we have Doug Sherman. Doug, why don't you start with the Petitions, please?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Thank you, Chairman, members of the Yes. Board. As you stated, there are four Petitions on the agenda today, two related to the hearings that were held earlier this morning, the other two being considered on documentary records. The first Petition is that of Keystone Redevelopment Partners. It is their Petition to intervene in the motion for the extension of Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners and that, of course, is the motion for an extension, which we just heard as to conditions five and six of the Board's September 1st, 2009 Order. Keystone had been denied intervention in their prior Order. It is the position of the Office

of Enforcement Counsel, as well as the Philadelphia and Entertainment Development Partners that Keystone should likewise be denied intervention in this motion 3 for an extension. The matter is now ripe. It has been fully briefed and argued before you and ready for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? Seeing none, could I have a motion, please?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board deny Keystone's Petition to intervene as described by the Office of Chief Counsel (OCC).

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE 18

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The second Petition is that of the 23 | Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners 24 related to the extension of time to provide the 25 documents pursuant to conditions five and six as

embodied in the Board's September 1st Order. We clearly have had a lengthy hearing on that matter today. The Board has had the opportunity to consider the evidence as well as the filings as appropriate for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

6

8

9

Any questions or comments from the Board?

Can I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

10 Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board deny the motion of Philadelphia Entertainment and 11 Development Partners, LP, to extend the time to 12 13 provide documents and impose a fine of \$2,000 per day 14 civil penalty, retroactive to December 1, 2009 due to 15 its failure to comply with the Board's December 1, 2009 deadline for the submission of the pertinent 16 documents and the fine continuing until such time as 17 18 Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners 19 comes into compliance with the condition ---20 conditions number five and six of the Board's September 1, 2009 Order by submitting to the Bureau of 21 22 Investigation and Enforcement the reports required by 23 those conditions. Additionally, I move that the Board 24 issue a rule to show cause why the Board should not 25 impose further sanctions up to and including

revocation of the Category II slot machine license for violation of the Board's September 1, 2009 Order. The hearing on the rule shall be scheduled for March 3rd, 2010.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

11 ALL RESPOND AYE

5

6

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next Petition is related to the Washington Trotting Association. They have filed a Petition for Approval of a Transfer of Interest and for Withdrawal of the Principal Renewal Application of D. Richard Masson. Mr. Masson holds a 12.5 percent voting interest in OCM VoteCo, LLC, which is the voting arm of Oaktree Capital Management, an institutional investor owning 42 percent of Cannery Casino Resorts, which is WTA's parent company.

In April 2009, eight principals of Oaktree filed renewal applications, including that of

D. Richard Masson. Mr. Masson is now retiring from 1 Oaktree and is requesting that his renewal application be withdrawn and that the Board approve the transfer 3 of his 12.5 interest in OCM VoteCo, LLC to the remaining seven principals in equal amounts. If 6 approved, the remaining principals will then each hold 14.2857 percent interest in OCM VoteCo.

OEC has filed an Answer to this Petition and has no objection lodged in their Answer. upon those facts, it would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion to approve the Petition.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

I note that we have Counsel for WTA here. If you just spell your name for the stenographer?

ATTORNEY JONES:

Good afternoon. Marie Jones for Fox Rothschild, J-O-N-E-S. We have nothing --- I have nothing to add. I'd be happy to address any questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

21 Thank you. Any questions from the Board? 22 Can I have a motion, please?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board would 25 grant the Petition of Washington Trotting Association

9 as described by Chief Counsel. 1 2 MR. SOJKA: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 All in favor? ALL RESPOND AYE 7 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? Motion passes. Last motion, 8 Doug? 10 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 11 Yes, the final Petition is that of LVI 12 Environmental Services, Incorporated, who has filed a 13 Petition to be removed from the Prohibited Vendor 14 List. LVI filed the Vendor Registration Application 15 in December 2007. Despite attempts to have LVI cure some deficiencies in its application, those were 16 17 undertaken on behalf of the Bureau of Licensing, LVI failed to comply with the directive, recommending 18 ultimately in the recommendation that LVI be placed on 19 20 the Prohibited Vendor List. 21 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from the Board? 22 23 Can I have a motion, please? 24 MR. SOJKA: 25 Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board

grant the Petition of LVI Environmental Services, Inc. as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

25

CHAIRMAN:

11 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, Doug. And we'll call you back up here in a couple of 12 13 minutes.

Just for the record, also, I want to make note that Commissioner Angeli is back with us on the phone. With that, we'll go back to the beginning of the agenda, on old business and announcements.

We'll now commence, as I stated earlier, with our scheduled public meeting. First --- or the second Order of business is old business and announcements. By way of announcements, the Board 22 held an executive session on January 26th and just 23 prior to this meeting in accordance with the Sunshine 24 Act, the purpose of the executive sessions was to discuss personnel matters, pending litigation and to

conduct quasi-judicial deliberations relating to matters pending before the Board.

For our first item, may I have a motion to approve the minutes and transcript of the November 18th, 2009 meeting?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the minutes and transcript of the November 18th, 2009 meeting.

CHAIRMAN:

11 Second?

1

2

3

6

7

8

10

12

14

15

17

23

MR. SOJKA:

13 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

16 KENNETH TRUJILLO ABSTAINS, ALL OTHER MEMBERS SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Commission Trujillo

19 abstaining. All opposed? Hearing none, the motion

20 passes. Moving on to new business, I'd like to have

21 Kevin O'Toole, our Executive Director, provide his

22 report. Good afternoon, Kevin.

MR. O'TOOLE:

Good afternoon, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. As part of the Board's initiative to

ensure the proper regulatory oversight of table games 1 2 operations, I instructed the Bureau of Financial Management and administration to prepare an RFP for 3 the purpose of soliciting an expert or experts to perform educational and training services in the area of table game security and protection. The successful bidder will provide training to all Board staff with responsibilities to oversee the integrity of table game playing, and in particular, the Board's onsite 10 Casino Compliance Representatives. It is expected that all attendees to these training sessions will 11 gain a fundamental understanding of table games 12 13 sufficient to recognize basic red flags and other 14 suspicious activities that would cause that employee 15 to further investigate an incident, event or person. This training will supplement our in-house training 16 17 that will be conducted by myself and a number of the 18 other staff members for the Board, particularly in areas such as accounting and internal control 19 20 associated with table game operations. But at this 21 time, I would request that the Board approve the 22 issuance of RFP for an expert to perform educational 23 and training services in anticipation of table game 24 operations. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

25

May I have a motion?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the issuance of a request for proposal of subsequent entry into a contract with the winning proposal to provide Philadelphia Gaming Control --- Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board staff with table game security education and training as proposed by the executive director.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

14 ALL RESPOND AYE

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you very much, Kevin. Next up is Mike Cruz, our Director of the Gaming Lab to give us an update on gaming lab operations.

MR. CRUZ:

Good afternoon, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. I'm going to do a presentation. Usually I try to give a bi-annual update, sometimes quarterly, depending on what we're working on in the lab. 2009 was a very busy year for the lab; hence, the last

update I was able to provide to the Board was approximately about a year ago, to the date almost. So with that being said, I'm going to start with just 3 going over some of the statistics from last year's operation in the lab. In your Board packet, I included two Excel spreadsheets, one with data based off of what programs and games and hardware that we reviewed in the lab, another spreadsheet based off of inspections and operational visits to the casinos in the field. I'm not going to go over every specific 10 data, but there are some specific statistics that I 11 want to point out that aren't readily evident with the 12 13 data.

To start off with, the lab work that we do --- last year we reviewed 11,010 individual items. In comparison in 2008, we reviewed 8,244. So it's a significant increase there. On average per month, we review approximately 77 individual packages of games, hardware, what have you. And on average, each package includes 12 items to review in it. So on average, there are about 917 individual items that are looked at per month by my staff in the lab.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, moving on to the field operations of the lab. In 2009, we inspected 18,806 slot machines on the floor in Pennsylvania. This is on top of the

obvious central system that's connected to every game.

For comparison, in 2008, we inspected 10,174 games, an increase of almost --- over 80 percent. And on average, it comes out to about 1,567 games per month across the board, which turns out to be about, on average, 175 slot machines inspected per casino every month by my regional technical field staff. And these are due to conversions, new game installs and just random inspections to uphold the casino to the master list that they send to us.

We were able to achieve this increased output due to the fact that in 2009 we increased our staff to 17, which is an increase of four staff members; two engineers, one statistician and one technical field representative. Now, mind you, these positions weren't just created in 2009, they were always open. We just were able to finally fill them last year. So as you can see, they've been very helpful from when they started as well.

Now, in 2009 there were four major openings. Probably the busiest time that I've spent at the Gaming Board, aside from the initial first three to four casinos opening up in the 2006-2007 time frame. We had The Meadows open up in April, with over 3,700 slot machines, which to this day is still the

largest slot machine casino in Pennsylvania. Sands opened up with another 3,000 slot machines in May of '09. And then in August The Rivers opened up with 3,000 additional slot machines.

2

3

5

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

And what's specifically interesting with that casino is that they went with a brand new slot accounting system, which required us to review in the lab prior to their casino opening. And at this time we have five different slot accounting systems being used amongst the nine casinos in Pennsylvania.

In comparison, where I used to work at in 12 New Jersey, they currently have two slot accounting systems in operation. I'm not saying that more or less is better or worse. I'm just saying that the complexity of overseeing five individual slot accounting systems is a lot more involved than just two.

And then lastly, The Parks Casino opened up in December with a little over 3,000 slot machines. And at that point I figured that hopefully 2010 would 21 have been a little bit more steady state, in that I could reassess some of our procedures and enhance them. But the legislature then approved the use of table games in Pennsylvania.

So what I'd like to discuss next is,

what's the role of the Gaming Lab when it comes to 2 table games? With slot machines, it's very evident 3 what our role is. It's front and center. Slot machines are computers and the systems they attach to have to be reviewed. In table games, it's a combination of a manual function as well as, in some cases, a computerized electronic function. statute allowed for the use of electronic gaming tables, in which my review of that definition would be 10 similar to basically a hybrid table where there's some sort of an electronic wagering, but yet there's still 11 12 a dealer dealing cards or spinning a roulette wheel. 13 They also allowed for the use of fully automated table 14 games, which does not require the use of a dealer to 15 play the game.

So we would have to create regulations based on defining those products a little bit clearer. Electronic wagering systems are inherent to the use of electronic gaming tables, so we would have to create definitions and regulations to review those. And then electronic card shufflers, these are electronic devices that use computer algorithms to randomize the shuffling of cards. Not every table game, not every card table game will use it, but every casino will have some electronic card shufflers used in their

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

facilities, so we would have to review those as well.

In addition, there are table game progressive systems. Similar to our slot machine, progressive system works --- a portion of a wager, a percentage of the wager is added to a top award to increment that top award. So we have to review those systems. And all along, these systems, these electronic systems and products don't have the ability currently to connect to the central system, which in the slot machine world we can remotely verify the software in those products to be assured that what we tested in the lab is what is actually in the field.

So in response to that, we have to create new inspection procedures so that my staff can go out there and inspect these devices and then have a procedure in place to assure that if tampering is involved with those machines, that it is evident.

So those are the rules the lab will have to take up in the next coming months. But I don't want to stray too far away from slot technology. Technology in slots is still advancing, regardless of what table games is doing. And everybody has heard of server-based gaming, server-supported gaming. We have --- are in the process of creating regulations and technical standards for server-based gaming. I would

1 hope to have those in place by the middle of the year. In fact, Adobe MS (phonetic) has actually given us a 3 system to review in the lab. It's not a formal submission, but since they don't have the expertise of working with a central system, this will help them as well. So we do have equipment in the lab that is going along those lines.

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And then in addition to that, the server-based systems will now speak for, more or less, a different language than current slot machines. current protocol does not allow you to do downloadable. So a new protocol has been developed, and my staff and myself have to be trained on that this year, so we look forward to that in this coming year.

There's also a couple casino openings this coming year. We expect SugarHouse to open up sometime this summer, the caveat there being they might be the first casino to open up with slot machines and table games, which will be different from the nine new casinos and three expansions that we've gone through previously. Valley Forge is in the mix as well for this calendar year.

And then like I said, we will be involved 25 with all the table game go-lives for all the current

1 nine casinos. So 2009 was busy, 2010 will be very busy in a different way. And I hope to at least have time halfway through this year to provide an interim 3 update for the Board, that we could get them up to date with what the lab is achieving and doing throughout the year. That's pretty much the end of my presentation. If you guys have any comments or questions, I'll gladly take them.

CHAIRMAN:

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Two quick ones, Mike. In 2009 you said you physically inspected 18,000 machines on the floors 12 of the casinos. Roughly how many do we have, how many slot machines do we have in operation on average in 2009?

MR. CRUZ:

Well, we increased our slot count exponentially in 2009. I'm not sure what the count is on average. I think the last count is over 25,000 that we have.

CHAIRMAN:

And secondly, I assume that we will have table games in the lab, that you will physically have table games in our lab in Strawberry Square to work with, or how are you going to ---?

MR. CRUZ

Actually I did mention it in my 1 Yes. 2 report, but we actually did start receiving equipment 3 yesterday. It's, as we speak, being set up. And then I'm scheduled and my staff is scheduled for training on all the equipment that I just mentioned, starting tomorrow. So we already got the ball rolling. I have been in communication with the manufacturers throughout the legislative process so that as soon as it was signed, there wasn't a long leave time for me 10 to get equipment in the lab to review.

CHAIRMAN:

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Great. Thank you.

MR. SOJKA:

also get sort of unscheduled, non-routine things as well; don't you? Do you get a lot of things --- like I know you were called out at one point because someone tried to manipulate a slot machine in one of the casinos and then there are power outages and stuff. Does that take a lot of your time, too, or of your staff's?

MR. CRUZ:

Well, I mean, that's what their role is for. And some of the numbers in the inspections are due to some of these. So the numbers that I have here

could be --- like for example, like The Meadows, when they had a power outage, if let's say a progressive bank went down, that would cause my staff to go out there and ---

MR. SOJKA:

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

And read those numbers. Okay.

MR. CRUZ:

--- deal with those machines. So we keep track of all that data in here. But like you said, there are things that are unexpected and that's the role of our lab, is to react to unexpected events as well.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Mike. Next up is our Human Resources Director, Claire Yantis. afternoon, Claire.

MS. YANTIS:

Good afternoon, Chairman and Board members. We have before you today a motion to consider the hiring of three individuals. First, 21 Trevor Lawrence is being recommended for hire as a casino compliance representative at Penn National. 23 Mr. Lawrence has completed the PGCB interview process, 24 background investigation and drug screening and is 25 being recommended for hire by Casino Compliance Senior Supervisor, Jerry Stoll.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Next we have two hires for the Office of 2 3 Enforcement Counsel. Beth Manifesto is being recommended for hire as an Assistant Enforcement Counsel in the Western Regional Office. And Michael Rhen is being recommended for hire as a paralegal in the Harrisburg Office. Ms. Manifesto and Mr. Rhen have completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening, and they're being 10 recommended for hire by Chief Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre. As such, I ask that you consider a 11 motion to hire Mr. Lawrence, Ms. Manifesto and Mr. 12 Rhen as indicated. 13

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Claire. Any questions or comments from the Board? Do I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the hiring of the agency staff as proposed on the condition that the employees have completed the necessary background investigations and drug testing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. TRUJILLO:

25 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,

Claire. Next up is Stacey Knavel, our Budget Manager,
who will provide a financial update.

MS. KNAVEL:

9 Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members 10 of the Board. Today's budget report includes results to the end of December. Total expenditures due this 11 12 period are \$13,582,469. Personnel expenditures total 13 \$11,157,728, and operating expenditures total \$2,424,741. December expenditures totaled \$2,504,708. 14 15 By category, personnel expenditures totaled \$1,824,218 and operating expenditures totaled \$680,490. The 16 17 largest operating expenditures to date include \$1,052,413 for rentals and leases, \$354,409 for other 18 operating expenses, \$352,395 for services and \$274,330 19 20 for telecommunications.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Thank you very much, Stacy. Doug Sherman, if I could have you come back --- and I think Richard is coming up with you, Richard Sandusky.

MR. SHERMAN:

2.0

The next two items on the agenda are two temporary regulations which Director of Regulatory Review, Richard Sandusky, will present.

MR. SANDUSKY:

Today we have the first sets of regulations that will be implementing table gaming in Pennsylvania. However, these are not the traditional proposed rulemakings that you're used to seeing; these are temporary regulations. During its deliberations on table games, the General Assembly recognized that the normal rulemaking process can take between nine months and two years to complete. To shorten this time period, the General Assembly has given the Board the authority to draw up temporary regulations, as it did when the Act was initially passed.

Authority will allow the Board to promulgate regulations in a short time period, in as little as one month. What this means is that slot machine licensees will be able to offer table games within a six to nine-month time frame instead of what could have been a year and a half or two years. These temporary regulations will remain in effect for two years after publication. During that time, we will be

promulgating permanent regulations through the normal regulatory review process to take their place.

While not required by Act 1 of 2010, we have recommended that the Board establish comment periods of 30 days for each of these regulations and will be recommending the establishment of similar comment periods for all other temporary regulations.

We're asking for the comment period so that we can receive additional input from the public and affected parties so that we can quickly address any unintended quirks that might be in the temporary regulations and to provide us with additional information and comments as we move forward with the permanent regulations.

Having given you this brief background on the temporary regulation process, let me turn to the first package, which is Temporary Regulation Number 125-110. This rulemaking contains what will be new chapters 521, 531, 533, 537 and 549. Within these chapters we have general provisions, most importantly requirements relating to surveillance requirements. These regulations were one of the first set that we worked on because it's important that the facilities know what minimum coverage in camera requirements will be required as they proceed to design the revisions to their gaming floors.

Also included in this package are the 1 2 first sets of rules for the table games themselves. 3 This is not a complete list. We will be doing more at subsequent meetings, but within this package are the rules for roulette, big six wheel, craps and blackjack. If the Board members have any questions on this rulemaking, I'd be happy to try and answer them. If not, we'd ask for a motion for adoption of this temporary rulemaking.

CHAIRMAN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments?

MR. SOJKA:

Just one quick one. Obviously, looking at the size of this packet that we've been handed describing the temporary rulemaking, this didn't obviously all happen since the time that table games were passed. Can you tell me roughly how much staff time has been put into getting us to this point?

MR. SANDUSKY:

More than we'd like to admit. We have 21 been starting to work on some of the stuff, particularly the rules of the games, since last summer. There has been a core group of people involved, but we do the initial draft and then we circulate it amongst the staff here at the Board who

have experience. So it has been a fairly lengthy 1 process. We're quite pleased with the fact that we've been able to, at this first meeting after the passage 3 of the Act, get so much before you at this meeting. And we're going to try and meet that rather ambitious standard in the upcoming meetings as well.

MR. SOJKA:

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you. It's obvious you've done that.

CHAIRMAN:

A great job, Richard, by you and Frank, 12 Kevin and the rest of the staff in developing those regs. And again, just to reiterate what you said, this is the first regulations that we will be promulgating and adopting as to table games, and we're doing it within three weeks after passage of the Act. So a great job. With that, could I have a motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board adopt Temporary Regulation Number 125-110, that the Board establish a public comment period of 30 days, and that the temporary regulation be posted on the Board's website.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

1.3

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes. Next?

MR. SANDUSKY:

The next regulation we have for you is

Temporary Regulation # 125-111. This temporary

regulation addresses licensing issues, and the key

component in here is the establishment of regulations

for the issuance of what we have called conditional

licenses. The normal licensing process for a

manufacturer who might want to come into the

Commonwealth and provide table gaming equipment can be anywhere from six months to a year, depending on the size of the company and the complexity of their organization.

Obviously it's going to be difficult to have table games in Pennsylvania if we don't have equipment. So the Bureau of Licensing has developed a procedure whereby an applicant can file an application but could receive conditional approval of their application so that they can begin to provide equipment in a timely manner. However, before an

applicant will be given this conditional approval, there are some very important provisions that have been included.

3

4

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

I'm not going to go through them all, but the most important are, they will have to have completed preliminary criminal background checks and certain other checks that can be done fairly fast by BIE. Additionally, these manufacturers will have to be licensed and in good standing in the jurisdiction the Board has recognized as being equivalent in terms of the rigorousness of the standards that they use when they review applications.

There are four jurisdictions that the 14 Board has currently approved. They include New Jersey, Nevada, Mississippi and Louisiana. of Licensing will be looking at other jurisdictions on a case-by-case basis as situations warrant. And if need be, they'll be coming back to the Board with additional equivalency determinations. If there are any questions on this rulemaking, I'd be happy to respond to them. If not, we'd ask for a motion for adoption.

CHAIRMAN:

24 Could I have Any questions or comments? 25 a motion, please?

1 MR. MCCABE: 2 Mr. Chairman, I'd move that the Board 3 adopt Temporary Regulation Number 125-111, that the Board establish a public comment period of 30 days. And that the temporary regulation be posted on the Board's website. CHAIRMAN: Second? 8 9 MR. GINTY: 10 Second. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 All in favor? ALL RESPOND AYE 13 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Opposed? Motion passes. 16 MR. SANDUSKY: 17 Thank you. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Thank you, Richard. 20 MR. SHERMAN: 21 Mr. Chairman, the few remaining matters 22 are a set of withdrawals and a report of 23 recommendation which Steve Cook will present. 24 MR. COOK: 25 Good afternoon, Chairman, members of the

1 Board. The Board has received four unopposed 2 Petitions to withdraw applications or registrations which include one principal affiliate renewal, two 3 Vendor Registrations and one principal renewal application. The individuals or entities subject to these Petitions are as follows, Barden Development, Inc.; Lindy Paving, Incorporated; Kenneth M. Reels and Joann Weber. The Office of Enforcement Counsel has no objections to these withdrawals. As such, the 10 Office of Chief Counsel submits the withdrawals to the Board for consideration of a motion that they be 11 12 granted without prejudice. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Any questions or comments from the Board? 15 Can I have a motion, please? 16 MR. MCCABE: 17 Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board 18 issue Orders to approve the withdrawals or surrenders 19 as described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 20 CHAIRMAN: Second? 21 22 MR. GINTY: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

25

1

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

MR. COOK:

The last matter before the Board from the OCC is the Report and Recommendation received from the Office of Hearings and Appeals (OHA) relative to the Gaming Employee Permit of Thomas Neveil. The Report and Recommendation, along with the complete evidentiary record in the matter, has been provided to the Board prior to this meeting. Additionally, if Mr. Neveil is present, he should come forward. He has been notified that the Board would be hearing this matter today.

15 On August 26, 2009, Mr. Neveil submitted an application for a Gaming Employee Permit to work as 16 17 an electrician for FJM Electrical Construction, LLC, a 18 certified vendor. On October 1st, 2009, the Office of Enforcement Counsel (OEC) issued a Notice of 19 20 Recommendation of Denial based on the fact that Mr. 21 Neveil was convicted of a felony offense, specifically 22 an aggravated assault. And that the 15-year 23 prohibition period had not elapsed since the 2.4 conviction.

Mr. Neveil requested a hearing which was

1 held on November 24th, 2009. He appeared at the hearing and provided testimony. The OHA thereafter issued a Report and Recommendation in this matter, 3 recommending that Mr. Neveil's application be denied based on his felony conviction and the Gaming Act's prohibition against granting a Gaming Permit to an individual who had been convicted of a felony within 15 years --- or the sentence for the felony had not elapsed within 15 years.

Mr. Neveil filed Exceptions to the Report and Recommendation, arguing that the prohibitions of 12 Section 1213 of the Act failed to adequately consider mitigating factors which he felt were applicable to him. Mr. Neveil's arguments, however, go to the perceived shortcomings of the Act and the Board has no discretion in this matter. In short, the Board is constrained by the provisions of the Act and must enforce its provisions. Therefore, the OCC recommends that the Report and Recommendation be adopted and that Mr. Neveil's application to be a Gaming Employee be denied.

CHAIRMAN:

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. MCCABE:

I have just a question. What was the

sentence that Mr. Neveil ---?

MR. COOK:

He was convicted of two misdemeanors and a felony. The actual sentence for the felony was suspended; the overall sentence for the misdemeanors was two years' probation.

MR. GINTY:

But nevertheless, he stands convicted of a felony, and by operation of law, this Board has no alternative.

MR. COOK:

That's correct. And as a matter of fact, with the recent table games and reform legislation, the date --- it's gotten even more restrictive. The date of the prohibition does not go to the date of the end of the sentence for the felony conviction but rather the date of conviction for the felony conviction. So if there was any argument on Mr. Neveil's behalf, the table games and reform legislation short-circuited it.

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, because it's by operation of law, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Thomas Neveil as

described by the OCC.

MR. COY:

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

24

25

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MR. SHERMAN:

That concludes the matters of the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Doug and Steve. Susan Hensel, our Director of Licensing is next. Welcome, Susan.

MS. HENSEL:

Thank you, Chairman Fajt, and members of the Board. I have several matters to bring to your attention today, beginning with an update on the Bureau of Licensing's implementation of portions of the recently-enacted table game legislation. One significant change made by the law that the Bureau of 21 Licensing has already implemented this revision of the renewal requirements for permit and license holders 23 from one to three years. Earlier this month, we advised the facilities that we will be reissuing all current employee Gaming and Key Employee Credentials

to reflect the three-year expiration date. 2 involves literally printing thousands of credentials. And beginning this week, we will be visiting each 3 facility to provide the replacement credentials to their employees.

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

At the same time, all pending applications are being processed, and once approved, are being issued three-year credentials. We are taking similar steps with respect to credentials for the employees of manufacturers, suppliers and vendors. In addition, the Bureau of Licensing is working on a number of other funds to prepare for table games, including preparing for the expedited licensure of required table game manufacturers and suppliers and amending the slots link application to accommodate table game positions such as dealers and pit supervisors.

The next matter for your consideration is an amendment to our current fee schedule. amendment concerns the fee for obtaining a Vendor 21 Registration. Under our current regulations, a vendor is required to obtain a registration if it does \$15,000 to \$200,000 worth of business with the slot 24 machine applicant or licensee within a 12-month period. In mid-February new regulations will take

38 effect, raising the dollar threshold for Vendor 1 2 Registrations from \$15,000 to \$100,000. Currently 3 there is no fee for Vendor Registration other than the cost of the application and investigation. However, as a result of the raised threshold, the Bureau of Licensing recommends imposing a \$2,000 fee for registration which would be good for four years. I'm happy to take any questions on this 8 matter; otherwise, I ask for a motion amending the Fee 10 Schedule to add a \$2,000 fee for Vendor Registration once the new regulations go into effect. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 14 15 MR. COY: 16 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve 17 the proposed change to the fee schedule as described by the Director of the Bureau of Licensing. 18 19 MR. SOJKA: 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 All in favor? 23 ALL RESPOND AYE 24 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

25

MS. HENSEL:

We also have for your consideration the issuance of Temporary Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with an Order regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for 56 Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the Order approving these licensees.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from

10 Enforcement Counsel?

1

2

3

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

15 If not, can I have a motion, please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes. Mr. Chair, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the issuance of Temporary Key Employee Licensees as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

22 Second?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Second.

25 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE 2

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

22

23

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

Next are Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 254 individuals, including 52 initial and 202 renewals, who the Bureau has granted occupation permits to and 87 individuals who the Bureau has granted registrations to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the Order.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions, comments from Enforcement

Counsel? 16

17 ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? Can I have 21 a motion, please?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the issuance of Gaming Permits and 25 | Non-Gaming Registrations as described by the Bureau of

41 1 Licensing. 2 MR. SOJKA: 3 Second. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 All in favor? ALL RESPOND AYE CHAIRMAN: Opposed? Motion passes. 8 9 MS. HENSEL: 10 In addition, we have recommendations of denial for two Gaming and one Non-Gaming Employees. 11 12 Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing 13 provided you with the Orders addressing these 14 applicants who the Bureau of Investigation and 15 Enforcement (BIE) has recommended for denial. case, the applicant failed to request a hearing within 16 the specified time period. I ask that the Board 17 18 consider the Orders denying the Gaming and Non-Gaming 19 applicants. 20 ATTORNEY PITRE: 21 The OEC requests that the Board --- we 22 recommend that the Board deny these applications. 23

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board?

25 May I have a motion, please?

24

MR. SOJKA:

In order to deny those, then, I'll move that the Board issue Orders to approve the denial of Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Employee Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

We also have a Proposed Order denying the Vendor Certification application of Howard Concrete Pumping Company, Inc. and Frank M. Howard, Jr., a qualifier of Howard Concrete. Howard Concrete Pumping Company, Inc. and Mr. Howard failed to request a hearing despite being advised by the OEC that their applications would be recommended for denial. I ask that the Board consider the Order denying the applications for Howard Concrete Pumping Company, Inc. and Frank M. Howard.

CHAIRMAN:

Enforcement Counsel comments or

43 1 questions? 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 We would request that the Board deny the applications. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 8 MR. GINTY: 9 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 10 an Order to approve the denial of a Vendor Application as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: Second? 13 14 MR. COY: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 All in favor? ALL RESPOND AYE 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? The motion passes. 21 MS. HENSEL: The next matters are Orders for vendors. 22 23 The first is to certify the following ten vendors, 24 Alvarel (phonetic) Electric, Inc., Bottling Group, 25 LLC., Floss Barber, Inc., Kegel's Produce, Inc.,

```
44
1 Massaro Industries, Mr. MooCow, Sipple Company, Inc.,
   Starkman General Products, Inc., Tri-State Sealants,
3
   Inc. and Wellington Power Corporation. I ask that the
  Board consider the Order approving these vendors for
   certification.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN:
 7
                 Comments from Enforcement Counsel?
8
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
9
                 We recommend certification in each of
10
  these matters.
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Comments from the Board? Can I have a
13
  motion, please?
14
                 MR. COY:
15
                 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an
   Order approving the applications for Vendor
16
   Certification as described by the Bureau of Licensing.
17
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 Second?
20
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
                 Second.
21
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
23
                 All in favor?
   ALL RESPOND AYE
24
25
                 CHAIRMAN:
```

45 1 Opposed? Motion passes. MS. HENSEL: 2 Finally, the Bureau of Licensing provided 3 you with an Order and an attached list of 47 registered vendors. I'd ask that the Board adopt a 6 motion approving the Order registering these vendors. CHAIRMAN: Any questions from Enforcement Counsel? 8 9 ATTORNEY PITRE: 10 We recommend registration. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Comments from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 13 14 MR. COY: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order approving the applicants for Vendor 16 Registrations as described by the Bureau of Licensing. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Second? 20 MR. TRUJILLO: Second. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 All in favor? ALL RESPOND AYE 2.4 25 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, Susan. Next up is Cyrus Pitre, our Enforcement Counsel.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2

3

4

5

6

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

We have seven matters for the Board's consideration today, four of which are candidates for the exclusion list. Before we get to those, Mike Roland will present a Consent Agreement between the OEC and BrabenderCox. I request that Counsel for 10 BrabenderCox please approach and introduce themselves.

ATTORNEY KILLION:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good Mike Killion, filling in for Andrew afternoon. Giorgione.

CHAIRMAN:

Could you please spell your name for the stenographer?

ATTORNEY KILLION:

K-I-L-L-I-O-N.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

MR. ROLAND:

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board, Mike Roland from the OEC. As Mr. Pitre has already let you know, this is the Consent Agreement of BraebenderCox for your consideration. This has been before the Board one time in the past at the December 9th meeting, at which point it was tabled. So I'm going to try and cut down on the factual background, but I still would like to give you just a little bit to refresh your memory before we go forward.

BrabenderCox originally filed for certification in December of 2006. During February of 2009 they had advised the Board they no longer wished to be licensed in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. That notification was followed up with a Petition to withdraw their application in August of 2009. Originally the OEC objected and that was based upon that BrabenderCox already had an ongoing relationship with Presque Isle Downs. They had been compensated approximately \$1.2 million. And as it appeared, they no longer wanted to be subject to the licensing requirements.

A hearing was scheduled in November of 2009. That hearing was postponed for the consideration of this Consent Agreement. As mentioned, it was before you on December 9th of 2009. And if you recall, it was tabled so additional information could be provided by BrabenderCox. On January 14th of this year, a packet of information was

supplied and it's our understanding that it was the belief of BrabenderCox that that would satisfy your request. I think the Board already has a copy of that packet. If you do not, I have copies for each of the Board members if you wish to see it.

2

3

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Along with that information came the assertion from BrabenderCox that the information in there was confidential information and it was a proprietary nature and they wished to have it protected. Just a few days ago there was a stipulation which the OEC entered into with 12 BrabenderCox, asking that that information be kept confidential. I believe the Board has also received a copy of that. If you do not have it, again I have copies for each Board member for your review.

The Consent Agreement itself comes down to just a few basic terms. Brabender Cox has agreed that they will not conduct business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with the slots licensee or seek licensure from the Gaming Control Board for a period of three years. They had also agreed that they would satisfy all outstanding costs they had with the I'm happy to say that that has already been Board. They have also agreed that in the future, completed. should they apply after that three-year period or at

any time the Board feels is appropriate, they would see through the application process. In return, the 3 OEC would amend its original objection that would grant the withdrawal with prejudice to a withdrawal without prejudice. 6 I believe we can take any questions at this time if you have them. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any questions or comments from the Board? 10 If not, can I have a motion, please? 11 MR. TRUJILLO: 12 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreements between the 13 14 OEC and Brabender Cox as described by the OEC. 15 MR. SOJKA: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 19 ALL RESPOND AYE 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you. 22 Thank you, Counselor. 23 MR. PITRE: 24 The next matter is a Consent Agreement

25 with Holdings Acquisition. Would Counsel for Holdings

Acquisition please approach? Melissa Powers will present the OEC's side of the matter.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

1

2

3

Chairman Fajt, members of the Board, next 4 we have for the Board's consideration a Consent Agreement between OEC and Holdings Acquisition, doing business as Rivers Casino. The Agreement involves two incidents of underage gaming. On October 14th, 2009 a 15-year-old patron entered Rivers' gaming floor and 10 placed wagers at a slot machine for approximately 17 minutes. On December 13th, 2009, a 14-year-old patron 11 entered Rivers' gaming floor and placed wagers at a 12 13 slot machine for approximately four minutes. 14 According to Section 12078 of the Gaming Act and 15 Section 513A.2(b) of the Board's regulations, persons under 21 years of age are prohibited from operating or 16 17 placing a wager on a slot machine in a licensed facility. Section 513A.2(a) of the Board's 18 regulations prohibits persons under 18 years of age 19 20 from entering the gaming floor at a licensed facility. Following a series of discussions between 21 22 Rivers, the Bureau of Consumer Compliance and OEC, 23 Rivers issued written warnings to all of the security officers involved in both incidents. Rivers also will 24 25 continue policies and provide training, guidance and

```
1 reinforcement to their employees which will minimize
  the opportunity for similar incidents to occur in the
  future. In addition, the parties have agreed that
  within five days of the Board's Order, Rivers shall
  pay a civil penalty in the amount of $16,000. The
  fine is consistent with fines levied against other
  facilities in the past, and if approved, will be the
  first fine levied against Rivers Casino. OEC
  recommends that the Board approve this Consent
10 Agreement as presented today.
11
                CHAIRMAN:
12
                Questions or comments from the Board?
13
   Commissioner McCabe?
14
                MR. MCCABE:
15
                Was there any action taken against these
16
  five security officers for letting these people come
17
   onto the gaming floor?
18
                CHAIRMAN:
19
                Come on up. Should we swear her in?
20
                MS. GILCHRIST:
21
                Wanda Gilchrist, G-I-L-C-H-R-I-S-T.
22
   WANDA GILCHRIST, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN,
23
   TESTIFIED AS FOLLOWS:
24
25
```

MR. MCCABE:

Was there any action taken against these five security officers that let these two teenagers in?

MS. GILCHRIST:

Yes, sir. All five security guards were given two days off as a disciplinary with no pay.

Additionally, they were documented to --- this is a final warning for them. If they're involved in any other regulatory matter, they're terminated.

MR. MCCABE:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Commissioner Sojka?

MR. SOJKA:

On a more positive side of that, you also mentioned that there would be enhanced training? What does that constitute?

MS. GILCHRIST:

Yes, sir. What we've done is we've taken them in smaller groups and trained them. We've also brought other departments in and trained the other departments to also not assume that everybody in the casino is 21. We also met with security guards on a per-shift basis with focus groups discussing with them

ways that we could help them better be equipped to do
their job. As a result of that, we increased the
signage in the casino, especially the elevator lobbies
inside the garage. We're also putting a security
guard inside the garage at those elevator lobbies to
try and check them on the way in. We've scripted our
valet attendants so that when they take your car, if
you appear to be under 30, they will ask you to get
your ID ready because they're going to ID you at the
door. We believe we've seen an improvement.

MR. MCCABE:

Good. Those are prudent steps, because as you realize, subsequent violations get substantially more expensive.

MS. GILCHRIST:

Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Trujillo?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Was there any disciplinary action taken against supervisors and security guards?

MR. GILCHRIST:

The supervisors of the security guards?

MR. TRUJILLO:

Yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. GILCHRIST:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

I believe two of the individuals were supervisors and the penalty is the same.

MR. TRUJILLO:

My concern continues to be --- I guess I can sometimes understand how one person might slip by, but I continue to have a real concern on this issue both as to --- not just how it happens with the security guard, but then the step of command above them as to whether or not they're sending the appropriate message as to how important it is to the folks on the floor. And I will be fine with the agreement, particularly because it's consistent with what's been done in the past and because of the fact that there haven't been prior violations. But from a going forward standpoint, my view of it is that the fines ought to be steeper. They certainly, as Commissioner Sojka indicated, need to be stepped up if there are any continuing violations. And my view is that ultimately I'm going to want to hear from the 21 supervisors going as far up the chain as necessary for it not to happen again. So this is something that is incredibly important to us. And I'd love to see you here, but not on this issue again.

CHAIRMAN:

Did I hear you say that at the Rivers 1 2 Casino nobody under the age of 21 is allowed on the 3 floor?

MS. GILCHRIST:

That is correct. Our management and ownership recognize that from the start, the facility has been a 21-and-older facility.

CHAIRMAN:

4

5

6

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

door.

And do we know how these two individuals got onto the gaming floor? Was it via the elevator or 11 was it via the front door? Those are the only two 12 points of ingress; right?

MS. GILCHRIST:

Correct. And I believe one was --- I believe they both accessed the floor via the elevator with parents.

MR. MCCABE:

18 I thought one came through the front

MS. GILCHRIST:

That was the ---.

MR. MCCABE:

Through the front door and the other one came down the elevator.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

It's my understanding that they both entered through the elevator entrance with parents.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

21

22

24

25

Elevator entrance with parents. 4 as you've heard from the Board, we take this issue very seriously. And you know, the fact that the age of accessibility is 21, not 18, and somebody who is 14 or 15, not 19, 20, you know, is a bit alarming. think that, at least in my mind, the disciplinary 10 punishment that you've meted out to the individuals involved seems reasonable to me, but again, this is an 11 issue that we do take very, very seriously. And when 12 we see what I'll call egregious cases of 14 to 13 14 15-year-olds being on a gaming floor, that causes us, 15 you know, alarm. And so again, just diligence and retraining. And again, I think the punishment that 16 17 you gave to your guards and supervisors, at least in my mind, was appropriate. I'll stop there. 18 you. Any other questions? If none, can I have a 19 20 motion, please?

MR. SOJKA:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I'll move that the 23 Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Holdings Acquisition as described by the OEC.

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next matter for the Board's consideration is a Consent Agreement between Paltronics, which is a licensed manufacturer, and the OEC. Barry Creany will present the matter for the Board's consideration.

MR. CREANY:

Chairman, Commissioners, Paltronics is an Illinois-based slot machine manufacturer. And under the provisions of the original Act, they had a one-year renewal for their license which was issued on November 13th of 2008. Under the original Act, they're required to make application for renewal within six months of the expiration. Therefore, they 22 had a due date for application of May 12th of 2009. They didn't file their application until June 4th, which put them 23 days past due when it was actually received. And we contacted the company and they were

cooperative in reaching a Consent Agreement which 1 provides for a penalty of \$4,600 plus provisions 3 requiring them to put into place controls which will ensure their compliance in the future. And under the amended Act, that will be a three-year renewal once they go through this first phase.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Any questions or comments from the Board? If none, could I have a motion, please?

MR. MCCABE:

Yes. Mr. Chair, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement 14 between the OEC and Paltronics, Inc. as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

17 Second?

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

23

24

MR. GINTY:

19 Second.

20 CHAIRMAN:

21 All in favor?

22 ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes.

25 ATTORNEY PITRE: The next matter for the Board's consideration is a motion to consider a Board Order to place Shoumin Chai on the Exclusion List. This individual will be the first involuntary placement of an individual on the exclusion list. Nan Davenport will present the matter for the Board's consideration.

MS. DAVENPORT:

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. The Act provides that the Board shall establish a list of persons for --- to be excluded or rejected from any licensed facility who are career professional offenders --- I'm sorry, career or professional offenders --- or whose presence at a licensed facility would, in the opinion of the Board, be inimicable to the interests of the Commonwealth or licensed gaming therein, or both.

On October 6th, 2009 the OEC filed a

Petition to place Shoumin Chai on the Pennsylvania

Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. It

would be --- this would be the first person to be

placed on such a list.

In its Petition, we see alleged that on several occasions from June 19th, 2009 through June 20th, 2009, Ms. Chai approached patrons of Sands Bethworks and employed a scheme devised to gain access

to the patrons' bank accounts and withdraw money without their knowledge or permission. According to the police criminal complaint, Ms. Chai was charged 3 with 18 counts of criminal attempt, access-devised fraud, five counts of access-devised fraud, three counts of identity theft, 18 counts of criminal attempt identity theft, five counts of unlawful use of computer and other computer crimes, 18 counts of criminal attempt, unlawful use of computer 10 and other computer crimes, five counts of theft by unlawful taking or disposition, 18 counts of criminal 11 attempt, theft by unlawful taking or disposition, five 12 13 counts of theft by deception, 18 counts of criminal 14 attempt, theft by deception, and five counts of 15 receiving stolen property.

OEC's Petition was served on Ms. Chai on October 7th, 2009. Ms. Chai did not respond to the Petition within 30 days. Therefore, her right to a hearing has been waived. And pursuant to section 1514G of the Act, all matters and facts alleged in the Petition shall be deemed admitted.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Chai pled guilty to three counts of access-devised fraud and three counts of theft by deception. However, on January 22nd, 2010, Ms. Chai withdrew her guilty plea. Ms. Chai is currently on

61 1 the New Jersey Casino Control of Commission's 2 Exclusion List based upon six convictions for violations of theft and related offenses in Atlantic 3 City casinos. 5 On January 7th, 2010, OEC filed a request for default judgment. As set forth in its Petition, OEC has demonstrated that Ms. Chai satisfies the criteria for exclusion under 1514 of the Act and Chapter 511(a) of the regulations, and we'd ask that 10 the Board place Shoumin Chai on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Any questions or comments from the Board? 14 If not, could I have a motion, please? 15 MR. GINTY: Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue 16 an Order approving the placement of Shoumin Chai on 17 18 the Exclusion List as described by the OEC. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Second? 21 MR. COY: 22 Second. 23 CHAIRMAN: 24 All in favor? 25 ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. DAVENPORT:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next three matters will be handled by Melissa Powers.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

Thank you. The next matter we have for you is that of Kerry Laverde. On October 16th, 2009, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a Petition to place Kerry Laverde on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

Initial service was unsuccessful, and so on October 28th, 2009 OEC filed an amended Petition to place Mr. Laverde on the Involuntary Exclusion List. The Petition was successfully served on Mr. Laverde on October 30th, 2009. In the Petition, OEC alleged that on multiple occasions between June 22nd and August 31st of 2009, Mr. Laverde manipulated slot machines at the Meadows Racetrack and Casino in Order to claim false jackpots in excess of \$400,000.

Relative to his activity at the Meadows

1 Racetrack and Casino, on October 9th, 2009 a Grand 2 Jury indicted Mr. Laverde on nine counts, including theft by unlawful taking; theft by deception; theft of 3 property lost, mislaid or delivered by mistake; receiving stolen property; dealing in proceeds of unlawful activities; unlawful use of a computer or other computer crimes; computer trespass; criminal conspiracy and unsworn falsification to authorities. Mr. Laverde did not respond to the Petition within 30 10 days. Therefore, his right to a hearing has been waived. The facts alleged in the Petition are deemed 11 12 admitted.

On January 6th, 2010 OEC filed a request for default judgment. At this time, OEC would ask that the Board place Kerry Laverde on the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

CHAIRMAN:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. Any questions, comments from the Board? Could I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Yeah, Mr. Chairman, I will make a motion. But just real quickly, just so everyone understands, these several --- that we're going through now were all as a result of the problems that the --- trying to compromise or compromising the machine at the facility

in Washington County.

1

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

22

23

24

And we, the Board, is very grateful for 2 3 the help and assistance of the District Attorney of Washington County and also local law enforcement, but not the least of which includes our local employees at the track and our Enforcement Counsel folks and our BIE agents who provided help with the investigation. Also, having said that, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order approving the placement of Kerry 10 Laverde on the Exclusion List as described by the OEC.

CHAIRMAN:

12 Second?

MR. MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 ALL RESPOND AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

20 ATTORNEY POWERS:

Next we have Patrick Loushil. On October 16th, the OEC filed a Petition to place Patrick Loushil on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

In the Petition, OEC alleged again that 25 between --- on multiple occasions between June 22nd

and August 31st of 2009, Mr. Loushil manipulated slot machines at the Meadows in Order to claim false 3 jackpots in excess of \$400,000. Relative to his activity at the Meadows, on October 9th, 2009, a Grand Jury indicted Mr. Loushil on nine counts, including theft by unlawful taking; theft by deception; theft of property lost, mislaid or delivered by mistake; receiving stolen property; dealing in proceeds of unlawful activities; unlawful use of a computer or 10 other computer crimes; computer trespass; criminal conspiracy and unsworn falsification to authorities. 11 12

OEC attempted to serve the Petition on 13 Mr. Loushil by mailing a copy via first-class mail and certified mail to Mr. Loushil's last known address. 14 15 On November 12th, 2009, the certified mail was returned to OEC with the message, Unclaimed. To date, 16 17 the copy mailed via first class has not been returned 18 to OEC and Mr. Loushil has not filed a response to the Therefore, his right to a hearing has been 19 Petition. 20 waived. The facts alleged in the Petition are deemed admitted. 21

On January 6th, 2010, OEC filed a request 23 for default judgment. At this time, we would ask that the Board place Patrick Loushil on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List.

22

24

25

66 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Any questions from the Board? Could I 3 have a ---? MR. SOJKA: 4 5 Just one quick comment. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Yes. 8 MR. SOJKA: 9 And that is that to add to Mr. Coy's 10 comments. I think we should note that due to the 11 nature of the activity that these three people are 12 charged with, the taxpayers of the Commonwealth of 13 Pennsylvania did not lose any revenue, because they 14 did not compromise the direct reporting to the 15 Department of Revenue. Having said that, I would be willing to offer a motion, Mr. Chairman, that the 16 17 Board issue an Order to approve the placement of Patrick Loushil on the Exclusion List as described by 18 19 the OEC. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Second? 22 MR. TRUJILLO: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

25

ALL RESPOND AYE

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

Finally, we have Andre Nestor. On October 16th the OEC filed a Petition to place Andre Nestor on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. Initial service was unsuccessful, so on October 28th, 2009 OEC filed an amended Petition to place Mr. Nestor on the Involuntary Exclusion List. The Petition was served on Mr. Nestor on November 2nd, 2009.

In the Petition, OEC alleged that on multiple occasions between June 22nd and August 31st, 2009, Mr. Nestor manipulated slot machines at the Meadows Racetrack and Casino in Order to claim false jackpots in excess of \$400,000. Relative to his activity at the Meadows, on October 9th, 2009 a Grand Jury indicted Mr. Nestor on nine counts, including theft by unlawful taking; theft by deception; theft of property lost, mislaid or delivered by mistake; 21 receiving stolen property; dealing in proceeds of unlawful activities; unlawful use of a computer or other computer crimes; computer trespass; criminal conspiracy and unsworn falsification to authorities.

Mr. Nestor did not respond to the

68 1 Petition within 30 days. Therefore, his right to a 2 hearing has been waived and the facts alleged in the 3 Petition are deemed admitted. On January 6th, 2010 OEC filed a request 4 for default judgment. At this time, we would ask the Board --- that the Board place Andre Nestor on the Board's Involuntary Exclusion List. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any questions from the Board? Can I have a motion, please? 10 11 MR. TRUJILLO: 12 Mr. Chairman, I have a motion. I move 13 that the Board issue an Order to approve the placement of Andre Nestor on the Exclusion List as described by 14 15 the OEC. 16 MR. SOJKA: 17 Second. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 All in favor? 20 ALL RESPOND AYE 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Opposed? Motion passes. Cyrus, Melissa

23 and the rest of your staff, thank you very much.

ATTORNEY POWERS:

Thank you.

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

This concludes today's business. closing, our next scheduled public meeting will be held February 11th at 10:00 a.m. in the North Office Building, Hearing Room Number One.

I'd also like to remind everyone that the Gaming Control Board will hold a hearing on Wednesday, February the 3rd to gather evidence on the renewal on the Category 2 Slot Machine Operator's License of Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, operators of the Sands Casino Resort in Bethlehem. The hearing will be held, 12 as I mentioned, at 10:00 a.m. in the Town Hall, 10 East Church Street, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania.

For additional information, you can go to our website, www.pqcb.state.pa.us. Any final comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion to

adjourn? ALL RESPOND

1

2

3

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

22

24

18

20 Second?

ALL RESPOND 21

CHAIRMAN:

CHAIRMAN:

23 The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.

25 MEETING CONCLUDED AT 2:30 P.M.

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, meeting held before Chairman Fajt, was reported by me on 01/27/2010 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908