COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: Gregory C. Fajt, CHAIRMAN

James B. Ginty, Raymond S. Angeli, Jeffrey

W. Coy, Kenneth T. McCabe, Gary A. Sojka,

Kenneth I. Trujillo, David Barasch

representing Honorable Stephen Stetler,

Secretary of Revenue, Jennifer Lanaghan

representing Honorable Robert M. McCord,

State Treasurer and Frank Jurbala

representing Honorable Russell

Redding, Secretary of Agriculture

HEARING: Wednesday, December 9, 2009, 10:00 a.m.

LOCATION: PUC Keystone Building

400 North Street

Harrisburg, PA 17106

WITNESSES: Judge M. Teresa Sarmina, Alan C. Kohler,

Esquire, Thomas Bonner, Esquire, Kevin

O'Toole, Claire Yantis, David Rhen, Scott

Frederick, Tim Allue, David Barasch,

Richard Sheetz

Reporter: Kenneth D. O'Hearn

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

		2
1	I N D E X	
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	By Chairman Fajt	5 – 6
5	OATH OF OFFICE	6 – 7
6	REMARKS	
7	By Commissioner Trujillo	8 - 9
8	FIRST PUBLIC HEARING	9 - 27
9	SECOND PUBLIC HEARING	27 - 42
10	PUBLIC MEETING	42 - 43
11	PRESENTATION	
12	By Mr. O'Toole	43 - 44
13	PRESENTATION	
14	By Ms. Yantis	45 - 48
15	QUESTIONS BY THE BOARD	48 - 49
16	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	49 - 52
17	PRESENTATION	
18	By Mr. Frederick	52 - 53
19	QUESTIONS BY THE BOARD	53 - 59
20	PRESENTATION	
21	By Ms. Amsler	59 - 60
22	QUESTIONS BY THE BOARD	60 - 62
23	PRESENTATION	
24	By Mr. Sheetz	62 - 63
25	QUESTIONS BY THE BOARD	63 - 66

		3
1	I N D E X (cont.)	
2		
3	PRESENTATION	
4	By Mr. Sandusky	66 - 68
5	PRESENTATION	
6	By Attorney Sherman	68 - 72
7	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	72 - 76
8	PRESENTATION	
9	By Attorney Cook	76 - 80
10	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	80 - 81
11	PRESENTATION	
12	By Attorney Sherman	81 - 85
13	PRESENTATION	
14	By Attorney Cook	85 – 88
15	STATEMENT	
16	By Mr. Bevans	8 9
17	QUESTIONS BY THE BOARD	89 - 94
18	PRESENTATION	
19	By Attorney Cook	94 - 100
20	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	100 - 101
21	PRESENTATION	
22	By Ms. Hensel	101 - 109
23		
24		
25		

				4
1	I N D E X (cont.)			
2				
3	PRESENTATION			
4	By Attorney Miller	110	-	111
5	PRESENTATION			
6	By Ms. Kaufman			111
7	PRESENTATION			
8	By Attorney Roland	113	_	116
9	PRESENTATION			
10	By Attorney Pitre	116	_	119
11	PRESENTATION			
12	By Ms. Powers	120	_	123
13	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES	123	-	127
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

PROCEEDINGS

2

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Good morning everybody. Good morning everybody. I ask that everybody please take their seats. We've got a pretty full agenda this morning. My name is Greg Fajt. I'm the Chairman of the Gaming Control Board and just as a normal housekeeping matter if everybody could turn off their cell phones, Blackberries, pagers. Those devices tend to interfere with our communication system.

Today we have with us David Barasch, ex officio designee, representing Revenue Secretary Steve Stetler, Jennifer Lanaghan representing State Treasurer Rob McCord and Frank Jurbala representing Secretary of Agriculture Russell Redding. And also in the audience is a good friend of mine, Secretary of the Commonwealth, Pedro Cortes. Pedro, nice to have you here today. We have our newest member of the Gaming Control Board with us today, Ken Trujillo. Wе are going to swear him in, in a minute, but first of all, I'd like to note that there is a quorum of the 23 Board present. I'd like to call today's meeting to order. As the first order of business, I'd like to ask everybody to stand for the Pledge of Allegiance.

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

I'd like to welcome the Honorable Teresa

4 Sarmina, who is --- serves as Philadelphia Court of

5 Common Pleas Judge. Judge Sarmina will issue the Oath

6 of office to Ken Trujillo, the newest member of the

7 Gaming Control Board. Ken, I'd like to ask for you to

8 go down below and I believe your daughter and others

9 are going to join you there for the swearing in. This

10 is Ken's daughter, Mia. Good to have you here today,

11 too, Mia.

JUDGE SARMINA:

13 I.

1

2

12

21

23

24

25

MR. TRUJILLO:

15 I.

JUDGE SARMINA:

And state your name.

MR. TRUJILLO:

19 Kenneth I. Trujillo.

JUDGE SARMINA:

Do solemnly swear ---

MR. TRUJILLO:

Do solemnly swear ---

JUDGE SARMINA:

--- that I will support, obey and

```
defend ---
1
2
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
3
                 --- that I will support, obey and
   defend ---
5
                 JUDGE SARMINA:
6
                 --- the Constitution of the United
   States ---
8
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
9
                 --- the Constitution of the United
10
   States ---
11
                 JUDGE SARMINA:
12
                 --- and of this Commonwealth.
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
13
                 --- and of this Commonwealth.
14
15
                 JUDGE SARMINA:
                 And that I will discharge the duties of
16
17 my office ---
18
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
19
                 --- and that I will discharge the duties
20
   of my office ---
21
                 JUDGE SARMINA:
22
                 --- with fidelity.
23
                 MR. TRUJILLO:
24
                 --- with fidelity.
25 APPLAUSE
```

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you, Judge. Thank you, Mia. And I know you wanted to say a word or two, so ---.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, thank you. And to my fellow Board members thank you for working with us.

And Judge Sarmina is a great friend of our family and of this Commonwealth.

Is that better? Also of course to my daughter, Mia, and my wife, Lauren, and our friends that are here. And Secretary Fajt, I thought it might strike some as odd, or at least ironic, that I chose a ten-year-old --- my ten-year-old daughter and my father's Bible, my father was an Assemblies of God minister, to swear me in into a commission that oversees gaming. But as some of you know already I like irony and for me, however, this was not about irony. For me the choice of my daughter and the Bible was simply a recognition of the responsibility that we, as Gaming Control Board members, have and the responsibility which I believe that the Commonwealth has bestowed upon us. And that responsibility is a recognition that we're not the ones that make the law. We're not the ones that decide how and whether gaming is going to be here in Pennsylvania, but we are

responsible for ensuring that gaming is done responsibly and for the best benefit of the citizens of this Commonwealth. And we're the ones that are responsible for the impact that gaming has on our children. We're the ones that are responsible for the impact that gaming has on communities. And we're the ones that are responsible for the ones that are responsible for those who are not in a position to make the decision whether their lives are going to be affected by gaming or not.

And it is with that recognition of that responsibility that I am honored to be serving with you, Secretary Fajt, with the rest of this Board and with an incredibly talented staff. And so I really look forward to this opportunity. And I thank you again for your welcoming that I've received from you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Ken. And let me just say on a personal note, it's great to have you. Some of you in the audience may know Ken. I've known Ken not well, but maybe for, you know, five or six years and he's one of those people that defy logic in the sense that I've never met anyone who said a bad word about him. And when you're in this business for as long as he and I have been in this business, that's a real rarity. So Ken, welcome and your reputation

precedes you, and you know, just in our, you know, short meeting yesterday in Executive Session, I mean, you obviously have already demonstrated that you will be an engaged member and we welcome you.

MR. TRUJILLO:

Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

We have two items before the Board Okav. today by way of public hearing, which will take place prior to our official public meeting. Both involve Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment. The first matter we will hear will be a continuation of a proceeding, which commenced before the Board prior to our last meeting on November 18th. That is the hearing on Greenwood Gaming's Petition for Regulatory Approval Prerequisite to Opening of a Permanent Facility. I think everyone that was in attendance at the last meeting will recall we spent a significant amount of time discussing the gaming floor of the new facility, and in particular the Board's displeasure with the location of the Gaming Control Board offices. understand now that Greenwood Gaming will be updating us on that issue. I would also ask that they discuss the other relief requested in the petition, specifically authorization to open with 3,300

1 machines, but with the ability to move to 4,000 2 machines, to open its facility three days later than the December 15th date, the Board previously approved 3 and --- its request to have a fixed seating requirement waived in certain areas of the gaming 6 floor.

We'll now begin. I see that the parties have come forward already. Persons offering testimony, including the personnel of the Office of Enforcement Counsel, (OEC) please stand to be sworn in.

12

WITNESSES SWORN EN MASSE: 13

8

10

11

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

14

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. If it's okay with the Board 17 we'll hear from both representatives of Greenwood Gaming and our OEC and reserve our questions until after both parties have done their presentations. Prior to your speaking, could you please identify yourself for the stenographer and also spell your name. And with that, Greenwood Gaming, you may proceed.

ATTORNEY KOHLER

Good morning, Chairman Fajt. Good

1 morning, Commissioners. We welcome the new 2 Commissioner. My name is Alan Kohler. K-O-H-L-E-R, from Eckert Seamans, representing 3 Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. I have with me this morning Tom Bonner, it's, B-O-N-N-E-R, who is General Counsel to Greenwood Gaming. As Chairman Fajt indicated, this is a continuation of hearing from last public meeting. Shortly after the last public meeting, the Board issued an order, which essentially directed Greenwood Gaming to work with Board staff to 10 come up with a resolution of the outstanding issue 11 12 related to access to the Gaming Board space and our new casino, and also directed us to file a new floor 13 14 plan, which reflected that resolution by no later than 15 November 30th.

We're here to report this morning that we followed those directives to the T. We worked closely with Executive Director O'Toole and other members of Board staff. We reached a resolution of the access issue, at least as far as I know, and we did file a revised floor plan on November 30th, which reflected that. And we intend to present that to you this morning. I don't know --- you asked that we also address the other relief addressed in our petition. I don't know if you want to take them in sequence, deal

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

with the floor plan first and then move on to the others. Maybe that's the best way to do it.

CHAIRMAN:

That's fine.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

At the request of Board staff, we --- and this is something we didn't do at last --- at the last public meeting. We tried to --- if I can get the --- we did a PowerPoint so you can actually see this. And I do have specific copies for the Board members, which I unfortunately didn't get to you before the meeting.

CHAIRMAN:

I think we're okay. If you can just use ---

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Okay. That's fine.

CHAIRMAN:

--- the PowerPoint, that's fine.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Maybe Mr. Bonner can and lead us through

21 | it.

2

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I'll be happy to. Good morning, Mr.

24 Chairman, Commissioners and welcome Commissioner

25 Trujillo. The plan that you see in front of you is

the proposed access corridor, which is under way and is actually in place. The casino is at the left of 3 the plan. The existing corridor is at the right of the plan. The upper right corner shows the Pennsylvania State Police and the Gaming Control Board offices. So the corridor that you see in the center of the print allows people to pass from the gaming floor, down that corridor and then over to the Gaming Board offices. In the upper part of the drawing, if persons were to make a right out of that new corridor, 10 they would then be moving towards the cage and count 11 room areas and towards the employee cafeteria, towards 12 13 the place where employees pick up their food. 14 then come back to that EDR, which is the employee 15 dining room, to eat their meals. At the lower right corner in the existing corridor, we indicate a set of 16 doors that will be installed. They're not there yet, 17 but they will be installed. 18

People can gain access through those doors only with a card access key. Members of the public, vendors who have not been granted access, through our approved access submission, would not be able to gain access through those doors. So basically at the Gaming Board's request we've created a secure corridor area that encompasses the count room and the

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There's a set of doors farther down the cage areas. 2 hallway that requires a card swipe to enter into that restricted area. So I think we effected what the 3 Gaming Board had asked us to effect with respect to both improved access from the gaming floor to the Gaming Board offices and also creating a secure area, which only authorized employees can enter. As I had mentioned, the corridor is built. The drywall is up, the sprinklers have been installed, the ceiling is not 10 in yet. And the doorway that you see at the bottom right of that print has not yet been installed, but it 11 will be installed, and we'll be ready for opening on 12 13 December 18th, we hope, subject to a successful test 14 on the 16th. And I'll be happy to answer any 15 questions that you or staff may have about it.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The only thing I wanted to emphasize out of all that is, this will be completed by opening, so the fact that the doors, which were an important part of the resolution with Board staff are not installed yet and will be installed, they will be installed before ---

ATTORNEY BONNER:

That's correct.

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

--- we open for business.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Correct.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions on that specific issue,

Ken?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

25

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Yes, I have one. How are you going to identify that door to the public, or that corridor that has access to the Pennsylvania State Police or the RCCRs?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Board staff had asked that we put 13 14 appropriate signage indicating that that's the way to 15 get to the State --- to the Gaming Board offices, so we'll work with staff and come up with signage that's 16 17 acceptable to them. That is also going to be ---18 that's an employee-only corridor or patrons who want 19 to get to the Gaming Board would be escorted down that 20 corridor. So we will put signage. I had some discussions yesterday with your representatives on 21 22 staff. We haven't decided specifically what it will 23 be, but we acknowledge the request and we'll put appropriate approved signage there. 24

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Will the entranceway to that corridor be a controlled entranceway?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Yes, sir. Yes, Commissioner. The doorways that you see at the left just inside that new area will require a card swipe to gain access to that.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

And then how will the public be able to contact the PSP or the PGC?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We're installing a hotline phone right in that area that will be marked Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, pick up the phone. It rings automatically to the Gaming Board offices.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Okay. And then to get back to our space, does somebody from one of the offices at PSP or the PGCB have to come out and let them in, or how is that going to ---?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Either that, Commissioner, or one of our security representatives could escort them through the corridor, however, your representatives would prefer that to happen.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Thank you.

2.1

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

That was my question as well.

ATTORNEY KOHLER

Just so you can see it a little more in context, here's --- you know, you can actually see the gaming floor. This is a diagram of a plan with a little --- with a little --- smaller scale. And again, you can see, the corridor goes down beside Chickie's & Pete's. You can see --- actually see the Gaming Board office is marked on the slide and you can see it a little more in context.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

This shows the entire corridor, including the doorway at the other end and that secure corridor in between, which runs through the cage area on the left and the count room area on the right. It was just to put that corridor in larger perspective for you.

CHAIRMAN:

One other comment that I think needs to be made is that --- and I know our folks have discussed this with you, that we see this as a temporary solution. And if there is further expansion of the gaming floor and I believe it will be in our

Order that we reserve the right to have those offices relocated with direct access to the gaming floor.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Mr. O'Toole had made that same point to us during the discussion, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

2

3

4

6

10

25

Thank you. Any other questions on this specific issue? Go ahead. Proceed with the rest, Alan. Thank you.

ATTORNEY KOHLER

11 Okay. Now that we're beyond that issue, let us take a step backwards, if you will, and I guess 12 13 report two weeks later on status of our new facility. 14 Our new facility is proceeding on schedule. I think 15 as the days go by there is less and less chance that we will have an unforeseen problem, but that 16 17 possibility certainly still exists. But from 18 everything I hear, we are thrilled with --- in particular with what this facility is going to bring 19 2.0 to the Commonwealth. It is a magnificent facility. Of course we're biased about this, but certainly feel 21 22 we've set a new standard for the Commonwealth and things are --- the green light is on for our test 23 night on the 16th and opening on the 18th. 24

Chairman Fajt asked that we address the

issue of the 15th versus the 18th and maybe I just can give a brief background on that. The statute sets a 3 time limitation on how long you can have a temporary facility open. It's an initial two years and then another year with Board approval. We did get that extension. That extension by statute runs at 12:01 a.m. on December 19th. When the Board addressed this a year ago, they certainly recognized when the statutory deadline ran, but I think --- and I'm not sure of the intent, but I would suspect in an effort 10 to build in a little bit of wiggle room, they 11 established a deadline in the Order and also in our 12 1.3 Statement of Conditions for opening as December 15th. 14 As we moved closer and closer to opening, and you 15 know, as the Board members have been briefed on, the schedule is very, very tight and every day is 16 17 valuable. And we, of course, work with Board staff to 18 come up with a schedule that they can live with as 19 well. And all those efforts led to initially an 20 opening date of the 17th. So we --- our plan was when 21 we filed our petition was to have test night on the 22 16th and opening on the 17th because that was the 23 plan. And again, that in coordination with Board 24 staff, in our petition we asked for relief so that we 25 could open on the 17th or the 18th rather than the

15th, which is the date in the Board's Order and the Statement of Conditions.

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

As to the move from the 17th to the 18th, we had originally hoped to open on the 17th. I think, for business reasons, we wanted a --- you know, an open day under our belt before we hit the weekend, which is expected to be a very busy weekend. we got closer, and again, working in coordination with Board staff, it became apparent to us that that day would be more valuable to, you know, sacrifice the business experience on that day to do things like final touches, tests --- might be able to test some additional slot machines, so forth and so on. have supplemented the record with an indication that our current plan is to open on the 18th rather than the 17th. With that said --- and maybe Mr. Bonner can embellish, but with that said, the relief requested in the petition is to move from the 15th to either the 17th or the 18th.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Well stated. I'll be happy to answer any questions that you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Before we do that, does OEC have any questions?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Mr. Chairman, I just want to clarify something for the record. One of the objections to the Gaming --- location of the Gaming Board offices, in addition to its lack of closeness to the gaming floor, was that we had a concern that patrons may pass by restricted areas. Can we go back to the second slide?

ATTORNEY KOHLER

Sure.

1

2

3

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY MILLER:

When --- if a patron was to traverse the corridor leading down to the EDR, the Employer Dining 14 Room, there's a door to the right at the end of the corridor; is that correct, or south, ---

ATTORNEY BONNER:

17 South.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

--- I would say?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

South of the EDR.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Yeah.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

There will be a --- we will install a

door. 1

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ATTORNEY MILLER:

There will be a door installed. And when that door is installed, is it correct that no patron will be able to access the restricted areas of the facility unless they were to be escorted through that door? Is that correct?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

That is correct.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

So if they walk down that corridor and they're facing the Employee Dining Room, they are not able to access or see any of the restricted areas; is 14 that correct?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

That is correct, yes.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

18 Okay. That's the only question I had,

19 sir.

CHAIRMAN:

Do any members of the Board have any questions on this issue? This will conclude the public meeting. Does the OEC --- do you guys have a presentation before I conclude?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

We do not have a presentation on this
issue, Mr. Chairman. I can tell you that I was out to
the facility on Monday, walked the corridor and then
discussed it with the Chief Enforcement Counsel (CEC),
and I believe that we're satisfied that Philadelphia
Park is doing and will continue to make sure that the
offices, the Gaming Board offices, are accessible to
the public and that the public will not have access to
or even see any restricted areas.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Kohler, ---?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

We have no objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Mr. Kohler, could you discuss the other two ---

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Sure.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

--- issues that I raised, the issue of the fixed seating and the movement from --- or the installation of the 3,300 machines with the maximum of 4,000?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Let me do the number of machines first.

1 Mr. Bonner's probably in a little better position to speak to the fixed seating. When we initially got our master plan approved, at the time, our plan was to 3 open with 4,000 machines. We did --- I'm not going to get the time frame exactly right, but back in the summer, I believe, or maybe late spring, we did an Update Petition with the Board where at that time we requested, due to a number of factors, that we open with 3,300 machines. That was a number that from our 10 business perspective, you know, would serve the public, serve all our patrons very well for opening. 11 And I believe, at the suggestion of Board staff, 12 requested that we be able to go up to 4,000 machines, 13 14 and with the expectation that the business would 15 justify that without coming back with a formal petition under essentially delegated authority from 16 17 --- to your staff. 18 The Board approved --- let me take a step The Board approved opening with 3,300 machines. 19 back. 20 In our petition --- in our subsequent petition, which 21 is before you today, we asked for two forms of relief. 22 The first one, which I think is ministerial, is to go above the 3,000 machine statutory cap. There is a 23

specific requirement that you give us authorization to

go above 3,000, so that's the first thing before you

24

25

1 today. The second thing is, again, at the suggestion of Board staff, we ask for this delegated authority to go from 3,300 to 4,000 without coming back with a formal petition. And again, we would hope to do that as soon as possible.

CHAIRMAN:

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And again, Mr. Bonner, if you want to address the issue with the fixed seating.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Certainly, Mr. Chairman. We had proposed 38 tabletop machines in bar areas, 10 in the entertainment bar and 28 in our sports bar. And this is a condition that we have currently in our operating facility at a sports and poker bar, tabletop poker, where we don't have fixed seating. So we've identified those 38 seats out of the 3,300 as seats we'd like to have typical barstools in those two facilities, and that's the substance of the request before you for the non-fixed seating. It's a relatively small number of the seats in the facility.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Does Enforcement Counsel have any questions on those two issues?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

We do not, sir.

CHAIRMAN:

Do you have any presentation in addition to what you stated already?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

We do not.

CHAIRMAN:

Does the Board have any questions? will conclude this public hearing. At the conclusion of the next public hearing, we will break for deliberation.

SHORT BREAK TAKEN 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

10

12

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

We will now move into our second public 14 hearing on Greenwood Gaming's Petition for Modification of a Shuttle Bus Requirement. By way of background, previously Greenwood was approved to build 16 its permanent facility as a structure detached from the racing grandstand. Because there was a concern at the time that such a facility layout could negatively impact a number of people visiting the racing grandstand, the Board ordered and Greenwood agreed to run a 20 person handicap accessible shuttle bus 23 between the permanent facility and the grandstand, leaving each building at no greater than 20-minute intervals at all times there was a live racing or

simulcast activities. Greenwood now seeks relief from that requirement. If there are any persons offering testimony in addition to Mr. Bonner and Mr. Kohler, I'd like to ask them to step forward at this time to be sworn in. Seeing none, gentlemen, why don't you proceed with your presentation?

ATTORNEY KOHLER

3

Thank you, Chairman Fajt. And that was a 8 very good summary of the issue. What I would like to 10 do first is basically explain what we're trying to do. And it's a very limited relief as compared to what's 11 currently been ordered by the Board, and this is also 12 in our Statement of Conditions. As Chairman Fajt 1.3 14 indicated, the Board has directed that we --- you 15 know, that we run a shuttle between the new facility and the grandstand, which has a minimum of capacity of 16 17 20 passengers. And essentially as your standard shuttle bus and that's how it's described in the 18 Board's Order and the Statement of Conditions. 19 20 have experience with shuttle bus --- with shuttle 21 buses running between the facilities, have used them 22 from time to time for various purposes. And we've ---23 basically it's not been a great experience because of a number of issues, primarily emissions and noise. 24 They're big clumsy vehicles to pull up front of the

grandstand. They make a lot of noise, they get in people's way and they're --- they pollute the air.

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That experience led us to, you know, originally socialize with the OEC and eventually to file a petition asking that we have permission to transition to an electric vehicle, what's typically called in the transportation industry a tram often used at airports, churches, et cetera. They have no emissions for the most part. They're very quiet. other advantage that they have in our particular situation is while a shuttle bus, you know, would have to traverse the --- you know, the normal roadways between the two facilities, a smaller vehicle can --will be able to get from one place to another much more quickly. The typical tram has a maximum passenger capacity of 14 plus the driver, so it's a smaller vehicle. It gets around better and we think, you know, equal in comfort and probably superior in passenger --- in patron --- for patron convenience.

That's essentially where we're headed.

We're not asking for any other relief other than --
at this point other than to transition to that type of
a vehicle. The one thing I need to do this morning is
explain that we had hoped to be further along with the
specific product of the tram that we --- to propose to

you this morning. As you're fully aware, we have a 2 million things on our plate right now. And you know, 3 we have actually proposed a product for the record, but where we are right now --- and this is a change from what we said in our most recent supplement, we are going to open with a shuttle bus that's fully compliant with the Order and the Statement of Conditions. It's not in our best interest at this point to rush around to get a tram purchased and 10 delivered and try to get it running by the 18th. You know, too many things could go wrong and we've 11 essentially given up on that notion. So we will open 12 13 with a fully compliant shuttle bus between the two facilities on the 20 minute interval schedule that the 14 15 Board has ordered.

What we'd like from the Board this morning is since we have, I think, pretty comprehensively presented on the record what we'd like to do in the future, is have the Board delegate authority to staff to either approve the product that we've presented on the record or another product that we find to be superior as things progress, so that we can move fairly quickly to that alternative when we're ready. And you know, we want to get the place open, let things settle down for a while. We can lease the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shuttle bus that --- and provide services fully
compliant for as long as we need it, but you know, as
soon as we're ready and identify a product that we
think is most beneficial for patrons, move to that
without a lot of delay and hopefully subject to the
approval of Executive Director O'Toole and other
members of Board staff.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

I can't add anything to it, Mr. Chairman.
Mr. Kohler stated it accurately.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Before we take questions from the Board, OEC, do you have any questions for Greenwood Gaming on this issue?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

Just a few brief ones. Mr. Kohler, you said that you will now adhere to the condition imposed with regard to the shuttle bus except for the fact that it will be an electric type vehicle rather than a normal bus; is that right?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Well, to be clear, at opening we will adhere completely, but we are requesting that we be permitted, under delegated authority, to transition to a vehicle as it relates to the Statement of

Conditions, the only exception being passenger capacity. There was no requirement in the Order or Statement of Conditions that it be, you know, a gas engine, so that --- our plan is to move from gas to electric, but that's not --- we don't need specific relief from the Board for that.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

But in your petition you initially requested relief from the --- from having a fixed schedule, leaving every 20 minutes. You're no longer interested in pursuing that relief; are you?

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

Well, not right now. Let me explain that issue, since Mr. Miller's raised it. What we propose is that we do it on call or demand rather than a fixed schedule on 20-minute intervals. We think that's a better alternative for patrons, and you know, it becomes, I think, particularly --- you know, more practical with a smaller vehicle where you can get 20 back and forth quickly. So we initially proposed that. We got some resistance from the OEC and we 22 backed off. I mean, if you want us to run it on 20-minute intervals, we will run it on 20-minute intervals. We may ask you at some point to switch to call or demand. We continue to believe that's a

1 better alternative, but today and for opening we're going to run the thing on 20 minute intervals until we have approval otherwise.

ATTORNEY MILLER:

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And therefore, the only thing that you're asking the Board to delegate the authority to approve is the type of vehicle that will be used to transport. That's your request today; is that true?

ATTORNEY KOHLER

That's correct.

CHAIRMAN:

Does the OEC have a presentation in addition to the questions you just asked?

ATTORNEY MILLER:

We do not, sir. I think the record speaks for itself. The filings from Philadelphia Park, from Greenwood, show photographs and descriptions of the vehicle that they are proposing for this shuttle service, and I think they speak for themselves.

CHAIRMAN:

With that, I'd like to open it up for questions from the Board. Commissioner Sojka?

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Yes, I've got a few. And all of my

questions go back to the issue of trying to make this 1 entire facility as clearly a Category 1 Facility as we 3 possibly can. If you recall, we struggled at one point with the concept of the two buildings and we began with a fixed, covered architectural connection between the buildings. And you petitioned to take that way and we agreed with it. But some of us, I think, we're concerned that we didn't want this to become two clearly separate entities. I should let 10 you know that I find some of your proposals relating to a tram or an electric vehicle to be very appealing 11 on the grounds that you are still, I assume, planning 12 13 to keep Smarty Jones Way the landscaped path, if you 14 will, between the two facilities open; right, that's 15 still part of your plan?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

That's correct.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Is there any possibility --- are you thinking about the fact and just haven't expressed it that possibly these trams will run along Smarty Jones Way?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

We've thought about that. The pathway is ten-foot wide, decorative stamped concrete path with

landscaping and a couple of gazebos along the way. 1 2 We've thought about it. We don't know how much pedestrian traffic there will be and whether it might 3 create a hazard to run the shuttle there. Our current plan, Commissioner, is to run the shuttle along a side road that abuts the building pretty closely that is not that pedestrian walkway. And if we were to move to a shuttle, I think one thing we'd like to investigate is whether driving the tram on that 10 walkway like they do on the boardwalk down the shore might be a better way to do it. So we've considered 11 it, but we haven't decided. 12

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And that's fine. We can't ask you to do more than that at this point, I don't think. The side road that you're talking about using or that's currently at the front of your thinking, is there other vehicular traffic regularly on that road, or is that something you control?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

The side road is actually a --- it's a parking area that abuts the curb that is the closest point of the parking area to the building for handicap parking, but there's a travel cartway that the cars need to use to get to those parking spaces. And the

shuttle bus, or the electric cart would be able to use that cartway, so it's part of a parking lot loop system.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

But not a public street or anything ---?
ATTORNEY BONNER:

No, sir. No, it's part of --- it's actually part of the parking lot facility.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Well, obviously you can see where some of us are trying --- or at least where I'm trying to go, and that is to try to keep your buildings as tightly connected as a single facility as possible to keep it clearly in my mind and I think in others a Category 1. So I'm pleased that now we have the situation where you've agreed to the conditions as stated, but I think it's now also clear that if you come back to us and ask to change the schedule or anything of that sort, this whole issue can then come up again; is that correct, that we would then talk about shuttle buses and different routes and all of that, and that that might be something we would consider in regard to your request maybe to change to a user only ---?

ATTORNEY KOHLER

Sure. I mean, we're all for --- you

1 know, I imagine once --- whether it be a shuttle bus
2 or a tram as we gain experience and you gain
3 experience with it there's always room for
4 improvement. I think our objectives are identical, so
5 I don't know --- you know, certainly the service can
6 evolve.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Chair?

CHAIRMAN:

Yes, ---

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

I have ---

CHAIRMAN:

--- Commissioner?

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

you withdrawing then your petition as it now stands, your petition that a requirement that upon opening the facility that you run a shuttle but between --- for persons and also that you request the availability of tram transportation between the two facilities be limited to periods in which there is live racing in the grandstand? So I'm just unclear whether you're asking --- you're withdrawing your petition and then suggesting an oral petition for us to then delegate

the authority?

2

1

3

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You can look at it either way, but the way it sort of proceeded --- the way it evolved procedurally is we originally filed the petition where we asked for a few items of relief. We then filed a supplement to the petition last week, I want to say, which modified that and essentially just asked for approval of the tram service. At that time, when we filed the supplement we were hopeful that we could actually have the tram running by the 18th. We had identified a product, which we submitted to the Board, and we had confirmed that we could get delivery by the 18th. Since the time we've filed that supplement and over the last couple days, it's just become clear it's too much. And I could have filed another supplement late last evening. It seemed to me that probably the best course under the circumstances was just to present the modification to our request orally. don't think we care whether you consider it an oral petition or a supplement to the original petition, but just so you're clear on what relief we're requesting now.

ATTORNEY KOHLER

CHAIRMAN:

Other questions? I've got a couple quick

questions. We had some discussions in Executive 1 2 Session yesterday on the route of the shuttle. And as 3 I understand it, and those in the audience who aren't familiar with the facility, the grandstand and racing track is behind the proposed new casino. And it was our understanding --- we weren't clear what the route of the shuttle bus was going to be, whether it was going to be from the back of the casino to the front of the racetrack or whether there was going to be a 10 loop to go around to the front of the casino, then to the back of the casino, then to the grandstand --- or 11 12 the racetrack. So could you address that a little bit? 13

ATTORNEY BONNER:

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Certainly, Chairman. Our present thinking is that the loop would run from the portico share of the grandstand, which is the existing casino, directly to what we call the west entry of the casino, which is where --- that's the point where the pedestrian walkway connects to the casino.

CHAIRMAN:

What I refer is the back of the casino. It's the west; right?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Right.

CHAIRMAN:

Got it.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

So the point is to run the tram on the shortest route to get patrons from the grandstand to the new casino building on the shortest route in the quickest time. Now, if there's a demand to go around to the front, we certainly could consider adding that service, but that's not in our present thinking.

CHAIRMAN:

Mr. Kohler, you had talked about opening, obviously, with the gas-powered shuttle, and you know, asking for some authority, delegation of authority, to staff to approve an appropriate emission-free shuttle. I wasn't clear on the timing of that. Can you give me a sense --- are you talking about a month? Are you talking about six months to switching out the gas powered to the emission free?

ATTORNEY KOHLER

I think we were thinking in the area of a couple months. I mean, I think to some extent it's --- we're all playing it by ear. We need to get this place open. There's going --- you know, you've opened many casinos, so you're fully aware that there's things needing ironed out after you open. And you go

through a period where you just need to address those things, but at the same time, you know, for lack of a better word, relax a little and let the business side 3 take its course. And you know, I don't know that we can identify exactly how long that period is going to We also are going to --- we proposed a product, be. but we're also going to continue to look for additional products. I mean, we're looking at alternatives between open air with an enclosure, which 10 is one kind of tram, or enclosed. I mean, we like the open-air tram. It'll be, you know, we think better 11 for seven months out of the year. And you know, while 12 we try to make a quick decision on that, we now, you 13 14 know, are going to take a step back, make sure we've 15 chosen the right product.

And of course, we're going to put that before you. And I would speculate that it'll be in the next couple months. Again, the only thing we're really asking for at this point is that we are able to put it before staff quickly rather than a petition as an answer period and a potential hearing before the whole Board.

CHAIRMAN:

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

My last question, are both of these facilities handicap accessible?

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

2.0

21

22

ATTORNEY KOHLER:

They're all --- all the alternatives will be handicap accessible. We know the Board wants that and the ADA requires it.

CHAIRMAN:

Other questions from the Board? 8 you, Mr. Bonner and Mr. Kohler. We're going to take a 10 break right now for quasijudicial deliberations on these matters. I know we have some guests here from 11 12 the State Police, the Office of Attorney General 13 (OAG), Department of Revenue. Thank you for your 14 patience. My sense is that we'll be gone somewhere in 15 the neighborhood of 10 to 15 minutes, come back and then we'll proceed with our regular public meeting. 16 17 And we expect that you will do your presentations within five or ten minutes after we start that public 18 19 meeting.

SHORT BREAK TAKEN

CHAIRMAN:

We'll now commence with our regular 23 scheduled public meeting. The first order of business 2.4 is old business and announcements. By way of 25 announcements, the Board held an Executive Session on

1 December the 8th, and of course, just prior to this 2 meeting. Both Executive Sessions were held in 3 accordance with the Sunshine Act. The purpose of yesterday's Executive Session was to discuss personnel-related issues, pending litigation and to conduct quasijudicial deliberations relating to matters pending before the Board. The purpose of today's Executive Session was to conduct quasijudicial deliberations relative to the Greenwood Gaming 10 hearings held earlier today. Moving along to minutes of transcripts. May I have a motion to approve the 11 12 minutes and transcript of the October 21, 2009 13 meeting? 14 MR. ANGELI: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve 16 the minutes and transcript of the October 21, 2009 17 meeting. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 All in favor? 20 ALL SAY AYE 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Opposed? Motion passes. Moving on to 23 new business. I'd like to introduce our Executive 24 Director, Kevin O'Toole, to provide his report. 25 MR. O'TOOLE:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. I would like to report on the revenue figures for the month of November 2009. The nine operating casinos generated gross terminal revenue of \$166 million during November. This represents a 26.7 percent increase in revenue as compared to November of 2008. This revenue generated over \$91 million in tax receipts for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. It is encouraging to see the market for casino entertainment continuing to grow in Pennsylvania.

2.4

Also in November, former Chair of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board, Mary DiGiacomo Colins, received a special recognition award from the Council on Compulsive Gambling of Pennsylvania. Mary was instrumental, along with Commissioners Coy and McCabe, in establishing very comprehensive responsible gambling regulations. The Board's Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling does an outstanding job in monitoring the casino's efforts to ensure a strong commitment in responsible gambling programs and initiatives. I would like to specifically recognize Nann Horner, Liz Lanza, Elizabeth Birch and Daria Manning for their dedicated work for the Board's Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling. That concludes my remarks for today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Any questions from the Board? Thank you Next up we have Claire Yantis, our Director of Human Resources to give her report. Welcome, Claire.

MS. YANTIS:

Good morning, Chairman, members of the Board. We have before you today a motion to consider the hiring of James Armstrong as an Assistant 10 Enforcement Counsel in the OEC Eastern Region. Armstrong has completed the PGCB interview process, 12 background investigation and drug screening and is being recommended for hire by Chief Enforcement Counsel, Cyrus Pitre. As such I ask that you consider a motion to hire Mr. Armstrong as indicated.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions, comments from the Board? not, can I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the hiring of the agency staff as proposed on the condition that the employee has completed the necessary background investigation and drug screening tests.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,
Claire. Next up is David Rhen to present the Gaming
Control Board budget. We also have a guest from the
three other agencies involved in the regulation of
gaming to present or answer any questions from the
Board regarding their individual budgets. Dave, why
don't you get us started on the Gaming Control Board
budget, please?

MR. RHEN:

Thank you. Section 1202(b)(29) of Title 4 charges the Board with a duty to prepare, through the Governor, submit annually, to the General Assembly and itemize budget including recommendations for the State Police, the Department of Revenue and Attorney General, as to the amount needed to fulfill their obligations under the Act. Today I'll present the Gaming Control Board's budget request and representatives of our sister agencies will follow

with their budget presentations. If you approve these budgets, the next step would be to forward them to the Governor's Budget Office for consideration and inclusion in the Governor's request to the legislator.

2

3

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As you know, the most significant cost driver of the budgetary requirements of the regulatory agencies is the number of licensed casinos --licensed locations to be regulated. Nine licensed venues are currently open. For budgeting purposes we assuming the addition of three new locations in fiscal year 2010/'11, including Sugarhouse in Philadelphia and the two resort locations. In addition to the opening of the new facilities, the other significant cost driver is an increase of approximately 30 percent in the cost of Employee Health Benefits. increase is largely the result of an agreement between the Commonwealth and the various unions to reduce the Commonwealth's employer contributions to the Pennsylvania Employees Benefit Trust Fund for the active Employees Health Care Benefits Program for a 15-month period beginning last fiscal year and ending this fiscal year. This agreement was reached in order to deal with the general fund shortfall last fiscal year. The agreement calls for repayment to occur in 15 equal monthly payments beginning in September 2010,

thus causing a significant increase to our health benefit factor during fiscal year 2011.

2

3 The Gaming Board's fiscal year 2010/'11 budget request is \$38,558,000. Included in this request is a contingency for the regulation of table games should legislation approve gaming expansion pass the legislator. Ninety-two (92) percent of the budgeting increase requested in 2010/'11 can be contributed to the potential introduction of table 10 games, health benefit costs increases for current staff and the addition of 21 Casino Compliance 11 Representatives necessary to staff the three new 12 13 casinos expected to open next fiscal year. 14 components of the overall budget, personnel costs are 15 budgeted at \$31.7 million or 82 percent of the Board's request. The operating costs are budgeted at \$6.9 16 17 million or 18 percent of the overall request. 18 components of the operating budget, real estate and 19 office equipment leases consist of 28 percent and are 20 the largest category of operating expenses. second largest category is 25 percent for 21 22 investigative costs. This includes database searches, fingerprint fees and travel costs related to 23 24 background investigations. Services including vendor 25 assistance with slots and development, interagency

1 billings, such as comptroller services, payroll, data processing and legal services accounts for 21 percent of operating expenditures. In total we are requesting 3 a 2.5 percent increase to operating expenditures. This is due entirely to the anticipated costs of investigating additional applicants brought on by the potential for table games and the opening of three new casinos. All other operating expenditures will decline by four percent versus the current year budget 10 due to ongoing cost-cutting efforts. That concludes my remarks on the PGCB budget. 11

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from any of the members on our budget? Dave, I have one. If you could just for the record --- I don't know if you have the overall sheet, the all-agency sheet. Do you have that handy?

MR. RHEN:

Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

If you could just review that at a very 21 high level, total budget and then the different components of each of the agencies and then just, again, address the total percentage change down at the very bottom.

MR. RHEN:

Okay. The total all-agency budget, 1 including cost, PSP, DOR and Attorney General, is 2 3 approximately \$69 million. In the current year it's approximately \$59 million, so the overall increase is 17.4 percent. Amongst all agencies 76 percent goes for personnel and then an additional 24 percent for operating expenses.

CHAIRMAN:

And could you just review the individual components of that \$69 million?

MR. RHEN:

Sure. As I had said in my presentation, 13 PGCB is \$39 million, Department of Revenue is going to 14 have a request of \$10 million. Attorney General, \$1 15 million and State Police \$19 and a half million.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions? If not, can I have a motion to approve the Gaming Control Board budget?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board approve the 2010/2011 Gaming Control Board budget as described by the budget ---.

CHAIRMAN:

24 Sorry. I lost --- was there a motion and

25 a second?

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

when you're ready.

2

3

10

11

12

13

MR. FREDERICK:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members of the Board. My name's Scott Frederick. I'm Fiscal Director for the Pennsylvania State Police. To my right is Captain Tim Allue, Director of the Gaming Enforcement Office. I'm here today to present to you the Pennsylvania State Police Gaming Enforcement Office's fiscal year 2010/'11 budget. The Gaming Enforcement Office's personnel costs are budgeted at \$18,256,000 and are operating a fixed asset cost budgeted at \$1,279,000 for a total budget of \$19,535,000.

14 In accordance with the September 23rd, 15 2009 memo from Kevin O'Toole this budget supports the operation of a headquarters unit and 12 casino sites. 16 17 Currently each casino site is adequately staffed to 18 ensure the safety of patrons and security of casino operations. These staffing levels have previously 19 20 been agreed upon by the Governor's office, members of the General Assembly and the Gaming Control Board. 21 22 However, it should be noted that additional coverage 23 is also provided to the casinos by the local State 24 Police barracks at times when Gaming Enforcement 25 personnel are not on the site. This coverage is

provided on an as-needed basis and is not reimbursed or included in our budget requests. I thank you for your time and consideration of our request and we're available to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN:

McCabe?

Questions from the Board? Commissioner

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

First, Captain Allue, welcome. And I just want to commend you and your people for the outstanding working relationship that has developed between your Troopers at the casinos and our PGCB and CCRs. I've traveled to all the casinos and we have an outstanding working relationship. I have a question.

With the proposed legislation pending about table games, I know we're considering hiring more people, CCRs, for the casinos. I see in your budget you're maintaining your level at 11 Troopers.

Do you anticipate coming in for a Supplemental Request to up the Trooper level if table games are, in fact, passed and the casinos introduce table games?

MR. ALLUE:

Yes, Commissioner. My name is Captain

Tim Allue, A-L-L-U-E, and I'm the Director of Gaming

for the State Police. At this point, sir, after

evaluating our present staffing, our present workload at the casinos, in addition talking to other states 2 3 with table game venues, it's been determined at this point in consideration of the totality of the fiscal concerns that we will attempt to maintain the same complement. Now, we will continually evaluate and if table games would prove to be too difficult to deal with, with present complement, then we would ask for some supplemental. We do anticipate --- the 10 indications I'm getting from some of the other states is that we may not necessarily experience significant 11 increase in the number of crimes, however, the 12 investigations themselves will be considerably more 13 14 complex and --- being much more time-consuming. So at 15 this point --- out of fiscal prudence and in consideration of other factors including our own 16 17 department wide complement, at this point we're going to attempt to maintain complement as is. Thank you. 18

CHAIRMAN:

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

I have one question. How far in advance do you hire your staff in advance of opening a facility? What's the kind of lead time on that?

MR. ALLUE:

Typically we bring the Sergeant 25 Supervisor in four or five months. And the reason for

that is to interview. We have to post positions for 2 the staff. And in addition, as the Board knows, there 3 is considerable work involved in casino operations and hiring for the casinos prior to opening and namely the hiring of employees for the casino. And we oftentimes will have anywhere from 500 or even 600 or 700 to a thousand employees that we would have to fingerprint, do the processing, work with your BIE to get them the appropriate information they need for the background 10 investigations. So, we bring the Sergeant in usually about five months in advance, a Corporal another 11 supervisor in usually about three months in advance 12 and a couple of Troopers, maybe two or three Troopers, 13 14 even --- about three months in advance as well to do 15 the fingerprinting. Fingerprinting four years ago was very difficult, but we are in a position this year 16 where we already have the live scan devices for the 17 18 next two casinos, so those are already in our 19 possession. We can have those installed. We have a 20 very good system whereby we have those devices installed in the Human Resource Centers for the 21 22 casinos prior to opening. We can process the 23 employees and we have a virtually seamless process 24 right now for doing the --- getting that valuable 25 information to the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you. Any questions?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Just one, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN:

Yes.

COMMISSIONER COY:

I wasn't going to ask any questions, just actually make a remark. Captain Allue specifically, thank you for your willingness to work together with our folks. It's apparent that you go out of your way to do that and I think the present relationships that have been established and developed are going to reflect this. So, I thank you very much. And I would echo the same, because I think we have a true partnership between our two agencies. And the CCRs at the sites and our Troopers at the sites were very much in partnership. We have a pretty significant case out at The Meadows and I would commend two of your employees, if I may by name, Mr. Jerry Stall (phonetic) and Joshua Hofrichter. They were just very instrumental in our investigation and we could not have a better relationship with the CCRs and on-site employees as well as your headquarter staff. Very positive partnership.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

2.4

If I could ask just one really very quick question just for clarification. There's a fairly large increase in what's labeled radio equipment. Is that tied in to some degree with the fact that you're trying to hold staff or is this some other ---?

MR. ALLUE:

The radio expenses are part of the Commonwealth-wide radio network and it's been a standard we've sort of established since the very first casino and we're working in unison with the Office of Administration, and a Commonwealth radio is involved in that process. It's the 800 megahertz statewide radio system.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

And ---?

MR. ALLUE:

And it requires a cell tower in functionality within the casino because casino construction is such that law enforcement as a whole --- as a matter of fact, emergency services for a whole are moving very much towards an 800 megahertz radio system and to have the bubble facility of that size with millions of visitors a year, if it is out of radio communication with all of the emergency service

58 providers, would really be a public safety hazard. 1 2 ATTORNEY SOJKA: 3 So that's really --- that number's really driven by the fact that we have new facilities coming on? 6 MR. ALLUE: Correct, sir. ATTORNEY SOJKA: 8 9 Thank you. 10 CHAIRMAN: 11 With that, may I have a motion for approval of the budget? 12 13 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 14 Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board 15 approve the 2010/'11 Gaming Regulatory Budget as 16 presented by the Pennsylvania State Police. 17 CHAIRMAN: Second? 18 ATTORNEY SOJKA: 19 20 Second. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 All in favor? 23 ALL SAY AYE 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you very

much, gentlemen. Next up we have the Department of Revenue. I know Dave Barasch is here and Stacie
Amsler. Welcome.

MR. BARASCH:

2

3

4

5

6

9

Good morning, Chairman Fajt and Board

Members. I'm going to turn this over to Stacie, who's

our fiscal --- Director of our Fiscal Office to

describe our budget for you.

MS. AMSLER:

10 Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of My name is Stacie Amsler. I'm the Fiscal 11 the Board. 12 Officer for the Department of Revenue and I'd like to 13 thank you for allowing me to present the Department's 14 budget request for fiscal year '10/'11 for your 15 approval. As you know, under Act 71 the Department is tasked with the procurement and operation of the 16 17 central control computer system as well as the collection and distribution of the taxes and 18 assessments imposed by the Act. Additionally, we 19 20 perform audits of the venues and provide the Board 21 with clearance checks. In order to complete these 22 tasks, we are requesting total funding for '10/'11 of just over \$10 million. Our funding is distributed as 23 24 follows, \$1,826,000 to fund our salaries and benefits 25 of 25 people and \$8,191,000 for operating costs.

operating costs include the costs of the Central Control System, which totals \$6.3 million for operation and there's an additional \$1.4 million for any change orders to change that system. We've also included \$300,000 for audit costs for the Comptroller and Auditor General. Travel, bank fees and other supplies make up the additional \$161,000. I'd like to thank you for the opportunity to allow me to present our department's budget and would be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIRMAN:

2.4

Questions for Stacie? I have two. In your operating budget, the central control computer system is listed at \$6.3 million, which has been, as I understand it, pretty standard since the inception of the central computer system. The change order line item is the one I wanted to ask about, Stacie. \$1.4 million, again, it just seemed a little bit high. Could you talk a little bit about what that is and why the number's \$1.4 million?

MS. AMSLER:

About a year ago, maybe two years ago we discussed server-based gaming, so this is a provision in there in case we would make any major changes to the system.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm sorry. I ---.

MS. AMSLER:

Server based gaming was brought up maybe two years ago, so we have a contingent in here to cover that if we would have to change the CCS to allow for that type of change.

CHAIRMAN:

And my second question is, the 1401 account, the drawdowns this year, are they on target to cover the expenses of the PSP, DOR and Attorney General?

MS. AMSLER:

Yes, they are.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any other questions? If not, could I have a motion to approve the Department of Revenue budget?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board approve the 2010/'ll Gaming Regulatory Budget as just presented by the Department of Revenue.

MR. ANGELI:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 2

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passed. Thank you very

much.

1

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

2.4

25

MR. BARASCH:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Next up and last on the budget is the budget of the Attorney General. And Dave, if you could introduce our guests from the Attorney General's office?

MR. RHEN:

From the Attorney General's office Yes. we have Richard Sheetz, the Executive Deputy Attorney General for the Criminal Division, Assistant Erik Olsen, Chief Deputy Attorney General and Sheri Phillips, Director of Management Services.

MR. SHEETZ:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman and other 21 members of the Board. Thank you for inviting us here today and with me --- to my right is Sherri Phillips 23 who's Director of our Management Services. And to my left is Erik Olsen who is Chief Deputy Attorney General and he supervises the activities of our Gaming Unit. We're here this morning to request for fiscal year 2010/'11 a budget of \$1,073,000. And of that the personnel component would be \$804,000. The operating part would be \$269,000. We currently have a complement of four Special Agents in this unit with one Clerk Typist and a Deputy Attorney General position.

As I think you're aware, last year we 8 requested \$1,075,000 and it was recently approved by 10 the legislature. We got \$914,000. With this request this year we think we could add an additional Special 11 Agent, which would kind of balance out our complement 12 13 in the state. We have two Agents in the east and one 14 in the west and a Supervisory Agent over all of those. 15 As I stated in the past, we believe that it's the goal of our rather limited unit to engage in the more 16 17 complex cases and to ensure that there's no 18 infiltration of organized crime or any other similar entities into the gaming industry in Pennsylvania. 19 20 And we believe that we can accomplish that with this 21 budget. If you have any questions, we'd be glad to 22 answer them. Erik can surely help with those, too. 23 Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

McCabe?

24

25

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

3 investigations. Do you coordinate your investigations 4 with the State Troopers?

Yes, I have one about your

MR. SHEETZ:

Yes, we do. We have a good relationship with the Troopers and also the Compliance Agents in the casinos and we get information from them.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Questions? With that, can I
have a motion to approve the Attorney General's
budget?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Chairman, I move that the Board approve the 2010/2011 Gaming Regulatory Budget by the Attorney General's Office.

CHAIRMAN:

18 Second?

MR. ANGELI:

20 Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

5

6

9

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passed. Thank you very

much. Thank you, Dave and thank you for our other guests. Next up we have our Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt and members of the Board. Our first two matters on the agenda relate to proposed regulations and Final-form Regulations. Director of Regulatory Review, Richard Sandusky, will present both of these items.

MR. SANDUSKY:

Good morning. The first regulation for consideration by the Board is proposed regulation number 125-108. This rulemaking is a fairly comprehensive rewrite of Chapter 433A related to Principle Licensing. This has been worked on by the Bureau of Licensing over a number of months and it's designed to accomplish two major goals. The first is to reorganize and clarify the chapter so that it'll be easier for entities out there who are involved in the gaming industry to determine whether or not they will be required to obtain a Principal License. The second major goal that BOL had in this revision was to codify existing policy decisions that had been made by the Bureau of Licensing pertaining to who should be licensed as a principal. If there are any questions

on the proposed rulemaking I'd be happy to try and respond to them, and if not, we'd ask for a motion for 3 adoption of the proposed rulemaking.

CHAIRMAN:

Comments? If not, could I have a motion,

6 please?

4

5

8

11

12

14

15

20

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt the proposed regulation number 125-108. And the Board 10 establish a public period in this case about the proposed regulation.

COMMISSIONER COY:

Second. 13

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 16

17 CHAIRMAN:

18 Opposed? Motion passes. Next.

19 MR. SANDUSKY:

The second rulemaking for consideration 21 by the Board today is Final-form Rulemaking 125-103. 22 This rulemaking contains a number of amendments that 23 were designed to clarify various reporting 24 requirements, add some additional flexibility on the 25 part of Licensees and conform the regulations of the

1 Board to industry practices. Noteworthy in the package, we've broadened the scope of the type of disputes that patrons, you know, can come to the Board 3 and hopefully the CCRs will be able to help them resolve. And it contains a number of more minor provisions such as updating the signage for ATM machines. This rulemaking was adopted by the Board on July 15th of this year and published in the Pennsylvania Bulletin in August with a 30 day comment 10 period. Three sets of comments were received, one from International Gaming Technology, which had no 11 12 objections to the rulemaking. One set of comments 13 from Downs Racing, raising some questions and offering 14 some suggestions for revision, and comments by the 15 Independent Regulatory Review Commission.

In response to the comments the Board staff has made a couple of changes. The most noteworthy, the one clarifying the requirement for the self-exclusion photo computer gallery to make it easier for both their surveillance department and for our CCRs to access and be able to search through that electronic file. The Board also made some changes in light of some informal comments it had received concerning requirements for the mantraps. These are the entrances between the gaming floor and the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

cashier's cage and count room. Because of changes in technology, you know, our regulations were initially 3 written in terms of doors with keys and locks, now most are switching to electronic systems, the revision --- additional revisions that we have made will enable, in fact, Philadelphia Park at their new casino to implement a more advanced technology, you know, on their doors. If there are any questions on this final form rulemaking, I'd be happy to respond to them. 10 if not, we'd ask for a motion for adoption of the final form rulemaking. 11 12 COMMISSIONER COY: 13 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board adopt the 14 proposed regulation 125-103. 15 MR. GINTY: Second. 16 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor. 18 19 ALL SAY AYE 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, 22 Richard. 23 MR. SANDUSKY: 24 Thank you. 25 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Chairman, the Board has five petitions 1 pending before it for consideration today. 2 3 those petitions are scheduled based upon the documentary record. In each of those cases the Board has been provided with the petition, the response of pleadings of enforcement Counsel, any additional pleadings or briefs, as well as all support and evidentiary materials. All parties to those proceedings have also been notified that the Board is 10 considering their matter today and that they have the right to be present to address any questions, which 11 the Board may have. In addition we have the two 12 13 petitions, which were subject to the earlier hearings 14 involving Greenwood Gaming.

Proceeding to the first, it's that of
Downs Racing and it's a request to amend the gaming
floor plan. Downs has requested that a dedicated faux
wood pathway to connect the lobby area of phase one of
the gaming floor to its recently renovated banquet
facility. The pathway would be 171 feet long and
about 6 feet wide. They are also requesting to remove
the new pathway and the phase one entry area from the
gaming floor, the surface area of about 2,300 and some
odd square feet. Downs is requesting to reclassify
the area as non-gaming space in order to permit

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

underage persons to legally traverse that area to access a banquet in the event facility, which is in that structure. Downs agrees to notify the Bureau of Casino Compliance within 48 hours of any event, which may be attended by individuals under the age of 21 as well as to post signs during any event occurring in the banquet or event facility. Again, that's in order to assure that those minors remain on that faux wood pathway and do not leave into the carpeted area where slot machines are operated.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

In addition, any security which --- or additional security will also be provided as needed for large events which could include the presence of minors. And finally Downs has agreed to not host events of the type which would encourage participation or attendance by a large number of minors or persons under the age of 21. The OEC has not objected to the petition, but does recommend that certain conditions be met and those six conditions have been set forth in the Board's materials and would be incorporated in the 21 Board's Order if approved. The OCC recommends that with the inclusion of those six conditions that it would be appropriate for the Board to consider and approve that petition.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from Enforcement Counsel? I note Counsel for Downs Racing is also here. questions from members of the Board on this issue? Ιf not, I'll entertain a motion, please.

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the petition of Downs Racing subject to the conditions as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

10 Second?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

15 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

5

6

9

11

12

13

14

16

19

20

CHAIRMAN:

17 Opposed? Motion passes.

18 ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The next petition before the Board is Taylor International's Petition for Removal from the 21 Prohibited Vendor List. Taylor International is a 22 | Nevada corporation, which provides construction 23 materials and services. They filed their sponsor 24 Vendor Registration form with the Board in September 25 of 2008. Upon review of the application the Bureau of

1 Licensing advised Taylor that it needed to provide additional responses to four more questions. 3 According to the Bureau of Licensing, Taylor did not timely respond and as a result they were placed on the prohibited vendor list. After notifying --- receiving notification from the Board that they were on that list, Taylor corrected the omissions and at this time all outstanding information has been received. OEC's filings have not objected to the petition to be 10 removed from the prohibited vendor list, however, the OEC has recommended that the Board condition a removal 11 12 on the payment of a \$1,500 civil penalty. And that would, in fact, be consistent with other such 13 14 removals. Since Taylor has complied with all requests 15 from the Bureau of Licensing and there's no current objection by the OEC, we would suggest it would be 16 17 appropriate for the Board to consider that petition at this time. 18

CHAIRMAN:

19

2.0

21

22

23

2.4

25

Counsel?

Questions or comments from Enforcement

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We'll note that Counsel for Taylor has delivered the check to me and I will hand it over to our Budget Office once the meeting is over.

CHAIRMAN: I'll note for the record that we have

1

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

I'll note for the record that we ha
Counsel for Taylor present.

ATTORNEY JONES:

Good morning, Chairman, Board members.

Marie Jones from Fox Rothschild here on behalf of
Taylor International. I'd be happy to address any
questions you may have.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions from the Board? Seeing none, could I have a motion to approve this petition?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Yes, Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the Petition of Taylor International Corporation for Removal from the Prohibited Vendors List as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

18 Second?

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

23 ALL SAY AYE

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

1

The third and final petition being 2 3 considered on a documentary basis is that of Sands Sands Bethworks has filed a Petition for Bethworks. Waiver of Licensing Condition Number 59. Initially Sands had the maximum complement of 3,000 slot machines at the time of its May 22nd, 2009 opening. Since that time they have increased the number of their machines by 250 with Board approval to 3,250. 10 Statement of condition 59 as originally written provides that Sands submit an application to the Board 11 to install and operate 2,000 additional slot machines, 12 making a total of 5,000 machines within six months 13 14 following the date of completion of its slot --- or 15 date of commencement of its slot operations. has alleged in the petition presently before the Board 16 the current business demands do not warrant the 17 operation of the additional 1,750 machines at this 18 19 time and therefore I think more as a matter of 20 housekeeping have requested that the Board amend that 21 statement of condition so that, in fact, they're not 22 in noncompliance. The OEC has reviewed the matter and 23 filed an Answer, which does not object to the relief 24 The matter based upon the record is requested. 25 appropriate for the Board's consideration at this

	75
1	time.
2	CHAIRMAN:
3	Any additional comments from Enforcement,
4	Counsel?
5	ATTORNEY JONES:
6	No.
7	CHAIRMAN:
8	I note that we do have representatives
9	from Sands present. Any questions or comments from
10	the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?
11	ATTORNEY SOJKA:
12	Yes, Mr. Chairman. I move that the Board
13	grant the petition of Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC for
14	waiver of licensing condition number 59 as described
15	by Chief Counsel.
16	CHAIRMAN:
17	Second?
18	COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:
19	Second.
20	CHAIRMAN:
21	All in favor?
22	ALL SAY AYE
23	CHAIRMAN:
24	Opposed? Motion passed. Thank you.
25	MR. SANDUSKY:

Thank you very much Board members.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

Thank you.

ATTORNEY BONNER:

Now, turning to the two matters which the Board had heard earlier today during public hearing, Greenwood Gaming, the first is the Petition for Regulatory Approval of Pre-requisite to Opening of the Permanent Facility. Those were the four items that had been addressed during the first hearing earlier. The OCC has prepared a proposed Order, which Deputy Chief Counsel, Steve Cook, will read into the record for the Board's consideration and motion.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Morning, Chairman, members of the Board. 15 The Order should --- or will read as follows. 16 17 this ninth day of December 2009 in response to 18 Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. doing business as Philadelphia Park Casino and Racetracks, 19 20 October 14th, 2009 Petition for Regulatory 21 Pre-requisite to Opening of Permanent Facility and the 22 supplements filed thereto, the Board hereby orders and directs as follows. One, Greenwood may continue with 23 24 its phase transition from its temporary facility to 25 its new permanent facility according to the schedule

as agreed to with the Bureau of Gaming Operations and 2 Department of Revenue. Two, Greenwood may commence 3 slot operations on or before December 18th, 2009 with 3,300 slot machines and a gaming floor of 128,855 square feet as depicted in floor plan op one update three filed with the Board's clerk as supplement five on November 30th, 2009 pending a successful completion of a test period and provided it satisfied the following conditions. That Greenwood has submitted to 10 the Bureau of Gaming Operations all amendments to its internal controls necessary to commence operations at 11 its new facility. That Greenwood, pursuant to 58 Pa 12 13 Code 4638.5, has provided the Bureau of Gaming 14 Laboratory Operations and Bureau of Gaming Operations 15 with a slot machine master list containing cross 16 reference to the designated number assigned to each 17 machine on the gaming floor. That Greenwood provides the Board with written confirmation from the 18 19 Department of Revenue that all slot machines are 20 connected to and properly communicating with the central computer control system. That Greenwood 21 22 obtain written confirmation from the Director of the 23 Bureau of Casino Compliance approving the surveillance 24 system at the new facility. And that the system has 25 been inspected and that there is adequate and

effective surveillance coverage of activities on the gaming floor.

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That Greenwood obtains written confirmation that the Director of the Office of Compulsive and Problem Gaming has approved Greenwood's Compulsive and Problem Gambling Plan. That Greenwood provides the Board, pursuant to Section 1303D of the Gaming Act, with written confirmation that it has a written live racing agreement with the Horsemen's Organization. That Greenwood provides the Board with written confirmation at its permanent facility prepared in all respects to receive the public and meets the occupancy, fire, panic and all other applicable building code requirements of both state and local agencies. And that Greenwood has received authorization to commence slot operations from the Board or any and all members thereof delegated authority to act on behalf of the Board.

The third condition is that

notwithstanding the Board's regulations that Greenwood

may incrementally increase up to 4,000 machines with

the approval of the Board's Executive Director after

the opening of its permanent facility. Four, the

Board hereby grants Greenwood's request for waiver of

fixed seating requirement as described in supplement

four to its October 14th, 2009 petition, but the Board hereby orders and directs that prior to the removal of any fixed seating and the installation of any 3 non-fixed seating, Greenwood shall submit to the Bureau of Gaming Operations for review and approval, schematic drawings which depict the appearance, dimensions and locations of the non-fixed seating and the distances from adjacent fixtures, walls and other That Greenwood submit to the Gaming --- the objects. 10 Bureau of Gaming Operations for its review and approval, internal controls, which reflect the waiver 11 of fixed seating requirement, the procedure to ensure 12 13 monitoring of the relevant aisleways to prevent any 14 obstruction, the minimum aisle width to be maintained 15 and to note on its slot master list and floor plan which machines are exempt from fixed seating 16 17 requirement.

of Gaming Operations with copies of a Certificate from the local building code or fire safety officials or a Certification from an architect registered in this Commonwealth that the use of the non-fixed seating complies with all applicable building and fire safety code requirements. And Greenwood must maintain an aisle width of 48 inches measured from seat back to

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

seat back when unfixed slot seating is vacant and touching the slot face at knee height. Finally, Greenwood must install fixed seating in certain areas of its Board approved gaming floor if directed to do so by the Bureau of Gaming Operations.

Notwithstanding any relief granted in this Order, the Board shall continue to assess the viability of the location of the PGCB offices as depicted in floor plan opt one, update three, and reserves the right to require said offices to be relocated in the future. So long as PGCB offices 12 remain at the location depicted in floor plan opt one, update three, Greenwood shall place signage and a telephone or other means of contacting PGCB staff on the gaming floor side of the secured doorway providing the most immediate access to the gaming floor from the PGCB offices. That concludes the Order.

CHAIRMAN:

Any additional comments from Enforcement

2.0 Counsel?

3

6

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No.

CHAIRMAN:

24 Any questions, comments from the Board?

25 If not, could I have a motion, please?

81 1 MR. ANGELI: 2 Mr. Chairman, I move the Board grant the 3 petition as described by the OCC. COMMISSIONER COY: 4 Second. 5 6 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? 11 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 12 Mr. Chairman, I abstained, since this is 13 an open matter prior to my appointment and 14 qualification. 15 CHAIRMAN: So noted. The motion passes. 16 17 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: The final Greenwood petition was the 18 19 Petition for Modification of the Shuttle Bus 20 Requirement. As presented earlier today, the petition 21 is currently requesting the Board to delegate 22 authority to the Executive Director or other staff to 23 approve an alternative vehicle from the 20 person 24 shuttle bus originally contemplated. As the testimony

indicated, Greenwood has not yet specifically

25

identified the vehicle sought to be eventually put into service, but it indicated that it would be reviewing and selecting the vehicle over the next few months. The record on the matter is currently closed and the matter is ripe for the Board's consideration if it so chooses.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from

Enforcement Counsel?

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No comments.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion? Any questions from the Board, first of all? If not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Maybe, because I'm the new guy, but let me see if I get this right. I think what we need then is a motion to deny the Petition for the Delegation of Authority as discussed in the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN:

Counsel?

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

I --- the Board could deny that, but I

think the Board could easily, if you were not inclined

to consider it at this point, would be a motion to table decision until a later date. I think certainly 3 given the testimony of Greenwood Gaming that it had not yet identified the vehicle to be placed into service and the fact that the Board has meetings scheduled, I believe, every two weeks through the first few months of the year that there would be time for I think Greenwood to establish some experience with the shuttle bus that they're currently going to 10 be using and then to make a presentation to the Board once it has actually identified the piece of 11 12 equipment. So, I think rather than denying the motion, maybe tabling it until a later date would be 13 14 maybe a more appropriate way to handle it.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board table the Petition of Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment for Modification of the Shuttle Bus Requirement as described by Chief Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

22 ALL SAY AYE

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

One final matter involving Greenwood Gaming is the delegation of authority. As the testimony has indicated, Greenwood Gaming is in the process of transferring its gaming operations to the permanent facility. It is contemplated that transfer will be completed by December 18th to enable it to open on that date. In the course of the transfer of operations, it's contemplated that matters may arise including, but not limited to, the approval of minor floor plan changes that may require Board approval consistent with past Board practice and precedent, the OCC believes it would be appropriate to --- for the full Board to nominate a smaller group of members, typically three in number, with a delegated authority to act on the Board's behalf in the event any matters would arise between now and the 18th to authorize Greenwood to timely implement any changes and to open.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions from the Board on that?

Before we do a motion, I assume --- would you read the names of the delegated members. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue a resolution delegating authority to the Commissioners to review all pre-approved conditions for the facility

with slot machines they will operate and determine the 1 effective date and time at which slot machine 2 3 practices may commerce. 4 CHAIRMAN: Second? 5 6 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: Second. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 All in favor? 10 ALL SAY AYE 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, 13 Douq. 14 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 15 Now, presenting withdrawals, 16 reports and recommendations and Emergency Orders for 17 the Board's consideration will be Deputy Chief Counsel 18 Steve Cook. 19 MR. COOK: 20 The Board has received three unopposed 21 petitions to withdraw applications, which include one 22 Principal Waiver Form and two Principal Applications.

23 | The individuals or entities subject to these petitions

25 | Warner and Juan C. Tolosa. The OEC has no objections

24 are as follows, Perpetual limited, William Wayne

to these withdrawals. As such the withdrawals would be made without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue orders to approve the withdrawals or surrenders as described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

11 Second?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Second. 13

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

16 ALL SAY AYE

2

3

4

6

8

10

12

14

15

20

22

17 CHAIRMAN:

18 Opposed? Motion passes.

19 MR. COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration 21 are four Reports and Recommendations received from the Office of Hearings and Appeals relative to one Gaming 23 Employee Permit and three Non-Gaming Employee 24 Registrations. These Reports and Recommendations, 25 along with the evidentiary record related to same have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting.

Additionally in each case the person involved has been notified that the Board is considering their Report and Recommendation today and that they have the right to be present and briefly address the Board. If any such persons are present, I'd ask them to come forward when their name is announced.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to Derek Bevans. On 10 April 11th, 2009 Mr. Bevans submitted a renewal application for a Gaming Employee Permit to work as a 11 Slot's Technician at Harrah's Chester Casino and 12 Racetrack. Mr. Bevans indicated in his renewal 13 14 application that he had no outstanding tax 15 liabilities. However, during our investigation, BIE learned from the Department of Revenue that Mr. Bevans 16 had failed to file his State Income Tax Return. 17 BIE and the Department of Revenue provided Mr. Bevans 18 with an opportunity to resolve this deficiency. 19 20 However, Mr. Bevans did not remedy his deficiency and therefore the Board's OEC issued a Notice of 21 22 Recommendation of Denial. Mr. Bevans requested a 23 hearing and attended the hearing, which was held on 24 August 27th, 2009. At that hearing Mr. Bevans did not 25 deplot --- did not deny that he failed to file an

Income Tax Return, nor that he failed to comply with the directives of BIE. He argued, however, that he is not required to file a Tax Return because he is not an 3 employee under Pennsylvania's Tax Code. The Hearing Officer concluded that due to 5 Mr. Bevans' failure to promptly provide the requested information and cooperate with the Board's investigations that his renewal application should be denied. The OCC concurs with the Hearing Officer and 10 recommends that the Board adopt a Report and Recommendation. I do believe, however, that Mr. 11 12 Bevans is present and may want to address the Board. 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Please say your name and could you stand 15 and --- do we need to swear him in? 16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 17 Yes. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Yes. Administer the oath. 20 21 DEREK BEVANS, HAVING FIRST BEEN DULY SWORN, TESTIFIED 22 AS FOLLOWS: 23 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 And could you, for the record, state your name, spell your name and then briefly address the Board?

MR. BEVANS:

My name is Derek Bevans, D-E-R-E-K, B-E-V-A-N-S. Mr. Chairman and members of the Board, thank you for allowing me to speak this afternoon. I was under the impression, through the reading of the Tax Code that I wasn't obligated, as a non-taxpayer to file a PA 40 under the rules of the Pennsylvania guide --- Pennsylvania income tax guidelines. I read that in Chapter 407. It stated that the employee was referenced to the US Tax Code 26, which said that employees were --- members of municipal organizations or corporations and the PA gross income was garnered from that. My income is derived from a private organization, so I didn't --- I filed paperwork also that said I was a U.S. citizen under the, I'm sorry --- the Employer Income Tax Guide, which says filing that paperwork --- I was exempt from removing income taxes from my pay.

CHAIRMAN:

OEC, do you have any questions for Mr.

23 Bevans?

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.4

25

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We believe that the record's complete in

this matter. Our objection to his application still stands. It's our position that Mr. Bevans has pulled certain sections out of the Tax Code that are completely out of context and certainly we feel that he is required to file Pennsylvania tax returns.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

And I do have one question for Mr.

Bevans. Mr. Bevans, when you were contacted and requested to file the taxes why didn't you just file the taxes, file your Income Tax Return? Is it still your position today that you're not required to file that?

MR. BEVANS:

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I wasn't contacted by DOR that I was delinquent in taxes.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

When you were contacted by our office that this was a problem, did you, in any way, seek to remedy that with DOR?

MR. BEVANS:

Yes. I spoke with Jennifer Kedenhall (phonetic) at DOR and was told that because I received a W-2 that made me responsible for income taxes.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

And did you attempt to remedy the problem

1 Recommendation before the Board. The Board is to consider a motion to adopt or not adopt the Report and 3 Recommendation. The Report and Recommendation basically concludes that due to Mr. Bevans tax delinquency that his application be denied, so a vote to adopt the Report and Recommendation would deny his renewal application. CHAIRMAN: 8 9 Let me ask Mr. --- Commissioner Trujillo? 10 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 11 Mr. Bevans, is it your intention not to 12 file further Pennsylvania Tax Returns? 13 MR. BEVANS: As I believe I'm not required to, it is 14 15 my intention. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Thank you. Any other questions from the 18 Board? If not, I'll entertain a motion. 19 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 20 Mr. Chair, I move that the Board issue an

Mr. Chair, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Gaming Employee Permit of Derek Bevans.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Second.

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

3 ALL SAY AYE

1

2

4

5

6

8

9

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, Mr.

Bevans.

MR. BEVANS:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY COOK:

10 The next Report and Recommendation before the Board is that of Anthony Johnson. Mr. Johnson 11 submitted an application to work as a server at the 12 1.3 steakhouse at the River's Casino. In his application, 14 Mr. Johnson disclosed two arrests, however, BIE's 15 investigation revealed five additional arrests and an active bench warrant for traffic offenses in 16 California. The OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation 17 of Denial to Mr. Johnson based on his failure to 18 19 enclose the entirety of his criminal history. Mr. 20 Johnson requested a hearing and was issued a notice 21 scheduling the matter and then actually subsequently 22 requested a continuance. Ultimately that continuance 23 was granted and the hearing was set to commence on 2.4 October 14th, 2009. However, Mr. Johnson failed to 25 appear, and as a result the hearing was held in his

```
Subsequently the OHA issued a Report and
1
  absence.
2 Recommendation recommending Mr. Johnson's application
3 be denied for the non-disclosures on his application.
  The OCC now recommends that the Board consider a
  motion to adopt the Report and Recommendation denying
 6
  Mr. Johnson's application to be a Non-Gaming Employee.
                CHAIRMAN:
                Is Mr. Johnson present? Any questions or
8
   comments from the Board?
10
                ATTORNEY SOJKA:
11
                Just one quick one. So the main issue
   is, in fact, non-disclosure?
12
13
                ATTORNEY COOK:
14
                That's correct.
15
                CHAIRMAN:
                May I have a motion, please?
16
17
                ATTORNEY SOJKA:
                      Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
18
                Yes.
   issue an order to adopt the Report and Recommendation
19
20
   of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee
21
  Registration of Anthony Johnson.
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                Second?
24
                COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:
25
                Second.
```

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Navarro Robichaw is the next Report and Recommendation before the Board. Mr. Robichaw submitted an application for a Non-Gaming Employer 10 Registration to work as an EVS Maintenance Attendant at Harrah's Chester Casino and Racetrack. Mr. 11 12 Robichaw disclosed the entirety of his criminal 13 history on his application and the Pennsylvania State Police's database confirmed his disclosures. Based 14 15 upon Mr. Robichaw's criminal history, the OEC issued a Notice of Recommendation of Denial. Upon receiving 16 17 the Notice, Mr. Robichaw requested a hearing and an 18 administrative hearing was held before the Offices of \mid --- or the OHA on October 15th, 2009. At his hearing 19 20 Mr. Robichaw presented character evidence in support 21 of his application, which basically went to the idea 22 that he was in the process of rehabilitating himself. 23 Notwithstanding that fact, the Hearing Officer found 24 in his Report and Recommendation that Mr. Robichaw failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that

he's suitable for registration. This matter is now before the Board for consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? Ιf not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the OHA regarding the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Navarro Robichaw.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 1.3

3

4

6

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The final Report and Recommendation before the Board today is Ruben --- that of Ruben Rodriguez-Maisonet. Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet was issued a Non-Gaming Employee Registration and was employed as 21 a cook at the Hollywood Casino. Subsequent to obtaining that employment, BIE was notified by the 23 Pennsylvania State Police that on September 25th, 2009 24 Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet was arrested and charged with

one count of criminal homicide and two counts of

aggravated assault, all of which are felonies. On
September 28th, 2009 the OEC issued an Emergency
Suspension Request and subsequently on that date the
Board's Executive Director signed an Order granting a
temporary suspension. The Board thereafter referred
this matter to the OHA to conduct a hearing on the
validity of the Emergency Suspension and to submit a
Report and Recommendation regarding the same. That
--- it's that Report and Recommendation that's
presently before the Board.

I would also add that Mr.

Rodriguez-Maisonet was served with a Hearing Notice,
but he didn't attend the October 16th, 2009 hearing.

The Report and Recommendation issued by the OHA
recommends that the Board issue an Order continuing
the suspension of Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet's
registration until such time as the Board determines
that the Order should be dissolved or modified. This

CHAIRMAN:

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?

matter's now ripe for the Board's consideration.

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation of the

OHA the registration of Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2

3

5

6

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Last matter under the OCC's report 8 involves a Gaming Employee reinstatement of Joseph 10 Kane. On November 20th, 2009 the OEC requested that the Board's Executive Director issue an Emergency 11 12 Order of Reinstatement of the Gaming Employee 13 Occupation Permit of Joseph Kane, a Guest Safety Officer at Harrah's Chester Casino and Racetrack. 14 Mr. 15 Kane was originally granted a Gaming Employee Occupation Permit on February 7th, 2008. By way of 16 background, on October 8th, 2009 the OEC issued a 17 18 letter preliminarily denying Mr. Kane's renewal application for his Gaming Employee Occupation Permit 19 20 due to Mr. Kane's non-compliance status with the 21 Pennsylvania Department of Revenue. On or about 22 November 2nd, 2009 Mr. Kane contacted the OEC and indicated that he would resolve his tax issues and 23 that he was having, I think, health issues that led to 24 25 that problem.

100

The OEC agreed to allow Mr. Kane time to 1 2 resolve his tax issue. Unfortunately, at the Board's 3 November 18th, 2009 meeting his name was mistakenly placed on a list of denials. Recognizing their error and that Mr. Kane came into compliance with the Department of Revenue on November 19th, 2009, the OEC filed a request for an Emergency Order of Reinstatement, which the Board's Executive Director signed on November 20th, 2009. All parties now agree 10 that Mr. Kane is entitled --- that his tax problems have been resolved and he's eligible to have his 11 12 renewal application approved. Therefore we'd ask the 13 Board to consider a motion approving his renewal 14 application.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the reinstatement of Joseph Kane's Gaming Employee Permit described by the OCC.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Second.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

101 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 All in favor. 3 ALL SAY AYE 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Opposed? Motion passes. 6 ATTORNEY COOK: 7 And that concludes the matters of the 8 OCC. 9 CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Doug. Thank you, Steve. 10 Moving right along, next up is our Director of 11 12 Licensing, Susan Hensel. Welcome, Susan. MS. HENSEL: 13 14 Thank you, Chairman Fajt and members of 15 the Board. The first matter for your consideration 16 are Key Employee Licenses. Prior to this meeting the 17 Bureau of Licensing provided you with a proposed Order 18 for three Key Employee Licenses. I ask that the Board 19 consider the Order granting these licenses. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Any questions or comments from 22 Enforcement Counsel? 23 ATTORNEY PITRE:

None. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

24

25

102

Questions from the Board? If not, can I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the issuance of Key Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

13 ALL SAY AYE

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

We also have for your consideration the issuance of Temporary Principal and Key Employee

Licenses. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of

Licensing provided you with an Order regarding the issuance of temporary licenses for 3 Principals and 20

Key Employees. I ask that the Board consider the

23 Order approving these licenses.

CHAIRMAN:

Questions or comments from Enforcement

103 Counsel? 1 2 ATTORNEY PITRE: 3 No objection. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 All right. Questions from the Board? Ιf not, a motion, please. 6 ATTORNEY SOJKA: 8 Mr. Chair, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the issuance of Temporary Key 10 Employee Licenses as described by the Bureau of 11 Licensing. 12 CHAIRMAN: Second? 13 14 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 15 Second. 16 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 17 ALL SAY AYE 18 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Opposed? Motion passes. 21 MS. HENSEL: 22 Next are Gaming and Non-Gaming Permits 23 and Registrations. Prior to this meeting the Bureau 24 of Licensing provided you with a list of 158 25 | individuals, including 40 initial and 118 renewals,

```
1 who the Bureau has granted Occupation Permits to and
  89 individuals who the Bureau has granted
3
  registrations to under the authority delegated to the
  Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a
  motion approving the Order.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Any comments from Enforcement Counsel?
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
8
9
                No objection.
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                Any questions from the Board? If not, a
  motion, please.
12
13
                 ATTORNEY SOJKA:
14
                 So moved.
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
16
                 Second?
17
                 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:
18
                 Second.
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                All in favor?
  ALL SAY AYE
21
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
23
                 Opposed? Motion passes.
24
                 MS. HENSEL:
25
                 In addition, we have recommendations of
```

105

1 denial for one Gaming and one Non-Gaming Employee. 2 Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with Orders addressing these applicants who the 3 Bureau of Investigations and Enforcements has recommended for denial. In each case the Applicant failed to request a hearing within the specified time period. I ask that the Board consider the Orders denying the Gaming and Non-Gaming Applicants. 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Any questions from Office of Enforcement 11 Counsel? 12 ATTORNEY PITRE: We would request that the Board deny 13 14 these applications. 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Questions from the Board? If not, a 17 motion. 18 COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO: 19 Chairman, I move that the Board issue an 20 Order to deny the --- to approve the denial of the Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations as 21 22 described by the Bureau of Licensing. 23 MR. ANGELI: 24 Second. 25 CHAIRMAN:

106 All in favor? 1 ALL SAY AYE 2 3 CHAIRMAN: Opposed? Motion passes. 4 5 MS. HENSEL: We also have withdrawal requests for 6 Gaming and Non-Gaming Employees. In each case the occupation permit or registration is no longer required due to such circumstances as the employee 10 failing to report to work or accepting a different job. For today's meeting I have provided the Board 11 12 with a list of nine withdrawals for approval. I ask that the Board consider the Order approving this list 13 of withdrawals. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: Enforcement Counsel? 16 17 ATTORNEY PITRE: 18 No objection. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 Any questions from the Board? Can I have 21 a motion, please? 22 MR. ANGELI: 23 So moved. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 Second?

	107
1	COMMISSIONER COY:
2	Second.
3	CHAIRMAN:
4	All in favor?
5	ALL SAY AYE
6	CHAIRMAN:
7	Opposed? Motion passes.
8	MS. HENSEL:
9	In addition we have two Orders regarding
10	vendors. The first is to certify the following five
11	vendors, City Sign Service, Inc., H Group Consultants,
12	LLC, JPC Group, Inc., Maritz Holdings, Inc. and
13	Pioneer Contracting, Inc. I ask that the Board
14	consider the Order approving these vendors for
15	certification.
16	CHAIRMAN:
17	Questions from Enforcement Counsel?
18	ATTORNEY PITRE:
19	No objection.
20	CHAIRMAN:
21	Any questions from the Board?
22	MR. GINTY:
23	Second.
24	CHAIRMAN:
25	All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE 1

2

3

4

5

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

Finally we have proposed Orders regarding the abandonment of five Vendor Applications. Records indicate that these vendors received compensation below the threshold requiring them to file Vendor Applications. The vendors have failed to cure 10 deficiencies in the applications that they chose to file after being given notice of the deficiencies and 11 12 given an opportunity to correct them. Under our 13 regulations the Board has the authority to declare an 14 application abandoned if the applicant fails to 15 provide the information necessary to cure application 16 deficiencies. The five Vendor Applicants are Design 17 and Print, LLC, DeGall (phonetic) Brothers, LP Fenton Art Glass Company, InvoTech Systems, Inc. and JTECH 18 Communications. I ask that the Board consider the Order to declaring these Vendor Applications abandoned. 21

CHAIRMAN:

Cyrus, any comments?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

We support the request for abandonment.

109 1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Any comments from the Board? Could I 3 have a motion, please? MR. GINTY: 4 5 So moved. 6 CHAIRMAN: Second? COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 8 9 Second. 10 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 11 ALL SAY AYE 12 13 CHAIRMAN: 14 Opposed? Motion passes. 15 MS. HENSEL: 16 That concludes the Bureau of Licensing's 17 presentation. 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Thank you, Susan. Next up Cyrus, our 20 Chief Enforcement Officer, Cyrus Pitre. 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 The first matter before you is a Consent 23 Agreement between the OEC and Ainsworth Game 24 Technology Limited. We have Ms. Lynne Kaufman, 25 Counsel for Ainsworth, Dustin Miller will present

OEC's side of the matter.

1

2

ATTORNEY MILLER:

3 Good afternoon, Chairman Fajt, members of At this time the OEC has five Consent the Board. Agreements prepared for the Board's approval. first Consent Agreement is between the OEC and Ainsworth Game Technology Limited. Ainsworth was granted a Manufacturer License on August 27th, 2008. Manufacturer Licenses must be renewed yearly. 10 Pursuant to Title 58 Pennsylvania Code Section 1317.1A a holder of a slot machine Manufacturer License must 11 12 file a Renewal Application to the Board six months 13 before expiration of the current license. In the 14 instant matter Ainsworth was required to submit its 15 renewal application by February 27th, 2009 at the latest, however, Ainsworth did not submit its renewal 16 application until May 5th, 2009. Thus since renewal 17 18 application was 66 days late Ainsworth was in 19 violation of the Act by filing its renewal application 20 late. On November 6th, 2009 the parties entered into 21 a Consent Agreement to settle the matter. The terms 22 of the agreement include a provision that Ainsworth 23 shall institute policies and provide guidance and 24 reinforcement to its employees to prevent future late 25 filings and also Ainsworth shall pay a total fine of

\$13,200 for the late filing of its renewal
application, which represents a \$200 per day penalty
for each day that the application is late. As Chief
Counsel Pitre stated, Lynne Kaufman is here today on
behalf of Ainsworth to answer any questions you might
have and also she indicated she'd like to provide a
statement regarding this matter. Otherwise, it would
be appropriate for the Board to entertain a motion to
approve this Consent Agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Counsel, welcome.

MS. KAUFMAN:

Yes. I agree with everything the OEC said. I would just like to add that Ainsworth has adopted licensing renewal procedures, which are quite detailed and they're not only --- they don't only cover Pennsylvania. They use this as an opportunity to tighten up on all their license renewals and to institute procedures and double check so something like this will not happen again.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you.

MS. KAUFMAN:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

112

And Counsel, could you please provide 1 2 your --- spelling of your name for our stenographer? 3 MS. KAUFMAN: Lynne is, L-Y-N-N-E. Last name 4 Sure. Kaufman, K-A-U-F, like Frank, M-A-N. 6 CHAIRMAN: Thank you. Any questions from the Board? If not, can I have a motion, please? 8 9 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 10 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the 11 OEC and Ainsworth Gaming Technology, LTD. 12 13 ATTORNEY SOJKA: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 All in favor? ALL SAY AYE 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, 20 Counsel. 21 ATTORNEY PITRE: 22 The next matter on the agenda is a 23 | Consent Agreement between the OEC and vendor 24 Brabender-Cox. Michael Roland will present the

25 Consent Agreement for the Board's consideration.

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

1

24

25

Good afternoon, Mr. Chairman, members of 2 Michael Roland with the OEC. As Mr. Pitre 3 the Board. has pointed out, Andrew Giorgione is here representing Brabender-Cox. In this Consent Agreement --- first of all, on December 18th, 2006 Brabender-Cox filed for a Vendor Certification with the Board. Then on February 13th, 2009, our BIE contacted Brabender-Cox to set up investigative interviews of the members listed on the application. At that point in time Brabender-Cox 10 advised our investigator, Mr. Robert Wallace, that 11 12 Brabender-Cox was considering withdrawing its 13 application and that it no longer had an interest in 14 conducting business with Pennsylvania Gaming Control 15 Board or doing business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. 16 17 On July 7th, 2009 the OEC sent a ten day 18 warning letter to Brabender-Cox advising that failure 19 to conduct or complete the interview process would involve a recommendation of denial of their 20 21 application, which was before the Board. August 10th, 22 2009 Brabender-Cox filed a Petition to Withdraw with the OHA, which was followed then by a September 9th, 23

because Brabender-Cox had an ongoing relationship with

2009 OEC objection to that petition, stating that

Presque Isle Downs, Inc. and was compensated over \$1.2 million but no longer wanted to be subject to the requirements of the application process it should be denied. The OHA had scheduled a hearing for this matter on November 10th, 2009, but then discussion began regarding the possible Consent Agreement and that hearing was postponed.

Regarding the terms of the Consent 8 Agreement presented before you today Brabender-Cox has 10 essentially agreed to three terms. First of all, it would agree to not conduct business in the 11 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the next three years. 12 That involves their affiliates, subsidiaries 13 14 intermediaries, holding companies, basically anybody 15 that might be involved with the Brabender-Cox company itself. It has agreed to satisfy all costs, including 16 17 investigative costs. And we have checked prior to 18 this meeting, and in fact, that has already been done. There is no outstanding balance, which Brabender-Cox 19 20 has with our Fiscal Management Office. And lastly, should Brabender-Cox choose to do business or wish to 21 22 do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania again, it would subject itself to the full investigative 23 process, turn over all documents requested and would 24 forego receiving any intermediary operating authority.

It would not conduct business until the entire process
has been completed. In return, the OEC has agreed to
withdraw its recommendation or its objection to the
petition, allowing Brabender-Cox essentially to remove
itself without prejudice. OEC asks that you consider
this petition before you today. We ask that you adopt
it, and again, Mr. Giorgione and myself are available
for any questions you might have.

CHAIRMAN:

I think that the Board does have some concerns about some information we received on this issue. It was changing literally up until the Board meeting and I believe that we will table this motion and at this time I'll entertain a motion to do so.

ATTORNEY SOJKA:

Mr. Chairman, I move that we table this

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

22 ALL SAY AYE

action.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

CHAIRMAN:

All opposed? Motion passes to table 25 this. Thank you.

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

ATTORNEY ROLAND:

Thank you.

1

2

3

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Next before you is the Consent Agreement 4 for Mainline Information Systems, Incorporated. like to note that Mainline is a Florida-based company. They do not have a representative before the Board They filed their application for Vendor today. Registration on February 11th, 2009. On or about May 10 13th, 2009 Mainline received an Indemnification Agreement for review and approval. On August 24th, 11 12 2009 Mainline advised the Board that execution of the 1.3 indemnification document would expose Mainline to 14 damages outside of Mainline's business practices and 15 risk management thresholds. In addition Mainline's Executive Officers decided that the business potential 16 17 associated with the Vendor Application did not warrant 18 the cost and exposure associated with completing the 19 registration process and executing the indemnification 20 agreement. On July 13th, 2009 Mainline filed a Petition to Withdraw its Vendor Registration and then 21 22 on August 12th, 2009, through an amended Answer and 23 new matter, the OEC requested the Board grant the petition and do so without prejudice. Next date that 24 25 was set was October 6th, 2009, where the OHA schedule

the hearing. Again, that hearing was deferred in consideration of this proposed Consent Agreement.

2

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3 Regarding the terms of the Mainline Consent Agreement, essentially, again, they're reduced to three terms as in the last matter. Mainline has agreed not to conduct business with the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the next three-year period. Again, this involves its affiliates, subsidiaries, intermediaries or anyone else that might be associated 10 with Mainline Information Systems. Mainline has agreed to satisfy all investigative fees and costs 11 12 that may be due. In fact, we again checked before 13 this Board meeting today. That has been satisfied as 14 of Monday, December the 7th. They now have a zero 15 balance.

And lastly should Mainline desire to conduct business again in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, they agree that they would go through the entire application process. And they also would waive any intermediary operating authority until the final investigative process has been completed. In return, OEC agrees to withdraw its objection to Mainline's Petition to Withdraw. This matter is before you now, and I believe, ripe for your consideration.

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:

Mr. Pitre's brought to my attention --

ATTORNEY PITRE:

1

2

3

21

22

23

2.4

You'd probably be curious to know how 4 much business Mainline has done. Mainline has received two disbursements. Well, they've received four disbursements from two different slot licensees. Their total business is approximately \$111,000. bulk of those disbursements, \$93,000, was turned over 10 to Mainline before they had ever received the Indemnification Agreement. If you recall, their whole 11 concern in this situation was we've received 12 13 Indemnification Agreement significantly after we 14 completed the application process. So in their 15 defense, they did already have approximately 85 to 90 percent of their income before they were ever 16 17 presented with the Indemnification Agreement. have no future contracts that exist with the 18 Commonwealth and they have advised they're not seeking 19 20 to do any additional business at this time.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, one point of 25 clarification. I assume that the proposed agreement when you say that they agreed not to do business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, you're not meaning it in that broad a sense, but rather as it relates to regulated business by this Board; correct?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

That's absolutely correct. Obviously, if they choose to conduct business with another

Commonwealth agency or another Commonwealth business, they're free to do so, but anything that would be under the jurisdiction of this Board they realize they can't do business there.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

And I assume that holds the same for the earlier proposed Consent Agreement that you had discussed ---?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

That's correct. I apologize.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to approve the Consent Agreement between the OEC and Mainline Information Systems.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

The next Consent Agreement will be presented by Ms. Melissa Powers of the OEC and Counsel Marie Jones, Counsel for WPA.

MS. POWERS:

Chairman Fajt, members of the Board, the final two matters before you today are two Consent Agreements between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association doing business as The Meadows Racetrack and Casino. The first Consent Agreement before you involves an incident that occurred on August 11th, 2009 wherein an 18-year-old patron entered The Meadows gaming floor and placed wagers at a slot machine for approximately 45 minutes. According to Section 12078 of the Gaming Act, each licensed gaming entity shall prohibit persons under 21 years of age from operating or using slot machines. Section 513a.(2)(b) of the 23 Board's regulations provides that an individual under 21 years of age, whether personally or through an agent may not operate, use, play or place a wager on a

slot machine in a licensed facility.

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

Following a series of discussions between The Meadows, the Bureau of Casino Compliance and OEC regarding the incident on August 11th The Meadows conducted training for its security staff that reinforced its policy on identification of underage individuals. The Meadows also established a recurring refresher training course regarding identification of underage individuals. In addition The Meadows purchased an additional identification scanner and laptop computer to assist security personnel with the identification of underage patrons at the entrance podium. Further, The Meadows suspended the two security officers involved in this particular incident and have made appropriate indications in both of their employment files. As set forth in the Consent Agreement, the parties have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order The Meadows shall pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board?

22 If not, can I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board shall issue an order to approve the Consent Agreement

between the OEC and Washington Trotting Association for underage individuals being on the Gaming floor.

COMMISSIONER COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

ALL SAY AYE

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. POWERS:

The second Consent Agreement before you involves an incident that occurred at The Meadows on August 26th, 2009, wherein a self-excluded patron accessed the gaming floor, played slot machines and was issued a Players Club card. Pursuant to Section 503a.4(a) of the Board's regulations a slot machine 16 Licensee shall train its employees and establish procedures that are designed to identify and immediately remove a self-excluded patron, refuse wagers from and deny gaming privileges to a self-excluded patron and deny check-cashing privileges and Player Club membership to a self-excluded patron. 23 Section 5038.3 of The Meadows accounting and internal controls provides that The Meadows will not complete any gaming transactions with an identified

self-excluded patron while that patron is listed on the self-exclusion list. Furthermore, page eight of The Meadows' compulsive and problem gambling plan 3 states that the Marketing Department is responsible for ensuring that no individuals on the self-excluded list receive player cards or Player Club privileges.

Following a series of discussions, again, between The Meadows, the Bureau of Casino Compliance and OEC regarding the August 26th incident, The 10 | Meadows terminated the employee who issued the Players Club card to the self-excluded patron. The parties 12 have agreed that within five days of the Board's Order The Meadows shall pay civil penalty in the amount of \$5,000 and also The Meadows shall immediately institute policies and provide training, guidance and reinforcement to their employees, which will minimize the opportunity for similar incidents to occur in the future.

CHAIRMAN:

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And for the record, I do note the presence of Washington Trotting Association Counsel. Any questions or comments from the Board? not, ---.

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

Mr. Chairman, ---

CHAIRMAN:

Yes.

1

2

3

4

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER TRUJILLO:

--- I have a comment, not a question. And as I said earlier when I --- certainly after I was sworn in, I think that this Board has an extraordinary responsibility to ensure that underage gambling does not take place. And that responsibility is obviously a key component of a Licensee's responsibilities. so I just want to --- I am fine with the --- fine as it stands, because I think --- as I understand, it's consistent with what's been done in the past, but I want to be very clear that going into the future, whether it's self reported, whether the individual can --- who actually failed to spot, whether it's an underage gambler or somebody who is on a self-exclusion list, in my view you need to look at the person who missed that person, but then you need to look a step up and a step up above that. And I'm going to take these things extraordinarily seriously and I just want to make sure that that is clear.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Can I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an

125

Order approving the Consent Agreement between the OEC 1 2 and Washington Trotting Association relative to seeing 3 underage individuals on the gaming floor.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

10 ALL SAY AYE

4

5

6

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

22

24

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, OEC.

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you, Counselor. Accordingly we open up the day and the microphones for public comment. We ask that speakers register in advance of the meeting with our Communication's Office. As I 20 understand it we do not have any registered speakers 21 today, however, is there anyone in the audience wishing to make a public comment? Okay. I believe 23 that concludes our public meeting.

Looking ahead, the Board will hold its 25 | next public meeting on Friday, January 8, 2010 at

10:00 a.m. The meeting will be held in the North Office Building, Hearing Room 1 located between Commonwealth and North Street here in Harrisburg. 3 before we entertain a motion to adjourn, I know that Commissioner McCabe wants to make a comment.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

6

10

11

12

1.3

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

Thank you, Mr. Chair. I would like Yes. to take this time to publicly recognize and thank one of our Directors who's retiring this month. Director Tom Sturgeon is going to be retiring this month after, I believe, probably 45 years of service to the state as a Chief of Police, Commissioner, Attorney General's Office and then with us. He started with us in the 14 beginning as the number two man in BIE and then took over the Director of Compliance and has set up an outstanding organization. And Tom, I know --- I'd like to thank you for your service and wish you well.

MR. STURGEON:

Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN:

Any other comments? Thank you, Tom, on behalf of the Board and the organization for your years of service. We deeply appreciate it. With that I will entertain a motion to adjourn.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE: