COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * *

BEFORE: GREGORY C. FAJT, CHAIRMAN

Raymond S. Angeli, Jeffrey W. Coy, James B.

Ginty, Kenneth T. McCabe, Sanford Rivers,

and Gary A. Sojka, David Barasch

representing Ex-Officio Stephen Stetler,

and Frank Jurbala representing Secretary of

Agriculture Russell Redding

HEARING: Wednesday, October 7, 2009

LOCATION: State Museum Auditorium

Harrisburg, PA 17120

WITNESSES: Kevin O'Toole, Claire Yantis, Doug Sherman,

Nick A. Rodriquez-Cayro, Susan Hensel, Cyrus

Pitre, Steve Cook, Dustin Miller

Reporter: Cynthia Piro Simpson

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization

by the certifying agency.

```
2
 1
                    APPEARANCES
 2
 3 DOUGLAS SHERMAN, ESQUIRE
 4 Office of Chief Counsel
5 PA Gaming Control Board
 6 P.O. Box 69060
 7 Harrisburg, PA 17106-9060
8
  CYRUS PITRE, ESQUIRE
10 E. BARRY CREANY, ESQUIRE
11 Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel
12 Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
13 Pittsburgh, PA
                    15222
14
15 NICK A. RODRIGUEZ-CAYRO, ESQUIRE
16 Law Offices of Ruben & Aronson, LLP
17 321 North Front Street
18 First Floor
19 Harrisburg, PA
                  17101
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	I N D E X	
2	OPENING REMARKS	
3	By Chairperson Fajt 5 - 6	
4	PRESENTATION	
5	By Mr. O'Toole 6 - 7	
6	PRESENTATION	
7	By Ms. Yantis 7 - 8	
8	PRESENTATION	
9	By Attorney Sherman 9 - 10	
10	PRESENTATION	
11	By Attorney Rodriguez-Cayro 11	
12	PRESENTATION	
13	By Mr. Knight 11 - 12	
14	PRESENTATION	
15	By Attorney Sherman 13 - 19	
16	PRESENTATION	
17	By Attorney Cook 19 - 27	
18	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 28 - 32	
19	PRESENTATION	
20	By Attorney Cook 32 - 35	
21	PRESENTATION	
22	By Ms. Hensel 35 - 42	
23	PRESENTATION	
24	By Attorney Miller 42 - 46	
25	DISCUSSION AMONG PARTIES 46 - 47	

PROCEEDINGS

2 -----

CHAIRMAN:

1

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

Good morning, everybody. I'm Greg Fajt, Chairman of the Gaming Control Board. Just as a housekeeping matter and as we always do, I'd like to ask everybody to turn off their BlackBerrys, cell phones or other PDAs. They have a tendency to interfere with the communication system that we have. So thank you.

Today we have with us Dave Barrish, ex-officio designee, representing Secretary Steve Stetler, and Frank Jurbala representing Secretary of Agriculture, Russell Redding. So thank you both for joining us today.

Quorum of the Board is present, and today's proceedings are called to order. I'd like to ask everybody to please stand to join me in the Pledge of Allegiance.

20 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

We'll now commence with our
regularly-scheduled meeting. The first order of
business is old business and announcements. By way of
announcements, the Board held an executive session on

```
October 6th, 2009 in accordance with the Sunshine Act.
1
   The purpose of the executive session was to discuss
3
  personnel issues, litigation matters and to conduct
  quasi judicial deliberations relating to matters
  pending before the Board. Moving along to the minutes
  and transcripts, may I have a motion to approve the
  minutes and transcripts of August 28th, the 2009
  meeting?
9
                MR. ANGELI:
10
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board
   approve the minutes and transcript of the August 28th,
11
12
   2009 meeting.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Second?
15
                MR. COY:
16
                Second.
17
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
18
19
  AYES RESPOND
20
                CHAIRMAN:
21
                Opposed?
                          Motion passes. Moving on to
22 | new business, I'd like to have Kevin O'Toole, our
23
  Executive Director, to please provide his report.
2.4
  Kevin?
25
                MR. O'TOOLE:
```

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. This is our first meeting in October, so I would like to report that slot machine revenue at the nine casinos in the Commonwealth rose by almost 30 percent when comparing September 2009 with September 2008 figures. The two casinos that opened in 2009, The Sands and The Rivers, contributed significantly to that increase. However, when comparing figures for the seven casinos opened during both 2008 and 2009, slot revenue increased by 4.3 percent.

I would also like to briefly report that there has been a tremendous amount of activity in the legislature over the last couple of weeks dealing with proposed table game legislation. Our legislative team of Will Danowski, Dan Stambaugh and Catherine Stetler have worked tirelessly to keep everyone at the Board up to date on developments. We all appreciate their work. That concludes my report for today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much, Kevin. Any questions or comments from the Board?

Next up is our Director of Human Resources, Claire Yantis. Claire?

MS. YANTIS:

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, Board

members. We have before you today a motion to consider the hiring of two application developers in the Office of Information Technology, Scott Dowling (phonetic) and Toby Peters are being recommended for hire by Director of IT, Jim Buck, for the Harrisburg office location. Both Mr. Dowling and Mr. Peters have completed the PGCB interview process, background investigation and drug screening.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments? May I have a

11 motion?

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

24

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve the hiring of the agency staff as proposed on the condition that all employees complete the necessary background investigation and drug testing.

CHAIRMAN:

18 Second?

MR. MCCABE:

20 Second.

21 <u>CHAIRMAN</u>:

22 All in favor?

23 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

25 Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you,

1 Claire.

2.4

Next is our Office of Chief Counsel, Doug Sherman and Steve Cook.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

Good morning, Chairman and members of the Board. Today the Board has four petitions before it for consideration. These petitions are all scheduled to be considered upon the documentary records. In each case the Board has been provided with a petition, the response of pleading of enforcement counsel and all supporting evidentiary materials. All parties have been notified that their matters will be heard today and have the right to be present to address the Board or answer any questions as would be appropriate.

Briefly, the first petition is that of Presque Isle Downs. It's a petition for waiver of fixed seating and for the approval of an additional entrance onto the Gaming floor. Presque Isle is seeking Board approval to convert 56 of its 2,000 fixed seats into non-fixed seating, as well as asking the Board to grant the request to convert a fire exit into a new entrance onto the Gaming floor for the purpose of improving ingress and egress. The Office of Enforcement Counsel did not oppose the relief requested, subject to certain conditions, to which

1 Presque Isle has agreed. The conditions have been provided to the Board for their review. Essentially 3 those conditions require the licensee to submit drawings, including dimensions and locations of the non-fixed seating, submit any internal control amendments necessitated by the waiver of the fixed seating and the creation of the new entrance onto the casino floor, and that they show all necessary governmental approvals have been obtained, as well as 10 assuring that the requirements of the Bureau of Gaming Operations, the Bureau of Casino Compliance, and the 11 Office of Compulsive and Problem Gambling have been 12 met before implementation of this plan. 13 14 furtherance of the petition, the parties have 15 submitted a stipulation which indicates that a licensed architect has reviewed the plans and rendered 16 17 a professional opinion that these modifications do not 18 violate any fire or building codes. And additionally, 19 the Director of Land Development for Summit Township 20 has submitted a letter indicating that the township 21 has no objection. Based upon the documentary record, 22 it would be appropriate for the Board now to consider 23 a motion to approve this request.

CHAIRMAN:

24

25

I see we have representatives from

Presque Isle here today. Could you please introduce yourself for the record.

ATTORNEY RODRIGUEZ-CAYRO:

May it please the Board, Nick Rodriguez-Cayro on behalf of MTR Gaming Group and Presque Isle Downs. And I'm joined by Mr. Knight, the president and CEO of Presque Isle Downs.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

4

6

8

20

21

22

24

25

9 Thank you. Any questions or comments 10 from the Board? I have one question, probably to you, Mr. Knight. We have expressed concern here on the 11 12 Board in the past about underage Gaming. And when I 13 see entities and facilities that are adding points of 14 egress and ingress, that's an issue that obviously, 15 you know, begs the question about what kind of security you're going to have there. So could you 16 17 tell us just briefly what you have now in place and what you're going to have at this additional point of 18 19 entry?

MR. KNIGHT:

Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of the Board. First of all, right now, the door is not 23 manned at all. It's an employee entrance, emergency exit, et cetera. Once we do open this door for quest admittance, we will have --- it will be monitored a

hundred percent of the time. And when it is open, we will have security there a hundred percent of the time. I'm clearly aware of the sensitivity. I mean, I go through this every day. And even with two and three, you know, security officers, it still is a difficult chore sometimes. So I'm extremely aware of the ramifications, and we will do everything possible to stop the underage.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. Any other questions? If not, could I have a motion, please?

MR. GINTY:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant
Presque Isle Down's petition for waiver of fixed
seating and approval of an additional entrance to the
Gaming floor as described by the Office of Chief
Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

19 Second?

MR. MCCABE:

21 Second.

22 CHAIRMAN:

23 All in favor?

24 AYES RESPOND

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

25 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? The motion passes. Thank you.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

1

2

3

4

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The next petition for the Board's consideration is Tom Brown Contracting, Incorporated's Petition for Removal from the Prohibited Vendor List. On August 14th, 2008, Holdings Acquisition Company filed a sponsored vendor registration application for Tom Brown Contracting, a waterproofing contractor. Subsequently, the company was placed on the Prohibited Vendor List, that would have been on July 15th, 2009, due to the company's failure to cure deficiencies in its application. Tom Brown Contracting filed the present Petition for Removal from the Prohibited Vendor List on August 27th, 2009 and has cured all deficiencies which had previously been noted. time the Office of Enforcement Counsel did not object to the relief requested. However, they have suggested that Tom Brown Contracting be required to pay a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,500 as a result of the actions and inactions which caused it to be placed on the Prohibited Vendor List in the first place. Office of Chief Counsel's review of similar matters confirms other similarly-situated entities which have sought to be removed from the Prohibited Vendor List have been assessed a civil penalty in this amount.

13 The matter is now appropriate for the Board's consideration. 3 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments from the Board? 4 If not, could I have a motion, please? 6 MR. MCCABE: Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board grant the Petition of Tom Brown Construction for Removal from the Prohibited Vendor's List, as 10 described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Second? 13 MR. RIVERS: 14 Second. 15 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 16 17 AYES RESPOND 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Opposed? Motion passes. Next. 20 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 21 The next matter is the World of 22 Chantilly's Petition for Removal from the Prohibited 23 Vendor List. Down's Racing had filed a sponsored 24 vendor registration application for the World of 25 Chantilly, which is a supplier of desserts, cakes and

The company was placed on the Prohibited pastries. Vendor List in December 2008, again, after failing to 2 cure deficiencies in its application. On August 12th, 3 2009, World of Chantilly filed its Petition for Removal from the Prohibited Vendor List and provided a 6 complete application. OEC, similarly to the prior petition, has filed a response not objecting to the removal but again suggesting that a civil penalty in the amount of \$1,500 is appropriate. Again, that's 10 consistent with what the Board has imposed in other similar matters. And the Office of Chief Counsel 11 12 recommends that the Board grant the Petition to be 13 Removed from the Prohibited Vendor List, subject to 14 the payment of a \$1,500 civil penalty.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments? May I have a motion?

MR. RIVERS:

Yes. Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board grant the Petition for the World of Chantilly, Inc. for removal from the Prohibited Vendor's List, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The final petition before the Board is 8 the Richard E. Jacobs Revocable Living Trust and the 10 Jeffrey P. Jacobs Revocable Living Trust Petition to Transfer Ownership Interest in MTR Gaming Group. 11 Richard E. Jacobs Revocable Living Trust held just 12 13 over ten percent of the common stock of MTR Gaming 14 Group, which is the parent company of Presque Isle 15 Downs. Pursuant to the terms of the trust upon the death of Richard E. Jacobs, the MTR stock held in the 16 trust was to be distributed to Jeffrey P. Jacobs as 17 part of an estate planning entity, a dropdown from the 18 19 one trust to the next one. Jeffrey P. Jacobs is 20 licensed by this Board already as a principal. 21 Richard Jacobs died on June 5th, 2009. Accordingly, 22 the common stock held in that first trust, pursuant to 23 that estate planning device, would transfer to the second trust of Jeffrey Jacobs. Again, Jeffrey Jacobs 24 25 is currently a licensed principal of Presque Isle.

1 He's the sole trustee and beneficiary of that trust. The Office of Enforcement Counsel did not object to 3 the transfer provided, however, that the approval of the transfer be conditioned upon the Jeffrey P. Jacobs Trust obtaining principal licensure. The Office of Chief Counsel concurs in that position, and it's ripe for the Board's consideration. 8 CHAIRMAN: 9 Any questions or comments from the Board? 10 MR. SOJKA: 11 Could I just ask about the current 12 disposition of the stock? Is it in escrow or is it transferred? 13 14 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 15 We believe it has actually been 16 transferred by the executor of the estate of the first 17 Mr. Jacobs. 18 MR. SOJKA: 19 The only person that has control of that 20 second trust is a person who's already been licensed. 21 ATTORNEY SHERMAN: 22 Correct. He's already a principal.

CHAIRMAN:

23

24

I have one follow-up to that. Where are 25 we in the licensure of the actual trust? Where in the

17 process is that right now? 2 ATTORNEY RODRIGUEZ-CAYRO: 3 The trust has filed for licensure, Chairman. I don't know where it is on the status at 4 this point. 6 CHAIRMAN: How long ago did you file for licensure? ATTORNEY RODRIGUEZ-CAYRO: 8 9 I want to guess it was probably four 10 months --- July, four months ago. 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 Okay. Thank you. Any other questions or 13 Could I have a motion, please? comments? 14 MR. SOJKA: 15 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board Yes. grant the Petition of Richard E. Jacobs Revocable 16 17 Living Trust to Transfer Interest in MTR Gaming to the 18 Jeffrey Jacobs Trust, as just described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 19 20 CHAIRMAN: Second? 21 22 MR. ANGELI: 23 Second. 24 CHAIRMAN: 25 All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

2

3

5

6

10

1

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes. Thank you, Doug. Thank you, Nick.

ATTORNEY SHERMAN:

The remaining matters for the Office of Chief Counsel, including withdrawals and reports and recommendations, will be presented by Steve Cook, Deputy Chief Counsel.

ATTORNEY COOK:

11 Good morning, Mr. Chairman, members of 12 the Board. The Board has received ten unopposed petitions to withdraw applications or surrender 13 14 licenses, which include requests to withdraw ten 15 principal applications, vendor application or personal 16 history disclosure statements submitted by principals 17 of vendors, as well as two requests to surrender 18 principal licenses associated with a licensed 19 manufacturer. The following individuals and companies 20 are the subject of these petitions: Cahill Controls, 21 Inc.; Corporate Express Office Products, Inc; Joseph 22 B. Fay Company; East Coast Hoist, Inc.; Theodore 23 Harrison; James Kehan, the Michael E. McPhee 2006 Irrevocable Phalcon Trust; the Marcus W. McPhee 2006 2.4 25 Irrevocable Trust; Sara Lee Food Service; David B.

1 Eberhardt; National Amusements, Inc.; and Sumner The Office of Enforcement Counsel has no 2 Redstone. 3 objections to these withdrawals, therefore these withdrawals would be ripe for consideration of a motion to grant them without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments from the Board? May I have a motion, please?

MR. ANGELI:

Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue Orders to approve the withdrawals or surrenders as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

MR. COY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

17 AYES RESPOND

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

Next before the Board for consideration are two reports and recommendations received from the 23 Office of Hearings and Appeals relative to one Key 24 Employee License and one Non-Gaming Employee Registration. These reports and recommendations,

along with the evidentiary record for each matter,
have been provided to the Board in advance of this
meeting. Additionally, in each case the applicant has
been notified that the Board is considering their
Report and Recommendation today and that they have the
right to be present to briefly address the Board. If
either of these individuals are present today, they
should come forward when their name is announced.

The first Report and Recommendation before the Board today relates to the withdrawal of 10 William Fox's Key Employee License. On June 13th, 11 12 2007, Greenwood Gaming & Entertainment, Inc. submitted 13 a Key Employee License application on behalf of 14 William Fox. Mr. Fox, who was employed as a security 15 shift manager at Greenwood, was issued a temporary credential on June 18th, 2007. On November 2nd, 2007, 16 Greenwood filed a petition to withdraw Mr. Fox's 17 18 application after terminating his employment for 19 undisclosed reasons. On March 14th, 2008, Mr. Fox 20 filed a pleading in the matter, objecting to Greenwood's petition and requesting that the Board 21 22 hold the withdrawal in abeyance. The Office of Enforcement Counsel thereafter filed an Answer in New 23 Matter recommending that the Board deny Greenwood's 24 petition based on their position that Mr. Fox had a

vested interest in his license and his objection to the withdrawal. The matter was then held in abeyance, 3 as requested by Mr. Fox. On June 15th, 2009, after holding the matter in abeyance for more than one year, the Office of Hearings and Appeals initiated proceedings related to this matter. During these proceedings Mr. Fox requested that his application now be withdrawn without prejudice. The Office of Enforcement Counsel did not object to this request in 10 that they had no information which would lead them to take the position that it should be withdrawn with 11 12 prejudice. As a result, the Office of Hearings and 13 Appeals issued a Report and Recommendation, 14 recommending that the application be withdrawn without 15 prejudice. And the Office of Chief Counsel would support that position. 16

CHAIRMAN:

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, a motion.

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order adopting the Report and Recommendation of the Office of Hearings and Appeals regarding the key employee license of William Fox, as described by the Office of Chief Counsel.

22

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

MR. GINTY:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? Motion passes.

ATTORNEY COOK:

The second Report and Recommendation before the Board today pertains to David Rietzen, who worked as a bartender at both Philadelphia Park Casino and Harrah's Chester Downs. On February 12th, 2009, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed an enforcement action requesting that Mr. Rietzen's Non-Gaming Employee Registration be revoked. Mr. Rietzen had been terminated for mishandling monies paid by customers in exchange for beverages at Philadelphia Park, where he worked between November 19th, 2007 and June 27th, 2008. Mr. Rietzen admitted to his 22 mishandling of these monies at Philadelphia Park. 23 After leaving the employment of Philadelphia Park, 24 while the enforcement action against his registration was pending based upon his conduct at that facility,

Mr. Rietzen became employed at Harrah's Chester Downs Casino as a bartender. While employed at Harrah's, Mr. Rietzen was found to be conducting cash 3 transactions in a similar manner. After becoming aware of Mr. Rietzen's conduct at Philadelphia Park, Harrah's began to monitor Mr. Rietzen's behavior during his shifts, and surveillance tapes show that Mr. Rietzen was voiding what appeared to be legitimate transactions after accepting payments. As a result, 10 he was interviewed by management at Harrah's, and at the time of the interview he admitted to the conduct. 11 Mr. Rietzen was thereafter terminated by Harrah's. 12 Αs 13 a result of his conduct at Philadelphia Park, an enforcement action was commenced and a hearing was 14 held on March 19th, 2009 in which Mr. Rietzen appeared 15 and testified on his own behalf. An amended complaint 16 17 was then filed by the Office of Enforcement Counsel 18 related to his behavior at Harrah's, and a second hearing was held on June 25th, 2009. Mr. Rietzen did 19 20 not attend that hearing. This matter is now ripe for 21 the Board's consideration. The Report and 22 Recommendation issued by the Office of Hearings and 23 Appeals recommends that his Non-Gaming Employee Registration be revoked for this conduct, and the 24 25 Office of Chief Counsel would support that position.

	24
1	CHAIRMAN:
2	Any questions or comments from the Board?
3	MR. SOJKA:
4	Just one quick question. Was the
5	management at Harrah's completely unaware of the
6	reason why he was terminated at Philadelphia Park?
7	ATTORNEY COOK:
8	I believe upon hiring him they may have
9	been. They were notified that there was an issue then
10	at Philadelphia Park.
11	MR. SOJKA:
12	So the surveillance may have been based
13	on their knowledge of his prior problem?
14	ATTORNEY COOK:
15	Oh, that's what their surveillance was
16	based upon, yes.
17	MR. RIVERS:
18	And who notified them that there was this
19	issue at the other facility?
20	ATTORNEY COOK:
21	I believe we may have or our staff, our
22	BIE.
23	<pre>CHAIRMAN:</pre>
24	Go ahead, Jim.
25	MR. GINTY:

Are there systems in place where if an employee transfers from one casino to another casino that there's going to be some information exchanged between the casinos?

ATTORNEY COOK:

1

2

3

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

I understand that as a result of this situation, the BIE and the Office of Enforcement Counsel, if an employee is terminated for a situation such as this at one facility but pending the enforcement action, they will now notify the Bureau of Licensing to make sure that DOL is on notice in case the employee becomes employed at another facility.

MR. RIVERS:

One more question. Is there a process in place that says the moment an employee leaves the employment of one facility, that the Office of Licensing is referred to?

ATTORNEY COOK:

I don't know if I understand your 20 question.

MR. RIVERS:

In other words, if an employee leaves Casino A, does Casino A have a responsibility to notify the Board ---

ATTORNEY COOK:

Oh, yes, they do. They do.

MR. RIVERS:

--- that the employee is no longer

working?

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

ATTORNEY COOK:

They do. They do. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

And I have a follow-up because I do have some concerns about this. I mean, I think what I hear you saying is that this cannot happen in the future; is that correct?

ATTORNEY COOK:

We've taken appropriate steps to address it, so it should not happen in the future.

CHAIRMAN:

My suggestion would be that when we have, from now on, an employee that goes from Casino A to Casino B, that Licensing or somebody in the Gaming Control Board make an affirmative move to look at that person's record with the casino that they are leaving to make sure that there's nothing out there that's nefarious or that's going to come back to embarrass us or the casino that they're going to, so that we should 24 make an affirmative step, not just, you know, wait for something to happen, but actually look at their record with the old casino before moving onto --- and Susan, if you want to answer that, you can come on up and address that. Because this is an issue that's going to happen again, I can assure you.

MS. HENSEL:

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

We do require terminations to be explained to us upon ---.

CHAIRMAN:

But this isn't a termination. Say I'm leaving from Casino A to Casino --- and moving to Casino B because I think they caught on to me, I mean, 12 we should make an affirmative step to talk to Casino A about that employee, is there anything out there, 14 before we approve that transfer?

MS. HENSEL:

We currently get notification of transfers. However, if there is a pending investigatory matter, we can talk about --- it sounds as though appropriate measures have now been put in place to address that part of the issue.

CHAIRMAN:

Cyrus, do you want to weigh in on that?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

2.4 We're running into a problem with due 25 process. I mean, some things we won't be able to

avoid. However, once we send a denial letter to an 2 individual or file an enforcement action against an 3 individual, that's noted in our database that an enforcement action is pending against that individual. So when Licensing pulls up that individual's name, they'll see a pending enforcement action against that individual. Now, because the individual has a pending enforcement action, that doesn't necessarily mean that we're going to be successful in proving whatever it is 10 we have to prove. So now we're skating into that area, are we violating this person's due process and 11 judging them before time. So it's just one of those 12 13 things that --- I can't promise you it won't happen 14 again. We'll do everything in our best efforts to 15 ensure that it doesn't happen and to, you know, notify Licensing as best we can, but we do denote it in the 16 17 database. But just because somebody has something pending against them, that's where the problem occurs. 18

CHAIRMAN:

I understand.

MR. RIVERS:

Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN:

Yes.

MR. RIVERS:

19

20

21

22

23

24

A question. Is it possible that we ask the facilities to put on their personal applications, the question, do you work or have you worked for another facility, and if so, when, and then that would alert us to that issue, the fact that we have an employee moving from Casino A to Casino B?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

Oh, we know. We know where the employees are going. That's not the issue. We're well aware. I mean, Licensing is well aware.

MR. RIVERS:

But if an employee says, I work at Casino A and I resigned two months ago, you talked about due process, I mean, what is the alerting process at Casino B that they're applying to to make sure that we know what happened at Casino A?

MS. HENSEL:

We receive a notification from a second casino that they're hiring someone that is currently holding a Gaming or Non-Gaming permit. Because we aren't necessarily seeing a new application when an employee transfers because they may have certification or registration that is in force, and they're just taking that from Casino A or Casino B. So in order to have visibility to the movement of those employees, we

1 have put in place a process where we receive notification when a casino hires an employee that has 2 3 an existing occupation permit or registration. MR. RIVERS: 4 5 So you're saying that if an employee is 6 applying to Casino B, they do not have to fill out another application for Casino B for employment? 8 MS. HENSEL: 9 They have to fill out an employment application, 10 11 MR. RIVERS: 12 That's what I'm talking about. MS. HENSEL: 13 14 --- but they don't have to fill out a 15 Gaming or ---. 16 MR. RIVERS: 17 I'm not talking about our application. 18 I'm talking about the individual casino's application 19 to indicate that they did work at another facility. 20 CHAIRMAN: 21 Well, they would probably know that 22 because they're already licensed. 23 MR. RIVERS: 24 Licensed. 25 CHAIRMAN:

31 Right. 1 2 MS. HENSEL: 3 That's right. 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Okay. All right. Thank you. Back to the issue at hand on David Rietzen. Any other questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please? 9 MR. MCCABE: 10 Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board issue an Order to adopt the Report and Recommendation 11 12 of the Office of Hearings and Appeals relating to the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of David Rietzen, as 13 described by the Office of Chief Counsel. 14 15 CHAIRMAN: 16 Second? 17 MR. RIVERS: 18 Second. 19 CHAIRMAN: 20 All in favor? AYES RESPOND 21 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Opposed? Motion passes.

The last matter on the agenda under the

ATTORNEY COOK:

24

25

Office of Chief Counsel is the emergency suspension 1 related to the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Ruben Rodriguez-Maisonet. Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet was 3 issued a Non-Gaming Employee Registration on July 22nd, 2009. He's employed as a cook at the Hollywood Casino. The Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement was notified by the Pennsylvania State Police, Gaming Enforcement Office, that on September 25th, 2009 Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet was arrested and charged with one 10 count of criminal homicide and two counts of aggravated assault, all of which are felonies. 11 As a result of these charges, the Office of Enforcement 12 13 Counsel filed a request for an Emergency Order of 14 Suspension of Mr. Rodriguez-Maisonet's Non-Gaming 15 Employee Registration on September 28th, 2009, an Order was signed by the Executive Director on that 16 17 day. Board regulations now require that a Temporary 18 Emergency Order be presented to the Board for a full 19 evidentiary hearing, or in the alternative, that the 20 matter be assigned to the Office of Hearings and 21 Appeals to conduct such a hearing. Thereafter, if 22 it's assigned to the Office of Hearings and Appeals, a Report and Recommendation would be issued. 23 24 case, the Office of Chief Counsel recommends that the 25 Board consider a motion to refer the matter to the

Office of Hearings and Appeals to promptly schedule a hearing, and subsequent thereto, to issue a Report and Recommendation. This matter would now be ripe for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN:

1

2

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Any questions or comments from the Board?

MR. SOJKA:

So basically what we need to do then is extend this emergency suspension, and you'll take care of the rest of that?

ATTORNEY COOK:

We would be --- the motion would be to extend the emergency suspension and refer the matter to Hearings and Appeals for an evidentiary hearing.

MR. SOJKA:

Okay. All right.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

MR. SOJKA:

I'd be happy to make that motion. Mr.

21 Chairman, I move that the Board issue an Order to

22 extend the emergency suspension of Ruben

23 Rodriguez-Maisonet's Non-Gaming Employee Registration

24 and that the matter be referred to the Office of

25 | Hearings and Appeals for a hearing to determine the

34

```
validity of the Office of Enforcement Counsel's
1
2
   request for a suspension.
 3
                CHAIRMAN:
                Second.
 4
 5
                MR. ANGELI:
 6
                Second.
                CHAIRMAN:
                All in favor?
8
  AYES RESPOND
10
                CHAIRMAN:
11
                Opposed? Motion passes.
                                           Thank you,
12
   Steve.
13
                ATTORNEY SHERMAN:
                And that concludes the Office of Chief
14
15
   Counsel report.
16
                 CHAIRMAN:
17
                 Thank you, Doug. Next we have Susan
18
  Hensel, our Director of Licensing, for her report.
19
                MS. HENSEL:
20
                Thank you, Chairman Fajt and members of
  the Board. The first matter for your consideration is
21
22
  the issuance of temporary Key Employee Licenses to 16
23 individuals. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of
24 Licensing provided you with an Order regarding the
25 issuance of these temporary Key Employee Licenses.
```

```
35
  ask that the Board consider the Order approving these
2
   licenses.
 3
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Any questions or comments from
 4
   Enforcement Counsel?
 6
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
                 No objection.
8
                 CHAIRMAN:
9
                 Any questions or comments from the Board?
10
   If not, could I have a motion, please?
11
                 MR. ANGELI:
12
                 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
13
  an Order to approve the issuance of temporary Key
14
   Employee Licenses, as described by the Bureau of
15
  Licensing.
                 MR. COY:
16
17
                 Second.
18
                 CHAIRMAN:
19
                 All in favor?
20
   AYES RESPOND
21
                 CHAIRMAN:
22
                 Opposed?
                           Motion passes.
23
                 MS. HENSEL:
2.4
                 We also have withdraw requests for Key
25
   Employee Applications. In each case the application
```

```
is no longer required due to such circumstances as the
   employee accepting a job with a different employer,
3
  the job offer being rescinded or the employee failing
  to report to work. For today's meeting I have
  provided the Board with a list of 14 withdrawals for
  approval and I ask that the Board consider the Order
   approving those withdrawals.
8
                CHAIRMAN:
9
                Any questions or comments from
10
  Enforcement Counsel?
11
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                No objection.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Any questions or comments from the Board?
   If not, could I have a motion?
15
                MR. GINTY:
16
                Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
17
18
   an Order to approve the withdrawal of Key Employee
19
  Applications, as described by the Bureau of Licensing.
20
                MR. MCCABE:
                 Second.
21
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                All in favor?
  AYES RESPOND
2.4
25
                 CHAIRMAN:
```

Opposed? Motion passes.

MS. HENSEL:

1

2

3

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Next are Gaming and Non-Gaming Permits and Registrations. Prior to this meeting, the Bureau of Licensing provided you with a list of 72 individuals, including 33 initial and 39 renewals who the Bureau has granted Occupation Permits to and 49 individuals who the Bureau has granted Registrations to under the authority delegated to the Bureau of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the Order.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from Enforcement Counsel?

ATTORNEY PITRE:

No objection.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions from the Board? If not, to could I have a motion, please?

MR. COY:

Mr. Chairman, I move the Board issue an Order approving the issuance of Gaming Permits and Non-Gaming Registrations, as described by the Bureau of Licensing.

MR. GINTY:

Second.

38 1 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 2 3 AYES RESPOND 4 CHAIRMAN: 5 Opposed? Motion passes. 6 MS. HENSEL: In addition, we have withdrawal requests for Gaming and Non-Gaming Employee applications. each case the occupation permit or registration is no 10 longer required. For today's meeting I have provided the Board with a list of 18 withdrawals for approval. 11 12 I ask that the Board consider the Order approving those withdrawals. 1.3 14 CHAIRMAN: 15 Any questions from Enforcement Counsel? ATTORNEY PITRE: 16 No objection. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Any comments from the Board? If not, 20 could I have a motion, please? 21 MR. MCCABE: 22 Yes, Mr. Chair. I move that the Board 23 issue an Order to approve the withdrawal of Gaming and

24 | Non-Gaming Employee applications, as described by the

25 Bureau of Licensing.

```
issue an Order to approve the applications for vendor
1
2
   certification, as described by the Bureau of
3
   Licensing.
 4
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Second?
 5
 6
                 MR. SOJKA:
                 Second.
                 CHAIRMAN:
8
9
                 All in favor?
10
  AYES RESPOND
11
                 CHAIRMAN:
12
                 Opposed? Motion passes.
                 MS. HENSEL:
13
14
                 The Bureau of Licensing also provided you
15
   with an Order and an attached list of 17 registered
   vendors. I ask that the Board adopt a motion
16
   approving the Order registering those vendors.
17
18
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
19
                 No objection.
20
                 CHAIRMAN:
21
                 Thank you. Any questions from the Board?
22
   If not, could I have a motion, please?
23
                 MR. SOJKA:
24
                 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue
25 an Order to approve the applications for vendor
```

Good morning, Chairman Fajt, members of the Board. There is one matter relating to revocation from a vendor employee before the Board today. The records pertinent to this matter have been provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. Upon notification of the complaint filed to revoke the registration of the individual named in the complaint, the individual chose not to respond to the matters set forth in the complaint. In addition, the applicant has been notified that the Board is considering his revocation today and that he has the right to be present to address the Board.

Mr. Mitchell is employed by Preventive Cleaning, LLC and registered as a Non-Gaming Employee. The Office of Enforcement Counsel filed an enforcement complaint to revoke Mr. Mitchell's Non-Gaming Employee Registration for failing to maintain his suitability on August 4th, 2009. The enforcement complaint was properly served upon by Mr. Mitchell by both certified and first-class mail. Mr. Mitchell did not respond to the filing in any way. Due to Mr. Mitchell's failure to respond, the averments in the enforcement complaint are deemed to be admitted as fact and his right to a hearing has been waived.

On September 25th, 2009, the Office of

Enforcement Counsel filed a request to enter judgment 1 upon default. The matter is now before the Board to 2 3 consider the revocation of Mr. Mitchell's Non-Gaming Employee Registration. 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Any questions or comments from the Board? If not, could I have a motion, please? 8 MR. GINTY: 9 Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board issue 10 an Order to approve the revocation of the Non-Gaming Employee Registration of Kevin Mitchell, as described 11 by the Office of Enforcement Counsel. 12 13 CHAIRMAN: Second? 14 15 MR. MCCABE: 16 Second. 17 CHAIRMAN: All in favor? 18 19 AYES RESPOND 20 CHAIRMAN: Motion passes. 21 Opposed? Next? 22 ATTORNEY MILLER: 23 At this time the Office of Enforcement 24 Counsel has a Consent Agreement prepared for the

Board's approval. The Consent Agreement is between

the office of Enforcement Counsel and Chester Downs & Marina, LLC, doing business as Harrah's Chester Casino & Racetrack. This Consent Agreement arises from an 3 incident that occurred on August 24th, 2008. On that date, certain members of Harrah's Chester's Security Department failed to ensure the physical safety of a patron, in violation of Harrah's Chester's approved internal controls, the Act and the regulations promulgated there under. The actions of these members 10 of the Security Department exposed the patron to potential physical injury and personal embarrassment. 11 As a result of their actions, the security personnel 12 responsible for this violation were terminated from 13 14 their positions at Harrah's Chester. Also, while the 15 Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement were investigating this incident, the investigation was 16 17 hampered by Harrah's Chester's analog-based 18 surveillance system. On September 22nd, 2009 the parties entered into a Consent Agreement to settle 19 20 this matter. The terms of the agreement included a provision that Harrah's Chester shall institute 21 22 policies and provide training to its employees to prevent future incidents of this nature from 23 occurring. And also, Harrah's Chester shall pay a 24 total fine of \$45,000 for violating their internal 25

```
controls. By this agreement Harrah's Chester also
1
  agreed to upgrade its surveillance system to digital
3
  format. Harrah's Chester has already complied with
  this portion of the Consent Agreement. Bill Downey,
  Counsel for Chester Downs & Marina, LLC, is in
  attendance today to answer any questions you may have
   regarding this matter. Otherwise, it would be
  appropriate for the Board to entertain a motion to
   approve this Consent Agreement.
10
                CHAIRMAN:
11
                Any questions or comments from the Board?
   If not, could I have a motion, please?
12
13
                MR. ANGELI:
14
                Mr. Chairman, I move the Board approve
15
   the Consent Agreement between the Office of
   Enforcement Counsel and Chester Downs & Marina, LLC.
16
17
                CHAIRMAN:
                Second?
18
19
                MR. COY:
2.0
                Second.
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                All in favor?
23
  AYES RESPOND
2.4
                CHAIRMAN:
25
                Opposed? Motion passes.
                                           Thank you,
```

```
gentlemen.
1
2
                MR. RIVERS:
3
                Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question,
   please?
5
                CHAIRMAN:
 6
                Yes, absolutely.
                MR. RIVERS:
8
                Does anyone on our staff know that ---
   are there any other facilities that are using analog
10
   equipment versus digital or is this the last one?
11
                ATTORNEY PITRE:
12
                 It's the last one.
13
                MR. RIVERS:
14
                Thank you.
15
                CHAIRMAN:
16
                Thank you. Good question.
                                              Thank you
17
  very much, gentlemen.
18
                MR. RIVERS:
19
                Okay. That concludes our meeting.
20 Looking ahead, the Board will hold its next public
21 meeting on Wednesday, October 21st, 2009, at 10:00
22
  a.m. The meeting will also be held here, in the State
23 Museum Auditorium. Any final comments or questions
24 from anybody on the Board? May I have a motion to
25 adjourn?
```

		47
1	MR. ANGELI:	
2	So moved.	
3	MR. RIVERS:	
4	Second.	
5	CHAIRMAN:	
6	The meeting is adjourned. Thank you.	
7	* * * * *	
8	MEETING CONCLUDED AT 10:45 A.M.	
9	* * * * *	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceedings, hearing held before Chairman Fajt was reported by me on 10/07/2009 and that I Cynthia Piro Simpson read this transcript and that I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.