COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA

STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * * * * *

PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * * * * *

BEFORE: MARY DIGIACOMO COLINS, CHAIRMAN

Raymond S. Angeli, Jeffrey W. Coy, James B.

Ginty, Kenneth T. McCabe, Sanford Rivers,

and Gary A. Sojka, Members

Keith Welks, Ex-officio Designee

MEETING: Monday, December 3, 2007

1:10 p.m.

LOCATION: North Office Building

Hearing Room One

ORIGINAL

Harrisburg, PA 17120

WITNESSES: Thomas Sturgeon, Melinda Tucker, Anne Neeb,

Kevin Hayes, Michael Walsh, Susan Hensel,

Mike French, Aaron Ryan, Barry Creany, Nan

Davenport and Robert Green

Reporter: Hilary Culver

Any reproduction of this transcript is prohibited without authorization by the certifying agency.

```
2
 1
                    APPEARANCES
 3
  FRANK DONAGHUE, ESQUIRE
   Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board
 5
   P.O. Box 69060
 6 Harrisburg, PA 17106
 7
      Counsel for the Board
 8
 9
10
11
12 ALSO PRESENT:
13 Stacy Amsler, on behalf of Revenue Secretary Thomas
14
  Wolf
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	3
2		
3	OPENING REMARKS	
4	by Chairman Colins	4 - 6
5	PRESENTATIONS AND REPORTS	
6	by Mr. Sturgeon	6 - 19
7	by Ms. Tucker	19 - 20
8	by Ms. Neeb	21 - 23
9	by Mr. Hayes	23 - 24
10	by Mr. Walsh	25 - 26
11	by Mr. Donaghue	27 - 46
12	by Ms. Hensel	46 - 48
13	by Mr. French	48 ~ 51
14	by Ms. Hensel	53 - 60
15	by Mr. Pitre	60 - 61
16	by Mr. Creany	61
17	by Ms. Davenport	62 - 64
18	by Mr. Hayes	64 - 66
19	by Mr. Green	66 - 73
20	CERTIFICATE	74
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
į		

PROCEEDINGS

13 l

<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>

Now we will proceed to the public meeting. A quorum of the Board is present. The meeting is called to order. We also have --- in addition to our Board members, we have Keith Welks here, who is attending on behalf of State Treasurer Robin Wiessmann. Stacy Amsler is here on behalf of Revenue Secretary Thomas Wolf. Thank you both for joining us.

And we'll move to housekeeping items.

Everyone who still has a cell phone or Blackberry on,

please turn it off. And let us now, in order to open

the public meeting, say the Pledge.

16 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

CHAIRMAN:

Some announcements. The Board held an executive session prior to today's meeting in accordance with the Sunshine Act to discuss personnel issues, privileged Agency business, consult with Counsel and other professional advisors to the Board concerning current litigation and matters in which complaints are expected to be filed. Once again, a reminder that the 2006/2007 local law enforcement

```
grant applications are currently being accepted on a
  first-come/first-served basis until all the 2006/2007
3
  funds have been awarded. Closing of the application
  period will be posted on the Pennsylvania Gaming
5
  Control Board web site. With respect to the
  transcript and minutes, may I have a motion from the
6
  Board to approve the transcript and the minutes of the
  October 2nd meeting?
9
                COMMISSIONER ANGELI:
10
                Madam Chairman, I move that the Board
   approve the transcript and the minutes of the October
11
12
   2nd, 2007 meeting.
13
                CHAIRMAN:
14
                Is there a second?
15
                COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
16
                Second.
17
                CHAIRMAN:
18
                Questions or comments? All in favor?
19
  AYES RESPOND
20
                CHAIRMAN:
21
                Opposed?
22
  NO RESPONSE
23
                CHAIRMAN:
                Motion carries. New business. We have a
24
25
  presentation now on the Casino Enforcement, and Tom
```

Sturgeon, our Deputy Director for Enforcement, will make this presentation, which we've been waiting for and looking forward to hearing. I'll ask him to begin.

MR. STURGEON:

2

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you, Judge Colins and members of the Board and ladies and gentleman. It's a pleasure to take a few minutes to go over some of the things that the Casino Enforcement officers do in the course of their normal daily business. The presentation certainly will not be all-encompassing, but it's more of an overview of some of the things that we do do.

The role of the Casino Enforcement officers was set forth in Section 405 of the Act and regulations, and it essentially covers the issues of internal controls, exclusion, underage gaming, drinking, and the things that are listed on the PowerPoint. I would just mention to you that we have 18 done a Cliff note version of our enforcement code. We've taken all the regulations and we've put about 12 20 or 13 on a page, and even with our Cliff note version, we have about 107 pages of those enforcement codes in our enforcement code.

The internal controls of each of the casinos varies sometimes between 20 and 40 pages long. The enforcement agents are --- it's necessary that they know those. The compulsive and problem gaming codes of each of the casinos is something that all of our people need to know. So far we have done over 150 self-exclusions. They're a pretty lengthy process, take between a half hour and 45 minutes each to do those. They're highly confidential. There's about an eight-page form that needs to be filled out to accomplish those things.

The compulsive and problem gaming thing also includes the underage and intoxicated gamers. We do things such as work with the G-Tech, and I'm going just follow along here. I don't know how --- these fancy things aren't as good as they're known to be.

In terms of monitoring compliance, I talked about our enforcement code and the internal controls, the policies and procedures, the self-exclusion. We assist with licensing matters; we collect credentials; we issue temporary emergency credentials. As you'll see in a minute, we assist in G-Tech issues. Those G-Tech issues can be things as simple as escorting people to the G-Tech room and making sure that the security is adequate while somebody would be in that room, and also we would deal with G-Tech on any kind of non-communicating of

machines or the RAM clears, the random access memory clears of the machines. We assist the Department of 3 Revenue anytime they need assistance, and that is 4 sometimes more frequent than others, but our 5 relationship with the Department of Revenue is good. 6 We handle a lot of the patron issues and 7 That's probably what we do most of all. complaints. 8 You know, anytime that a patron would come to us, we would deal with them in terms of whatever their 10 question might be. With regard to security, we monitor the security logs, we take care --- we have 12 the security staffing in our minds at all times. regard to surveillance, we monitor the surveillance 13 14 incident reports. We request close watch reviews, and 15 close watch review would be that if we have an 16 activity that takes place in the casino, we would 17 instruct the surveillance department to watch that 18 person for any number of minutes or hours if we had 19 to, to see what they were doing, to follow them. It's 20 just a surveillance thing. 21 We're certainly in charge of all the 22 relationships with the other law enforcement agencies. 23 We have a very close relationship with the 24 Pennsylvania State Police. Within the casinos the

relationship between our agency and the State Police

25

in the casino could not be better. We have a very cordial and professional working relationship.

2

3

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On the casino floor we do what you might call a patrol or just a monitoring. Our people walk the casino floors at all times. We watch the drops when they empty the machines or fill the machines whether it be the slot machines or the TRM machines, our people are there for that. That's one of the things we pay close attention to. There are procedures that are involved in all of those things. We deal with the slots department. In particular, we would deal with them in terms of the machine entry access logs, the jackpot payouts, the machine malfunctions or any kind of question that a patron or the team may have with a machine. We also do the RAM clears. We do lots of RAM clears, as many as a couple of hundred a day sometimes but then other times we may only do a few, but RAM clears are there all the time.

This is a --- one of the things we do is distribute temporary credentials. Although we don't really do a lot of that it's one of the functions that we do do when it's necessary. We do the emergency credentials that --- when originally we opened the casinos, that work was done by the casinos' security department. We found that that really wasn't an

effective way to do things, and now we issue all emergency credentials.

An emergency credential would be in almost every instance a person who would forget to bring their license to work, or credential to work, and we don't allow them to work unless they have it, so they would have to come to us and we would provide them with an emergency credential, and in almost every occasion we take their driver's license and hold that for the day. When they bring the emergency credential back we give their driver's license back. One of the reasons that we're doing that is when the security department was doing it we found that the emergency credentials were being lost and they weren't being accounted for correctly, so while we do that, it gives us a lot better opportunity.

When a casino enforcement agent has contact with a patron, we complete a patron contact form. When they have a complaint, the patron complaint form is completed and an investigation is conducted. When we have what we believe to be a possible regulatory enforcement complaint, we do a regulatory enforcement report, and then when we do something that wouldn't rise to the level of a regulatory enforcement report, we will do an incident

report.

Samples of those would be that there is the patron contact form that is something that the agents can carry right in their pockets. We have done about 2,000 of those so far. We're getting a little better process in place where we should be doing more and we expect to do more of these patron contact forms. Even a very simple thing, like someone could be asking directions, someone could just ask a question. It's a form that records us where we're being kind and courteous to the patrons of the casino.

We also have a patron complaint form. This is a form that's on our web site and it's in the offices of the casino enforcement agents at each property. We do not get an awful lot of these patron complaint forms officially. Generally most of our work comes just from the routine of being there, but we have had numbers of people fill these out. When they do it an investigation is instigated, reports are written and the patron is --- we respond to the patron and tell them what the results of it are.

We have regulatory enforcement complaints. We use those for any time that there's a potential regulatory violation, and that form looks similar to this. We have done about 80 of these

regulatory enforcement reports at this point. Once this form is completed, it comes to me. I send that to the Chief of the Office of Enforcement Counsel he and I jointly discuss the issue, whether or not it's something that needs to be further investigated, whether it needs to be an enforcement action written on that case, and then between he and I we make that decision. If there's an enforcement action taken he would assign it to one of the attorneys in the Office of Enforcement Counsel, and subsequently the Office of Enforcement Counsel would handle it from that point forward with the property.

We use incident reports for occurrences which don't rise to the level of a regulatory enforcement. That report looks a little bit like this. Right now we have done about 1,500 of these so far, and we continue to do more as we become more uniform across the state. With six casinos and six different supervisors in the casinos, early on we found each of them carried their own personality. The issue of the day for us is to try to become more uniform and as we become more uniform we tend to do more incident reports.

You can see here some of the kinds of things that we involve ourselves in. I won't read

that list, but they are things that we pretty frequently deal with. Of course, some of those things aren't as often as others, but we have a menu of many things that we deal with on a daily basis.

Every day we do an agent checklist.

Every agent for every shift does one of these. It's a little hard to read, but the first page deals mostly with security. It deals with the minimum staffing of security, whether we have special events, dignitary visits. It deals with the security logs that we require people to look at. It has anything to do with the security.

And then the second page gets in a little bit to the surveillance. We are very concerned with the surveillance, camera reconfigurations, making sure that everything's working right, the minimal staffing in the surveillance room. As you know, our regulations and internal controls require each casino to have a certain number of surveillance people and a certain number of security people to be on duty at all times to be doing certain functions, and so we do that.

This page is dealing, again, with much of the surveillance, and then this page talks more about surveillance and then it gets into the underage

gaming.

One of our main concerns with security, and the security in the casinos, is that we do not want underage people gaming and we don't want drunks on the gaming floor. And they have been the most typical of the regulatory enforcement issues that we have dealt with. It's just sometimes a hard job to keep underage people off the floor, but we make it --- it's our major function to do that. At the end of every shift the agent will fill out all these various forms that you can see there, and that would be the summary. And that's just a place where you put additional comments.

We do a daily report here. We do one each shift, and we kind of do a totality of the day. And then we do a monthly report where we try to summarize the month in terms of the numbers of incidents, investigations, patron contacts and so on.

We do a self-exclusion intake. That's a very important process that we do. It's a process that takes us about a half an hour, 45 minutes to do. There's an eight-page form we deal with there, and it's one of the really important things that we do, and it also takes a lot of time. As I said earlier in our report, we've done over 150 people who have

self-excluded themselves from our program at this point, and that's just one of the self-exclusion forms.

There are kinds of things that we do, in terms that we do approvals every month. We approve all of the ticket redemption machine drops. We do the ticket redemption machine drops, the bill validator drops. We take readings off the readers of the casino when the RAM clears, most often for G-tech or the Department of Revenue, when they require them. But these pages I'm showing you now are just the number of RAM clears that we did in one day. So that pretty much covers it.

I just wanted to close by just saying a couple things. We spend a lot of time doing vendor checks, vendor employee checks. With these continuing improvements in the casinos, there's a lot of vendor activity. It's a rough job to make sure that the vendors are licensed and properly credentialed.

The opening responsibilities that take place when we open a new casino is important. The security plan has to be approved by us. We require them to have certain number of security. We tell them where the security has to be, the zones, the locations where they work. We do the same thing with the

surveillance. Before they open a casino we make certain that the camera reconfiguration is such that we can read off of every single slot machine in the building, the bill validator, the voucher exchange validator, the wheels, the credit window on the machine, the asset number and the location number of every machine. We do that for every single machine. And any time there would be a floor plan change, before anybody would approve that, we'd make sure that we have made sure the cameras are all appropriately taken care of.

18 I

One of the things we do a lot of, and this is just an add-on, you would be surprised how many gaming and non-gaming employee arrests there are outside of the casino for things as minor as driving under the influence, or drug use. Any time that happens, the casino is mandated --- informed by the Gaming Board of that arrest, and when we get notification of that our people do an investigation, and then subsequently the Office of Enforcement Counsel, after we hand them the investigation, they will decide whether or not that arrest would rise to the level of commitment of revoking someone's license or to just make a notation in Slots Link so we are aware of what they do.

The other thing we get notified about frequently, and there's more of these then you would probably imagine, would be casinos who terminate When they terminate somebody, they notify us. people. And there again, we investigate that termination, work with Office of Enforcement Counsel, and we can determine whether or not that termination is a cause to revoke their license or just cause for us to make a notation of that. Every internal control change that 10 is brought before the Board will have gone through our casino enforcement agents to make sure that that change in internal controls is something that we can do, or something we approve of.

1

2

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Recently we started a program, not a program, we've just increased their job function more so to where now we are trying to, as often as we can, to take a slots tech in the casinos and to go through --- to bring them to the floor and do a random check of various machines, maybe 20, 30, or 40 machines randomly, occasionally to see that the percentage payout is in conformance with the Act. That's not to take the place of what our Gaming Lab does. an added thing that we do that brings the attention of the casino to know that we are paying attention to those kinds of issues and that I think that, in my

opinion at least, it gives an opportunity to try to reinforce to the patrons of the casinos and the public that we're doing everything we can to make sure things are right.

5

6

7

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The last thing I would just state to you, that I think the incident last weekend at Philadelphia Park, with the water problem and the closure and the reopening of that casino, I think it would prove that with our executive office and with the Office of Operations and the Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement, that together I think we were able to show that we have the staff to close down a casino when necessary and to reopen it when necessary to make sure that the security was correct, the surveillance was correct, to make sure that we had interaction with G-Tech, to make sure the machines were shut down correctly so there would be no room for anybody to raise any questions at the end, and I think that whole dynamics of last weekend at least indicated to me that our processes are pretty good.

And so anyhow, the enforcement agents continue to do these kind of things. We do more today than we did six months ago and I expect that in six months from now, we'll do more than we are today.

25 It's a building process that we continue to work with.

Thank you.

12 l

19 l

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. Any questions for Tom? Thank you, Tom. We appreciate it. It's been informative.

Now we're going to ask our Director of Racetrack Gaming, Melinda Tucker, to ---.

MS. TUCKER:

as members of the Board. Secondly, we have on the agenda the approval of PATHA health benefit plan for the horsemen at Philadelphia Park. That plan was submitted by the Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association approximately six weeks ago, and consistent with our obligation to review those plans pursuant to Act 71, I submitted that plan to James Talerico, an auditor with the Gaming Control Board, who has experience in the review and determination of the appropriateness of health and pension benefit plans. He spent a great deal of time reviewing this plan and after its review prepared this memorandum, which is attached to the information that I have provided to you, in which he recommends approval of the plan.

So we are here today. James is here to answer any questions that you might have. We are here

```
today to ask for your approval of this plan consistent
  with his review and recommendation.
3
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Again, may I have a motion?
4
5
                 COMMISSIONER COY:
                 Yes, Madam Chair, I move that the Board
6
   approve the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred Horsemen
  Association's health plan.
9
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Do I have a second?
10
11
                 COMMISSIONER GINTY:
12
                 Second.
13
                 CHAIRMAN:
                 Any questions? All in favor?
14
15
  AYES RESPOND
16
                 CHAIRMAN:
17
                 Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
18
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 Motion carries.
21
                 MS. TUCKER:
22
                 Thank you very much.
23
                 CHAIRMAN:
24
                 Thank you very much, ma'am. Next is
25
  Executive Director, Anne Neeb.
```

MS. NEEB:

1

2

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 l

17

18 l

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

members of the Board. I'm going to give a revenue report today with revenues that apply to each property, and I'd like to give you the numbers. First I'll give you the November through November 29th number. We don't have the last two days of November yet, and give you the year-to-date on each of the properties.

The wagers received through November 29th of 2007 at Mohegan Sun, \$137,643,156.43. Of that the gross terminal revenue was \$12,067,689.86, and from the gross terminal revenue the 55-percent tax that was remitted to the state was \$6,637,229.32.

At Philadelphia Park for the month of November, wagers received \$306,637,848.25, the gross terminal revenue was \$23,203,272.92, and the 55-percent tax remitted to the state was \$12,761,800.12.

For the month of November at Harrah's Chester, wagers received, \$276,080,166.99, gross terminal revenue, \$23,558,756.57 and the 55-percent tax remitted to the state for the month of November, \$12,957,321.62.

Presque Isle wagers received for the month of November, \$143,530,788.56, gross terminal

```
revenue $11,575,472.08, and the 55-percent tax
remitted to the state, $6,366,509.67.
```

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

17

24

25

The Meadows, wagers received \$192,973,765.50, gross terminal revenue \$16,949,758.50 and the 55-percent tax to state, \$9,322,367.19.

And Mount Airy for the month of November wagers received \$151,858,307.81, gross terminal revenue \$10,911,043.32, the amount remitted to the state \$6,001,073.73.

The total for the month of November remitted to the state for taxes was \$54,046,301.80.

And now I'd like to give you the year-to-13 date for each of these casinos. And with this I'll give you the wagers received and the tax paid. year-to-date for Mohegan \$1,996,155,458.75 and the 16 year-to-date for Philadelphia Park on wagers received Harrah's Chester \$3,306,174,079.73. 18 \$3,024,903,441.52. Presque Isle, \$1,490,774,984.24. The Meadows, \$1,272,269,582.38 and Mount Airy, 19 \$213,635,879.67. And the year-to-date taxes paid of 20 21 the 55-percent of all of gross terminal revenues calculated from wagers received is \$532,104,392.84. 22 Okay. I think I got this right. I may be a few cents 23

Okay.

off, but don't hold me to it. Do you have any

questions about the revenues?

The other thing I'd like to --- on the agenda, I think it was under the last section, but we're going to skip back to the report on the update on Philadelphia Park.

OFF RECORD DISCUSSION

MS. NEEB:

Okay. All right. That's all I have.

8 Thank you.

1

2

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 l

19

20

21

22

23

24 1

25

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Thank you.

MS. NEEB:

I'll turn it to Kevin Hayes, Director of Gaming Operations.

MR. HAYES:

Madam Chairman, members of the Board, the item in which I'm here to present to the Board for its consideration concerns the opening of Hollywood Casino at Penn National. For the six prior casino openings, the Board has delegated authority to one or two Board members to oversee the opening process and the test period and to authorize the commencement of slot operations at the licensed facility.

For the approaching opening of Hollywood Casino at Penn National, Board members Jeffrey Coy and Gary Sojka as the two Board members hailing from

central Pennsylvania, have agreed to take on this responsibility on behalf of the Board.

With that, I respectfully request that the Board entertain a motion which delegates authority to Board Members Coy and Sojka to approve all preopening conditions to the Category 1 Slot Machine License of Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association, and to determine the effective date and time at which slot machines may commence at Hollywood Casino at Penn National.

CHAIRMAN:

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

May I have a motion, please?

COMMISSIONER RIVERS:

Madam Chair, I move that the Board Yes. delegate the authority to Board Members Jeffrey Coy and Gary Sojka to approve, either collectively or in their individual capacities, all pre-opening 18 conditions to the Category 1 Slot Machine License of 19 Mountainview Thoroughbred Racing Association and to determine the effective date and time at which slot operations may commence at Hollywood Casino at Penn National in East Hanover Township, Dauphin County, pursuant to the Board's order dated December 20th, 2006.

CHAIRMAN:

```
25
1
                May I have a second?
2
                COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
3
                Second.
4
                CHAIRMAN:
                Any questions or comments? All in favor?
5
  AYES RESPOND
7
                CHAIRMAN:
8
                Opposed?
  NO RESPONSE
10
                CHAIRMAN:
                The motion carries. Thank you very much.
11
12
                MR. HAYES:
13
                Thank you.
14
                CHAIRMAN:
15
                Mike Walsh, please.
                MR. WALSH:
16
                Good afternoon, Madam Chair and members
17
18 of the Board. On behalf of the Bureau of
19 Administration, I'm pleased to present five candidates
20 for consideration to be hired by the Pennsylvania
  Gaming Control Board. The five candidates are all in
21
   the Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement. And the
22
23 first is an administrative assistant, Elizabeth
24 Lachansky, who will be based at the Scranton office in
  the Northeast Regional Office.
25 I
```

The next four candidates are all casino 1 enforcement agents who will work at Penn National when it is opened. They are Michael DeFrank, Trevor 3 4 Hassenbein, Mark Snowberger and Peter Tuzzata. the Office of Professional Responsibility has completed the background investigations and drug screening, the results of which have been approved by the Board. And we would respectfully request a motion 8 of the Board to approve the hiring of these 10 individuals today. 11 CHAIRMAN: May I have a motion, please? 12 COMMISSIONER RIVERS: 13 Madam Chairman, I move that the 14 Yes. Board approve the hiring of the agency staff, on the 15 basis that all employees have completed the necessary 16 background investigation and drug testing. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: May I have a second? 19 20 COMMISSIONER COY: Second. 21 22 CHAIRMAN: Any questions? All in favor? 23 24 AYES RESPOND 25 CHAIRMAN:

Opposed? NO RESPONSE 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 Motion carries. 5 MR. WALSH: 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN: 8 All right. We're going to take a ten-minute recess and then we'll continue with the 10 agenda. SHORT BREAK TAKEN 11 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Okay. Let's continue. All right. 14 Frank. Thank you. 15 ATTORNEY DONAGHUE: Good afternoon, Chairman and members of 16 The Board has received four petitions 17 the Board. 18 filed by the following, the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred 19 Horsemen's Association, IGT, Mount Airy Number One and 20 Sands Bethworks Gaming. All four petitions are being considered upon documentary hearings. Office of Enforcement Counsel has answered all four petitions. 22 The Board has been provided with the petitions and 23 24 supporting evidentiary materials as well as the 25 responses of the Office of Enforcement Counsel to the

petitions.

2

3

5

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The first petition presented was filed by the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association relating to several petitions filed by Greenwood Specifically, Greenwood Gaming has filed petitions to approve the increase of additional slot machines and square footage to the gaming floor referred to as POP-6. The second petition to add slot machines and square footage, POP-7, and a petition for the approval of a master plan.

On October 15th the Horsemen's 12 Association filed a petition to intervene generally in the Greenwood matters. Greenwood Gaming and the Office of Enforcement Counsel have responded and the Horsemen filed a reply. Those documents have been provided to the Board and comprise the record before this Board. Greenwood Gaming's various petitions have 18 requested increases in the number of machines, size of the gaming floor, renovations to the existing grandstands and with an effect on its parimutuel wagering menus.

In addition, the master plan, if approved, would return most of the grandstand area to live racing.

The Horsemen alleged that the primary

public policy purpose of the Gaming Act is to enhance live horse racing and that they therefore have standing to intervene in this matter. Greenwood does not oppose the intervention of the Horsemen and the petitions filed, but does oppose intervention in 6 matters which have not yet been filed since those undetermined matters may not involve the interests of the Horsemen. It appears that the Horsemen's Association meets the criteria for intervention as to the three Greenwood petitions, POP-6, -7 and the master plan, and it is suggested that it would be appropriate for the Board to consider approval of their intervention in those three matters.

However, intervention as to any yet unfiled matters can properly be denied since such petitions may not involve interests of the Horsemen for which intervention would otherwise be appropriate.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. May I have a motion, please? COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Yes, Madam Chairman. I will move that the Board approve the petition filed by the Pennsylvania Thoroughbred Horsemen's Association to intervene generally in connection with the application of Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, Inc. for a

```
Category 1 slot machine license, insofar as it relates
  to Greenwood's petitions for approval of POP-6 and 7
  and the master plan and to deny the petition as to yet
  unfiled matters.
5
                COMMISSIONER COY:
6
                Second.
7
                CHAIRMAN:
8
                Any questions?
9
                COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
                Just one, and that is as to the unfiled
10
  matters, should it be determine later that
11
12 intervention would be appropriate, they could then
13
  apply for such?
                 ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:
14
                Absolutely.
15
16
                CHAIRMAN:
                Very good. All in favor?
17
18
   AYES RESPOND
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 Opposed?
21
   NO RESPONSE
22
                 CHAIRMAN:
23
                Motion carries.
                 ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:
24
                 The second petition was filed by IGT, a
25
```

1 manufacturer licensee. IGT is seeking to open an office and warehouse facility in Tinicum Township, Pennsylvania and intends to house slot machines and provide sales and service-related activity there. And under pertinent regulations, IGT requires your permission to store the slot machines within the Commonwealth, and hence has a filed the current petition for that permission.

5

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

As proposed, the slot machines held at the facility will not be used for gaming activity. IGT maintains that approximately 150 slot machines will be stored in the warehouse space of the facility. 12 Additionally a technician in the work room and showroom will be present. An alarm system will be implemented to secure all assets, interior motion detectors will protect the facility and be monitored 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Security camera coverage of all exits will be also provided with continuous imaging being maintained for a period of 30 days.

The Office of Enforcement Counsel has 22 reviewed the request and has represented that they do not have any objections to the request provided that the IGT employee who is responsible for scheduling field service technicians for visits to casino

consumers has or will complete and submit an application for licensure by the PGCB, and within 30 days of the Board's order granting the petition, IGT will submit access controls acceptable to the respondent, as well as have the storage facility inspected and approved by Board staff. IGT has agreed to satisfy the stated 7 concerns. On the basis of this documentary record, as well as the agreement of IGT to the above-stated conditions, the matter is now ripe for the Board's 10 11 consideration. 12 CHAIRMAN: May I have a motion for the Board to 13 approve the petition for IGT? 14 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 15 Madam Chair, I move that the Board 16 approve the petition filed by IGT to obtain an off-17 premise storage facility. 18 COMMISSIONER ANGELI: 19 Second. 20 21 CHAIRMAN: Any questions or comments? All in favor? 22 AYES RESPOND 23

CHAIRMAN:

All opposed?

24

25

NO RESPONSE

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

3 Motion carries.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

The third petition before the Board today is filed by Mount Airy which seeks permission to possess an antique slot machine referred to as Mills, Jennings Blue Bell, a slot machine manufactured in the early 1950s. The machine, if approved for display, will be rendered inoperable and cannot be used by any The machine would only be used for display patrons. as an antique machine. The OEC has no objection to Mount Airy's possession of the slot machine on the basis that it would not meet the definition of a slot machine if it is inoperable and does not have the ability to accept payment for play or deliver payments to patrons.

Based upon the evidentiary record, the reasons asserted by the petitioner and the OEC response, it would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion relative to the position to possess the antique slot machine upon the condition that it be rendered inoperable and remain inoperable and that it is not used by any patron for purpose of gaming activity.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. May I have a motion for the Board to approve the petition for Mount Airy?

COMMISSIONER RIVERS:

Yes, Madam Chairman. I move that the Board approve the petition filed by Mount Airy Number One, LLC to possess an antique slot machine for the purpose of display pursuant 58 Pa.C. Section 463(a)(1).

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

Very good. Any questions or comments?

14 | All in favor?

15 AYES RESPOND

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

18 NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion carries.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

The final petition before the Board is that of Sands Bethworks Gaming. As you know, Sands Bethworks is a Category 2 slot machine licensee and possesses a license to operate a game facility in the

City of Bethlehem, Pennsylvania. Sheldon Adelson, a license principal of Sands Bethworks, is a trustee of the Adelson Trust, an estate planning vehicle which owns 50 percent of the stock of the Las Vegas Sands Corporation. Sheldon Adelson's wife, Dr. Miriam Adelson, is the settlor trustee and beneficiary of the trust, but she has no control over the shares of the licensed entity.

18 l

Sands Bethworks is requesting that Miriam Adelson be excused from filing a separate application for licensure in her own behalf since she has no control over the licensee and her husband, Sheldon Adelson, has already submitted substantial documentation with this application for principal license. OEC and BOL both filed responses to the petition and proffered similar objections including that the November 2006 amendments to the Act require Miriam Adelson to be licensed, and that information that would be required of Miriam Adelson in her own application may not be included in Sheldon Adelson's application.

Based upon the evidentiary record, the reasons asserted by the petitioner and the responses from OEC and BOL consideration of the request to be excluded from filing a full principal application is

properly before this Board for consideration. 2 CHAIRMAN: May I have a motion? 3 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 4 Thank you, Madam Chairman. I move that 5 the Board deny the petition by Sand Bethworks Gaming, LLC for relief from the application request of Dr. 8 Miriam O. Adelson, Docket Number 1901, as presented, but to direct that Chief Counsel review the documents 10 submitted by Sheldon Adelson in support of his license application to determine if any of them can be 11 utilized in conjunction with the review of Dr. Miriam 12 13 Adelson's application. 14 CHAIRMAN: Is there a second? 15 16 COMMISSIONER GINTY: Second. 17 1.8 CHAIRMAN: Any questions? All in favor? 19 AYES RESPOND 20 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 Opposed? 23 NO RESPONSE 24 CHAIRMAN: Motion carries. 25

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

1

2

3

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 I

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Board has received a total of 79 petitions to withdraw applications, which include one key employee application, one principal key employee application, two principal entity applications, one principal entity renewal application and 74 gaming and non-gaming employee applications. A full listing of the individuals in each category, along with the docket numbers have been provided to the Board. 10 regard to each of these requests, they were reviewed by the Office of Enforcement Counsel and the Chief Enforcement Counsel has no objection to withdrawal, as there's no information constituting good cause to deny condition or prejudice the withdrawals of these applications, and all required fees have been paid to date.

These petitions would all be rendered without prejudice. The Chief Counsel's office concurs in this review and submits the 79 petitions for the Board's consideration of a motion to grant the petitions without prejudice.

CHAIRMAN:

All right. Thank you very much. May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER ANGELI:

Madam Chairman, I move that the Board 1 approve the withdrawal of the employee applications described by the Office of Chief Counsel. COMMISSIONER RIVERS: 4 5 Second. 6 CHAIRMAN: 7 Any questions? All in favor? AYES RESPOND 9 CHAIRMAN: 10 Opposed? 11 NO RESPONSE 12 CHAIRMAN: 13 Motion carries. 14 ATTORNEY DONAGHUE: Next our report and recommendations. The 15 Board has received two reports and recommendations 16 following hearings to deny applications for non-gaming 17 | 18 registrations. The reports and recommendations, along with the records pertinent to each hearing have been 19 provided to the Board in advance of this meeting. 20 21 They include non-gaming employee application for 22 Stephen Goda and Meghan Keough. 23 As to Stephen Goda, Mr. Goda applied for 24 a non-gaming employee registration. During the 25 investigative phase of the application process, it was

determined that he did not disclose a number of felony 2 arrests and convictions. He was notified that he was being recommended for denial. Mr. Goda requested a hearing but failed to show up on three separate 5 occasions.

Accordingly the Office of the Chief Enforcement Counsel presented unrebutted evidence of the felony convictions leading to the report and the recommended denial of the application. Based upon the 10 report and recommendation and the records presented, it would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion to adopt the recommendation concerning Mr. Goda.

CHAIRMAN:

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER COY:

Madam Chairman, I move that the Board to approve the order denying the non-gaming employee registration application for Stephen Goda, at the Docket Number listed by the Chief Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Second?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions? All in favor? 1 2 AYES RESPOND 3 CHAIRMAN: 4 Opposed? NO RESPONSE 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 7 Motion carries. Next item. 8 ATTORNEY DONAGHUE: The Board has also received a report and 9 10 | recommendation concerning non-gaming employee Meghan 11 | Keough. She was an applicant for investigation. 12 During the course of the --- I'm sorry, an applicant for a registration. During the course of the 13 investigation, it was determined that she failed to 14 disclose six arrests. After the Bureau of Licensing 15 16 notified her of the recommended denial of registration, she requested a hearing and failed to 17 show up on four separate occasions. Accordingly, the 18 evidence was presented. It's now unrebutted and has 19 led to the report and recommended denial of the 20 21 application. In addition, Ms. Keough has also filed a 22 petition to re-open the proceedings on the basis that 23 the arrests in question were thrown out and dropped. 24

The Office of Enforcement Counsel answered this

25

petition noting that she failed to demonstrate that there were new facts to be examined or to explain why she never disclosed any of the background of the six arrests in her application. Based upon the report and recommendation and the records presented, it would be appropriate for the Board to consider a motion to adopt the recommendation and deny the registration of Meghan Keough and simultaneously deny her petition to re-open the record.

CHAIRMAN:

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you. May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Madam Chairman, I move that the Board approve an order adopting the Bureau of Hearings and Appeals' report and recommendation to deny the nongaming employee registration application for Meghan L. Keough, Docket Number 1002937, and further to deny the petition to re-open those hearings.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments?

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Do you need a second?

CHAIRMAN:

I do.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Second.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18 l

19

21

22

23

24

25

1

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Any questions? All in favor?

AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

CHAIRMAN:

Motion carries.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

Next there are two suspension actions 12 before the Board. With respect to each, the records 13 pertinent to each matter have been provided to the 14 Board in advance of the meeting. Upon notification of complaints filed to suspend the permit or registration, neither individual responded to the matters set forth by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

First is Charisse Kamil Hendley. In this matter the Board has received the request from the 20 I Office of Enforcement Counsel to suspend her nongaming registration as a valet cashier at Chester Downs due to an arrest and guilty plea for shoplifting.

Ms. Hendley failed to disclose her

conviction on her application for the non-gaming registration. The Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement contacted Ms. Hendley regarding the nondisclosure, and she indicated that the incident had taken place when she was a minor, and therefore it was not reportable.

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Hendley was issued a non-gaming employee registration on August 7th. Subsequently BIE was informed that Ms. Hendley was arrested on July 31st and apparently the notification crossed with the granting of the registration. She did not disclose this new arrest on her application and obviously did 13 not inform BIE of the arrest. Based upon violation of the Board's regulations, which require disclosure of such arrests, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a petition for a six-month suspension against Ms. Hendley. Hendley has not responded, and the matters are therefore admitted by default.

I would suggest it would be appropriate for the Board to consider granting the petition for a six-month suspension based upon the documentary files.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Madam Chairman, I move that the Board

approve the recommended suspension of the gaming employee permit of Charisse Kamil Hendley, Docket Number 1006998, for a period of six months effective upon the date of this order.

CHAIRMAN:

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Second.

CHAIRMAN:

Any questions or comments? All in favor?

11 AYES RESPOND

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20 l

22

23

CHAIRMAN:

Any opposed?

NO RESPONSE 14

CHAIRMAN:

Motion carries.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

And finally, the Board has received a request from the Office of Enforcement Counsel to conduct a hearing regarding the validity of the 21 | issuance of an emergency order to suspend the gaming employee permit of Gardner Lee Carter. Carter was issued the gaming employee permit for a position as an IT specialist at Chester Downs. Pennsylvania State 24 Police notified BIE on the date of October 10th that

Carter was arrested on September 30th regarding incidents that had occurred in August and September of this year. Those charges are still pending.

On October 30th, the Office of Enforcement Counsel filed a request for an emergency order to suspend his gaming permit. The order was signed by the Executive Director on October 31st, 2007 and served on Carter. To date Carter has not requested a hearing in this matter. Regulation 4038.7(k) provides the temporary emergency order be presented to the Board for a full hearing or for assignment it to the Office of Hearings and Appeals to conduct a hearing as to the continued validity of the suspension.

In this case, the Office of Chief Counsel recommends that the Board consider a motion to refer the matter to the Office of Hearings and Appeals to promptly schedule a hearing and to issue a report and recommendation to the Board regarding that suspension.

CHAIRMAN:

Okay. Can I have such a motion?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Yes, Madam Chair, I move that the Board approve the order as outlined by the Office of Chief Counsel and to direct the Office of Hearings and

```
46
   Appeals to conduct a hearing regarding the validity of
   the issuance of the emergency order for Gardner Lee
 3
   Carter, Docket Number G1007700.
 4
                COMMISSIONER COY:
 5
                 Second.
 6
                CHAIRMAN:
7
                Any questions or comments? All in favor?
8
  AYES RESPOND
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Opposed?
11
   NO RESPONSE
12
                CHAIRMAN:
13
                Motion carries.
14
                ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:
15
                That's our report. Thank you.
16
                CHAIRMAN:
17
                Okay.
                        Thank you very much. Now to Susan
18
  Hensel.
19
                MS. HENSEL:
20
                Thank you, Chairman Colins and members of
21
               I am joined this afternoon by Mike French
   the Board.
   and Aaron Ryan from Price Waterhouse Coopers regarding
22
23
  the first matter I have to discuss with you. As we
24
  heard earlier today, Harrah's Chester Downs will,
25
  subject to your approval, be undergoing a change of
```

control as a result of Hamlet Holdings' acquisition of 2 Harrah's. In addition, Mountainview Thoroughbred Association is also expected to undergo a similar change of control due to the acquisition of Penn National Gaming by two private equity firms.

6

10

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

In anticipation of these and future changes in control of slot machine licensees, the Board will need to decide the appropriate licensing 8 | fee to charge acquirers in connection with the change of control. Pursuant to Section 1328 of the Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act, a 11 | change in control of any slot machine licensee 12 requires that the new licensee pay a new license fee. However, the Act also provides that the Board has discretion to reduce, but not eliminate, that fee. Toassist the Board in determining an appropriate change of ownership or control fee we consulted with Price Waterhouse Coopers, which has continued to work as 18 part of our taskforce. 19

PWC was asked to study how other gaming jurisdictions treat acquisitions at their licensed facilities, and specifically if other jurisdictions assess a fee against an acquirer above the costs of an agency's investigation. In addition, they were asked to identify economic factors important to the Board's

consideration of a fee reduction pursuant to a change of ownership or control. We invited Mike and Aaron here today to present their findings. And I'll turn it over to them.

MR. FRENCH:

Thank you, Susan. I have some prepared remarks I'd like to read and then I'll be open --- both of us are open for questions. As part of the taskforce team, a range of economic factors were considered in the issue to potential licensee fee reductions consistent with Section 1328. The following summarizes those economic factors. One, absence of unrecoverable costs. All costs related to a change in ownership such as investigation fees and document processing fees are direct-billed to the licensee. As a result, the change in ownership does not expect it to result in any unrecoverable costs that should be offset with a license fee.

Two, different operations and transaction types. Given the diverse range of casino markets in the Commonwealth and the various ownership structures that are in place or that may be used in the future, there's no clearly-defined method to consistently value ownership interests in casino facilities as part of complex transactions.

Three, investment environment and expected rate return on investments in Pennsylvania. Casino operators evaluating expansion plans, the potential for table games and other plans for capital investment at Pennsylvania facilities will consider the perceived stability of the investment environment and expected rate of return on their investment.

Four, the competitive environment in surrounding states. Gaming operators have had the choice of jurisdictions in which to invest limited available capital, and may chose to invest in areas of lower unknown costs and greater perceived stability.

Five, potential trends in industry structure. If acquisitions by private equity firms prove to be an important source of value creation for shareholders in the gaming sector, factors such as a significant change in ownership fee could reduce the attractiveness of owning a Pennsylvania casino. This could reduce the available pool of potential owners, affecting the level of capital investment that the Commonwealth may be able to attract.

Six, ten-year period from initial issuance. By providing for a return on the \$50 million license fee in full if certain sections of the Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act are

modified within five years, and in part if modifications occur within six to ten years, Section 2 1209 effectively establishes a ten-year period of stability.

5

6

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 l

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also Section 1209 provides for the creation credit to offset any tax increases that occur within the ten-year period, up to the amount of the \$50 million license fee. During this period, operators may reasonably expect to be protected from 10 major regulatory changes.

Seven, other jurisdictions. We contacted eight major gaming jurisdictions including Delaware, Iowa, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, New Jersey, Ontario and West Virginia. None applies a change of ownership fee other than investigative costs.

Eight, other Pennsylvania licenses. Sales of liquor licenses in Pennsylvania are subject to approval by the Pennsylvania Liquor Control Board. These transfers are conducted at nominal fees despite the substantial prices of which liquor license sales transact.

Nine, potential structuring to avoid the fees. A significant change in ownership fee may encourage operators to structure any changes in

ownership to avoid being required to pay the fee.

Ten, example of other PGCB fees. Fees for management company, manufacturer and supplier licenses are in the range of \$10,000 to \$500,000.

In conclusion, a significant change in ownership fee may be expected to alter the investment environment in ways that could jeopardize major capital expenditures that have the opportunity to generate far greater tax revenues for the Commonwealth over the long term. Reducing the change in ownership fee would not be expected to have negative repercussions on the successful growth of the gaming industry in the Commonwealth and future tax revenue.

Fostering a stable environment for capital reinvestment in the context of a competitive regional market for casino gaming, a reduced fee would be consistent with the goals of sustaining and growing the positive economic and fiscal impacts of the casino gaming industry and the long-term economic well-being of the Commonwealth.

As a result, the weight of important factors supports the reduction of a change-in-ownership fee in situations deemed appropriate by the Board.

CHAIRMAN:

1 Thank you. Thank you very much. 2 MS. HENSEL: 3 Does the Board have any questions for Mike or Aaron? 5 COMMISSIONER GINTY: 6 I just have one question. The other states you looked at apparently do not have a 8 statutory requirement such as 1328, regarding the collection of the fee? 9 10 MR. FRENCH: 11 Correct. COMMISSIONER GINTY: 12 13 And we do? 14 MR. FRENCH: 15 Correct. 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Anything else? Any other questions? Thank you very much. We appreciate your information. 18 19 MR. FRENCH: 20 Thank you. 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 And what we'll do is we'll go on to 23 review this information and act on it on the 18th. 24 Proceed. 25 MS. HENSEL:

matters. Before addressing the orders that are before you today, I would like to briefly update the Board on a recent change to our vendor process. Since the Board's last meeting, the Bureau of Licensing has added to the Board's web site new applications for registered vendors. The new applications make a distinction between sponsored vendors, which are those that have a business relationship with the facility and unsponsored vendors, which are those that are seeking to enter into a business relationship with the facility.

In the past, only sponsored vendors were able to apply for registration with the Board. We limited the vendor applications to sponsored vendors in order to prioritize our work and get the industry up and running. However, with six facilities open and a seventh poised to open in the next few months, we are now opening up the vendor registrations to both the sponsored and unsponsored vendors. For businesses interested in applying, they can find the new unsponsored registered vendor forms on our web site at www.pgcb.state.pa.us by clicking on licensure and then on applications.

Now I'll turn to other licensing matters.

```
First we have a supplier who's before you for renewal.
   TDN Money Systems was originally licensed in June
 3
   2006.
          TDN filed a renewal application, which allowed
   its license to stay in effect for an additional six
            The Bureau of Investigations and Enforcement
  has completed its investigation and the Bureau of
   Licensing has provided you with a suitability report.
 8
   No issues were identified by either the Bureau of
   Investigations and Enforcement or Licensing that would
9
10
   preclude licensure. I ask that the Board consider an
11
   order granting TDN renewal of its supplier license.
12
                CHAIRMAN:
13
                Do we have a motion?
14
                COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
15
                Yes, Madam Chair, I move that the Board
16
   approve the order renewing the supplier license for
17
   TDN Money Systems.
18
                CHAIRMAN:
19
                Second, anyone?
20
                COMMISSIONER RIVERS:
21
                Second.
22
                CHAIRMAN:
23
                Any questions? All in favor?
24
  AYES RESPOND
25
                CHAIRMAN:
```

١	55
1	Opposed?
2	NO RESPONSE
3	CHAIRMAN:
4	Motion carries.
5	MS. HENSEL:
6	The Bureau of Licensing has also provided
7	you a proposed order for 17 temporary key employee
8	licenses. I ask that the Board consider the order of
9	granting these licenses.
10	<u>CHAIRMAN:</u>
11	May I have a motion?
12	COMMISSIONER ANGELI:
13	Madam Chair, I moved that the Board
14	approve the orders to issue temporary key employee
15	licenses as described by the Bureau of Licensing.
16	CHAIRMAN:
17	Is there a second?
18	COMMISSIONER MCCABE:
19	Second.
20	CHAIRMAN:
21	Any questions? All in favor?
22	AYES RESPOND
23	CHAIRMAN:
24	Opposed?
25	NO RESPONSE

1 CHAIRMAN: 2 Motion carries. 3 MS. HENSEL: In addition, the Bureau of Licensing 4 | provided you with an order and a list of 102 individuals who the Bureau has granted occupation permits to, and 134 individuals who the Bureau has granted registrations to under the authority delegated 8 to the Director of Licensing. I ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the order. 10 11 CHAIRMAN: 12 May I have a motion? 13 COMMISSIONER COY: Madam Chair, I move that the Board 14 approve the orders to issue the gaming and non-gaming 15 permits and registrations, as described by the 16 Director of the Bureau of Licensing. 17 18 CHAIRMAN: 19 Is there a second? 20 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 21 Second. 22 CHAIRMAN: 23 Any questions? All in favor? 24 AYES RESPOND

CHAIRMAN:

25

Opposed?

NO RESPONSE

1

3

4

5

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18 I

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Motion carries.

MS. HENSEL:

The final item concerning employees is the recommendation of denials for 8 gaming and 29 non-gaming employee applications. Prior to this meeting the Bureau of Licensing provided you with orders addressing each of the individual gaming and non-gaming applicants that the Bureau of Investigation and Enforcement has recommended for denial. As stated in each order, each applicant was sent a recommendation of denial letter from the PGCB.

In the letter, the applicants were given ten days in which to request a hearing regarding their denial. In each case the applicant failed to request a hearing in the specified time period in which the request was required. I ask that the Board adopt a motion approving the denial orders for the gaming and non-gaming applicants.

CHAIRMAN:

May I have a motion?

COMMISSIONER GINTY:

Madam Chairman, I move that the Board

```
58
  approve the orders to the gaming and non-gaming
   employee licenses, as described by the Bureau of
3
  Licensing.
                 COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
4
5
                 Second.
6
                CHAIRMAN:
7
                Any questions? All in favor?
8
  AYES RESPOND
9
                CHAIRMAN:
10
                Opposed?
11
  NO RESPONSE
12
                CHAIRMAN:
13
                Motion carries.
14
                MS. HENSEL:
15
                Finally, we have two orders regarding
16
             First, a proposed order certifying the
17 following three vendors: Curran Taylor, Inc., Johnston
18 Construction Company and William H. Clinger
19 | Corporation. I have consulted with Chief Enforcement
20 Counsel, and the BIE has no objection to the
21
  certification of the three companies. I ask that the
22 Board adopt a motion approving the order.
23
                CHAIRMAN:
24
                May I have a motion?
25
                COMMISSIONER MCCABE:
```

```
59
 1
                 Madam Chair, I move that the Board
   approve the order approving the applications for
3
   vendor certification.
 4
                 COMMISSIONER ANGELI:
5
                 Second.
 6
                 CHAIRMAN:
7
                 Any questions? All in favor?
8
   AYES RESPOND
9
                 CHAIRMAN:
10
                 Opposed?
11
   NO RESPONSE
12
                 CHAIRMAN:
13
                Motion carries.
14
                 MS. HENSEL:
15
                 Finally, the Bureau of Licensing provided
16
   each if you with an order and an attached list of 37
17
   registered vendors. I've consulted with the Chief
18 I
  Enforcement Counsel, and BIE has no objection to the
19
   registration of the 37 companies. I ask that the
20
   Board adopt a motion approving the order.
21
                CHAIRMAN:
22
                Motion, please?
23
                COMMISSIONER RIVERS:
24
                      Madam Chairman, I move that the
                Yes.
25
  Board approve the order approving the application for
```

```
60
 1
   vendor registration.
 2
                 COMMISSIONER MCCABE:
 3
                 Second.
 4
                 CHAIRMAN:
 5
                 Any questions? All in favor?
   AYES RESPOND
 7
                 CHAIRMAN:
 8
                 Opposed?
 9
   NO RESPONSE
10
                 CHAIRMAN:
11
                 Motion carries. Susan, thank you.
12
                 MS. HENSEL:
13
                 Thank you.
14
                 CHAIRMAN:
15
                 Okay. Office of Chief Enforcement
16
   Counsel, Cyrus Pitre.
17
                 ATTORNEY PITRE:
1.8
                 Good afternoon. I have with me today
19 Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel, Barry Creany, Deputy
20 | Chief Enforcement Counsel, Nan Davenport.
                                                We have
21
   three consent agreements for your consideration today.
22 The first involving an employee gaming permit with
   regard to Linda Gardner. She's an employee of Presque
23
24 | Isle Downs, Deputy Chief Enforcement Counsel Barry
25
   Creany will present that matter for the Board's
```

consideration.

3

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 MR. CREANY:

Chairman DiGiacomo, members of the Board. 4 The Office of Enforcement Counsel presents for your consideration a consent agreement today that will conclude an action we brought to revoke the gaming license of Linda Gardner. That is in the form of a agreement dated October 31st in which Gardner agrees to surrender her license and pay costs that were incurred by the Office Enforcement Counsel and BIE. With that I will answer any questions, or ask that the 12 | Board consider a motion to approve the consent agreement.

CHAIRMAN:

Are there any questions? All right. This information we have reviewed, and so there lies for a motion.

COMMISSIONER SOJKA:

Thank you, Madam Chair. I move that the Board approve the consent agreement between the PGCB and Linda Gardner, Docket Number G1003898, as described by the Office of Enforcement Counsel.

CHAIRMAN:

Is there a second?

COMMISSIONER RIVERS:

62 1 Second. 2 CHAIRMAN: Any questions? All in favor? 3 AYES RESPOND 5 CHAIRMAN: 6 Opposed? 7 CHAIRMAN: 8 Motion carries. 9 MS. DAVENPORT: 10 Good afternoon. OEC and Downs Racing 11 have entered into a consent agreement with respect to 12 an underage gaming incident which occurred on April 14th, 2007. This was previously presented to the 13 Board and was tabled. We've gone back to Downs 14 Racing. We have entered into a consent agreement 15 16 which is before you. Downs Racing shall implement policies to minimize the opportunities for 17 reoccurrence of this event and shall reimburse BIE the 18 l costs of its investigation. OEC respectfully requests 19 that the Board approve the consent agreement. 20 21 CHAIRMAN: 22 May I have a motion? 23 COMMISSIONER RIVERS: 24 Madam Chairman, I move that the Yes. Board approve the consent agreement between the PGCB 25

```
63
  and Mohegan Sun, as described by the Office of
  Enforcement Counsel.
3
                CHAIRMAN:
4
                Is there a second?
5
                COMMISSIONER SOJKA:
6
                Second.
7
                CHAIRMAN:
                Questions? All in favor?
8
  AYES RESPOND
10
                CHAIRMAN:
11
                Opposed?
12
  NO RESPONSE
13
                CHAIRMAN:
                Motion carries.
14
15
                MS. DAVENPORT:
                And finally OEC has entered into a
16
   settlement agreement with Joseph A. Rizzo, who's an
17
  applicant for a gaming permit. Pursuant to the
18
19 settlement agreement because Mr. Rizzo, did not
20 disclose three arrests on his application, he will be
  prohibited for one year from the date of his
21
  application from re-applying. OEC respectively
22
23
  requests that the Board approves the settlement
24
  agreement.
25
                CHAIRMAN:
```

```
1
                 Can I have a motion?
 2
                 COMMISSIONER ANGELI:
 3
                 Madam Chairman, I move that the Board
   approves the consent agreement between the PGCB and
 5
   Joseph Rizzo, Docket Number 1001059, as described by
 6
   the Office of Enforcement Counsel.
 7
                 COMMISSIONER COY:
 8
                 Second.
 9
                 CHAIRMAN:
10
                 Any questions? All in favor?
11
   AYES RESPOND
12
                 CHAIRMAN:
13
                 Opposed?
   NO RESPONSE
15
                 CHAIRMAN:
16
                 Motion carries.
17
                 MS. DAVENPORT:
18
                 Thank you very much.
19
                 CHAIRMAN:
20
                 Thank you. Kevin?
21
                 MR. HAYES:
22
                 As the last item on the Board's agenda
23
   today, Madam Chairman and members of the Board, I'd
24
   like to introduce Robert W. Green, Chairman of
25
   Greenwood Gaming and Entertainment, a holder of a
```

conditional Category 1 license. He's here today to provide the Board with a report of a temporary closing of Philadelphia Park Casino from Thursday, November 29th at approximately 10:00 p.m. to Saturday December 1st at 11:59 a.m.

Before handing it over to Mr. Green, I would like to provide the Board with a brief overview of the PGCB's response to this incident. From the moment that Bensalem Township's Fire Department ordered that the facility be closed, our casino enforcement staff worked shoulder to shoulder with the security department of Philadelphia Park and local officials to evacuate the facility in a safe and orderly manner. Bensalem Township permitted our staff and the members of its security staff to remain in the facility to secure the floor and to permit ongoing surveillance of the floor.

In addition, G-Tech personnel, in conjunction with representatives from our lab, were on site to ensure that complete connectivity to the central control computer system was maintained throughout the closing.

The PGCB's authorization to re-open the slot machine facility came only after we received written confirmation from the fire marshall and

building inspectors of Bensalem Township, that the facility was able to receive the public.

In addition, the Board did not entertain the re-opening of this facility until we received all assurances from G-Tech concerning the 2,400 slot machines on the gaming floor and their connectivity to the central control computer system.

I would like to thank personally the employees of Philadelphia Park, G-Tech and Bensalem Township for their vigilance and their cooperation in administering the effective and efficient closing and re-opening of Philadelphia Park Casino. I'd like now to hand it over to Mr. Green.

MR. GREEN:

Madam Chairman, members of the Board and the PGCB staff, thank you for allowing me this opportunity to not only answer any questions you might have, but to give you an overview of the circumstances that resulted in our closure of the racetrack and the casino on Thursday night and the steps that we took both jointly and independently to address the numerous issues that arose out of that situation until we were in a position to re-open the casino floor and the racetrack round about noon on Saturday.

First, I would like to put on the record

our appreciation of the Board's staff for their wholehearted support and cooperation during that period that was essentially a crisis response.

Specifically, Madam Chairman, I would like to thank you personally for coming to the casino on Friday afternoon, inspecting and assessing the situation, understanding the logistics and the many issues that were involved, and the strategy that we had put together to resolve them in an expedited basis. I'd also like to thank your Executive Director who also accompanied you on that tour, who obviously brought her own perspective of those issues.

Going back to some remarks, I'd also like to thank John Wolczak and his crew for their help from the PGCB's point of view. Last but not least I'd like to thank Mr. Kevin Hayes who essentially came out from the moment this crisis developed. He was available on a 24-hour basis and actually did a superb job in facilitating re-opening. And to close down that system, with all of those machines, and all the various parts of that, and to re-open without a hitch, certainly speaks volumes.

In the thank you mode, I'd also like to join Mr. Hayes in thanking the people of Bensalem, the township officials, the mayor, the fire marshall, the

building inspector for the work that they did in ensuring, in the first instance, the health and safety and welfare of the public and our employees were protected. From our point of view, and from PGCB's point of view, obviously, maintaining the integrity of the games on the floor during the operation, all of those things were critical and none of those, the integrity of the handlers, the surveillance, security, none of those were compromised at all during the period that we were going through the repairs and the very significant structural issues that we had to deal with.

I have with me a chronological blow-by-blow of account what went on from nine o'clock on Thursday 'til noon on Saturday that I can give you. It would probably take an hour or so, and I'm not proposing to do that, but really just to again, thank you and your staff for the work that they did and to be available for any questions that you might have.

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you very much. Does anyone have any questions for Mr. Green?

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

I do. Mr. Green, first I agree and concur with your comments. You all did a great job.

Kevin, Mr. Green, you and your staff, our staff, all should be commended.

Early on in this process we heard a lot of complaints from the horsemen about the back side and you not doing enough for them in living up to agreements. And from my understanding that this incident occurred over in the back side in the jockey room or something, what guarantees do we have that this won't happen again? Are you taking any action to improve the back side and make sure something like this doesn't happen again?

MR. GREEN:

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I should clarify the situation. This did not occur on the back side. The jockeys' room is 14 housed within the grandstand complex on the second floor, and so I'll come back to that. In respect to backstretch improvements, yes, we've had issues and discussions with the horsemen, but the issue in respect to backstretch improvements is that we are mandated by the statute to put together a program then that covers backstretch improvements to a dollar value. In fact, as part of our agreement with the horsemen, which was signed in 2004, we went beyond the statutory requirement of \$7 million to \$12 million. So in fact, we're doing significantly more than the

statute requires.

1

2

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The issue with the horsemen has really run its time in the sense that yes, I would say for about three or four months certain maintenance items took a back seat while we were opening the casino in the period between September and December of last year. And there was certainly a backlog of some maintenance issues. They're been dealt with for the most part.

In respect to going forward we have a new agreement with our horsemen which is probably unusual in the country, to take this forward to 2018, and involves even more expenditure on backstretch improvements than mandated by the statute.

Coming back to your question about can it 16 happen again. It did happen again. That was one of the problems. All of the fire suppression, the sprinklers in our grandstand are basically brand new. 18 They were put in a year ago, at enormous expense. without getting too technical, the problem really occurred when one of the sprinkler heads went, set off a chain reaction of the sprinkler system and the main pipe that came in from Bensalem, which is probably 20 to 30 years old, blew. It couldn't stand the pressure.

Without the fire suppression system, the fire marshall correctly said that we had to close down. And at that point, we had 1,200 people on the premises. On a Thursday night at 9:30, you get 1,200 people to essentially leave the premises, and that was done in a very orderly way.

We were up all night repairing that main pipe that came in, and when we were due to re-open on Friday morning, when they filled up the system to test it, the pipe blew again, this time in a different place. So we knew that we had a major problem at that point, and then we said well, we're not going to fix this in another, you know, five hours and then have it blow again. So really what we had to do was to go get a diagnostic assessment of how we would deal with the problem, which really reduced the chances of it happening again.

And what we had to do essentially was where our main new pump room was, the pipe makes a series of 90-degree turns to take it below the frost line, so what we had to do was, we devised --- not me, but our mechanical and plumbing engineers and our general contractor and the systems people who were on site, determined we would take that pipe above ground, straight through the pump room into our building, and

the only issue with doing that, apart from quite major construction, is that you have to make sure that that pipe in that area becomes heated. Otherwise the first frost, it will crack. So that was part of the issues that we dealt with over that period of time in the hope that this is now a permanent fix, and it won't happen again.

COMMISSIONER MCCABE:

Thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN:

Thank you. Mr. Green, two things. First is, our staff tells me that you and your staff and your team were extremely cooperative in working with us to ensure that the games were preserved and that the facility was secured and we appreciate that level of cooperation that you showed throughout this crisis.

MR. GREEN:

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN:

And the next thing is, while I have you here the last time that we met and you made a presentation regarding a permanent plan I had articulated a list of things that I would like you to provide to the Board. One thing that I neglected, which I wanted to ask you to have your Counsel provide

to us, is a memorandum of law that addresses the issue of the integration of the two buildings. 3 MR. GREEN: 4 I believe that our Counsel has received that, over the last few days and we do expect to respond to that in the next few days. 7 CHAIRMAN: 8 Good I just wanted to make sure. you very much. I appreciate it. The next meeting we 9 will have will be December 18th, scheduled for 10:00 10 a.m., and we will adjourn, absent any further comments 11 12 from the Board. Anything else? Okay. Very good. Then motion to adjourn? 13 COMMISSIONER SOJKA: 14 So moved. 15 16 CHAIRMAN: 17 Second? 18 COMMISSIONER MCCABE: 19 Second. 20 CHAIRMAN: Okay. We're adjourned. Thank you all 21 22 very much. 23 24 MEETING CONCLUDED AT 3:50 P.M. 25

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the

was reported by me, that I have read this

and I attest

foregoing proceeding 12/3 Guming

that this transcript is a true and

accurate record of the proceeding.

transcript on __12/14/08,

2

4

5

6

7.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ourt Reporter

· PITTSBURGH, PA

·CLEARFIELD, PA

 $\bullet \mathbf{STATE}\ \mathbf{COLLEGE},\ \mathbf{PA}$

·HOLLIDAYSBURG, PA

• ERIE, PA •OIL CITY, PA

· HARRISBURG, PA

SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

> 210 Main Street Johnstown, PA 15901 (814) 536-8908

•INDIANA, PA

· GREENSBURG, PA

· PHILADELPHIA, PA

-SOMERSET, PA

• WILKES-BARRE, PA

· CHARLESTON, WV