PENNSYLVANIA GAMING CONTROL BOARD

* * * * *

IN RE: PUBLIC MEETING

* * * * * *

BOARD ROOM, PGCB OFFICE

5TH FLOOR VERIZON TOWER

STRAWBERRY SQUARE

HARRISBURG, PA

THURSDAY, JULY 26, 2007

* * * * *

BEFORE: (via telephone)

THOMAS DECKER, CHAIRMAN

RAYMOND ANGELI

MARY DIGIACOMO COLINS

JEFFREY W. COY

KENNETH McCABE

SANFORD RIVERS

GARY A. SOJKA

REPORTER: KENNETH D. O'HEARN
REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC

		2
1	INDEX	2.
2		
3	AGENDA	PAGE
4	Call to Order - Paul Resch	3
5	Other Business - Frank Donaghue	6
6	Adjournment - Chairman Tad Decker	21
7	Certificate	23
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		i
22		
23		
24		
25		

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc. (814) 536-8908

MR. DECKER: 1 2 Good afternoon. I'm Tad Decker, the Chairman of the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board. 3 4 I'd like to welcome you to our public meeting today. Because the Board members are participating today by 5 phone, I'd like to ask that Paul Resch, the Board 6 secretary to call the roll. Paul? 7 8 MR. RESCH: 9 Commissioner Angeli? MR. ANGELI: 10 Here. 11 12 MR. RESCH: Commissioner Colins? 13 MS. COLINS: 14 15 Here. 16 MR. RESCH: Commissioner Coy. 17 MR. COY: 18 19 Here. MR. RESCH: 20 Commissioner McCabe? 21 22 MR. MCCABE: 23 Here. 24 MR. RESCH: 25 Commissioner Rivers.

members, anyone else who's going to make a

25

1 presentation to identify themselves prior to speaking.

2 And finally, I'd like to remind everyone to turn off

3 their cell phones and Blackberries during the meeting,

4 which I will now do myself.

Starting out as usual, would --- Anne, since you're in the room, could you please lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance?

MS. NEEB:

Yes.

10 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE RECITED

8

9

11

23

24

25

MR. DECKER:

12 Thank you. New business. By way of announcement, the Board held an Executive Session 13 prior to this meeting, and I apologize for the length 14 15 l of it. The potential acquisition and/or lease of real property and to consult with counsel and other 16 17 professional advisors and the Board concerning litigation or matters in which complaints are expected 18 to be filed. 19

20 I'll tell you what. We have to be
21 careful about shuffling paper. It's going to be hard
22 for people to hear us.

Moving on to the new business, before the Board considers the first item regarding suspicions held by HSP Gaming, L.P., also known as SugarHouse,

and Foxwoods, I have an announcement to make.

I've elected not to participate in the Board's consideration of these motions. The basis for this recusal is related to my prior affiliation with the Philadelphia based law firm, Cozen O'Connor, of which I explained fully during the December 20th licensing hearing.

In order to avoid any appearance of impropriety, normal deliberations and proceedings relating to these motions. In this regard, I would like to now turn it over to Commissioner Colins to preside over this agenda item. Mary?

MS. COLINS:

Well, thank you, Chairman Decker. At this point, I'd like to ask our Executive Director, or our Chief Counsel if they would like to direct the Board regarding these petitions that have been filed.

MS. NEEB:

I'm going to turn this over to Frank
Donaghue, who is Chief Counsel, who is handling these
motions.

MS. COLINS:

Thank you.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

Okay. And Mr. Chairman, just for the

record, is it correct that due to your recusal, you did not participate in any of the substantive discussions in Executive Session regarding these 3 petitions?

MR. DECKER:

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That's correct.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

Thank you. The Board has received Okay. two separate motions, one filed each by HSP Gaming and Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners, Foxwoods, related to the payment of the \$50 million each it's required to pay for its Category 2 slot machine license.

The Race Horse Development and Gaming Act provides at Section 1209 that at the time of issuance of the licenses, the Board shall impose a one-time slot machine license fee to be paid by each licensee in the amount of \$50 million to be deposited into the State Gaming Fund.

Under Section 1301 of the Act, a license can be issued as soon as the licensee fulfills all required conditions of the license and the Board's decision approving the application is a final binding, non-appealable determination not subject to legal challenge.

While the Gaming Act does not specify a precise time period for payment after those events occur, the Board's order granting the licensees provided for the payment to be made ten days after any appeals become final.

As we all know, the Supreme Court affirmed the grant of the licenses to HSP and Foxwoods on July 17th, 2007, which would make their payment due under the terms of the order by tomorrow, July 27th, 2007. While I believe it was contemplated that all applicable conditions would be fulfilled by the time the Supreme Court issued its decision on the appeal, both entities have indicated in their motions that this is simply not the case, through no fault of their own.

Both entities have requested that the ten-day time period, as specified in the prior Board Order be temporarily stayed for the reasons set forth in the motion.

I will first address the HSP petition.

HSP asserts that it has been prevented from beginning construction due to impediments to obtaining permits and approvals necessary to be --- to begin construction in the City of Philadelphia.

 HSP first requested the Board issue a

temporary stay of HSP's obligation to pay the license fee, and that it be extended until the Board can dispose of the motion for an extension. Second, the motion for an extension requests that the deadline for HSP to submit the license fee be extended until the earlier of December 31st, 2007, or ten days following the date upon which HSP has received all applicable final and unappealable permits, zoning approvals and consent required for the commencement of construction of its facility.

1.3

This petition involves very serious matters, very serious to the Commonwealth and the Board and concerns actions currently pending in the City of Philadelphia, which calls for further development of a factual record. Given the importance of these issues and the expediency with which these issues must be acted on, it would be appropriate for the Board to require additional legal briefing and to receive a presentation from HSP representatives, so that the Board may fully understand the issues and the reasons for the request for an extension.

However, given the extremely short time frame, from filing to the special meeting, it might be more practicable to schedule that matter for another date when the licensee is able to fully present all

relevant evidence to this Board and permit the Board to receive questions from the representatives.

2

4

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

3 Given the situation, I suggest it would be appropriate for the Board to entertain a motion to 5 temporarily stay HSP's obligation to make the payment 6 by Friday, July 27th, 2007 and to continue that stay 7 until such time as the Board can --- will fully consider the basis for an extension during a regular 9 board meeting.

10 The authority for this Board to grant a 11 stay of a time for payment as establishing the Board's order of February 1st, 2007 is the Board's order 12 13 inherent power as a sole regulator of all activities 14 related to gaming and licensing of slot machine 15 facilities.

At this time, it would be appropriate to hear a motion regarding HSP.

MS. COLINS:

19 I would like to make a comment, but go on 20 --- Commissioner Coy, please go on.

MR. COY:

Madam Chair, could the Chief Counsel explain the motion that I provided?

MS. COLINS:

What I was going to say, before the

motion was offered, I just wanted to state that I 1 2 think the issues raised in the petition --- well, I concur with Chief Counsel that the issues raised in 3 the petitions are very significant issues that this 4 5 Board will have to consider. And I feel very strongly that I would like additional information and a briefing by the petitioners, and also additional 7 information from our Department of Bureau of Licensing, our Chief Counsel, regarding significant 9 10 issues of law to this extent. What is the standard of proof, or what's the burden that the petitioners have 12 in this situation? Do we have the authority to change the order that we've already issued? 13

I would like some more factual information. So I think there's ample reason for us to request further legal briefing and then factual information from the petitioners, and that's my suggestion with what the Chief Counsel supported.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the motion that would be appropriate for consideration would be for a motion of extension of time for the payment of the license fee, the provisions of the Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act, and the Act accompanying regulations, it is ordered that any and all obligations for HSP

Gaming to submit payment of the license fee required pursuant to 4 PA C.S. Section 1209 is stayed, pending the disposition by the Pennsylvania Gaming Control Board of HSP Gaming, LP's motion for an extension of time.

Secondly, HSP Gaming, LP shall file a brief addressing the legal merits of its request for an extension and fully setting forth a factual basis for the request no later than August 27th, 2007, and the Board shall conduct a hearing on September 6th, 2007, in Harrisburg, to receive evidence from HSP Gaming in support of its request for an extension.

MR. COY:

Madam Chair, I move that the Board adopt the resolution just offered by the Chief Counsel, and I add to it my thought that this is not an open-ended situation that the Board intends to bring this to conclusion, but that we have matters of law to study and be briefed on in the meanwhile.

MS. COLINS:

Thank you very much, Commissioner Coy.

22 Is there a second?

MR. ANGELI:

Ray Angeli, second.

MS. COLINS:

Are there any other comments or

questions?

3

23

24

25

1

2

MR. SOJKA:

4 Yes. This is Gary Sojka, and I would 5 just say that as the one commissioner who was not a member of the Board, at the time these licenses were issued, I'm very grateful for this opportunity to be able to have these petitions presented in more detail and have more opportunity to study them, but I'm ---1.0 I'm still trying to catch up a bit, and I want to make 1.1 sure that I understand the necessity for this. that is --- I assume the intent was that when the 12 13 licenses were to be issued and the payments were to be 1.4 due, it was assumed there --- at least it would be 15 reasonable that the holders of the licenses would be able to proceed. And this is all being made necessary 1.6 17 by actions taking place in Philadelphia; is that 18 correct, which will preclude these people from moving forward and might even make it --- should we require 19 20 that they pay the \$50 million fee, should they not be able to build, we would have to give that back; is 21 22 that correct?

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

That is correct.

MR. SOJKA:

			15
1		MR. COY:	
2		Yes.	
3		MR. RESCH:	
4		Commissioner McCabe?	
5		MR. MCCABE:	
6		Yes.	
7		MR. RESCH:	
8		Commissioner Rivers?	
9		MR. RIVERS:	
10		Yes.	
11		MR. RESCH:	
12		Commissioner Sojka?	
13		MR. SOJKA:	
14		Yes.	
15		MR. RESCH:	
16		Chairman Decker recuses.	
17		ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:	
18		And Madam Chair, next is the matter of	
19	the Foxwoods	motion. Foxwoods motion indicates	
20		MS. COLINS:	
21		Frank, before you go any further, let me	<u> </u>
22	just declare	that the motion carries. All right.	
23	Continue.		
24		ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:	
25		Madam Chair, next is the matter of the	
- 1	1		

Foxwoods motion. The Foxwoods motion indicates that it similarly has been prohibited from complying with certain conditions for issuance of its license due to delays and obstacles in the City of Philadelphia in the zoning and permitting process, which Foxwoods represents are factors beyond its control, including the action of City Council in re-zoning Foxwoods' property to residential after the Board has granted the license. The matter has spawned more litigation currently pending before the Pennsylvania Supreme Court.

Once again, as with the HSP motion. The importance of these issues and the expediency with which these issues must be acted on, I suggest that it would be appropriate for the Board to require further briefing and to entertain a presentation from Foxwoods' representatives in order to permit the Board to explore and fully understand the issues and the reasons for this request.

The short time frame the Board is operating under on this matter which made it necessary to call this special meeting suggests that it might be more prudent to schedule that matter for another date when the licensees can fully present its evidence supporting the request and permit the Board a fuller

opportunity to question the Foxwoods' representatives.

Given this situation, I suggest that it would be appropriate for the Board to entertain a motion to temporarily stay the Foxwoods obligation to make the payment by Friday, July 27th, 2007 and to continue that stay until such time that the Board can fully consider the basis for an extension after briefing and during a regular board meeting.

Once again, as stated with respect to HSP, the authority for this Board to grant a stay of the time for payment as established in the Board's prior order is the Board's inherent power as the sole regulator of all activities related to gaming and the licensing of slot machine facilities.

At this time, it would be appropriate for the Board to consider such a motion.

MS. COLINS:

Is there a motion at this time?

MR. RIVERS:

Based on the recommendation of the Chief Counsel, I move that we consider the Philadelphia Entertainment and Development Partners, known as Foxwoods, and grant them a stay of extension for the time.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

And Madam Chair, would you like me to read the motion again?

MS. COLINS:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

22

23

24

25

If you would --- if you would read the specific motion and the provisions regarding the briefing dates and the next hearing schedule.

ATTORNEY DONAGHUE:

8 Okav. Based upon the full and careful 9 consideration of Philadelphia Entertainment and 10 Development Partners, LP, a motion for an extension of time for the payment of the license fee, the 11 12 provisions of the Pennsylvania Race Horse Development and Gaming Act and the Act's accompanying regulations, 13 14 it is hereby ordered that any and all obligations for 15 the Philadelphia Entertainment Development Partners, 16 LP to submit payment of a license fee required 17 pursuant to 4 PA C.S. Section 1209 is stayed, pending 18 the disposition by the Pennsylvania Gaming Control 19 Board of the Philadelphia Entertainment and 20 Development Partner, LP's motion for an extension of 21 time.

Philadelphia Entertainment and

Development Partners shall file a brief addressing the
legal merits of its request for an extension and fully
setting forth the factual basis for this request, no

```
1
  later than August 27th, 2007. And the Board shall
 2
   conduct a hearing on September 6th, 2007, in
 3
   Harrisburg, to receive evidence from Philadelphia
   Entertainment Development Partners in support of its
 4
 5
   request for an extension.
 6
                 MS. COLINS:
 7
                        Thank you. Commissioner Rivers
                 Okay.
   will you adopt that as your motion?
 9
                 MR. RIVERS:
10
                 That's so moved, yes.
11
                 MS. COLINS:
12
                 Is there a second?
13
                 MR. SOJKA:
                 Gary Sojka, second.
14
15
                 MS. COLINS:
16
                 Any questions or comments? Hearing none,
17
   will Secretary for the Board, Paul Resch, please call
18
   for a roll call vote.
19
                 MR. RESCH:
20
                 Commissioner Angeli?
21
                 MR. ANGELI:
22
                 Yes.
23
                 MR. RESCH:
24
                 Commissioner Colins?
25
                 MS. COLINS:
```

1 MR. DECKER: 2 Are there any other items to come up 3 today? As far as I know, that completes our agenda. 4 MS. NEEB: 5 That completes the special agenda, yes. 6 MR. DECKER: 7 Then that wraps it up. Is there any other items to come before the Board? A reminder, our next meeting will be held on August 8th at the North 10 Office Building, Hearing Room One. The meeting will 11 begin at 11 o'clock. Again, no final questions or 12 comments? Hearing none, may I have a motion to 13 adjourn? 14 MR. ANGELI: 15 So moved, Ray Angeli. 16 MR. DECKER: 17 Thank you. Is there a second? 1.8 MR. COY: 19 Second. 20 MR. DECKER: 21 All in favor? 22 AYES RESPOND 23 MR. DECKER: 24 Any opposed?

25

NO RESPONSE

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing proceeding 1/26 Besch was reported by me, that I have read this transcript on 8/2/07 , and I attest that this transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceeding.

Court Reporter

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

· PITTSBURGH, PA · CLEARFIELD, PA

*STATE COLLEGE, PA

·HOLLIDAYSBURG, PA

• ERIE, PA

·Off. CITY, PA · HARRISBURG, PA

SARGENT'S COURT REPORTING SERVICE, INC.

> 210 Main Street Johnstown, PA 15001 (814) 536 8908

ANDIANA, PA · GREENSBURG, PA •РИПАФЕГРИГА, РА

*SOMERSET, PA

· WILKES-BARRE, PA

· CHARLESTON, WV